



IBM Developer
SKILLS NETWORK

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Ayush Gandhi
January 11, 2022



Outline

- **Executive Summary**
- **Introduction**
- **Methodology**
- **Results**
- **Conclusion**
- **Appendix**


Executive Summary

Summary of Methodologies

The diagram outlines the phases of the Data Science Methodology applied in this project. All the data sets used were collected from public sources.

Summary of All Results

- ✓ Exploratory Data Analysis Results
- ✓ Interactive Analytics Dashboard
- ✓ Predictive Analysis Results



Introduction

- **Project Background and Context**

SpaceX is holding a remarkable position in the commercial space industry as a result of the low cost of launching a rocket. According to the company, the standard payment plan for a Falcon 9 launch is \$62 million; while the cost of other providers exceeds \$165 million. Much of the savings is because SpaceX can reuse the rocket's first stage.

- **Problems Analyzed**

- ✓ What determines whether a rocket will land successfully?
- ✓ Which variables have the greatest influence on the landing success rate?
- ✓ Can we estimate the cost of a launch by predicting whether the first stage will land?

Section 1

Methodology

Methodology

- Data collection methodology

Data source: SpaceX RESTAPI – HTTPRequests using Python Requests Library.

Data source: Wikipedia Article – Web scraping with BeautifulSoup Library.

- Perform data wrangling

Dealing with missing values, one hot encoding, type cast, transform and cleaning features.

- Perform exploratory data analysis (EDA) using visualization and SQL

- Perform interactive visual analytics using Folium and Plotly Dash

- Perform predictive analysis using classification models


Data standardization and data set splitting.

Hyperparameter tuning using Scikit-Learn's GridSearchCV.


Evaluation of Logistic Regression, Decision Tree, KNN and SVM classifiers algorithms.

Data Collection


- The data was collected from SpaceX public **RESTAPI**, as shown in the flowchart below.
- The second process shows how data from Wikipedia was collected through **web scraping**.



Data Collection – SpaceX API




Data Collection – Web Scrapping



Data Wrangling

- ✓ The first step was to create the 'class' feature from 'Outcome' as shown
- ✓ Than we performed one hot encoding of features and the type cast of the entire DataFrame to float as demonstrated.
- ✓ Finally, the data of all features was standardized using a transform as presented.



EDA with Data Visualization

➤ Scatter Chart

Flight Number vs Payload Mass

Flight Number vs Launch Site

Payload Mass vs Launch Site

Orbit vs Flight Number

Payload Mass vs Orbit Type



A scatter plot (aka scatter chart, scatter graph) uses dots to represent values for two different numeric variables. Scatter plots are used to observe relationships between variables.

➤ Bar Chart

Orbit vs Success Rate



A bar chart or bar graph is a chart or graph that presents categorical data with rectangular bars with heights or lengths proportional to the values that they represent.

➤ Line Chart

Success Rate vs Year



A line chart is a visual comparison of how two variables— shown on the x- and y- axes —are related or vary with each other. It shows related information by drawing a continuous line between all the points on a grid.

EDA with SQL

Using SQL queries to answer questions about the data set.




[Notebook
on Github](#)

- ✓ Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission.
- ✓ Display 5 records where launch sites begin with the string 'CCA'.
- ✓ Display the total payload mass carried by boosters launched by NASA (CRS).
- ✓ Display average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1.
- ✓ List the date when the first successful landing outcome in ground pad was achieved.
- ✓ List the names of the boosters which have success in drone ship and have payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000.
- ✓ List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes.
- ✓ List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass.
- ✓ List the failed landing_outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for in year 2015.
- ✓ Rank the count of landing outcomes between the date 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20, in descending order.


Build an Interactive Map with Folium



- ✓ We marked NASA and all launch sites (CCAFS LC-40, CCAFS SLC-40, KSC LC-39A, VAFB SLC-4E) on a **Map** using a **Circle** with a **Popup** and a **Marker** object to analyze their locations in relation to each other.



- ✓ Then we added the launch outcomes for each site to identify which location have high success rates. For each SpaceX launch we created a **Marker** with a **green icon** for success and **red icon** for failure. The markers where added to a **MarkerCluster** object.



- ✓ Finally, we used the **MousePosition** object to get the coordinates of several points of interests (such as a railway, highway, city and coastline). The distance from the point to the launch site was calculated, a **Polyline** was draw and added to the **Map**.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash



The dashboard was build using the Dash framework and deployed on Google Cloud Platform.



The first interactive component is a **Dropdown** list, where you can select all sites or any specific launch site to plot the graphs for.



A **Pie Chart** is shown according to the selected option. Each slice on this graph represents the total of **successful launches per site** or the success / failure ratio.




Then a **Scatter Plot** is displayed, where the **launch outcome** in relation to the **payload mass** can be analyzed. Each point on this graph is colored according to the **booster version** label on the right side.



Finally, you can switch the **RangeSlider** on top of the Scatter Plot to analyze the results for different payload mass values.

Predictive Analysis (Classification)



- ✓ The data sets were loaded from csv files, transformed and split into training and test.
- ✓ The model was built applying four different classification algorithms from the Scikit-learn library.
- ✓ The GridSearchCV was used to select the best parameters for each model.
- ✓ All algorithms were evaluated using the accuracy score and the confusion matrix.

Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results

The background of the slide is an abstract composition. It features a solid blue area on the left side, which transitions into a dynamic pattern of diagonal streaks in shades of blue, red, and cyan on the right. These streaks are layered over a faint, grid-like pattern, creating a sense of depth and movement.


Section 2

Insights drawn from EDA

Flight Number vs. Launch Site

According to the Scatter Plot below, it is possible to notice that the success rate of a launch increased with time.


Furthermore, it is evident that both CCAFS SLC40 and KSCLC 39A accumulate more launches and have similar success rates.



Payload vs. Launch Site

The graph shows that the success rate for all launch sites are higher when the payload mass is greater than 8000 kg. However, this does not mean that mass is the only variable that establishes a causality.


The majority of successful launches were carrying a payload mass between 2000 kg and 6000 kg.



Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

The Bar Chart shows that the maximum success rate was settled by the ES-L1, GEO, HEO and SSO orbits.


The GTO orbit hit the lower success mark, while the others score around 70% in average.



Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

In this graph it is possible to notice that the SSO orbit hit the 100% success rate with five launches. All the others have only one flight.


In addition, it is clear that most of the launches were destined to the ISS, PO, GTO and VLEO orbits. These four orbits together have approximately 65% average success rate.



Payload vs. Orbit Type


The analysis of the graph allows us to observe that the success rate is near 100% for ISS, PO and VLEO orbits when the payload mass exceeds 8000 kg.

Most successful launches were carrying a payload between 2000 kg and 6000 kg. In the graph you notice a greater concentration of points in the ISS and GTO orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

The success rate of Falcon 9 launches has grown continuously over the period 2013-2020.



All Launch Site Names

The **DISTINCT** statement was used to return only unique values from the launch_site column.



```
select distinct LAUNCH_SITE from SPACEXTBL;
```

launch_site

CCAFS LC-40

CCAFS SLC-40

KSC LC-39A

VAFB SLC-4E

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

The query statement uses the **wildcard** % after CCA meaning that only the values beginning with CCA will match the condition of the **WHERE** clause. The number of rows returned will be **limited** by 5.



```
select * from SPACEXTBL where LAUNCH_SITE like 'CCA%' LIMIT 5;
```

| DATE | time_utc | booster_version | launch_site | payload | payload_mass_kg | orbit | customer | mission_outcome |
|------------|----------|-----------------|-------------|---|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 2010-06-04 | 18:45:00 | F9 v1.0 B0003 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit | 0 | LEO | SpaceX | Success |
| 2010-12-08 | 15:43:00 | F9 v1.0 B0004 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of Brouere cheese | 0 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) NRO | Success |
| 2012-05-22 | 07:44:00 | F9 v1.0 B0005 | CCAFS LC-40 | Dragon demo flight C2 | 525 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (COTS) | Success |
| 2012-10-08 | 00:35:00 | F9 v1.0 B0006 | CCAFS LC-40 | SpaceX CRS-1 | 500 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success |
| 2013-03-01 | 15:10:00 | F9 v1.0 B0007 | CCAFS LC-40 | SpaceX CRS-2 | 677 | LEO (ISS) | NASA (CRS) | Success |

Total Payload Mass

The query statement uses the built-in function **SUM** to calculate the total payload mass for the customer NASA (CRS) filtered by the **WHERE** clause.



```
select sum(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_) as TOTAL_PAYLOAD_MASS from SPACEXTBL  
where CUSTOMER= 'NASA (CRS)';
```

| total_payload_mass |
|--------------------|
| 45596 |

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

The query statement uses the built-in function **AVG** to calculate the average payload mass for flights with version F9 v1.1 of the booster, filtered by the **WHERE** clause.



```
select avg(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_) as AVERAGE_PAYLOAD_MASS from SPACEXTBL  
where BOOSTER_VERSION='F9 v1.1';
```

| average_payload_mass |
|----------------------|
|----------------------|

| |
|------|
| 2928 |
|------|

First Successful Ground Landing Date

The query statement uses the **wildcard %** after Success, meaning that only the values beginning with Success will match the condition of the **WHERE** clause. The built-in function **MIN** is used to return only the lowest date value, which represents the first occurrence of a success.



```
select min(DATE) as FIRST_SUCCESSFUL_LANDING from SPACEXTBL  
where LANDING_OUTCOME like 'Success%';
```

| first_successful_landing |
|--------------------------|
| 2015-12-22 |

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

The **DISTINCT** statement was used to return only unique values from the `booster_version` column. The **WHERE** clause was used to filter records that fulfill the following condition: boosters which have success in drone ship and have payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000.



```
select distinct BOOSTER_VERSION from SPACEXTBL
where LANDING_OUTCOME= 'Success (drone ship)' and
PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ < 6000 and PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ > 4000;
```

booster_version

F9 FT B1021.2

F9 FT B1031.2

F9 FT B1022

F9 FT B1026

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

The **GROUP BY** statement groups rows that have the same values for the mission outcome. Then the built-in function **COUNT** is used to return the number of rows for each category (or group) of mission outcome.



```
select MISSION_OUTCOME, count(MISSION_OUTCOME) as MISSION_COUNT  
from SPACEXTBL GROUP BY MISSION_OUTCOME;
```

| mission_outcome | mission_count |
|----------------------------------|---------------|
| Failure (in flight) | 1 |
| Success | 99 |
| Success (payload status unclear) | 1 |

Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

This query uses a **subquery** to set the condition for the **WHERE** clause. The built-in function **MAX** is used to find the maximum value of the payload mass. Then the value returned by the subquery is used to filter the values of the booster version.



```
select BOOSTER_VERSION, PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_  
  from SPACEXTBL  
 where PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_ =  
       (select max(PAYLOAD_MASS_KG_)  
        from SPACEXTBL);
```

| booster_version | payload_mass_kg_ |
|-----------------|------------------|
| F9 B5 B1048.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.3 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1056.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1048.5 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.4 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.5 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1060.2 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1058.3 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1051.6 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1060.3 | 15600 |
| F9 B5 B1049.7 | 15600 |

2015 Launch Records

The **WHERE** clause in this statement is used to filter by two conditions. The first is a failed landing outcome in drone ship. The second uses the built-in function **YEAR** to match only the dates where the year is 2015.



```
select LANDING_OUTCOME, BOOSTER_VERSION, LAUNCH_SITE, DATE  
from SPACEXTBL  
where LANDING_OUTCOME= 'Failure (drone ship)' and year(DATE) = 2015;
```

| landing__outcome | booster_version | launch_site | DATE |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|
| Failure (drone ship) | F9 v1.1 B1012 | CCAFS LC-40 | 2015-01-10 |
| Failure (drone ship) | F9 v1.1 B1015 | CCAFS LC-40 | 2015-04-14 |

Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

This query uses the **group by** statement and the built-in function **COUNT** to calculate the number of landing outcomes. The **WHERE** clause is used to filter by date and the **ORDER BY** keyword sorts the result set in descending order.



```
select LANDING_OUTCOME,  
count(LANDING_OUTCOME)as LANDING_COUNT  
from SPACEXTBL  
where DATEbetween '2010-06-04' and '2017-03-20'  
GROUPBYLANDING_OUTCOME  
ORDERBYLANDING_COUNT DESC;
```

| landing_outcome | landing_count |
|------------------------|---------------|
| No attempt | 10 |
| Failure (drone ship) | 5 |
| Success (drone ship) | 5 |
| Controlled (ocean) | 3 |
| Success (ground pad) | 3 |
| Failure (parachute) | 2 |
| Uncontrolled (ocean) | 2 |
| Precluded (drone ship) | 1 |

A satellite view of Earth from space, showing the curvature of the planet and city lights at night. The image is a composite of a dark blue sky and a view of the Earth's surface, which is covered in a dense network of city lights and clouds. The lights are concentrated in the lower right portion of the image, while the upper left portion shows a clear blue sky.

Section 4

Launch Sites Proximities Analysis

SpaceX Launch Sites Locations



Marks of Success and Failure Launches



CA

VAFB SLC-4E


The marks on the map indicate that launch success rate is higher for the Kennedy Space Center (KSC LC-39A).



Success Launch




Failure Launch




FL

CCAFS LC-40



FL

CCAFS SLC-40



FL

KSC LC-39A

Distance from the Launch Complex CCAFS LC-40



0.93 Km Coastline

The Cape Canaveral Space Launch Complex is extremely close to the shore and is located at a reasonable distance from the nearest city and the nearest highway.

However, it is relatively far from the Sand Lake Road train station.



17.9 Km City of Cape Canaveral



21.07 Km US Highway 1



78.13 Km Railway




Section 5

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

Total Success Launches by Site


Total Success Launches By Site



- ✓ The pie chart validates previous information, gained from the map markers, that the KSCLaunch complex has the highest success rate.
- ✓ It also indicates a trend, when compared to the number of markers on the map, of a linear relationship between success rate and the number of flights per site.

Total Success Launches for Kennedy Space Center


Total Success Launches for site KSC LC-39A




- ✓ Despite having the highest number of successful launches and the highest success rate, there is no clear evidence that the location is the only reason for the outcome.

Launch Outcome by Payload Mass

- ✓ In the first range of payload mass, lower than 2000 kg, there is a higher failure rate and the booster version v1.1 is the most used.




- ✓ In the second range of payload mass, between 2000-6000 kg, the success rate is approximately 52% and the booster version FT is the most used and successful.



Section 6

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

Classification Accuracy





- ✓ The accuracy scores indicate that the performance improvement brought by the GridSearchCV resulted in identical values for Logistic Regression, SVM and KNN algorithms.
- ✓ Finally, we have a clear winner that performed better on both training and test scores. The **Decision Tree** algorithm satisfies the requirements of this project.

| | Algorithm | Best Score on Training | Accuracy on Test | Confusion Matrix | Performance |
|---|---------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|
| 0 | Logistic Regression | 0.846429 | 0.833333 | 0-3-3-12 | High |
| 1 | SVM | 0.848214 | 0.833333 | 0-3-3-12 | High |
| 2 | Decision Tree | 0.891071 | 0.944444 | 0-5-1-12 | Better |
| 3 | KNN | 0.848214 | 0.833333 | 0-3-3-12 | High |

Confusion Matrix for the Decision Tree

- ✓ The confusion matrix shows that, given a test set of 18 records, we got 17 correct predictions and only 1 incorrect.

- ✓ The incorrect prediction is a false a positive, also known as a type I error, false alarm or overestimation.



Conclusions

What determines whether a rocket will land successfully?

- ✓ The most important factor is time. The success rate of Falcon 9 launches has grown continuously over the period 2013-2020.

Which variables have the greatest influence on the landing success rate?

- ✓ The Kennedy Space Center (KSC LC-39A) has the highest success rate of 76.9%.
- ✓ A payload mass between 2000 kg and 6000 kg is most likely to give a positive outcome.
- ✓ Most of the launches were destined to the ISS, PO, GTO and VLEO. These four orbits together have approximately 65% average success rate.
- ✓ The new booster versions contributed to a significant improvement in the success rate.

Can we estimate the cost of a launch by predicting whether the first stage will land?

- ✓ According to the predictive analysis results it is possible, with a satisfactory confidence margin, to estimate costs based on launch data.

Appendix – Resources, Tools and Services

❖ Python Libraries

[Matplotlib](#)

[Seaborn](#)

[Pandas](#)

[NumPy](#)

[Plotly Dash](#)

[Scikit-learn](#)

❖ Tools

[Visual Studio Code](#)

[MS PowerPoint](#)

[Adobe Photoshop](#)

❖ Services

[IBM Cloud](#)

[GitHub](#)

[Google App Engine](#)

Thank you!

