Journal Paper Review

**Paper ID**: 001

**Title**: Counterfactual Explanations for Inferred Medical Treatments

**Author(s)**: Xinmiao Lin

**Goals and Contributions:**

1. Do the authors clearly state the research goals of the work?
2. Does the paper clearly indicate what the contributions are?
3. Are the claimed contributions original and significant in terms of
   * Novel methodology?
   * New applications?
4. Does the paper describe the methods in sufficient detail for readers to replicate the work?

**Evaluation:**

1. Do the authors carefully evaluate the approach?
2. Does the paper include systematic experiments, a careful theoretical analysis, or give evidence of generality?

**Discussion:**

1. Does the paper discuss relevant earlier works, noting similarities, differences and progress?
2. Does it discuss the limitation of the approach as well as its advantages?
3. Does it consider the implication of the work and outline direction for future work?

**Presentation:**

1. Is the paper properly organized and well written?
2. Is the paper grammatically correct and free of spelling errors?
3. Does it use standard terminology?

**Detailed Comments:**

**Recommendation:**

1. The paper could be published in its current form.
2. The paper could be published after minor revision:
   * Another round of review is needed.
   * No review is needed.
3. The paper requires major revision for further consideration.
4. The paper is not suitable for publication in this journal.