
GRADE 100%


Linear Regression Quiz

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

100%

1. Which of the following scatterplot(s) would fitting a linear regression model to the data be appropriate? (Select all 1/1 point that apply.)





✓ a

✓ Correct

✓ b


✓ Correct


__ c

□ d

□ e

2. Which of the following scatterplot(s) would have a correlation coefficient that is close to 0? (Select all that apply.) 1/1 point





□ a

□ b

✓ c

✓ d

✓ Correct

6.	A study was conducted to model the linear relationship between Las Vegas nightly hotel cost (dollars) and hotel rating (on a 100 point scale). Nightly hotel cost will be used to predict hotel rating. A random sample of 30 Las Vegas hotels was collected and an estimated slope (b1) was found to be 0.21. Which of the following is a correct interpretation of the estimated slope (b1)?									
	When a hotel's r	nightly cost is \$0 dolla	ars the hotel's rating i	s expected to be 0.2	21 points.					
	When a hotel rating is 0 points the hotel's nightly cost is expected to be \$0.21 dollars.									
	The hotel rating is estimated to increase by 0.21 points for every additional dollar spent on nightly hotel cost, on average.									
	The nightly hotel cost is estimated to increase by \$0.21 dollars for every additional hotel rating point, on average.									
	✓ Correct									
7.	Background for Questions 7 - 13									
	In 1905, R.J. Gladstone conducted a study of the relationship between brain weight and size of the head. Brain weight (grams) and head size (cubic cm) measurements were performed for 237 adults. Two categorical variables for Sex (0=male, 1=female) and Age (0=young, 20-46 years old, 1=old, 46+ years old) are available. The linear regression results for regressing brain weight on the head size are summarized below.									
		mean	sd	se(mean)	n					
	Brain	1282.873	120.34	7.82	237					
	Head	3633.992	365.26	23.73	237					
	Coefficients	:								
		Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)					
	(Intercept)	325.573	47.141	6.906	4.61e-11					
	Head	0.26343	0.0129	20.409	< 2e-16					
	observed error (res observed error (res -183.3 grams 183.3 grams -4195.752 cm3 √ 183.752 cm3	-		i brain Weight or 1	430.86 grams. What is the value of the					
8	The study relating h	nrain weight (grams	s) and head size (cub	nic cm) vielded an	R-squared of 0.6393. Which of the	1/1point				
0.	The study relating brain weight (grams) and head size (cubic cm) yielded an R-squared of 0.6393. Which of the following is a correct interpretation of the R-squared?									
	0.6393% of the variation in brain weight can be accounted for by the linear relationship with head size.									
	(a) 63.93% of the va	ariation in brain weig	ht can be accounted	for by the linear rela	ationship with head size.					
	We would expect brain weight to increase by 0.6393 grams for every additional cubic cm in head size, on average.									
	We would expect head size to increase by 0.6393 cubic cm for every additional gram in brain weight, on average.									
	✓ Correct									
9.			sting if there is a sig	nificant positive li	near relationship between brain	1/1 point				
	weight and head siz	ze?								
	4.61e-11									
	O <2e-16									
	O 2.305e-11									
	● <1e-16									
	✓ Correct									

10. A 95% confidence interval for the mean brain weight for all adults in 1905 with a head size of 3400 cm3 was calculated to be (1210.14 grams, 1232.33 grams). How would the width of the 95% prediction interval for the brain weight for an individual adult in 1905 with a head size of 3400 cm3 compare to this one?

	Narrower Stays the same											
	,	✓ Correct										
11.	A 95% confidence interval for the mean brain weight for all adults in 1905 with a head size of 3400 cm3 was calculated to be (1210.14 grams, 1232.33 grams). How would the width of the 95% confidence interval for the mean brain weight for all adults in 1905 with a head size of 3600 cm3 compare to this one? Wider Narrower Stay the same											
	•	/	Correct									
12				8 year old child is eight? (Select all t		m3, What caution((s) should be noted if asked to predict	1/1 point				
		Correlation does not imply causation for brain weight.										
	Extrapolation - A head size of 1800 cm3 is outside the range of our data.											
	,	✓ Correct										
	~	Extrapolation - The model was created using only data for adults, not children.										
	✓ Correct											
		We do not know if the child is male or female.										
		☐ No cautions need to be noted, it is fine to plug in the 1800 cm3 in to our estimated regression line to make the prediction.										
13. A new model was fit, this time adding in the two categorical variables Sex (0=male, 1=female) and Age (0=young, 20-46 years old, 1= old, 46+ years old), the model summary is shown below												
	C	oef	ficients	:								
	(1			Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t)					
	· .	eac	rcept) H	464.56 0.2442	68.98 0.015	6.735 16.212	1.27e-10 < 2e-16					
		ех		-22.54	11.06	-2.039	0.0426					
	Ą	ge		-23.97	9.48	-2.528	0.0121					
	Whi		of the follow	ving is an appropr	coefficient for age of -23.97 in the above							
	0	The for o	ams less than the average brain weight									
	0	Keeping head size and sex constant, the average brain weight for younger subjects is estimated to be 23.97 grams less than the average brain weight for older subjects.										
	0	The average brain weight for older subjects is estimated to be 23.97 grams less than the average brain weight for younger adults.										
	• Keeping head size and sex constant, the average brain weight for older subjects is estimated to be 23.97 grams less than the average brain weight for younger adults.											
	•	/	Correct									