

## Sheet 2: Molecular Dynamics Simulation

Week 26.11.2019

### • Harmonic Oscillator in the microcanonical ensemble

Consider the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}'(q', p') = \frac{p'^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q'^2 \quad (1)$$

where  $q'$  and  $p'$  denote the position and linear momentum of the particle, respectively.  $m$  is the mass of the particle and  $\omega$  characterizes the frequency of the oscillator.

- a) Define units of length,  $L$ , time,  $T$ , and energy,  $E$ , such that the Hamiltonian (measured in these units) takes the simple form

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{E} = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + q^2) \quad \text{with} \quad q = \frac{q'}{L} \quad \text{and} \quad p = \frac{p'}{ET/L} \quad (2)$$

In the following use these dimensionless quantities.

- b) Solve the Hamiltonian equation of motions via the forward Euler scheme and plot the trajectory in phase space for three different values of the integration time step  $\Delta t = 0.1, 0.01$ , and  $0.001$ .
- c) Do the same as task b for the backward/implicit Euler scheme.
- d) Do the same as task b for the Runge-Kutta algorithm of fourth order (RK4).
- e) Consider the first-order algorithm described by the time-step operator

$$T(\Delta t) = e^{\Delta t \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p} \frac{\partial}{\partial q}} e^{-\Delta t \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial q} \frac{\partial}{\partial p}} \quad (3)$$

Explain the algorithm (i.e., write down the explicit equations for the changes of  $q$  and  $p$ ) and do the same as task b for this algorithm. Why does it perform better than all previous algorithms (forward and backward Euler, as well as RK4 schemes).

- f) Do the same as task b for the velocity-Verlet algorithm.
- g) Calculate the shadow Hamiltonian,  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , for the velocity-Verlet algorithm of the harmonic oscillator. (hint: It corresponds to a harmonic oscillator with a different mass and frequency and converges for  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  to [Equation 2](#).) Verify explicitly that the velocity-Verlet algorithm *exactly* conserves  $\mathcal{H}_s$ .

### • Rouse polymer in the microcanonical and canonical ensemble

Consider a single, Gaussian polymer (in three spatial dimensions) that is described the coordinates and velocities,  $\{\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}_i\}$  with  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , of its  $N$  segments. Neighboring segments are bonded together by entropic, harmonic springs, and the Hamiltonian of the system takes the form

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{p}_i^2 + \frac{3(N-1)k_B T}{2R_e^2} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} [\mathbf{r}_{i+1} - \mathbf{r}_i]^2 \quad (4)$$

For the numerics use  $N = 64$  and set the length scale  $R_e = 1$ , energy scale  $k_B T = 1$ , and mass  $m = 1$ .

- a) Create an initial configuration by drawing an equilibrium conformation  $\{\mathbf{r}_i\}$  from the statistics of a Gaussian polymer (random walk with variance of step length  $R_e^2/(N-1)$ ), and the velocities from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution.
- b) Implement the velocity-Verlet algorithm and choose the time step,  $\Delta t$ , such that the Hamiltonian dynamics (reasonably well) conserves the total energy,  $\mathcal{H}$  (see also task c).
- c) Observe the time evolution of the first Rouse modes,  $\mathbf{X}_p$ , with  $p = 1, 2$ , and 3.

$$\mathbf{X}_p = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{r}_i}{R_e} \cos\left(\frac{\pi p}{N}[i - 1/2]\right) \quad (5)$$

and calculate their distribution along a trajectory. Is the system ergodic? Explain your observation. Calculate the probability distribution of  $\mathbf{X}_p$  for  $p = 1$ .

- d) Calculate the mean-squared displacement (MSD) of the center of mass,

$$g_3(t) \equiv \langle [\mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}(t_0 + t) - \mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}(t_0)]^2 \rangle_{t_0} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{r}_i(t) \quad (6)$$

( $\langle \dots \rangle_{t_0}$  runs over times along a trajectory or multiple simulation runs), the MSD of all segments

$$g_1(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle [\mathbf{r}_i(t_0 + t) - \mathbf{r}_i(t_0)]^2 \rangle_{t_0} \quad (7)$$

and the MSD of all segments with respect to the center of mass

$$g_2(t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle [\mathbf{r}_i(t_0 + t) - \mathbf{r}_i(t_0) - \mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}(t_0 + t) + \mathbf{r}_{\text{cm}}(t_0)]^2 \rangle_{t_0} \quad (8)$$

- e) Implement the Andersen thermostat, i.e., every time interval,  $\Delta t_{\text{AT}}$ , all velocities are newly drawn from the Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution. Redo parts c and d of the exercise and explain the changes that you observe.
- f) Replace the Andersen thermostat by the Lowe-Andersen thermostat, allowing a fraction,  $\gamma \Delta t_{\text{LAT}} \leq 1$ , of segment pairs with a distance of less than  $r_c = R_e/\sqrt{3(N-1)}$  to “collide” (i.e., update their relative momentum) every time interval,  $\Delta t_{\text{LAT}}$ . Implement a box list and periodic boundary conditions with a minimum image convention to find segment pairs. Redo parts c and d of the exercise and explain the changes that you observe.
- g) Add to the Hamiltonian, [Equation 4](#), the additional, soft, pairwise interaction

$$H_{\text{nb}} = \sum_{i < j} V(|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|) \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{V}{k_B T} = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_c}\right)^2 \quad (9)$$

Use the value  $\epsilon = 20$  in your simulation. Calculate the distribution of the mean-squared end-to-end distance  $R^2 = (\mathbf{r}_N - \mathbf{r}_1)^2$ . Verify your program by estimating the probability distribution of  $R^2$  for  $\epsilon = 0$  using single-histogram extrapolation.