CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT PARISH OF ORLEANS

STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

No.: 278-951

v

VIO: 14:30

REGINALD ADAMS

SECTION .

9

2

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

27

28 29 Excerpt of Trial Proceedings in the above-entitled and numbered matter, held in open court on Tuesday, August 8, 1983, before the HONORABLE MIRIAM G. WALTZER, Judge presiding.

APPRABATES.

BONALD BODENHEIMER BAROLD GILBERT

.

PEILLIP JOHNSON FRANK J. LARRE

Assistant District Attorneys for the Parish of Orleans

Attorneys for the Defendant

REPORTED BY:

Jack E. Lawrence Court Reporter

HAL BODENHEIMER:

Detective Gebbia. (Indicating

swcar-in)

DETECTIVE SAM GERBIA, was called as a witness, and after having first been duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BODENHEIMER:

- O Officer, would you state your name for the record, please?
- ll A Sam Gebbia.

2

7

- 12 Q Your occupation?
- 13 A Police Officer.
- 14 Q Assigned to what division?
- 15 A Homicide Division.
- 16 G Cfficer, how long have you been employed by the
 17 Esw Orleans Police Department?
- 18 A Fourteen years.
- 19 Q And where are you currently assigned?
- 20 A Homicide Division.
- 21 Q How long have you been there?
- 22 A Twelve years.
- 23 Q And where were you before that?
- A I was in the Seventh District for about nine
- 25 months and then the remaining time in
- the First District area, French Quarter.
- 27 Q And you went through the New Orleans Police
- Academy, did you not?
- 29 A Yes, I did.

in powers of observations?

A Yes. sir.

ŧθ:

Thank you. Now, Officer, I want to call your attention, if I might, back to October 7th 1979. Did you become involved with homicide investigation at that time

Yes, sir. That was my investigation.

How did you become involved with it, Officer?

I was in the area where the incident occurred, handling another follow-up investigation at that time, and when I heard the dispatcher give out the signal of a 94 which is a discharging of firearms, in the general area, I was about five or tem minutes away when I heard Officer Bowman on the radio. And hearing he acknowledged the call and he said that he was not sure at the time but he thought it was a police officer's residence that

Q How do you know that it was Detective Bowsan on the radio?

the call was coming from.

Detective Bowman at the time was assigned to the Crime Lab Division. He worked the same shift I did and I recognized his voice on the police radio.

Q You had worked with him before?

A Yes, many times.

the Homicide Division, how many homicide
investigation or cases have you handled,
roughly?

Roughly, close to 600.

Okay. But what did you do after you heard
his voice, Officer?

I immediately started to go toward the stans.

towards the address that was given and
see if I could lend any assistance. And

towards the address that was given and see if I could lend any assistance. And I heard him on the radio say he was getting out. And prior to my arrival, he said that there was a female, I believe the female was down, apparently shot, to notify Homicide.

e and what was the location of the scene that he was coming from?

It was the 3900 block. I am not sure of the exact address. It was, I believe, 3914 or 3941 Downman Road. It was on the corner of Downman and Timoleon.

- Q Do you know whose house that was?
- 23 A At the time.

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

27

- 4 Q Now do you know whose house that is?
- 25 A Now, yes, I do.
- 26 Q Please tell us whose house was --
 - A /It was Officer Ronald Olfers' house.
 - Okay. Did you proceed to that location?
- 29 A Yes, I did.

What did you do when you arrived?

I arrived on the scene, I met with Officer

Bowman, he pointed out to me that the

front door had been broken into and he

says he believed -- he said -- he had

mentioned the lady's name, the winter

name. He knew -- apparently, he have

them socially.

MR JOHNSON:

Your Honor, I object.

THE COURT:

You may not tell us what Officer
Bowman said to you. You may only tell
us what you did in response to what he
said.

THE WITHESS:

I interviewed him on the goene as to what had happened or what he had observed, to conduct my investigation whether Homicide was needed or not; at which time, he, um --

MR. JOHNSON:

Objection.

THE COURT:

You may not tell us what he said.

You may only tell us what you did in response to what he said.

THE WITNESS:

At that time, I went into the house

29

3

ì0

11

12.

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

atarted my investigation. All right. You said something about a front 2 door with a broken glass, is that correct? All right. Did you actually see this dront 5 6 door with the broken glass? Yes, sir, I did. And where was the glass? Was it inside outside the residence? There was a very small amount on the outsi 10 | . The majority of the glass was on the inside. 12 13 I see. And what door was that? That was the front entrance door on Downman Road. 15 Entrance on Downman Road. Okay. What else? 16 17 Bid you go inside the house? Yen, wir, I did. 18 Okay. I'd like to show you now, Officer, 20 what's already been introduced into 21 evidence - State's Exhibit 1 through 26 and I am going to ask you to go through 22 23 these and tell us which number you are on and tell us what it is and where it 25 is located inside the house. Yes, sir. All right. S.l; this is the 26 27 entrance door to the residence, but this

is a shot taken from the interior looking

out toward Downman Road. And behind that

28

broken pane glass here (indicates) is the screen door which is the outer door.

All right. This is S.Z. S.2 is to the immediate left to the entrance door and it's bearing the residence numbers 3973 which is the address of the house; and it's a partial photograph of the front window. It's -- immediately right of this would be the front entrance door which is in S.1.

S.3 is an overall shot of the whole front entrance of the residence with the outer screen door and the window that was in S.2. And there is a small brick wall in front of the residence.

S.4. S.4 is going to be the locking mechanism on the front entrance door area. That is the front entrance door on the lock itself. Okay.

S.5. As you proceeded through the house, S.5 is a photograph of the floor area of the den where there were several citations of Officer Olfers hanging on the wall in his den. These had apparently had been taken off the wall.

MR. JOHNSON:

Objection.

THE COURT:

. Wall, you may tell us what you see

in that picture. Let's not guess at things.

THE WITHESS:

I see a broken framed glass that was stomped into the carpet.

S.6 is an overall shot of the area.

broken glass that was stomped into the carpet in the den area.

MR'. JOHNSON:

Your Honor, I am going to object to this idea of "stomping." There is no grounds for that and I don't see any reason why that has to be in the record.

THE COURT:

. Objection is too late. Let's move

THE WITHESS:

Okay. S.7. This is immediately inside the front room. Inside of the front room to the immediate right side of the entrance room, there is a locker, a metal locker that had children's clothes and some toys were kept, with the door open.

S.8 is an overall shot of the den area, again, shooting back towards the front room, towards the entrance room.

There is a doorway at the top of S.8 which leads into this children's

room which is S.7. On the floor, some citations, a diploma from the New Orleans Police Department and some clothes scattered on the floor.

area. This is to the -- if you was standing at the foot of the mirror the bed in the master bedroom, against the river wall is a night stand. This is to the immediate left of the bed and there is a partial shot here of the dresser. That's in the master bedroom.

S.10 is an overall shot of the same area just backed up from S.9, taking an overall shot where you can see where the bedroom had been ransacked with the dresser pulled out.

S.11. S.11 is another shot of the den paying more particular attention to the lake wall; and again, the broken frames of glass laying on the carpet.

S.12 is the master bedroom. It's taken from the entrance door into the master bedroom. Into the right side is another similar night stand as was on the left side in the other picture. This is a bed with clothing and paper streamed about on the bed - dumped.

S.13 is the shot of the master

bedroom. This is the dresser area that had the drawers pulled out and dumped onto the bed; and as the picture shows. everything had been ransacked on top of the dresser.

bathroom area. This appeared to be storage area for paper bags and little knickknacks. This is the only place the bathroom that appeared to have been tampered with. There were some papers thrown on the floor from that.

S.15. This again, is in the children's room or the entrance room.

There is a small black and white color

Two which is unplugged and left in the middle of the floor right near the entrance door.

BY MR. BODENHEIMER:

- Q It was a black and white color T.V.?
- Black and white T.V. I'm sorry. Small black and white T.V.

S.16 is a picture from the den area looking into the children's room or the entrance room; and again, you can see the position of the little black and white set and that area also had been ransacked.

S.17 is the bedroom. It's a shot at the foot of the bed with jewelry boxes,

. 11

.21

watch boxes, bracelet boxes, things that had been taken off or out of the dresser and just turned over onto the bad.

S.18 is the chest of drawers in the master bedroom - and that's at the foot of the bed - and those drawers had been taken out and tossed and gone through

S.19 is -- looks like a duplicate of one of the other photographs -- end it's to the master bedroom, again, with the night stand and various papers and things thrown about the bed and some clothes that were taken out of the dresser and thrown onto the bed.

S.20 is a side entrance. The photograph shows this was from the inside of the house looking toward the outside or looking at Timoleon Street. The victim's car is parked right outside the door.

- And which way is the victim's car facing -
- A The victim's car is facing toward Downson Road, Timoleon Street is a dead end.
- 25 Q Okay. Behind the car is a dead end?
- A Yes, sir. She had to pull in and turn around.
- 27 Q Okay.

3

6

10

li

12

13

14

1.5

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28 A Okay. S.21 is a shot of the victim in the den
29 area. She's lying face-down. To her

Immediate rear is the entrance door to the rear of the house. This is from the S.ZI is from the den looking towards the back of the house.

- Q . Is that a front door or side door?
- A That's a rear door,

- Q What is this? (Indicates.) Which door is this in S.22?
 - That's a side door. S.22 is a -- there's a room -- S.22 is from inside of the room and it was used as a storage room. We found out that that door itself -- that leads onto Timoleon Street. But this room that this particular photograph was taken from is a storage type room. You went have to walk through this area about twelve feet into your immediate right up three steps which leads to this door. (Indicates.)
 - Let's try it this way. From the wictim's car, how would she get to where she was found lying, Officer?
 - She would come up through a chain link fence,
 a gate swing gate through here.

 (Indicates.) She would walk straightforward and then take an immediate right
 and then at her immediate right would be
 three steps which led up to this door
 here. (Indicates.) So from S.21 to get

back to S.20. You would walk it down three steps and you would take an immediate left and you would walk right out of this doorway. (Indicates.)

Q I see. Thank you.

б

. 20

A S.22 is a close-up shot from the wictimal right side. And in this picture, was asset of the side of the second that lead down to the storage room.

S.23 is a close-up of the victim's right side with her keys on a key ring and approximately two feet away from her right arm. And her purse is still in the crook of her arm, right arm.

S.24 is a close-up of the victim's mack - two apparent gunshot wounds in the back with strifling or tattoping around the wounds.

- What is strifling or tattooing, Officer?
 - Strifling or tattooing, it's basically the same thing. It's a term -- strifling is a term, we use it -- we call the term tattooing -- it's done when a gun is discharged within approximately seven feet of anything with the explosion or the trajectory coming out of the barrel. You also have hot powder residue that's coming out. And this way, it would be

vident up to about seven feet. 2 leave marks. 3 You can observe those marks on the body? Yes, sir, you can. That's on the victim's body? 5 6 Yes, sir. These are at very close rand 7 Thank you, Officer. Go on. 8 S.25 is taken from the rear storage are 9 looking into the house, into the con area. You can see the back of the īΟ victim's body and there is the steps 11 leading up to it. Okay. 12 13 Just a second, Officer. Do you recall looking at S.25? Is there any vacant space from 14 the inside into the right or left of this 15 16 17 the left of this door here (indicates) there is a wall that this door -- when 18 19 it's opened, position here would be dead 20 up against the wall here. (Indicates.) And you couldn't open it any further. 21 22 To the right side is an open area, yes, 23 sir. 24 Okay. Could a person fit behind this door or 25 could a person fit behind here? 26 (Indicates.) 27 MR. JOHNSON:

Objection, Your Monor.

29

THE COURT:

Only if the Officer knows.

MR. JOHNSON:

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

A foundation has to be laid for that question, to begin with. He is talking about a scene that he saw on the day of this incident --

THE COURT:

If he knows. If he remarker

THE WITNESS:

I remember very well.

MR. BODENHEIMER:

I'll rephrase the question.

THE COURT:

Sure.

BY ER. BODEWERINER:

- Deficer, is there room for a person to hide behind here? (Indicates.)
- 18 A Se sir.
 - Q Is there room for a person to hide to the right of that door?
- 21 A Positively.
- 22 Q Thank you, Officer, Go on.
- 23 A S.26 is a close-up of the victim's head and
 24 the wounds in the victim's head.
- 25 Q Okay. Was there tattooing there also, Officer?
- 26 A There was some, yes, sir. There was tattooing 27 on these, also. (Indicates.)
- 28 Q Again, that was strifling you were talking
 29 about earlier?

Strifling and tattooing - powder residue. 2 Officer, when you entered the residence, that's 3 the things that you observed? Yes, sir. That's the things I observed. 5 Do those photographs, S.I through S.26, 6 adequately and depict the scene, 7 murder scene, as you saw it that alleged 8 Yes, sir, I do. 9 Okay. Officer, what did you do after yes 10 entered the house? 11 After I had gone into the house, we started --12 I started an immediate scene investigation 13 by which I took a Crime Lab man with me. 14 I directed all the photographs 1 through 15 26 as I have just shown and directed 16 eral of the other homicide detectives 17 to start processing the scene, to take 18 a print man with them, to have things dusted and to have things photographed, 20 as I was doing. To start a canvass of 21 the entire area, going up and down the neighborhood seeing if anyone had seen anybody or heard anything - * general investigation. Okay. And all of this was done at your discretion - the pictures, the fingerprints, the whole investigation --28

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

29

was responsible for the investigation.

Officer, did you in fact speak to

Officer Al Bowman that night?

A Yes, sir, I did.

Q Okay. Now, Officer, you controlled the scene at that point, did you not?

MR. JOHNSON:

Objection. Leading, Your House, THE COURT:

You may not lead. Please reparts your question.

BY MR'. BODENHEIMER:

- Q Officer, who controlled the scene that night?
- A I was supposed to be in control but it had gotten out of hand.
- Q Explain. What do you mean by got out of hand?
 - It got out of hand because when it came over
 the radio, other police officers heard
 the same thing I did, about a policeman's
 family involved, and shortly there, when
 I arrived, my attention was to ascertain
 as much information as I could from
 Officer Bowman, being as he was the
 original officer on the scene in that
 I wanted to know from him what he had
 touched, what he had done, his exact
 moves through the house so I would not
 have to go over it again unless it was
 contaminated to a point that I would know

what was there. When I did that, I had

spoke to Bowman and conducted an active

told the other police officers what I wanted of them at that time. Now, when you were talking to Bowman, 3 whose duty was it to secure the scene? The procedure was: The first officer or First District working officers of the scene, are supposed to secure it; there was hoards of policemen that arrived that were going in and out 10 enough of my people weren't there at the time. The initial time when I got there, 11 it was myself, Officer Bowman, and I 12 13 believe, one other police unit, working. 14 police unit. Officer Bowman at that time 15 was not working. He was on the way to a paid detail. He wasn't in an official 16 17 capacity at that time but he had his radio on and he had heard it. 18 19 I see. Well, Officer, was the scene, in fact, 20 successfully secured or was --21 it was not. The scene was totally 22 contaminated. What does that mean when a scene is contaminated? There are police officers in and out of the 25 scene. People were touching things. 26 People were stepping on things, kicking things around and caused somewhat of a 27

problem because I did not, at that point,

I had not had a very accurate picture of

28

exactly what it was when Officer Bowman had got there.

- Q I see. Officer, do you have any recollection
 as to how many police officers may have
 responded to that house that night 2
- fifteen officers were on the scene at that time where we started to get things under control and weed them out and tell them if you were not directly involved with this investigation, please get off the scene.
- Q The second question is: How many officers

 were actually involved in the operation

 that night?
- A That might, Homicide people; I think we had five or six on the scene plus the Crise
 Lab people which was about three or four.
 - Well, what were these other officers doing there?
- A The other police officers that were with -- under my direction?
- Q Correct.

A I had two other people in the house with me checking for possible materials that I could use for latent prints, pick up prints on. Checking for pry marks. And the rest of them were sent out into the street to canvass the neighborhood.

1	Q All right. What I meant to ask you, Officer
2	the ones who weren't under your direct
3	instructions, the ones who
4	A The ones who had nothing to do
5	Q What were they doing there?
6	A Sightseeing, really. That's all they
7	doing, is coming in sightseeing.
. 8	Q That's because it was a policemen's hower?
9	MR. JOHNSON:
10	Objection. Leading.
11	THE COURT:
12	You may not lead.
13	MR. BODENHEIMER:
14	I'm sorry.
15	THE COURT:
16	The Jury is to disregard that.
17	MR. BODENHEIMER:
18	I will withdraw the question, Your
19	Honor.
20	BY MR. BODENHEIMER:
21	Q One last question, Officer. Was that case in
22	Orleans Parish?
23	A Yes, it was.
24	MR. BODENHEIMER:
25	Thank you, Officer, I tender,
26	THE COURT:
27	Cross examination, Mr. Johnson.
28	CROSS EXAMINATION
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1

1	officer, what time did you get to the scene?
2	A Shortly after 10:00.
3	Q And how long had Officer Bowman been there
4	when you got there?
5	A I would guess around five or ten minutes at
6	the longest.
7	Q Okay. And there were a number of other believe
8	officers at the scene when you got there?
9	A As I arrived, there were other police units
10	arriving, yes, sir.
11	Q Were they in uniform?
12	A Yes, sir, they were.
13	Q Did you make a list of property, while you
14	were there, that was taken from the
15	house?
16	A made & partial list as best as Officer
17	Olfers could give us that night.
18	Q What time did he arrive on the scene?
19	A Shortly after.
20	Q And did he help you make this list up on the
21	spot?
22	A At that time?
23	Q Yes.
24	A It wasn't until after we had completely
25	processed the scene when I had time to
26	talk to Officer Olfers and get a list.
27	Q So you didn't talk to him at all then, before
28	the crime scene had been thoroughly

investigated?

A Thad seen him and I had said some words to him, but nothing directly involved with the investigation at that time. Some other police officers were talking to him.

Q What time do you recall, if you do, making out a report about -- an inventory report of stolen property?

- I don't recall when it was. We had made a partial that night because I had got there shortly after 10:00. We had processed the scene. I didn't leave until probably 6:00 or 7:00 o'clock the next morning.
- Now, do you recall what the list of items were at that time, that partial list of items that were missing? Do you recall what they were?
- A The partial list. I don't recall all of them offhand.
- Q To the best of your recollection.
- A bracelet his wife's bracelet a charm

 bracelet and another lighter weight

 bracelet. I think he said her class ring.

 He was missing a wedding band, his police

 radio and between twenty-five hundred

 and three thousand dollars in cash; and

 a few other small things.

Q Okay. And that was the list you got that

A That's the best we could get that evening, yes, sir.

Q Okay. Did you perfect that list later on do you recall whether as not a

3

6

. 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

O Okay. Did you perfect that list later on or do you recall whether or not a supplamental report was made about the property?

I believe a supplemental report was made.

am not sure. I know we incorporated it

all into the report, I believe.

- All right. But to the best of your knowledge, were there any additional items that were actually put down on the list?
- A I believe, later on. I believe he mentioned something about a bracelet was missing,
 - class ring, a wedding band, a police
 radio, some money and several other small
 miscellaneous items?
- 21 Best I could recall, yes, sir.
- 22 Q Do you recall whether or not any firearms were
 23 missing?
- 24 A Were missing?
- 25 Q Yes.
- 26 A Not that I recall, no, sir.
- Q Let me ask you, he didn't report any missing, right?
- 29 A Not to my knowledge, no.

- o pid you talk to anybody else about the missing property after this in itlal report was made?
- A Did I speak to anybody else about missing property?
- As an attempt to follow up where this present we might have belonged or the disposition of it, if any? Were any sort of circulars sent out to see if this property could be recovered?
- A Yes, sir. I believe we did make up a flyer -some sort of a flying; and we got a
 description from Officer Olfers.
- Q And do you happen to recall the description that was made on the flyer, concerning -well, first of all, can you describe to the Jury what a flyer is, concerning this incident?
- Well, I believe it -- as best I can recall,
 now, a flyer was made up and, I think we
 had circulated several of them to the
 pawn shops and the secondhand stores,
 on the major things that we had a
 definite description on like, his
 wedding band, things like that.
- Q Did you happen to see one of these flyers at that time?
- 28 A Did I happen to see one?
- 29 Q Yes.

ι0

2 Do you recall -- well, let me ask it this way: 3 Did the description of property that was reported missing, you were relying in 5 large part upon the description that was put in the flyer, to recall now west that 7 property was? Just the expensive items like the wedding hand 9 and the bracelet, as best as he could 10 describe it, and ring, things like that. 11 All right. Do you recall if there was any 12 kind of circulation put out concerning 13 any missing firearms or, do you know? 14 No. Not to my knowledge. 15 So there were no guns actually missing as far 16 as you're concerned, in this investigation? 17 best I could recall, no. Officer, did you notice how many Hights were 18 19 on in the house when you got there? 20 A Yes, I did. 21 How many? 22 Just about all of them. 23 All of them? 24 (Witness nods head affirmatively.) 25 And did you notice whether or not there were 26 any auto parts in the house? 27 Any what, sir? 28 Auto parts? Car parts - at the time or later

29

on?

Officer?

boot 2 What? About three. Three times? (Witness nods head affirmatively.) 5 Do you recall the dates? 7 Bo, sir. It was three -- two or three consecutive days after the murder lessue 8 I had lined up criminals to bring bear with me and that was the problem. 10 night, I didn't have time. By the time 31 12 I had criminals to get out there that night, we didn't do a thorough enough 13 14 thing, enough job that night. Time had run out on us and we had gone back two 15 16 of three days after that. 17 you did go back in consecutive days? It 18 would be October 8th, 9th --19 As best I can recall, yes, sir. After the 10th of October or whenever it was, 20 21 you wrapped up the investigation at the 22 scene, did you ever have occasion to go 23 back out to that house again? 24 No, sir. Never? 25 26 No. sir. Did you talk to Detective Vanetia concerning 27

this investigation that evening?

That evening?

28

Q Yes

2 A No.

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Q When did you first talk to him about thim murder?

It may have been a day or so afterwards because at the time, we were - the Homicide Division - had gone to procedure of working single men. worked in teams at one time and we we working shorthanded so each man -- we worked homicides on a rotation type basis and that particular night, the 7th was my turn up or my rotation time up. I caught the murder. The other officers, other detectives that were working, came to assist. And the case being the magnitude that it was, the degree, and involved as it was, I asked for assistance. And I think Officer Vanetia was another watch, another platoon; and he was that he was assigned to work with me on.

Q Okay.

A so it was a day or so later or, two days later.

Q All right. In other words, he didn't, as far
as you know he did not go out to the
house on this investigation until at

least a day later?

A As the best I can recall, yes, sir. I don't recall him being there that might because

as on a different shift than I was. All right. And what shift was he on, do you 2 recall? I don't recall, no. Do you recall the date that he actually did go out to the house and, did he g there with you? 7 I don't recall the date. Did you go out to the house together? Yes, sir. 10 How many times? 22 8 Several times. 12 But, do you recall whether or not -- had 13 Detective Vanetia gone out to the house 14 to investigate this without you being 15 which there with him? 16 17 . Bir. And did he relate to you anything about what 18 he observed or found in his part of the 19 investigation? Factors THE COURT: 21 I think you should ask Officer Vanetia that. He does not know what the 23 officer saw and everything that he would 24 relate to would be rank hearsay. 25 MR. JOHNSON: 26 Right. The only thing I am asking, 27 Your Honor, did he relate anything to you. 28.

29

THE WITNESS:

Not that I can recall.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

- O Okay. In your subsequent visits, either by yourself or working in the company of Detective Vanetia, Officer, did you happen to notice any other items evidence in the house?
- A No. dr.
- Q That was it?
 - That was it. We had secured the house. I obtained a key from, I believe it was Officer Olfers, and we had requested him and the family not to go into the house until we had released it and told them it was okay to go back. And we took two or takes or four days to complete our investigation; at which time, we turned the house back over to him.
- Did you find any, at that time or later on, did you find any evidence with any fingerprints in the house?
- 22 A Did I?
- 23 Q Or did anybody under your direction?
- I believe the Crime Lab did. I didn't find -
 I directed what I had wanted printed and

 I do believe that they had found some

 fingerprints.
- 28 Q All right. Did Ronald Olfers reside at this
 29 house at the time this happened?

	Tes, sir, he did.
	2 Do you know, to the best of your knowledge,
	did he continue to reside there after?
	4 % To the best of my knowledge, he did.
	9 And the last time that you recall, to the best
	of your knowledge, that you went
	this house, was on or before the total es
	October of 1979?
9	no. Because the investigation we disagraph
10	stop on the 10th of October. It dragged
11	out for some time. We were in the
12	neighborhood for approximately a month
13	efter that.
14	Q Okay. So you didn't
15	A We covered from one end of Downman Road all the
16	way to Dwyer Road which is quite an
17	extensive area.
18	MR. JOHNSON:
19	Your Honor, may we approach the
20	Bench, plazze?
21	THE COURT:
22	Sure.
23	(Off the record Bench Conference)
24	(On the record)
25	BY MR". JOHNSON:
26	Q Officer, as far as you can recall, were your
27	Wisits made to 3973 Downman Road only in
28	connection with the further investigation
29	of the Kathy Olfers murder?
1	二年 人名英格兰 网络亚西亚亚克

	could you rephrase that? I don't understand
	What you're saying.
Ω	Sure. As far as you can recall, because this
	was four years ago - but as far as you
	can recall, from whatever sources you
	get your information from, is it
	the reason why you went out to the Manage
	at 3973 Downman Road because, and
	incidental to, the Kathy Olfers muster
A	Yes, sir. That was the investigation that was
	going on at that time.
Q	Was that the only investigation going on at
	that time?
	MR. BODENHEIMER:
	Objection. Irrelevant

JORREON:

I don't think it's irrelevant, Your Monor. It can --

THE COURT:

1

2

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

27

28

29

What's the relevancy of that? We're talking about a murder case. The man has said that he did at the time, at that house, was for the murder.

MR. JOHNSON:

Well, that's what I just asked him. THE COURT:

> That's what he just said. He went to the house because of the murder investigation. Hove on to seasthing else.

MP. JOHESON:

2

3

٠5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

26

27

28

- Officer, did you go out to the house on the 22nd of October 1979, to the hest of your knowledge? That would have been three weeks after the murder?
- I was in that neighborhood approximate month after that.
- Do you recall on that date whether or her and Martin Vanetia went to the house together two weeks after the murder?
 - Myself and Detective Vanetia was there. That is something you're missing. His -- at that particular time, that was the only investigation -- I had -- all of my other cases were put on the side. That was the case that I handled. All of my efforts were directed toward that one investigation. I stayed on that for
- All right. Okay. wso that was only .--20
- On a murder investigation itself, for two 21 22 months.
- 23 That was the only investigation you were doing for that period of time?

approximately two months.

- Yes, sir, I was handling the murder.
- And was that, to the best of your knowledge, the only investigation that Martin Vanetia was doing at that time?
- 29 Vanetia was working with me, yes, sir.

essisting me.

Is it not a fact then, Officer, that on the 22nd of October, 1979, you and Martin Vanetia went out to the house, 3973

Downman Road, and served search warrants on Ronald Olfers?

ME. BODENHEIMER:

Objection. Irrelevant

THE COURT:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

i0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

If he can recall if he did that, he may answer.

MR. JOHNSON:

Your Honor, I submit it is relevant. THE COURT:

Well, objection is overruled.

If you recall, you may answer.

BY ME JOHESON:

- Q Be you recall, Officer?
- 19 A I don't -- I don't recall it at total all.
- 20 Do you recall, in pursuant to this investigation
 21 which you have entitled being the only
 22 one you've been on, serving any search

warrants on anyhody?

- 24 A On anybody? Not that I recall, no, sir.
- 25 Q Do you recall whether Mr. Martin Vanetia did
 26 on that day or any other day?
- 27 A I can't answer for Detective Vanetia. I don't 28 know.
- 29 Q Can you -- all right. Do you deny ever serving

singular or jointly, a search warrant on 2 Ronald Olfers? 3 Do I deny it? Yes, sir. No, sir. I can't dany it. I don't recall it. 5 б I don't deny it. 7 Okay. Officer, in connection with your 8 investigation, do you recall whether not you were able to come up with 10 suspects because of this murder, by name 11 or address? 12 MR. BODENHEIMER: 13 Objection. It's irrelevant. 14 THE COURT: 15 Any suspects that developed, the 16 Officer may tell us. JOHNSON: 17 18 Do you recall whether or not you came up with 19 any suspects? Did I come up with a suspect?20 Well, let me put it -- well, yes. Did you come 21 22 up with any? No. I did not. 24 Do you know of anybody under your -- I assume 25 then, you could reasonably be fair to say, you were in charge of this investigation? Yes, sir, I was. All right. Did you have any reason to believe

1

26

27

28

29

that Ronald Olfers was involved in this?

	1	A Did I have any reason to believe he was?
	2	Q Yes.
	3	A So, sir.
	4	Q All right. Did you turn up, the names up
	5	through anybody that worked under you,
	6	anybody else who was suspected of
	7	particular crime?
	8	No. sir.
	9	To the best of your knowledge then, the finger
ì	0	prints were not of any assistance to you,
1	1	is that correct?
1	2	No. Not at that time they were not.
1	3	Well, were they valuable later?
14	4	No, sir.
1.5	5	To the best of your knowledge, Officer, as a
16		result of your investigation, do you know
17		whether or not Bonnie Olfers was charged
18		with any
19	and the second	MR' BODENHEIMER:
20	Water.	
21		Objection. Totally irrelevant. MR: JOHESON:
22	The special section of the section o	
23	T FIFTHERS	Wait. I said "was charged with " THE COURT:
24	- Interprese	
25 _.	or: Peroce	Are you talking about the murder investigation now?
26		MR', JOHNSON:
27		
28	BY	MR. JOHNSON:
29	Q	As a result of this
15		·

Olfers ever charged with any crime?

Objection. Irrelevant. If the question is, was he charged with this murder --

THE COURT:

I

That 's --

MR. BODENHEINER:

But that's not the question at asked.
He said, "With any crime."

THE COURT:

Well, I would like to know whether it's in relationship to this murder. I'm not interested in anything else.

MR. JOHNSON.

That's what I said, Your Honor.

I predicated that by saying, "As a result
of this investigation" --

THE COURT:

I'm talking about a murder case, Mr. Johnson.

MR. LARRE:

Your Honor, might I respond, please.

I believe that the question for the predicate was laid, that this Officer spent the entire two months of doing nothing but investigating this particular murder; and the question that we asked, as a result of this two month investigation

29.

in this particular murder, was anyone charged with any crimes, more particularly, officer Olfers. It's all in connection with the evidence that he discovered in his two month intensive investigation of the murder - the murder scene, the crime Lab, the fingerprints, the technique investigation and photographs. This investigation and photographs. This investigation and photographs working on nothing but a murder investigation. And I think it's very relevant to find out if that investigation turned up some evidence that would show that there would be an ancillary crime or if a person was charged with an ancillary crime.

MEL BODENHEIMER:

The State reurges its objection that that is totally irrelevant.

THE COURT:

Objection is sustained unless you can show me that there was a charge of murder brought against someone.

MR. JOHNSON:

All right, I will rephrase the question, Your Honor, in view of that ruling.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

Officer, this was initially a response to -I believe you call it a 94, which is a

discharge of a firearm, is that correct? 2 That's correct. 3 And then it was ultimately determined through your investigation and I believe Officer 5 Bowman's, that this was a burglary in 6 progress, is this not also true? Possibly, yes, sir. 8 Well, was it or wasn't it? I can't answer that. 10 Well, what? 11 # It was an apparent burglary. 1.2 Apparent burglary. 13 Apparent burglary. A break-in. There was property supposedly 15 missing and you indicated that there was 16 wers in the house? 17 18 And as a result of that, a stolen item report 19 was made, is that not true? 20 That's true. 21 And as a result of that, a flyer was distri-22 buted among the various pawn shop outlets 23 to find out if any of this property was 24 recovered? 25 A Correct. 26 Is that also true? 27 A That's correct. 28 And as a result of that, an investigation was 29 made because the burglary was obviously

	a concomita
	part of a murder, is that also not true
	3 A Correct.
	4 Q Therefore, Officer, would it not be fair to
	say, that if you were going to look for
	a suspect in this particular case
	would also be charged with burglages
٠	A No. We wouldn't charge his with hardland
	I'd charge him with the greater crise
•	which is murder.
	Which is murder committed in the form of a
	burglary, is that not true? I mean
, I:	why send out this stolen item report and
14	the bran spob tliers;
15	
16	ME BODENHEIMER.
17	
18	Your Honor, I am going to object.
. 19	Counsel is now arguing.
20	THE COURT:
	No. He isn't arguing. He just maid
21	"Why," right?
. 22	MR. JOHNSON:
23	Right.
24	THE COURT:
25	
26	He just asked why.
27	THE WITNESS:
28	It would have evidentiary value
	towards my murder.
29	BY MR. JOHNSON:

Well, Officer, let me put it this way --

A I wasn't interested in the burglary at the time. I was interested in the murder.

Q Oh. At the time you weren't interested in the burglary?

A The murder was the greater crime as far as I was concerned.

I understand that. But if this had not heen a murder, if this had just been a minim old residence burglary, would you have distributed flyers to pawn shops?

I have no idea. I've never worked in burglary.

Would you have or anybody in your experience,
would you have had a -- well, an item
report made?

If I was handling a burglary?

I probably would, yes.

And if you, with your depth of experience, had been investigating this crime - and again, this is a hypothetical - but if this had been an investigation of a plain old house burglary, would you not then do whatever is necessary to charge someone with a burglary?

MR' BODENHEIMER:

Objection. Counsel, this was a hypothetical question.

MRT JOHNSON:

He's a professional, Your Honor.

He can answer --

THE COURT:

ŀ

2

3

4

5

6

7

tO

11

12

13.

15

16 17

18

22

Yes. But he has also told you that he has never handled a burglary.

MR. JOHNSON:

All right. I'll backtrack and in a predicate for that, Your Renor.

BY MR'. JOHNSON:

- Officer, in your I believe you said it was
 fourteen years you've been on the force -
- A Yes, sir.
- Q -- and have you, in your graduation through the ranks, entailed any burglary investigations?
- initial investigation, yes; but no follow-up.
- 19 Q No follow-up, okay. And could you estimate
 20 to the Jury, approximately how many of
 21 those you've handled?
 - A Burglary reports?
- 23 Q Uh-huh.
- 24 A Probably a hundred or so.
- 25 Q And as a result of those particular investi26 gations, initial or otherwise, is it not
 27 true, Officer, that a supplemental or
 28 a properties stolen property report,
 29 is made up for the investigation records?

Positively.

1

3

5

7

8 }

10

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28.

29

- 6

And is it not true that on various occasions, pawn shops would get information about the stolen property that is being looked for?

Yes, it is.

Q All right. And if in fact a suspect was found, do you not then charge him with either a burglary or a possession of stolen property?

MR'. BODENHEIMER:

Objection, Your Honor. It's hypothetical of the suspect.

MR. JOHNSON:

That's why I am asking it. It's --

ESC BODENHEIMER:

Totally field --

TER COURT:

All right. I --

MR'. JOHNSON:

That's an irrelevant position.

THE COURT:

I think the answer has been given previously that this particular officer in this case, looked for a marder suspect, okay, and he was interested in the murder. It's been asked and answered. We don't know what the officer would have done under the circumstances.

MR JOHNSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

IJ

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

Well, let me ask one more question, Your Honor, and I'll get off of it. THE COURT:

And the objection is sustained MR'. JOHNSON:

I'll rephrase it.

THE COURT:

All right.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

Officer, this, and again this is hypothet because this has never happened - at least from what you're telling me - but, if in fact you had gotten a report from your investigation that dertain property had been recovered at a pawn shop or had been found in possession of somebody who initially had nothing to do with this scene and that person was arrested, what would he be charged with?

MR'. BODENHEIMER:

Your Honor, I am going to object again. I don't see the relevance of that question even as a hypothet. This officer has stated that he's never handled a burglary; that he was looking for a murder suspect --

MR'. JOHNSON:

Your Honor, that's not true. He said

he handled a hundred of them.

He never did follow up on a burglary.

He handled initial reports as a uniform

officer, is what the testimony was --

That's not true --

MR. BODENHEIMER:

Never as a detective did he for

All right. Let's not testify, folks. Let's not testify. Okay.

Q Officer, do you know the answer to that question?

BY MR. JOHNSON:

1

2

3

5

7

10.

u

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

2 That would have happened to them if someone had been found in possession of this property?

I could make it clear, Your Honor, if you allow me to answer it.

THE COURT:

I'd like to have it clear myself.
THE WITNESS:

Initially, when I work in uniform, the burglaries that I handled were initial burglary reports. The only time that I have ever handled any follow-up type of investigation is in October of 1971, at which time I was assigned to the Homicide

Division. Prior to that, anyone that
would handle, myself included, in uniform
taken a residence burglary or a car
burglary, I would follow that paperwork
to headquarters where burglary detectives
would take that and do whatever they de
with it. I do not know how burglary
works. I can answer homicide quantions
because I have been there twelve years.
MR. JOHNSON:

All right. Well, --

THE WITNESS:

I don't know anything about Burglary Procedures.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26

28

All right. I can understand your predicament.

How, in this case, had any property been recovered through the flyer system that you talked about?

THE COURT:

I didn't hear your question.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

- Q Had any property been recovered, to the best of your knowledge, via the flyer that you had distributed?
- A Had any property been recovered as a result of my flyers?
- Q As a result of these flyers --
- A Not that I can recall, no.

1 Has any property, to the best of your knowledge, 2 been recovered, or any of the stolen 3 items, in any manner? Not to my knowledge. 5 There was, in fact, a police radio missing, 6 was there not? 7 Yes, there was. 8 And was there any kind of identification 9 the police radio? 10 There was a number that is assigned to every 11 police radio. 12 And, what if any procedure that you might be 13 aware of, is that in an effort to find 14 that police radio, do you distribute that 15 information to pawn shops also? 16 disseminated to the pawn shops but, then 17 again, we would turn to the Burglary 18 Division or to the Robbery Division people that work with street informants 20. more than Homicide people dog to see if anyone had heard of anyone having a radio, a police radio on the streets. Was this done in this case, Officer? Yes, it was. ... And what was the result? Negative, to my knowledge. How long a period of time was there made to recover this item?

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

I don't understand the question, Mr. Johnson.

	1	Q From the time that you knew it was missing
	2	until whatever, how long was an active
	- 3	attempt made to recover this
	4	A The request was made that night.
	5	Q And spread out onto the street, so to speak?
	6	Yes, sir.
	7	And the streets didn't respond, is that
	8	Correct?
	9	Not to my knowledge, no, mir. I really dien
	ıo	know.
1	1	Okay. It might have, but you wouldn't know
1	2	as you sit there?
1	3 1	I have no knowledge of it ever being recovered
14	4	All right. Did you in fact, after Reginald
15	o de la companya de l	Adams was arrested for this, serve any
16	5	#carch warrants upon his house for the
17		recovery of any of these items?
18	1	mo, sir, I did not.
19	C C	Do you know if anybody else did?
20	A	I have no knowledge of that.
21	Q	Officer, can you tell with any degree of
22		certitude, since your
23	The state of the s	certitude, since your experience has been around about this
24	PIFTER TARGET	MR' BODENHEIMER:
25	Mark Made	
26	141 Sec. 171	Your Honor, I'm going to object THE COURT:
27		I don't know what the question is
28	j	going to be

Just the Counsel's snide remarks.
That's my objection.

THE COURT:

I didn't hear anything except the heginning of the question. I have to --

I'm trying to get it out, Your Essor THE COURT:

All right. Get it out.

Den't answer until he has getten it out so I can rule on it.

THE WITNESS:

Yes, Your Honor.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

O I prefeced my remark by saying, could the
Officer reply with any degree of certitude
because of his obvious expert and background professionalism --

THE COURT:

Could the Officer do what? Can you speak into the microphone? We're having a real hard time up here. And I wonder whether the Jury can hear.

. Can you all hear?

MR'. JOHNSON:

Can you hear me, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury?

THE COURT:

Boom it out, Mr. Johnson.

HR. JOHNSON:

I'll rephrase it.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

Officer, -- I forgot what I was going to ask.
THE COURT:

That's what happens.

MRE JOHNSON:

I completely got derailed. Tour-Honor. I am going to have to think second.

BY ME. JOHNSON:

- Officer, was there any kind of key top lock on the back door of this house?
- A There is two doors which can be construed as back doors. Now, which one are you speaking of?
- 2 Elther one.
- There was a deadboit lock that Miss Olfers
 had to use to gain entrance into her den
 area after climbing those three steps.
- Q All right. And this deadbolt lock is a single cylinder, outside open only with a key lock, is that right?
 - I don't recall. I know it was a deadholt type lock that she had -- she had to open to come into the house. I am not really familiar with it. If I had a picture I could give you ...
- Q I don't know if the State has a picture or not.

Officer, I --

-14

- A There was a picture of the back door, I believe.
- O Okay. Do you recall what the other door on the backside of the house had in the way of a lock?
- A I believe it had a lock on it that we see told that it was -- that particular door was never closed with a lock. It didn't lock or they just didn't lock it the door that led into the storage room.
- Q It didn't have a key lock on it?
- A It had a key lock, but either it was nonfunctional or it just wasn't locked because nothing of value was kept in that
- scene, a purse on the ground near where

 Kathy Olfers was lying?
- A The purse was in the crook of her right arm.
- O Okay. Did it look like to and I think this
 is what I wanted to ask you before did
 it look like to you, from your professional eye, that it had been disturbed
 or ransacked or in any way messed around
 with?
- A Not to my knowledge it didn't.
- O Do you know whether or not an inventory was taken of the purse?

1 sir, there was. Are you aware of the contents of that 2 3 inventory? Not exactly. I just knew that her wallet was 5 intact. There was several delim a in it, .6 nothing substantial. All of her recent papers - driver's license, lipst makeup, things like that. Okay. Officer, just one other very short 10 subject. Did you know Beneld Olfers 11 personally? 12 No, sir, I did not. Did you know his family? 13 No, sir, I did not. 14 All right. Were you aware of any information 15 16 when you got to the house -- by the way, 17 was this the first time you had went out 18 to the house, was that evening? Yes, sir, it was. 19 All right. Was there any evidence in the 20 21 house concerning a pet of any kind? 22 A pet? 23 Yes. Not to my recollection. Mr. Olfers did not make any mention of any 25- 8 pet to you, whatever it was? I didn't see anything about a pet or hear 27 anything about a pet. They could have

one in the rear yard or something. That

24

26

28

I couldn't testify to. 2 He made no mention of the dog to you? 3 Not to me, no, sir. 4 Officer, did you have any part in the 5 ballistics tests that were done at the scene? Are you aware of any ball 7 tests that were done or the results them? The only ballistic tests that may have then done on the scene -- there wouldn't have 11 been ballistics, no, sir. Not that I can recall. Was there a weapon recovered, to Your knowledge? Not to my knowledge, no. Do you recall any information regarding the retrieval of bullets or fragments at the Officer, I believe you said you were not aware, personally, of any ballistic tests that were done or, there weren't any done? I didn't quite get your answer. I don't understand completely what you mean by ballistics. All right, were there any bullets or fragments that you recall being retrieved from the scene and, only from the scene? While you were there?

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

I don't recall any bullets being recovered

from the scene, no, sir. All right. Are you aware of any bullets that 2 were recovered from the body? 3 There were bullets recovered from the 5 body. All right. To the best of your knowless 6 were any tests done on those bulling Yes, sir, there were. 8 All right. And were you aware of the re-9 10 of those tests? No, sir, I'm not. Technical results of the 11 12 tests? Right. To show where they came from and that 13 14 sort of thing . Not that I recall. There were technical tests 15 16 done by the Crime Lab but I don't 17 remember the technicalities involved in 18 the tests. 19 Do you recall any details of the tests them-20 selves, the results of them? 21 No, sir. 22 Do you recall how many bullets were recovered? /I beli eve six or seven. 23 24 Officer, did you have a chance to refresh your memory concerning this investigation, today or earlier, before this trial? Yes, sir. All right. In your refreshing your memory on this report - incident, would you have

25

26

27

28

in your report any of your references 2 to or copy of the ballistic tests that 3 were done? They would probably be in the original report. 4 Would you happen to have a copy of that report? 5 6 It would be in my office. So you don't have it with you? 7 No, sir, I don't. If you had a chance to review that reports 10 would it refresh your memory concerning the details of the ballistic tests that 11 12 were done? It depends on how the ballistics man listed it 13 Well, only you would know that, Officer. From 15 what you know, would it refresh your 16 ** EMEDIY? 17 not really. Because at times, they put different results and different termi-18 19 nologies which I am not familiar with. well, was there more than one test done? 20 2.1 Not to my knowledge. Would your report, from your recall, reflect 22 23 how many guns and what types of guns were 24 jused? 25 It probably would. And you don't recall from sitting there now, 26 27 what that report would say?

ballistically about the tests that were

No, sir. The only thing I can recall .

28

done -- it would be hearsay, wouldn't it --

That's exactly what it is --

THE WITNESS:

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

. 24

25

26

27

.28

He told me. I'm --

THE COURT:

He's only going to tell you what somebody else told him and that's more permissible.

MR. JOHNSON:

Your Henor, I would submit that that information if nothing else, would be, of course, under the nature of an exculpatory --

THE COURT:

I beg your pardon?

JOHNSON:

Would be under the nature of an exculpatory --

You have opportunity to subposes the ballistics man. You want to send a subposes out to him? I'll give it to you right now.

MR. JOHNSON:

I would appreciate that, Your Honor, except that the Officer -- well, the ballistics man, perhaps, would be the fastest way to get it.

THE COURT

1 2

б

Officer, would you please check your report and give us the name of the man that did whatever was done so that we can --

THE WITEESS:

I know -- he's a Sergeant now.
Sergeant Alan Tidwell.

THE COURT:

Sergeant Tidwell.

MR' BODENHEIMER:

I believe Sergeant Tidwell is on the State's subpoena list, Your Bonor.
THE COURT:

All right. Let's get a stanus (spelled phonetically) out to Sergeant Tidwell.

THE WITHESS:

He's wanigned to the Crime Lab and
I believe he got off at 4:00.

In the Crime Lab. He's in the Crime Lab. He got through at 4:00, the Officer thinks. THE WITNESS:

He works the day shift.

MR. BODENHEIMER:

We can have him subposneed at 9:00 o'clock tomorrow morning.

THE COURT:

No.	20.04	~*	7	_	_	
S O	OE	00	ı	e	R	

BY MR. JOHNSON:

1

2

5 6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

. 22

. 23

24

- O Officer, did you converse with Ronald Olfers
 about this incident at a time after this
 initial investigation?
- A Yes, I did.
 - O All right. And were you able to escentain
 his whereabouts that evening?
- A Yes, sir.
- Q And where was that, Officer?
 MR: BODEWHEIMER:

Objection. Rearray.

THE COURT:

You can't tell us that because that

JOZZESON:

I will rephrase the question.

BY MR. JOHNSON:

- Q As a result of what you were told, officer, did you make any investigation to ascertain whether he was in fact telling you the truth?
- A Yes, sir, I did.
- 25 And was he telling you the truth?
- 26 A Yes, sir, he was.
- 27 Q All right. Did you notice -- I think you
 28 mentioned it took at least one man to
 29 canvass the scene and another man to

check to see if there was any signs of 1 forced entry, is that correct? 2 Yes, sir. 3 Did you find any signs of forced entries in the house other than the front door? 5 The front door was the only thing with many 6 evidence or evidence of a forced 7 And that was because of the broken glass that 8 you found on the floor? 0 Yes, sir. 10 Nothing else? No crowbar marks, hammer marks, 11 that sort of thing? 12 The way the pane was broken, they didn't need 13 to pry in. They had access to the 14 locking mechanism once they broke the 15 small pane. 16 The sereen door that was on the inside, is 17 that latched or, opened or closed? 18 At the time that I had got there, it appeared 19 it was open, that there weren't any signs 20 of stress marks or any pry marks on the 21 22 ₫ooc. Did you go out to the house at anytime after 23 an alleged fire had occurred there? 24 25 No, sir. Were you aware that the house had a fire in it? 26 Yes, sir, I was. When were you made aware of that? I passed by everyday going home.

27

.]	Q On. Where do you live, Officer?
2	A New Orleans East.
3	Ω Well, Downman Road, I mean you are familiar
4	
. 5	A Very familiar.
6	Q And when did you first notice the fire
.7	results of the fire?
. 8	A I don't recall a date but it was shorting
9	thereafter.
10	Q Shortly thereafter?
11	A Yeah. A day or so after the fire.
. 12	Q Okay. You say you pass there everyday or
13	every evening after you come into and
14	from work, right?
15	A Not everyday. I pass by there quite often,
16	** week.
17	O Casy. And did you happen to notice whether
18	or not there was any German shaphards in
19	the area of the house?
20	A wo, sir. I didn't notice any German shepherds
21	Q At anytime?
22	A No, sir,
23	MR. JOHNSON:
24	Thank you, Officer. That's all the
25	questions I have at this time.
26	THE COURT:
.27	It is almost as if we are running
28	like a clockwork.
29	Do man b

Do you have any questions of Officer

Gebbia? It is twenty-five after 6:00.

The shop is closing in five minutes.

MRY BODERHEIMER:

Just one or two, Your Honor. REDIEECT EXAMINATION

BY MR BODENHEIMER:

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

.13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

- officer, I believe you testified from salking to Mr. Johnson, that something about a locking mechanism was accessible. That do you mean by that statement?
 - There were three panes of glass, as you can see in one of the State's exhibit photos. They were approximately twelve inches by, maybe five inches but they were staggering in a stair type arrangement on the front The bottom glass was broken out and from that you could stand on the door sill and reach inside. And right inside of the door, you can reach the locking mechanism plus, there is a little I don't know the correct terminology for it but, it's a little friction type of locking system. There is one on the frame and one on the doorway. You just slide it over and from there, you can just grab it with your hand. We did it several times.
- Q You tried it yourself and did it?
- 29 A Yes, sir. Several times.

	a second refer	7.7
	to the murder that we haven't talked	
:	5	
-	A No, sir.	
=	MR. BODENHEIMER:	
t	All right. Thank you, Officer	÷(5)
7	THE COURT:	
. 8	Recrose?	
. 9	Recross? One question, please.	
10	RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON:	
. 11		ž.
12	officer threatigation at this scene, Officer	
	was there any confusion from what people	
13	told you about what they saw and heard,	
14	that the front door and the rear door or	=
15	the side door could actually be confused	
16	one from the other at anytime?	_
17	a con't understand your question, Mr. Johnson	_
18	Confusing what doors?	ž .
19	Q Well, that's what I am asking about. The	
20	house is on the corner of Timeleon and	
21		-
22	Downean Road, is it not? A Yes, sir.	
23		
24	Timoleon Road and the other	
	door fronted Downman Road?	
25	A Right. The side door fronted Timpleon. The	
26	front door was Downman Road.	
27	Q Oksy. Well, from what you know and what you've	,,
28	seen and you heard from people who were	. •
29	there, was there a possibility that the	
4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

side door and the front door could be interchanged because both of them fronted the street?

- No, sir. Not at all. I don't recall any confusion about any other doers at anytime.
- Q But there were both doors facing the street right?
- 9 A Well...

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Q Both doors are facing a street, right?
- A Yeah. Both doors are facing a street.
 MR: JOHNSON:

Thank you, Officer.

THE COURT:

All right. Any further questions of Officer Gebbia?

AC BODENHEIMER:

Ho, Your Honor.

THE COURT:

All right. Is there any further need for him, Mr. Johnson?

MR. JOHNSON:

Your Monor, I would like to honor Officer Gebbia's request to be released; however, I would also request that if he could be on standby where we could reach him on a moment's notice.

THE COURT:

Would you leave your number where we

right reach you and when we need you,
we'll get that number from the District
Attorney and we'll have you here in about
fifteen, twenty minutes when we need.
THE WITEESS:

Yes, ma'am. I worked midnight to 8:00.

THE COURT:

26° we'll give you notice. Thank you very much. You may step down.

Recess until 8:30. This Jury will be here at 9:00 o'clock.

* * *