Ring Theory.

Alec Zabel-Mena

November 1, 2022

Contents

1	Rin	gs.	5
	1.1	Definitions and Examples	5
	1.2	Polynomail Rings, Matrix Rings, and Group Rings	9

4 CONTENTS

Chapter 1

Rings.

1.1 Definitions and Examples.

Definition. A ring R is a set together with two binary operations $+:(a,b) \to a+b$ and $\cdot:(a,b) \to ab$ called **additon** and **multiplication** such that:

- (1) R is an Abelian group over +, where we denote the identity element as 0 and the inverse of each $a \in R$ as -a.
- (2) R is closed under \cdot and \cdot is associative. That is, $ab \in R$ whenever $a, b \in R$ and a(bc) = (ab)c.
- (3) a(b+c) = ab + ac and (a+b)c = ac + bc.

If ab = ba for all $a, b \in R$, then we call R commutative. If there exists an element $1 \in R$ such that $a_1 = 1a = R$, then we call R a ring with identity.

Definition. A ring R with identity $1 \neq 0$ is called a **division ring** if for all $a \in R$, where $a \neq 0$, there exists a $b \in R$ such that ab = ba = 1. We call a commutative division ring a **field**.

Example 1.1. Let R be an abelian group under an operation +, define the operation \cdot by $(a,b) \to ab = 0$ for all $a,b \in R$. Then R is a ring under + and \cdot , called the **trivial ring**. If $R = \langle e \rangle$, the trivial group, then we call R the **zero ring**.

- (2) The integers \mathbb{Z} form a ring under the usual addition and muiltiplication.
- (3) The sets of rational numbers \mathbb{Q} and the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} are rings under their usual addition and multiplication; in fact, they are fields. The complex numbers \mathbb{C} also form a field under complex addition and complex multiplication, where

$$+: (a+ib, c+id) \to (a+c) + i(b+d)$$

 $: (a+ib, c+id) \to (ac-bd) + i(ad+bc)$

CHAPTER 1. RINGS.

- (4) The factor group of integers modulo n, $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is a commutative ring under addition modulo n, and multiplication modulo n, $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ has identity $1 \mod n$. $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ forms a field if, and only if $n = p^r$, where p is a prime.
- (5) We define the **real quaternions** to be the set $\mathbb{H} = \{a + ib_jc_kd : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1 \text{ and } ij = k, jk = i, \text{ and } ki = j\}$. \mathbb{H} is a ring under addition and multiplication are defined for all x = a + ib + jc + kd and y = e + if + jg + kh to be:

$$+(x,y): \to x + y = (a+e) + i(b+f) + j(c+g) + k(d+h)$$

 $\cdot (x,y): \to xy = (a+ib+jc+kd)(e+if+jg+kh)$

- (6) Let A be a ring and R the set of all maps $f: X \to A$. Then R forms a ring under function addition f + g(x) = f(x) + g(x) and function multiplication fg(x) = f(x)g(x). Notice that R is commutative if, and only if A is, moreover, R has identity if, and only if A has identity.
- (7) We say a real-valued function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ has **compact support** if there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = 0 for all $x \notin [a, b]$. The set of all functions with compact support forms a ring without identity under function addition and function multiplication.
- (8) Let $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. We denote the set of all continuous functions $f: X \to Y$ by C(X, Y). Then C(X, Y) forms a commutative ring with identity under function addition and function multiplication.

Lemma 1.1.1. Let R be a ring. Then the following are true for all $a, b \in R$.

- (1) 0a = a0 = 0.
- (2) (-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab).
- (3) (-a)(-b) = ab
- (4) If R has identity $1 \neq 0$, then 1 is unique and -a = (-1)a.
- *Proof.* (1) Notice 0a = (0+0)a = 0a + 0a, so that 0a = 0. Likewise, a0 = 0 by the same reasoning.
 - (2) Notice that b b = 0, so a(b b) = ab + a(-b) = 0, so that a(-b) = -(ab). The same argument with (a a)b gives (-a)b = -(ab).
 - (3) By the inverse laws of addition in R, we have -(a(-b)) = -(-(ab)), so that (-a)(-b) = ab.
 - (4) Suppose R has identity $1 \neq 0$, and suppose there is an element $2 \in R$ for which 2a = a2 = a for all $a \in R$. Then we have that $1 \cdot 2 = 1$ and $1 \cdot 2 = 2$, making 1 = 2; so 1 is unique. Now, we have that a + (-a) = 0, so that 1(a + (-a)) = 1a + 1(-a) = 1a + (-a) = 0 So (-a) = -(1a) = (-1)a by (2).

Definition. Let R be a ring. We call an element $a \in R$ a **zero divisor** if $a \neq 0$ and there exists an element $b \neq 0$ such that ab = 0. Similarly, we call $a \in R$ a **unit** if there is a $b \in R$ for which ab = ba = 1.

Example 1.2. Notice if R is a ring with identity 1, then 1 is a unit of R by definition.

Definition. Let R be a ring. We call the set of all units in R the **group of units** and denote it R^*

Lemma 1.1.2. Let R be a ring with identity $1 \neq 0$. Then the group of units R^{\times} forms a group under multiplication.

Proof. Let $a, b \in R$ be units in R. Then there are $c, d \in R$ for which ac = ca = 1 and bd = db = 1. Consider then ab. Then ab(dc) = a(bd)c = ac = 1 and (dc)ab = d(ca)b = db = 1 so that ab is also a unit in R. Moreover R^* inherits the associativity of \cdot and 1 serves as the identity element of R^* . Lastly, if $a \in R^*$ is a unit there is a $b \in R$ for which ab = ba = 1. This also makes b a unit in R, and the inverse of a.

Corollary. a is a zero divisor if, and only if it is not a unit.

Proof. Suppose that $a \neq 0$ is a zero divisor. Then there is a $b \in R$ such that $b \neq 0$ and ab = 0. Then for any $v \in R$, v(ab) = (va)b = 0 so that a cannot be a unit. On the other hand let a be a unit, and ab = 0 for some $b \neq 0$. Then there is a $v \in R$ for which v(ab) = (va)b = 1b = b = 0. Then b = 0 which is a contradiction.

Corollary. If R is a field, then it has no zero divisors.

Proof. Notice by definition of a field, every element is a unit, except for 0.

Example 1.3. (1) \mathbb{Z} has no zero divisors, and has as units the elements -1 and 1.

- (2) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, the units of $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ are all elements $a \mod n$ such that (a, n) = 1. That is $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}^* = U(\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}})$; recall that $U(\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}})$ is called the unit group, or group of units of $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$.
- (3) Let $D \in \mathbb{Q}$ be squarefree. Define $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) = \{a + b\sqrt{D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is a field called the **quadratic field** under the operations

$$+: (a+b\sqrt{D}, c+d\sqrt{D}) \to (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{D}$$
$$\cdot ((a+b\sqrt{D}, c+d\sqrt{D})) \to (ac-bdD) + (ad-bc)\sqrt{D}$$

Since $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ is a field, every element is a unit.

Definition. A commutative ring with identity $1 \neq 0$ is called an **integral domain** if it has no zero divisors.

Lemma 1.1.3. Let R be a ring, and a not a zero divisor. Then if ab = ac, then either a = 0, or b = c.

Proof. Notice that ab = ac implies ab - ac = a(b - c) = 0. Since a is not a zero divisor, either a = 0 or b - c = 0.

Corollary. Any finite integral domain is a field.

Proof. Let R be a finite integral domain and consider the map on R, by $x \to ax$. By above, this map is 1–1, moreover since R is finite, it is also onto. So there is a $b \in R$ for which ab = 1, making a a unit. Since a is abitrarily chosen, this makes R a field.

Corollary. If R is a field it is a (not necessarily finite) integral domain.

Example 1.4. We have that fields are integral domains, and finite integral domains are fields. However, notice that not every integral domain need be a field. \mathbb{Z} is an integral domain that is not a field. Moreover, so are the real quaternions \mathbb{H} .

Definition. A subring of a ring R is a subgroup of R closed under multiplication.

Example 1.5. (1) We have the following sequence of subgrings $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$.

- (2) The factor group $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ is not a subgring of \mathbb{Z} , well the multiplication and addition of \mathbb{Z} is different from that of $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$.
- (3) The set $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z} + j\mathbb{Z} + k\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ is a subring of \mathbb{H} .
- (4) If F is a field, then any subring of F is also an integral domain by inheretence.
- (5) The set $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] = \{a + b\sqrt{D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a subring of the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. Moreover if $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$, then the set

$$\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}] = \{a+b\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is also a subgring of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$. We call the subgring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$, where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4\\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

the **ring of integers** in the quadratic field. When D = -1, we get the ring $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, with $i^2 = -1$ and call it the **Gaussian integers**. Notice then that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a subring of \mathbb{C} ; in fact, it is field in \mathbb{C} .

(6) Consider $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ where D is squarefree. We define the **field norm** $N: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \to D$ by taking $(a+b\sqrt{D}) \to (a+b\sqrt{D})(a-b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - Db^2$. If $D=i^2=-1$, then $N: a+ib \to a^2+b^2$ which is the modulus of complex number restricted to \mathbb{Q} .

Notice that if $z = a + b\sqrt{D}$, $w = c + d\sqrt{D}$, then N(zw) = N(z)N(w) moreover,

$$N(a + \omega b) = \begin{cases} a^2 - Db^2, & \text{if } D \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4 \\ a^2 + ab + \frac{1-D}{4}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4\\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

In either case, $N: \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \to \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 1.1.4. Let $\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$ where $D \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ is squarefree. Then an element of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is a unit if, and only if $N(z) = \pm 1$

Proof. Let $z = a + \omega b$ such that $N(z) = \pm 1$. Then we have

$$z^{-1} = \pm (a + \overline{\omega}b) \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$$

making it a unit. On the other hand, if $N(zw) = N(z)N(w) = \pm 1$, then since $N(z), N(w) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we must have that both $N(z) = \pm 1$ and $N(w) = \pm 1$.

1.2 Polynomail Rings, Matrix Rings, and Group Rings.

Theorem 1.2.1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and define $R[x] = \{f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n : a_0, \ldots a_n \in R\}$. Define the operations + and \cdot on R[x] for $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$ and $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n$ by:

$$f + g = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + \dots + (a_n + b_n)x^n$$

$$fg = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_kx^k \text{ where } c_j = \sum_{i=0}^j a_i b_{j-i} \text{ and } k = n + m$$

Then R[x] is a commutative ring with identity.

Definition. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We call the ring R[x] the **ring of polynomials** in x with **coefficients** in R whose elements of the form

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

where $n \ge 0$ are called **polynomails**. If $a_n \ne 0$, then the **degree** of f is denoted deg f = n, and f is called **monic** if $a_n = 1$. We call + and \cdot the **addition** and **multiplication** of polynomials.

Example 1.6. (1) Take R any commutative ring with identity and form R[x]. One can verify that the polynomial $0(x) = 0 + 0x + \cdots + 0x^n + \cdots = 0$, in this case we call 0 the **zero polynomial**. Similarly, the additive inverse of $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x^1 + \cdots + a_nx^n$ is the polynomial $-f(x) = -a_0 - a_1x^1 - \cdots - a_nx^n$. Now, since R[x] has identity, the **identity** polynomial is $1(x) = 1 + 0x + \cdots = 1$, that is, it is the identity in R. Lastly, we call a polynomial f with deg f = 0 a **constant polynomial**. Notice that 0 and 1 are constant polynomials.

- (2) $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, $\mathbb{R}[x]$ and $\mathbb{C}[x]$ are the polynomial rings in x with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{C} respectively.
- (3) Notice that the rings $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are polynomial rings in ω and i, respectively, with coefficients in \mathbb{Z} , and where $\omega = \sqrt{D}$ if $D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4$ or $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$ otherwise, and $i^2 = -1$. Notice that the highest degree a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ can achieve is deg = 1; however, one may be able to form polynomial rings in other variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, i.e. take Z[x], where $Z = \mathbb{Z}[i]$.
- (4) $\mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}[x]$ is the polynomial ring with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem 1.2.2. Let R be an integral domain, and let $p, q \neq 0$ be polynomials in R[x]. Then the following are true:

- (1) $\deg pq = \deg p + \deg q$.
- (2) The units of R[x] are precisely the units of R
- (3) R[x] is an integral domain.

Proof. Consider the leading terms a_nx^n and b_mx^m of p and q respectively. Then $a_nb_mx^{m+n}$ is the leading term of pq; moreover we require $a_nb_m \neq 0$. Now, if $\deg pq < m+n$, then ab=0, making a and b zero divisors of R; impossable. Therefore $ab \neq 0$. It also follows that since no term of p is a zero divisor, then p cannot be a zero divisor of R[x]. Lastly, if pq=1, then $\deg p + \deg q = 0$, so that pq is a constant polynomial. Noticing that constant polynomials are simply just elements of R, then p and q are units.

Theorem 1.2.3. Let R be a ring. Let $R^{n \times n}$ be the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in R and define the operations + and \cdot by:

$$(a_{ij}) + (b_{ij}) = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$$

 $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = (c_{ij}), \text{ where } c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$

Then $R^{m \times n}$ forms a ring under + and \cdot .

Definition. For any ring R, we call the ring $R^{n\times n}$ the **matrix ring** of $n\times n$ matrices with entries in R.

Example 1.7. (1) Note that if R is a commutative ring, then for $n \geq 2$, $R^{n \times n}$ need not be commutative.

- (2) We call matrices of $R^{n \times n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ square matrices. We call a matrix $(a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ scalar if $a_{ii} = 1$ for all $1 \le i \le n$ and $a_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \ne j$.
- (3) If R has identity, then so does $R^{n \times n}$. We call the identity of $R^{n \times n}$ the **identity matrix** and denote it as the $n \times n$ scalar matrix I with 1 across the diagonal. We call the units of $R^{n \times n}$ **invertible** matrices, and denote the unit group of invertible matrices to be GL(n,R) the general linear group of degree n over R.

- (4) Notice that $2\mathbb{Z}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$.
- (5) Let R be a ring, and $R^{n\times n}$ its matrix ring. Let $U^{n\times n} = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{pq} = 0 \text{ whenever } p > q\}$ the set of **upper triangular matrices**. Then $U^{n\times n} \subseteq R^{n\times n}$ is a subring.

Theorem 1.2.4. Let R be a ring with identity, and let G be a finite group of order n. Let RG the set of all sums $a_1g_1+\cdots+a_ng_n$, where $a_i \in R$ for all $1 \le i \le n$. Define the operations + and \cdot by:

$$(a_1g_1 + \dots + a_ng_n) + (b_1g_1 + \dots + b_ng_n) = (a_1 + b_1)g_1 + \dots + (a_n + b_n)g_n$$
$$(a_1g_1 + \dots + a_ng_n)(b_1g_1 + \dots + b_ng_n) = c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n, \text{ where } c_k = \sum_{g_k = g_ig_j} a_ib_j$$

Then RG forms a ring with identity under + and \cdot . Moreover, RG is commutative if, and only if G is abelian.

Definition. Let R be a ring with identity, and let G be a finite group of order n. We call the ring RG the **group ring** of G. We call the elements of RG **formal sums** of the elements of G.

Example 1.8. (1) Consider $D_8 = \langle r, t : r^4 = t^2 = 1, rt = tr^{-1} \rangle$ and \mathbb{Z} . Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}D_8$ where $a = r + r^2 - 2t$ and $b = -3r^2 + rt$. Then

$$a + b = r - 2r^{2} + rt - t$$
$$ab = -5r^{3} + r^{3}t + 7r^{2}t - 3$$

- (2) For any ring with identity R, and finite group G, $R \subseteq RG$, for take the elements of R to be the sums $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$. $G \subseteq RG$, for $g_i = 1g_i$; moreover, each g_i has an inverse in RG, so we call G the subgroup of units of RG.
- (3) Let G be a group with ord G > 1. Let $g \in G$ with ord g = m. Notice that the elements $(1-g), (1+g+\cdots+g^{m-1}) \in RG$ are nonzero, but that

$$(1-g)(1+g+\cdots+g^{m-1})=1-g^m=1-1=0$$

which makes 1-g a zero divisor. In general, the ring RG will always have zero divisors.

(4) Let G be a finite group. We call the rings $\mathbb{Z}G$, $\mathbb{Q}G$, $\mathbb{R}G$, and $\mathbb{C}G$ the **integral**, **rational**, **real**, and **complex** group rings of G, respectively. Notice that $\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}G \subseteq \mathbb{R}G \subseteq \mathbb{C}G$. Moreover, if $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of G, then $RH \subseteq RG$ is a subring.

1.3 Ring Homomorphisms and Factor Rings.

Bibliography

- [1] D. Dummit, Abstract algebra. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2004.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, Topics in algebra. New York: Wiley, 1975.