

Coding Theory

Alec Zabel-Mena

February 27, 2022

Contents

1	Linear Codes.	5
1.1	Definitions, Generator, and Check Matrices.	5

Chapter 1

Linear Codes.

1.1 Definitions, Generator, and Check Matrices.

Definition. We define an (n, k) -**linear code** over a field F to be a k -dimensional subspace \mathcal{C} of the n -dimensional vector space F^n over F .

Remark. We shall be focusing exclusively on the finite fields \mathbb{F}_p where $p = 2, 3$. Then in this case, we can consider the vector spaces to be extension fields of \mathbb{F}_p . We shall prove theorems and lemmas however, for general fields, unless specified.

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be an (n, k) -linear code over a field F . We call a $k \times n$ matrix G a **generator matrix** for \mathcal{C} if its row space is \mathcal{C} .

Example 1.1. [1]

- (1) A $(5, 1)$ -linear code, \mathcal{C}_1 , over \mathbb{F}_2 with generator matrix:

$$G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

It contains the codewords 00000 and 11111; and has rate $\frac{1}{5}$. We call \mathcal{C}_1 the **binary repetition code**.

- (2) The $(5, 3)$ -code \mathcal{C}_2 with generator matrix:

$$G_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

\mathcal{C}_2 has rate $\frac{3}{5}$.

- (3) The $(7, 4)$ -**Hamming Code**, \mathcal{C}_3 over \mathbb{F}_2 with generator matrix:

$$G_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The $(7, 4)$ -Hamming code has rate $\frac{4}{7}$.

Lemma 1.1.1. *If \mathcal{C} is an (n, k) -code over a field F , and if G is a generator matrix for \mathcal{C} , then so is any matrix row-equivalent to G .*

Proof. Let A be an $k \times n$ matrix row-equivalent to G . Then, take $A \rightarrow G$ via the sequence of elementary matrices $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^m$. That is, $G = E_m \dots E_2 E_1 A$. Then for any $v \in F^n$, we can take $Av \rightarrow Gv$ via this same sequence; that is $Gv = E_m \dots E_2 E_1 Av$. Thus, A generates the same set of vectors as G , and hence has the same row space. ■

Remark. Thus, using this lemma, one would ideally like to find a generator matrix in Row-Reduced-Echelon form, for ease of computation.

Definition. If \mathcal{C} is an (n, k) -code over a field F , we define a **check** for \mathcal{C} to be the equation:

$$a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

satisfied for all $x \in \mathcal{C}$. We define the **dual code** of \mathcal{C} to be the orthogonal complement

$$\mathcal{C}^\perp = \{a \in F^n : \langle a, x \rangle = 0\} \quad (1.2)$$

Where $\langle a, x \rangle$ is the inner product of a and x .

Proof. If \mathcal{C} is an (n, k) -code, then \mathcal{C}^\perp is an $(n, n - k)$ -linear code. ■

Proof. We have by a result from [2] (theorem 4.I), that $F^n = \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{C}^\perp$, (\oplus the direct sum). Then $\dim F^n = \dim \mathcal{C} + \dim \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Therefore, $\dim \mathcal{C}^\perp = n - k$. ■

Definition. Let \mathcal{C} be an (n, k) -linear code over a field F . We define a **check** matrix for \mathcal{C} to be an $n \times (n - k)$ matrix H such that $Hx^T = 0$.

Lemma 1.1.2. *If H is a check matrix for the (n, k) -code \mathcal{C} , then H is a generator matrix for the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp .*

Proof. For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{C}$, we have that $Hx^T = 0$, by definition. Thus, for any row $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ of H . That is, $a_1x_1 + \dots + a_nx_n = \langle a, x \rangle = 0$, making $a \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Since a is an arbitrary row of H , this holds for every row of H . Thus the row space of H is equal to \mathcal{C}^\perp . ■

Lemma 1.1.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be an (n, k) -code over a field F , and let G be a generator matrix for the code. If G has the form $G = (I_{k \times k} | A)$, then the check matrix for \mathcal{C} , corresponding to G has the form*

$$H = (-A^T | I_{(n-k) \times (n-k)}) \quad (1.3)$$

Example 1.2. [1] Consider the generator matrices for the codes in example 1.1, then:

$$(1) \ H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(2) \ H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3) \ H_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 1.1.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be an (n, k) -code over a field F . Then there is a unique $k \times n$ Row-Reduced-Echelon matrix G such that $x \in \mathcal{C}$ if, and only if x is in the row space of G . Likewise, there exists an $(n - k) \times n$ matrix H such that $x \in \mathcal{C}$ if, and only if $Hx^T = 0$.*

Corollary. *If \mathcal{C} is used on a memoryless channel, then $G = (I_{k \times k} | A)$ and $H = (-A^T | I_{(n-k) \times (n-k)})$.*

1.2 Syndrome Decoding.

Bibliography

- [1] R. McEliece, *The theory of information and coding*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [2] I. N. Herstein, *Topics in algebra*. New York: Wiley, 1975.
- [3] D. J. Welsh, *Codes and cryptography*. Oxford Oxfordshire New York: Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, 1988.
- [4] K. Hoffman and R. Kunze, *Linear algebra*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1971.
- [5] J. Lint, *Introduction to coding theory*. Berlin New York: Springer, 1999.
- [6] J. Justesen and T. Høholdt, *A course in error-correcting codes*. Zurich, Switzerland: European Mathematical Society, 2017.