

# Measure Theory

Alec Zabel-Mena

December 30, 2023



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Measure and Measure Spaces</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1	$\sigma$ -Algebras . . . . .	5
1.2	Measures . . . . .	8
1.3	Outer Measures . . . . .	11



# Chapter 1

## Measure and Measure Spaces

### 1.1 $\sigma$ -Algebras

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An **algebra** of sets on  $X$  is a nonempty collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $X$  which are closed under finite unions and complements in  $X$ . We call  $\mathcal{A}$  a  **$\sigma$ -algebra** if it is closed under countable unions.

**Lemma 1.1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{A}$  an algebra on  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under finite intersections.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{E_\lambda\}$  be a collection of sets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then by finite union  $E = \bigcup E_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then by complements,  $X \setminus E = \bigcap X \setminus E_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Corollary.**  *$\sigma$ -algebras are closed under countable disjoint unions.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{A}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and let  $\{E_n\}$  a collection of (not necessarily disjoint) sets in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then take

$$F_n = E_n \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} E_k \right) \quad (1.1)$$

Then each  $F_n$  is a set in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and are pairwise disjoint. Moreover,  $\bigcup E_n = \bigcup F_n$ . ■

**Lemma 1.1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a set, and  $\mathcal{A}$  an algebra on  $X$ . Then  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* By closure of finite unions, notice that if  $E \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $E \cup X \setminus E = X \in \mathcal{A}$  lemma ?? gives us that  $E \cap X \setminus E = \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Example 1.1.** (1) The collections  $\{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $2^X$  are  $\sigma$ -algebras on any set  $X$ .

(2) Let  $X$  be an uncountable set. Then the collection

$$\mathcal{C} = \{E \subseteq X : E \text{ is countable or } X \setminus E \text{ is countable}\}$$

defines a  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets on  $X$ , since countable unions of countable sets are countable, and  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed under complements. We call  $\mathcal{C}$  the  **$\sigma$ -algebra of countable or co-countable sets**.

**Lemma 1.1.3.** *Let  $\{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}$  be a collection of  $\sigma$ -algebras on a set  $X$ . Then the intersection*

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcap \mathcal{A}_\lambda$$

*is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . Moreover, if  $F \subseteq X$ , then there exists a unique smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $F$ ; in particular, it is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $F$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that since each  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, they are closed under countable unions and complements. Hence by definition,  $\mathcal{A}$  must also be closed under countable unions and complements.

Now, let  $F \subseteq X$  and let  $\{\mathcal{A}_\lambda\}$  be the collection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $F$ . Then the intersection  $\mathcal{A} = \bigcap \mathcal{A}_\lambda$  is also a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $F$ ; by above. Now, suppose that there is a smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  containing  $F$ . Then we have that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . Now, by definition of  $\mathcal{A}$  as the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $F$ , we get that  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ ; so that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$ . ■

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $F \subseteq X$ . We define the  $\sigma$ -algebra **generated** by  $F$  to be the smallest such  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}(F)$  containing  $F$ .

**Lemma 1.1.4.** *Let  $X$  be a set and let  $E, F \subseteq X$ . Then if  $E \subseteq \mathcal{M}(F)$ , then  $\mathcal{M}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(F)$ .*

*Proof.* We have that since  $E \subseteq \mathcal{M}(F)$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(E)$  is the intersection of all  $\sigma$ -algebras containing  $E$ , then  $\mathcal{M}(E) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(F)$ . ■

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We define the **Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra** on  $X$  to be the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  generated by all open sets of  $X$ ; that is

$$\mathcal{B}(X) = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T})$$

where  $\mathcal{T}$  is the topology on  $X$ . We call the elements of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  **Borel-sets**

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space. We call a countable intersection of open sets of  $X$  a  $G_\delta$ -**set** of  $X$ . We call a countable union of closed sets of  $X$  an  $F_\sigma$ -**set** of  $X$ .

**Theorem 1.1.5.** *The Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , is generated by the following.*

- (1) *All open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (2) *All closed intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (3) *All half-open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (4) *All open rays of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*
- (5) *All closed rays of  $\mathbb{R}$ .*

**Definition.** Let  $X_\alpha$  be a collection of non-empty sets, and let  $X = \prod X_\alpha$ . If  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X_\alpha$ , then we define the **product  $\sigma$ -algebra** on  $X$  to be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ , where  $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , and  $\pi_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is the projection map onto the  $\alpha$ -th coordinate. We denote the product  $\sigma$ -algebra by  $\bigotimes \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ .

**Lemma 1.1.6.** *Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a countable collection of sets, each with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_n$ , and let  $X = \prod X_n$ . Then the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\bigotimes \mathcal{M}_n$  on  $X$  is generated by all  $\prod E_n$ , where  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $E_n \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , then by definition of the projection map,  $\pi_n^{-1}(E_n) = \prod E_k$  where  $E_k = X_k$  for all  $k \neq n$ . On the otherhand, we can see that  $\prod E_n = \bigcap \pi_n^{-1}(E_n)$ . ■

**Lemma 1.1.7.** *Let  $\{X_\alpha\}$  be a collection of sets, each together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . If each  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is generated by some  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ , then  $\bigotimes \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is generated by all  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha)$ , where  $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E_\alpha) : E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha\}$ . Then by lemma 1.1.4,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \bigotimes \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . On the otherhand, for any  $\alpha$ , the collection of all  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X_\alpha$ , containing  $\mathcal{E}_\alpha$ ; and hence,  $\mathcal{M}_\alpha$ . That is,  $\pi_\alpha^{-1}(E) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $E \in \mathcal{M}_\alpha$ , which gives us the reverse inclusion. ■

**Corollary.** *If  $\{X_\alpha\}$  is a countable collection, then  $\bigotimes \mathcal{M}_\alpha$  is generated by all  $\prod E_\alpha$ , where  $E_\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_\alpha$ .*

**Lemma 1.1.8.** *Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be metric spaces, and  $X = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i$  on the product topology. Then*

$$\bigotimes (\mathcal{B}(X_i)) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$$

*Moreover, if each  $X_i$  is separable, then equality is established.*

*Proof.* We have that  $\bigotimes \mathcal{B}(X_i)$  is generated by each  $\pi_i^{-1}(U_i)$ , where  $U_i$  is an open set in  $X_i$ . Since these sets are open, again by lemma 1.1.4,  $\bigotimes \mathcal{B}(X_i) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

Now, suppose that each  $X_i$  is separable, and let  $C_i$  a countable dense set in  $X_i$ , and let  $\mathcal{E}_i$  be the collection of all open balls in  $X_i$  with rational radius  $r$ , and center in  $C_i$ . Then every open set in  $X_i$  is a countable union of members of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ . Moreover, the set of points in  $X$  whose  $i$ -th coordinate is in  $C_i$ , for all  $i$ , is countable dense in  $X$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{B}(X_i)$  is generated by  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , and since  $(X)$  is generated by all  $\prod_{i=1}^n E_i$ , where  $E_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$ , we get  $\mathcal{B}(X) \subseteq \bigotimes \mathcal{B}(X_i)$ , and equality is established. ■

**Corollary.**  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Definition.** We define an **elementary family** on a set  $X$  to be a collection  $\mathcal{E}$  of subsets of  $X$  such that:

- (1)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- (2) If  $E, F \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $E \cap F \in \mathcal{E}$ .
- (3) If  $E \in \mathcal{E}$ , then  $X \setminus E$  is a finite disjoint union of members of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.9.** *Let  $X$  be a set and  $\mathcal{E}$  an elementary family on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the collection of all finite disjoint unions of members of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is an algebra on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$ , and let  $X \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ , where each  $C_i \in \mathcal{E}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and are disjoint. Then we have

$$A \cup B = (A \setminus B) \cup B \text{ and } A \setminus B = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A \cap C_i)$$

so that  $A \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $A \setminus B \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now, by induction on  $n$ , suppose that  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{A}$  are disjoint, then

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i = A_{n+1} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \setminus A_{n+1}$$

is also a disjoint union. Moreover, we have that if  $X \setminus A_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_m} B_m^i$ , where the union is disjoint, then

$$X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{m=1}^n A_m \right) = \bigcap_{m=1}^n \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_m} B_m^i \right)$$

is also a disjoint union. This makes  $\mathcal{A}$  an algebra on  $X$ . ■

## 1.2 Measures

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a set together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ . We define a **measure** on  $\mathcal{M}$  to be a function  $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  for which the following hold:

- (1)  $m(\emptyset) = 0$ .
- (2) If  $\{E_n\}$  is a countable disjoint collection of members of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = \sum m(E_n) \tag{1.2}$$

We call  $m$  a **finitely additive measure** if instead of (2),  $m$  satisfies:

- (2') If  $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a finite disjoint collection of members of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i) \tag{1.3}$$

**Definition.** We call a set  $X$  together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  a **measurable space**, and we call the members of  $\mathcal{M}$  **measurable sets**. If  $m : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then we call  $X$  together with  $\mathcal{M}$  a **measure space**.

**Definition.** Let  $X$  together with a  $\sigma$ -algebra be a measure space with measure  $m$ . If  $m(X) < \infty$ , then we call  $m$  a **finite measure**, and if  $\{E_n\}$  is a covering of  $X$  by measurable sets, each with  $m(E_n) < \infty$  for all  $n$ , then we call  $m$   **$\sigma$ -finite**. We also call the set  $E = \bigcup E_n$   **$\sigma$ -finite**. We call  $m$  **semi-finite** if for any measurable set  $E$ , of  $m(E) = \infty$ , there is a measurable set  $F$  contained in  $E$  such that  $0 < m(F) < \infty$ .



**Lemma 1.2.1.**  *$\sigma$ -finite measures are semi-finite.*

**Example 1.2.** (1) Let  $X$  be a non-empty set, and let  $f : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be any function on  $X$ . Then  $f$  defines a measure  $m$  on  $2^X$  by the rule

$$m(E) = \sum_{x \in E} f(x)$$

Now,  $m$  is semi-finite if, and only if  $f(x) < \infty$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $m$  is  $\sigma$ -finite if, and only if  $m$  is semi-finite, and the pre-image  $f^{-1}((0, \infty))$  is countable.

- (2) Consider the measure  $m$  of example (1) above, where  $f(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then we call  $m$  the **counting measure** on  $2^X$ . Indeed, observe that

$$m(E) = \sum_{x \in E} 1 = |E|$$

which counts the elements of  $E$ .

- (3) Consider the measure  $m$  of example (1) above, where  $f$  is defined for any  $x_0 \in X$  to be:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq x_0 \end{cases}$$

We call this measure the **Dirichlet measure**.

- (4) Let  $X$  be an uncountable set, and let  $\mathcal{M}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all countable or co-countable sets. Define  $m$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  by  $m(E) = 0$  if  $E$  is countable, and  $m(E) = 1$  if  $E$  is co-countable. Then  $m$  defines a measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- (5) Let  $X$  be an infinite set, and define  $m$  on  $2^X$  by  $m(E) = 0$  if  $E$  is finite, and  $m(E) = \infty$  if  $E$  is infinite. Then  $m$  is a finitely subadditive measure on  $2^X$ , but not a measure on  $2^X$ .

**Theorem 1.2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a measure space with measure  $m$ . The following are true.*

- (1) *If  $E$  and  $F$  are measurable with  $E \subseteq F$ , then*

$$m(E) \leq m(F)$$

- (2) *If  $\{E_n\}$  is a countable collection of measurable sets, then*

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) \leq \sum m(E_n)$$

- (3) *If  $\{E_n\}$  is a countable collection of measurable sets, in which  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ , then*

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n)$$

(4) If  $\{E_n\}$  is a countable collection of measurable sets, in which  $\dots \subseteq E_2 \subseteq E_1$  and  $m(E_1) < \infty$ , then

$$m\left(\bigcap E_n\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n)$$

*Proof.* For the first statement, let  $E \subseteq F$  be measurable sets, then observe that

$$m(E) \leq m(E) + m(F \setminus E) = m(E \cup F \setminus E) = m(F)$$

For the second statement, define  $F_1 = E_1$ , and  $F_i = E_i \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} E_j$  for all  $i > 1$ . Then  $\{F_n\}$  is a finite disjoint collection of measurable sets, with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i$ . By the above argument, we get

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i\right) = m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(F_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i)$$

Now, for (3), let  $E_0 = \emptyset$ , then

$$m\left(\bigcup E_n\right) = \sum m(E_i \setminus E_{i-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n m(E_i \setminus E_{i-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n)$$

Additionally, consider when the collection  $\{E_n\}$  is decreasing with  $m(E_1) < \infty$ . Take  $F_i = E_1 \setminus E_i$ , then  $\{F_n\}$  is an increasing collection of measurable sets, and hence we apply the above argument. We get that  $m(E_1) = m(F_n) + m(E_n)$ , and

$$\bigcup F_n = E_1 \setminus \bigcap E_n$$

therefore, we get

$$m(E_1) = m\left(\bigcap E_n\right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(F_i) = m\left(\bigcap E_n\right) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (m(E_1) - m(E_n))$$

Subtracting  $m(E_1)$  from both sides of the equation yields the result. ■

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a measure space with measure  $m$ . We say that a statement about points in  $X$  holds **almost everywhere** (with respect to  $m$ ) if it holds for all  $x \in X \setminus E$ , where  $m(E) = 0$ . We call the measure  $m$  **complete** if its domain contains all subsets of sets with measure 0.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** Let  $X$  be a measure space with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , and measure  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in \mathcal{M} : m(N) = 0\}$ , and define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{E \cup F : E \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F \subseteq N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{N}\}$$

Then  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, and there exists a unique complete measure  $\overline{m}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra, then so is  $\mathcal{N}$ , moreover, since both are closed under countable unions, so is  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Additionally, let  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , then we get  $E \cup F = (E \cup N) \cap ((X \setminus N) \cup F)$ , so that  $X \setminus (E \cup F) = X \setminus (E \cup N) \cup N \setminus F$ . Since  $X \setminus (E \cup N) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , and  $N \setminus F \subseteq F$ , then we get  $X \setminus (E \cup F) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . This makes  $\mathcal{M}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

Now, for  $E \cup F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , define  $\overline{m}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  by  $\overline{m}(E \cup F) = m(E)$ . Then  $\overline{m}$  is well defined. Let  $E_1 \cup F_1 = E_2 \cup F_2$ , where  $F_i \subseteq N_i$ , with  $N_i \in \mathcal{N}$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \cup N_2$ , so that  $m(E_1) \leq m(E_2) + m(N_2) = m(E_2)$ . Similarly, we also get  $m(E_2) \leq m(E_1)$ .

Now, let  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ , such that  $\overline{m}(E) = 0$ . Now, we have  $E = A \cup B$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $B \subseteq N$ , for some  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover,  $\overline{m}(E) = m(A) = 0$ . Now, we get  $E \subseteq A \cup N \in \mathcal{N}$ , since  $m(A) = 0$ . Now, let  $F \subseteq E$ . Then observe that  $F \subseteq A \cup N$ , so that  $F \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $F = \emptyset \cup F$ , so that  $F \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Moreover,  $\overline{m}(F) = m(\emptyset) = 0$ .

Lastly, suppose there is another complete measure  $\overline{n}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  for which  $\overline{n}(E \cup F) = m(E)$ . Let  $E \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}$ . Then  $E = A \cup B$  where  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , and  $B \subseteq N$ ,  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $\overline{n}(E) = \overline{n}(A \cup B) = m(A) \leq m(A) + m(B) = m(A \cup B) = \overline{m}(E)$ . By similar reasoning, we get  $\overline{m}(E) \leq \overline{n}(E)$ , which establishes uniqueness. ■

**Definition.** Let  $X$  be a measure space with  $s$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ , and measure  $m$ . Let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N \in \mathcal{M} : m(N) = 0\}$ , and define

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \{E \cup F : E \in \mathcal{M} \text{ and } F \subseteq N \text{ for some } N \in \mathcal{N}\}$$

We call  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  the **completion** of  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $m$ , and we call the unique complete measure,  $\overline{m}$  on  $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$  the **completion** of  $m$ .

## 1.3 Outer Measures



# Bibliography

- [1] G. B. Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1999.
- [2] H. L. Royden and P. Fitzpatrick, *Real Analysis*. Saddle River, NJ: Pearson, 2010.