Ring Theory.

Alec Zabel-Mena

December 4, 2022

# Contents

1	Rings.		5
	1.1	Definitions and Examples	5
	1.2	Polynomail Rings, Matrix Rings, and Group Rings	Ö
	1.3	Ring Homomorphisms and Factor Rings	11
	1.4	Ideals	16
	1.5	Rings of Fractions.	19
	1.6	Sun Tzu's Theorem	22
2	Domains.		<b>2</b> 5
	2.1	Eculidian Domains	2.5

4 CONTENTS

# Chapter 1

# Rings.

## 1.1 Definitions and Examples.

**Definition.** A ring R is a set together with two binary operations  $+:(a,b) \to a+b$  and  $\cdot:(a,b) \to ab$  called **additon** and **multiplication** such that:

- (1) R is an Abelian group over +, where we denote the identity element as 0 and the inverse of each  $a \in R$  as -a.
- (2) R is closed under  $\cdot$  and  $\cdot$  is associative. That is,  $ab \in R$  whenever  $a, b \in R$  and a(bc) = (ab)c.
- (3) a(b+c) = ab + ac and (a+b)c = ac + bc.

If ab = ba for all  $a, b \in R$ , then we call R commutative. If there exists an element  $1 \in R$  such that  $a_1 = 1a = R$ , then we call R a ring with identity.

**Definition.** A ring R with identity  $1 \neq 0$  is called a **division ring** if for all  $a \in R$ , where  $a \neq 0$ , there exists a  $b \in R$  such that ab = ba = 1. We call a commutative division ring a **field**.

**Example 1.1.** Let R be an abelian group under an operation +, define the operation  $\cdot$  by  $(a,b) \to ab = 0$  for all  $a,b \in R$ . Then R is a ring under + and  $\cdot$ , called the **trivial ring**. If  $R = \langle e \rangle$ , the trivial group, then we call R the **zero ring**.

- (2) The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  form a ring under the usual addition and muiltiplication.
- (3) The sets of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  and the set of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  are rings under their usual addition and multiplication; in fact, they are fields. The complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$  also form a field under complex addition and complex multiplication, where

$$+: (a+ib, c+id) \to (a+c) + i(b+d)$$
  
 $: (a+ib, c+id) \to (ac-bd) + i(ad+bc)$ 

CHAPTER 1. RINGS.

- (4) The factor group of integers modulo n,  $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is a commutative ring under addition modulo n, and multiplication modulo n,  $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  has identity  $1 \mod n$ .  $\mathbb{Z}_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  forms a field if, and only if  $n = p^r$ , where p is a prime.
- (5) We define the **real quaternions** to be the set  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + ib_jc_kd : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}, i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1 \text{ and } ij = k, jk = i, \text{ and } ki = j\}$ .  $\mathbb{H}$  is a ring under addition and multiplication are defined for all x = a + ib + jc + kd and y = e + if + jg + kh to be:

$$+(x,y): \to x + y = (a+e) + i(b+f) + j(c+g) + k(d+h)$$
  
 $\cdot (x,y): \to xy = (a+ib+jc+kd)(e+if+jg+kh)$ 

- (6) Let A be a ring and R the set of all maps  $f: X \to A$ . Then R forms a ring under function addition f + g(x) = f(x) + g(x) and function multiplication fg(x) = f(x)g(x). Notice that R is commutative if, and only if A is, moreover, R has identity if, and only if A has identity.
- (7) We say a real-valued function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  has **compact support** if there exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  such that f(x) = 0 for all  $x \notin [a, b]$ . The set of all functions with compact support forms a ring without identity under function addition and function multiplication.
- (8) Let  $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . We denote the set of all continuous functions  $f: X \to Y$  by C(X, Y). Then C(X, Y) forms a commutative ring with identity under function addition and function multiplication.

**Lemma 1.1.1.** Let R be a ring. Then the following are true for all  $a, b \in R$ .

- (1) 0a = a0 = 0.
- (2) (-a)b = a(-b) = -(ab).
- (3) (-a)(-b) = ab
- (4) If R has identity  $1 \neq 0$ , then 1 is unique and -a = (-1)a.
- *Proof.* (1) Notice 0a = (0+0)a = 0a + 0a, so that 0a = 0. Likewise, a0 = 0 by the same reasoning.
  - (2) Notice that b b = 0, so a(b b) = ab + a(-b) = 0, so that a(-b) = -(ab). The same argument with (a a)b gives (-a)b = -(ab).
  - (3) By the inverse laws of addition in R, we have -(a(-b)) = -(-(ab)), so that (-a)(-b) = ab.
  - (4) Suppose R has identity  $1 \neq 0$ , and suppose there is an element  $2 \in R$  for which 2a = a2 = a for all  $a \in R$ . Then we have that  $1 \cdot 2 = 1$  and  $1 \cdot 2 = 2$ , making 1 = 2; so 1 is unique. Now, we have that a + (-a) = 0, so that 1(a + (-a)) = 1a + 1(-a) = 1a + (-a) = 0 So (-a) = -(1a) = (-1)a by (2).

**Definition.** Let R be a ring. We call an element  $a \in R$  a **zero divisor** if  $a \neq 0$  and there exists an element  $b \neq 0$  such that ab = 0. Similarly, we call  $a \in R$  a **unit** if there is a  $b \in R$  for which ab = ba = 1.

**Example 1.2.** Notice if R is a ring with identity 1, then 1 is a unit of R by definition.

**Definition.** Let R be a ring. We call the set of all units in R the **group of units** and denote it  $R^*$ 

**Lemma 1.1.2.** Let R be a ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . Then the group of units  $R^{\times}$  forms a group under multiplication.

Proof. Let  $a, b \in R$  be units in R. Then there are  $c, d \in R$  for which ac = ca = 1 and bd = db = 1. Consider then ab. Then ab(dc) = a(bd)c = ac = 1 and (dc)ab = d(ca)b = db = 1 so that ab is also a unit in R. Moreover  $R^*$  inherits the associativity of  $\cdot$  and 1 serves as the identity element of  $R^*$ . Lastly, if  $a \in R^*$  is a unit there is a  $b \in R$  for which ab = ba = 1. This also makes b a unit in R, and the inverse of a.

Corollary. a is a zero divisor if, and only if it is not a unit.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $a \neq 0$  is a zero divisor. Then there is a  $b \in R$  such that  $b \neq 0$  and ab = 0. Then for any  $v \in R$ , v(ab) = (va)b = 0 so that a cannot be a unit. On the other hand let a be a unit, and ab = 0 for some  $b \neq 0$ . Then there is a  $v \in R$  for which v(ab) = (va)b = 1b = b = 0. Then b = 0 which is a contradiction.

**Corollary.** If R is a field, then it has no zero divisors.

*Proof.* Notice by definition of a field, every element is a unit, except for 0.

**Example 1.3.** (1)  $\mathbb{Z}$  has no zero divisors, and has as units the elements -1 and 1.

- (2) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , the units of  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  are all elements  $a \mod n$  such that (a, n) = 1. That is  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}^* = U(\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}})$ ; recall that  $U(\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}})$  is called the unit group, or group of units of  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ .
- (3) Let  $D \in \mathbb{Q}$  be squarefree. Define  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) = \{a + b\sqrt{D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is a field called the **quadratic field** under the operations

$$+: (a+b\sqrt{D}, c+d\sqrt{D}) \to (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{D}$$
$$\cdot ((a+b\sqrt{D}, c+d\sqrt{D})) \to (ac-bdD) + (ad-bc)\sqrt{D}$$

Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  is a field, every element is a unit.

**Definition.** A commutative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$  is called an **integral domain** if it has no zero divisors.

**Lemma 1.1.3.** Let R be a ring, and a not a zero divisor. Then if ab = ac, then either a = 0, or b = c.

*Proof.* Notice that ab = ac implies ab - ac = a(b - c) = 0. Since a is not a zero divisor, either a = 0 or b - c = 0.

Corollary. Any finite integral domain is a field.

*Proof.* Let R be a finite integral domain and consider the map on R, by  $x \to ax$ . By above, this map is 1–1, moreover since R is finite, it is also onto. So there is a  $b \in R$  for which ab = 1, making a a unit. Since a is abitrarily chosen, this makes R a field.

**Corollary.** If R is a field it is a (not necessarily finite) integral domain.

**Example 1.4.** We have that fields are integral domains, and finite integral domains are fields. However, notice that not every integral domain need be a field.  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain that is not a field. Moreover, so are the real quaternions  $\mathbb{H}$ .

**Definition.** A subring of a ring R is a subgroup of R closed under multiplication.

**Example 1.5.** (1) We have the following sequence of subgrings  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ .

- (2) The factor group  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is not a subgring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , well the multiplication and addition of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is different from that of  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ .
- (3) The set  $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z} + j\mathbb{Z} + k\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{H}$ .
- (4) If F is a field, then any subring of F is also an integral domain by inheretence.
- (5) The set  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] = \{a + b\sqrt{D} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subring of the quadratic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . Moreover if  $D \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , then the set

$$\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}] = \{a+b\frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

is also a subgring of  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$ . We call the subgring  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ , where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4\\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

the **ring of integers** in the quadratic field. When D = -1, we get the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , with  $i^2 = -1$  and call it the **Gaussian integers**. Notice then that  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ ; in fact, it is field in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

(6) Consider  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})$  where D is squarefree. We define the **field norm**  $N: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) \to D$  by taking  $(a+b\sqrt{D}) \to (a+b\sqrt{D})(a-b\sqrt{D}) = a^2 - Db^2$ . If  $D=i^2=-1$ , then  $N: a+ib \to a^2+b^2$  which is the modulus of complex number restricted to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Notice that if  $z = a + b\sqrt{D}$ ,  $w = c + d\sqrt{D}$ , then N(zw) = N(z)N(w) moreover,

$$N(a + \omega b) = \begin{cases} a^2 - Db^2, & \text{if } D \equiv 2, 3 \mod 4 \\ a^2 + ab + \frac{1-D}{4}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4\\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$$

In either case,  $N: \mathbb{Z}[\omega] \to \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Lemma 1.1.4.** Let  $\omega = \begin{cases} \sqrt{D}, & \text{if } D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}, & \text{if } D \equiv 1 \mod 4 \end{cases}$  where  $D \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  is squarefree. Then an element of  $z \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  is a unit if, and only if  $N(z) = \pm 1$ 

*Proof.* Let  $z = a + \omega b$  such that  $N(z) = \pm 1$ . Then we have

$$z^{-1} = \pm (a + \overline{\omega}b) \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$$

making it a unit. On the other hand, if  $N(zw) = N(z)N(w) = \pm 1$ , then since  $N(z), N(w) \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we must have that both  $N(z) = \pm 1$  and  $N(w) = \pm 1$ .

## 1.2 Polynomail Rings, Matrix Rings, and Group Rings.

**Theorem 1.2.1.** Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and define  $R[x] = \{f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n : a_0, \ldots a_n \in R\}$ . Define the operations + and  $\cdot$  on R[x] for  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  and  $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_nx^n$  by:

$$f + g = (a_0 + b_0) + (a_1 + b_1)x + \dots + (a_n + b_n)x^n$$

$$fg = c_0 + c_1x + \dots + c_kx^k \text{ where } c_j = \sum_{i=0}^j a_ib_{j-i} \text{ and } k = n + m$$

Then R[x] is a commutative ring with identity.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We call the ring R[x] the **ring of polynomials** in x with **coefficients** in R whose elements of the form

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_n x^n$$

where  $n \ge 0$  are called **polynomails**. If  $a_n \ne 0$ , then the **degree** of f is denoted deg f = n, and f is called **monic** if  $a_n = 1$ . We call + and  $\cdot$  the **addition** and **multiplication** of polynomials.

**Example 1.6.** (1) Take R any commutative ring with identity and form R[x]. One can verify that the polynomial  $0(x) = 0 + 0x + \cdots + 0x^n + \cdots = 0$ , in this case we call 0 the **zero polynomial**. Similarly, the additive inverse of  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x^1 + \cdots + a_nx^n$  is the polynomial  $-f(x) = -a_0 - a_1x^1 - \cdots - a_nx^n$ . Now, since R[x] has identity, the **identity** polynomial is  $1(x) = 1 + 0x + \cdots = 1$ , that is, it is the identity in R. Lastly, we call a polynomial f with deg f = 0 a **constant polynomial**. Notice that 0 and 1 are constant polynomials.

- (2)  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ,  $\mathbb{R}[x]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  are the polynomial rings in x with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{C}$  respectively.
- (3) Notice that the rings  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  are polynomial rings in  $\omega$  and i, respectively, with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and where  $\omega = \sqrt{D}$  if  $D \not\equiv 1 \mod 4$  or  $\omega = \frac{1+\sqrt{D}}{2}$  otherwise, and  $i^2 = -1$ . Notice that the highest degree a polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  can achieve is deg = 1; however, one may be able to form polynomial rings in other variables with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , i.e. take Z[x], where  $Z = \mathbb{Z}[i]$ .
- (4)  $\mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}[x]$  is the polynomial ring with coefficients in  $\mathbb{Z}_{3\mathbb{Z}}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.2.** Let R be an integral domain, and let  $p, q \neq 0$  be polynomials in R[x]. Then the following are true:

- (1)  $\deg pq = \deg p + \deg q$ .
- (2) The units of R[x] are precisely the units of R
- (3) R[x] is an integral domain.

Proof. Consider the leading terms  $a_nx^n$  and  $b_mx^m$  of p and q respectively. Then  $a_nb_mx^{m+n}$  is the leading term of pq; moreover we require  $a_nb_m \neq 0$ . Now, if  $\deg pq < m+n$ , then ab=0, making a and b zero divisors of R; impossable. Therefore  $ab \neq 0$ . It also follows that since no term of p is a zero divisor, then p cannot be a zero divisor of R[x]. Lastly, if pq=1, then  $\deg p + \deg q = 0$ , so that pq is a constant polynomial. Noticing that constant polynomials are simply just elements of R, then p and q are units.

**Theorem 1.2.3.** Let R be a ring. Let  $R^{n \times n}$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in R and define the operations + and  $\cdot$  by:

$$(a_{ij}) + (b_{ij}) = (a_{ij} + b_{ij})$$
  
 $(a_{ij})(b_{ij}) = (c_{ij}), \text{ where } c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} b_{kj}$ 

Then  $R^{m \times n}$  forms a ring under + and  $\cdot$ .

**Definition.** For any ring R, we call the ring  $R^{n\times n}$  the **matrix ring** of  $n\times n$  matrices with entries in R.

**Example 1.7.** (1) Note that if R is a commutative ring, then for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $R^{n \times n}$  need not be commutative.

- (2) We call matrices of  $R^{n \times n}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  square matrices. We call a matrix  $(a_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$  scalar if  $a_{ii} = 1$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \ne j$ .
- (3) If R has identity, then so does  $R^{n \times n}$ . We call the identity of  $R^{n \times n}$  the **identity matrix** and denote it as the  $n \times n$  scalar matrix I with 1 across the diagonal. We call the units of  $R^{n \times n}$  **invertible** matrices, and denote the unit group of invertible matrices to be GL(n,R) the general linear group of degree n over R.

- (4) Notice that  $2\mathbb{Z}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{Z}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{Q}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n\times n} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n\times n}$ .
- (5) Let R be a ring, and  $R^{n\times n}$  its matrix ring. Let  $U^{n\times n} = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{pq} = 0 \text{ whenever } p > q\}$  the set of **upper triangular matrices**. Then  $U^{n\times n} \subseteq R^{n\times n}$  is a subring.

**Theorem 1.2.4.** Let R be a ring with identity, and let G be a finite group of order n. Let RG the set of all sums  $a_1g_1+\cdots+a_ng_n$ , where  $a_i \in R$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ . Define the operations + and  $\cdot$  by:

$$(a_1g_1 + \dots + a_ng_n) + (b_1g_1 + \dots + b_ng_n) = (a_1 + b_1)g_1 + \dots + (a_n + b_n)g_n$$
$$(a_1g_1 + \dots + a_ng_n)(b_1g_1 + \dots + b_ng_n) = c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n, \text{ where } c_k = \sum_{g_k = g_ig_i} a_ib_j$$

Then RG forms a ring with identity under + and  $\cdot$ . Moreover, RG is commutative if, and only if G is abelian.

**Definition.** Let R be a ring with identity, and let G be a finite group of order n. We call the ring RG the **group ring** of G. We call the elements of RG **formal sums** of the elements of G.

**Example 1.8.** (1) Consider  $D_8 = \langle r, t : r^4 = t^2 = 1, rt = tr^{-1} \rangle$  and  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}D_8$  where  $a = r + r^2 - 2t$  and  $b = -3r^2 + rt$ . Then

$$a + b = r - 2r^{2} + rt - t$$
$$ab = -5r^{3} + r^{3}t + 7r^{2}t - 3$$

- (2) For any ring with identity R, and finite group G,  $R \subseteq RG$ , for take the elements of R to be the sums  $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ .  $G \subseteq RG$ , for  $g_i = 1g_i$ ; moreover, each  $g_i$  has an inverse in RG, so we call G the subgroup of units of RG.
- (3) Let G be a group with ord G > 1. Let  $g \in G$  with ord g = m. Notice that the elements  $(1-g), (1+g+\cdots+g^{m-1}) \in RG$  are nonzero, but that

$$(1-g)(1+g+\cdots+g^{m-1})=1-g^m=1-1=0$$

which makes 1-g a zero divisor. In general, the ring RG will always have zero divisors.

(4) Let G be a finite group. We call the rings  $\mathbb{Z}G$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}G$ ,  $\mathbb{R}G$ , and  $\mathbb{C}G$  the **integral**, **rational**, **real**, and **complex** group rings of G, respectively. Notice that  $\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}G \subseteq \mathbb{R}G \subseteq \mathbb{C}G$ . Moreover, if  $H \leq G$  is a subgroup of G, then  $RH \subseteq RG$  is a subring.

### 1.3 Ring Homomorphisms and Factor Rings.

**Definition.** Let R and S be rings. We call a map  $\phi: R \to S$  a ring homomorphism if

(1)  $\phi$  is a group homomorphism with respect to addition.

(2)  $\phi(ab) = \phi(a)\phi(b)$  for any  $a, b \in R$ .

We denote the **kernel** of  $\phi$  to be the kernel of  $\phi$  as a group homomorphism. That is

$$\ker \phi = \{ r \in R : \phi(r) = 0 \}$$

Moreover, if  $\phi$  is 1–1 and onto, we call  $\phi$  an **isomorphism** and say that R and S are **isomorphic**, and write  $R \simeq S$ .

- **Example 1.9.** (1)  $\phi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/_{2\mathbb{Z}}$  defined by  $n \to 0$  if n is even and  $n \to 1$  if n is odd is a ring homomorphism, with  $\ker \phi = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that  $\phi(\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/_{2\mathbb{Z}}$ .  $\phi$  is onto, but not 1–1.
  - (2) Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and consider the maps  $\phi_n : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$  by taking  $x \to nx$ .  $\phi_n$ , in general is not a ring homomorphism, as  $\phi(xy) = n(xy)$  but  $\phi(x)\phi(y) = nxny = n^2(xy)$ .  $\phi_n$ , however is a group homomorphism for any n.
  - (3) For any ring R, define the **valuation** map  $\phi: R[x] \to R$  by taking  $f(x) \to f(0)$ ; i.e. the polynomial f evaluated at 0.  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism. Moreover, notice that if  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + \cdots + a_n x^n$ , then  $f(0) = a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ . So that  $\phi(R[x]) = R$  This makes  $\phi$  onto. Now, take  $\phi(f) = 0$ , Then those are all polynomials with constant term  $a_0 = 0$  (this does not make  $\ker \phi = \langle e \rangle$ ). Again,  $\phi$  is onto, but it is not 1–1.

**Lemma 1.3.1.** Let R and S be rings, and  $\phi: R \to S$  a ring homomorphism. Then

- (1)  $\phi(R)$  is a subring of S.
- (2)  $\ker \phi$  is a subring of R.

Proof. Let  $s_1, s_2 \in \phi(R)$ . Then  $s_1 = \phi(r_1)$  and  $s_2 = \phi(r_2)$  for some  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ . Then  $s_1s_2 = \phi(r_1)\phi(r_2) = \phi(r_1r_2) \in \phi(S)$ . Additionally,  $s^{-1} = \phi^{-1}(r) = \phi(r^{-1})$  for some  $s \in S$ ,  $r \in R$ . This is sufficient to make S a subring of S.

By similar reasoning, if  $r_1, r_2 \in \ker \phi$ , then  $\phi(r_1)\phi(r_2) = \phi(r_1r_2) = 0$  so that  $r_1r_2 \in \ker \phi$ , and  $\phi(r^{-1}) = \phi^{-1}(r) = 0$  so  $\phi^{-1} \in \ker \phi$ .

Corollary. For any  $r \in R$  and  $a \in \ker \phi$ , then  $ar \in \ker \phi$  and  $ra \in \ker \phi$ .

*Proof.* We have  $\phi(ar) = \phi(a)\phi(r) = \phi(a)0 = 0$  so  $ar \in \ker \phi$ . The same happens for ra.

**Definition.** Let R be a ring. We call a subring  $I \subseteq R$  of R a **left ideal** in R if for any  $r \in R$  and  $a \in I$ , we have  $ar \in I$ . Similarly, we call I a **right ideal** in R if  $ra \in I$ . We call I a **(two-sided) ideal** in R if it is both a left, and a right ideal and we say that the ideals I absorb r.

**Lemma 1.3.2.** If R is a commutative ring, then every left ideal is a right ideal.

*Proof.* Notice that ar = ra for all  $a, r \in R$ .

**Theorem 1.3.3.** Let R be aring, and I an ideal in R. Let R/I be the set of all a+I with  $a \in R$ . Define operations + and  $\cdot$  by

$$(a+I) + (b+I) = (a+b) + I$$
  
 $(a+I)(b+I) = ab + I$ 

Then  $R_{/I}$  forms a ring under + and  $\cdot$ .

*Proof.* Notice that (a+I)+(b+I)=(a+b)+(I+I)=(a+b)+2I=(a+b)+I. Moreover,  $R_{/I}$  inherits associativity in + from addition in R. Now, take 0+I=I as the additive identity and -a+I as the inverse of a+I for each I.

Now, notice, that  $(a+I)(b+I) = ab + aI + bI + I^2 = ab + (I+I+I) = ab + I$  by distribution of multiplication over addition in R. Moreover,  $R_{/I}$  also inherits associativity in  $\cdot$  of ultiplication in R. Now, notice then that

$$(a+I)((b+I)+c+I) = (a+I)((b+c)+I) = a(b+c)+I = (ab+ac)+I = (ac+I)+(bc+I)$$
  
and

$$((a+I)+(b+I))(c+I) = ((a+b)+I)(c+I) = (a+b)c+I = (ac+I)+(bc+I)$$

Lastly, notice that a + I is just the left coset of a by I in R as a group under addition. So that + and  $\cdot$  are coset addition and multiplication, which are well defined.

Corollary. If R has identity 1, then  $R_I$  has identity 1 + I. Moreover if R is commutative, then so is  $R_I$ .

**Definition.** Let R be a ring and I an ideal in R. We call the ring  $R_I$  under addition and multiplication of cosets the **factor ring** (or **quotient ring**) of R over I.

**Example 1.10.** (1) We call  $(0) = \{0\}$  the **trivial ideal**, notice also that R is also an ideal.

- (2) For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , notice that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $m \in n\mathbb{Z}$ , then m = nk, for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  so that  $am = n(ak) = ma \in n\mathbb{Z}$ . So  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with factor ring  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ . So  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is a factor ring on top of also being a factor group. We call the ring homomorphisme  $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$  by  $a \to a \mod n$  the **reduction homomorphism**.
- (2) Let R a ring, and consider R[x]. Let I the set of all polynomials of degree greater than 2 together with 0. Then if  $f \in I$ ,  $\deg f > 2$  or f = 0. Then for any  $g \in R[x]$ ,  $\deg f g > 2$  or, fg = 0 and  $\deg gf > 2$  or gf = 0. This makes I an ideal of R[x]. Moreover,  $p, q \in I$  if and only if they have the same constant term. Notice then that  $t\mathbb{R}[x]/I = \{a + bx : a, b \in R\}$ .

Now, if R has no zero divisors, it is possible that R[x]/I has zero divisors. Consider  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/I$ .

- (3) Let A a ring, and  $X \neq \emptyset$ . For the ring of functionss  $A^X$ , for a given  $c \in X$ , define the **valuation** map at c by  $E_c: f(x) \to f(c)$ . Notice that  $E_c$  is a ring homomorphism, so that  $A^X/_{\ker E_c}$  forms a factor ring. IN particular, if  $A^X = A[x]$  the polynomial ring over A, and c = 0, then  $E_c$  is just the valuation map of polynomials. Now, if X = (0,1], and  $R = \mathbb{R}^{(0,1]}$ , by the first isomorphism theorem, we have  $\mathbb{R} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{(0,1]}/_{\ker E_c}$ , since  $E_c(\mathbb{R}^{(0,1]}) = \mathbb{R}$ .
- (4) Let  $n \geq 2$  and consider  $R^{n \times n}$ . Let J an ideal of R. Then  $J^{n \times n} = \{(a_{ij}) : a_{ij \in J}\}$  is an ideal of  $R^{n \times n}$ . Take the ring homomorphism

$$R^{n \times n} \to (R/J)^{n \times n}$$
  
 $(a_{ij}) \to (a_{ij} + J)$ 

Then  $J^{n\times n}$  is the kernel of this homomorphism, so that

$$R^{n \times n} / I^{n \times n} \simeq (R / I)^{n \times n}$$

For example, with n = 3, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}^{3\times3}/_{2\mathbb{Z}^{3\times3}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/_{2\mathbb{Z}})^{3\times3}$$

(5) Let R a commutative ring with identity, and G a finite group of order n. Define the **augmentation** map to be the map

$$RG \to R$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i g_i \to \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i$$

We call the kernel of this map the **augmentation ideal** which is the set of all formal sums whose coefficients sum to 0. Another ideal of RG is the set  $I = \{\sum ag_i : g_i \in G\}$  the set of all formal sums whose coefficients are all equal.

**Theorem 1.3.4** (The First Isomorphism Theorem). If  $\phi : R \to S$  is a ring homomorphism from rings R into S, then ker  $\phi$  is an ideal of R and

$$\phi(R) \simeq \frac{R}{\ker \phi}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\phi} S$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}}$$

$$R \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}}$$

*Proof.* By the first isomorphism theorem for groups,  $\phi$  is a group isomorphism. Now, let  $K = \ker \phi$  and consider the map  $\pi : R \to R/I$  by  $a \xrightarrow{\pi} a + K$ . Define the map  $\overline{\phi} : R/K \to \phi(R)$  such that  $\overline{\phi} \circ \pi = \phi$ , then  $\overline{\phi}$  defines the ring isomorphism.

*Proof.* The map  $\pi: R \to R/I$  defined by  $a \to a + I$ , for any ideal I, is onto, with ker  $\pi = I$ .

**Theorem 1.3.5** (The Second Isomorphism Theorem). Let  $A \subseteq R$  a subring of R, and let B an ideal in R. Define  $A + B = \{a + b : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ . Then A + BR is a subring and  $A \cap B$  is an ideal in A. Then

$$A + B/B \simeq A/A \cap B$$

**Theorem 1.3.6** (The Third Isomorphism Theorem). Let I and J be ideals in a ring R, with  $I \subseteq J$ . Then J/I is an ideal of R/I and

$$R_{/J} = \frac{(R_{/I})}{(J_{/I})}$$

**Theorem 1.3.7** (The Fourth Isomorphism Theorem). Let I an ideal in a ring R, then the correspondence between A and A/I, for any subring  $A \subseteq R$  is an inclusion preserving bijection between subrings of A containing I and R/I. Moreover, A is an ideal if, and only if A/I is an ideal.

**Example 1.11.** We have  $12\mathbb{Z}$  is an ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and that  $\mathbb{Z}/_{12\mathbb{Z}}$  has as ideals

$$\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$$
  $2\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$   $3\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$   $4\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$   $6\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$   $12\mathbb{Z}_{12\mathbb{Z}}$ 

**Lemma 1.3.8.** Let R be a ring with ideals I and J. Then I + J, IJ and  $I^n$ , for any  $n \ge 0$  are ideals of R and we have the lattice



**Example 1.12.** (1) COnsider the ideals  $6\mathbb{Z}$  and  $10\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $6\mathbb{Z} + 10\mathbb{Z}$  is the ideal consisting of all integers of the form 6x + 10y. Now, for  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since (6, 10) = 2,

we have that  $6\mathbb{Z} + 10\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 2\mathbb{Z}$  since 6x + 10y = 2(3x + 5y). Now, we also have that  $2 = 6 \cdot 2 + 10 \cdot -1$  so that  $2 \in 6\mathbb{Z} + 10\mathbb{Z}$  which makes  $2\mathbb{Z} \subseteq 6\mathbb{Z} + 10\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus, we have  $6\mathbb{Z} + 10\mathbb{Z} = 2\mathbb{Z}$ . In general, we have that  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$  where d = (m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n. The ideal  $6\mathbb{Z}10\mathbb{Z}$  gives all integers of the form  $6x10y = 6 \cdot 10(xy) = 60(xy)$ , so that  $6\mathbb{Z}10\mathbb{Z} = 60\mathbb{Z}$ .

(2) Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$  the ideal of polynomials with even constant term. Notce that  $2, x = x + 0 \in I$  so that  $4, x^2 \in I^2 = II$ . So that  $4 + x^2 \in I^2$  which is not in general divisible by elements in I.

#### 1.4 Ideals.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring with identity. We call the smallest ideal containing a nonempty subset A in R the **ideal generated** by A, and we write (A). We call an ideal **principle** if it is generated by a single element of R, i.e. I = (a) for some  $a \in I$ . We say that the ideal (A) is **finitely generated** if |A| is finite, and if  $A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ , then we denote  $(A) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ .

**Example 1.13.** (1) In any commutative ring with identity, the trivial ideal and R are the ideals generated by 0 and 1, respectively, so we write them as (0) and R = (1).

(2) In  $\mathbb{Z}$ , we can write the ideals  $n\mathbb{Z} = (n) = (-n)$ . Notice that every ideal in  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a principle ideal. Moreover, for  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , n|m if, and only if  $n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq n\mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$  is the ideal generated by n and n, where d = (m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n. Indeed, by definition, d|m, n so that  $d\mathbb{Z} \subseteq m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z}$ , and if c|m, n, then c|d, making  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} \subseteq d\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$  is the ideal generated by the greatest common divisor (m, n) and consists of all diophantine equations of the form

$$mx + ny = (m, n)$$

In general, we can define the **greatest common divisor** for integers  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_m$  to be the smallest such integer d generating the ideal  $n_1\mathbb{Z} + \cdots + n_m\mathbb{Z} = d\mathbb{Z}$ . We then write  $d = (n_1, \ldots, n_m)$ .

- (3) Consider the ideal (2, x) of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . (2, x) is not a principle ideal. We have that  $(2, x) = \{2p_xq : p, q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}$ , and that  $(2, x) \neq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Suppose that (2, x) = (a) for some polynomial  $a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , then  $2 \in (a)$ , so that 2 = p(x)a(x), of degree  $\deg p + \deg a$ . This makes p and a constant polynomials in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Now, since 2 is prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then only values for p and q are  $p = \pm 1$  and  $a = \pm 2$ . If  $a(x) = \pm 1$ , then every polynomial in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  can be written as a polynomial in (a), so that  $(a) = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ , impossible. If  $a(x) = \pm 2$ , then since  $x \in (a)$ , we get x = 2q(x) where  $q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . This cannot happen, so that  $(a) \neq (2, x)$ .
- (4) Consider  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$  the ring of all functions  $f:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $M=\{f:f(\frac{1}{2})=0\}$ . Then M is an ideal in  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ , in fact, notice that it is the kernel of the valuation map at  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

1.4. IDEALS. 17

Define  $g:[0,1]\to\mathbb{R}$  by:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ 0, & \text{if } x = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

then f = fg by definition of both f and g. So that M = (g) which makes M a principle ideal. M is not principle in general, consider  $C^{[0,1]}$  the set of all real-valued continuous functions on [0,1].

(5) Let G be a finite group and R a commutative ring with identity. The augmentation ideal in RG is generated by the set  $\{g-1:g\in G\}$ , and we write  $(g_1-1,\ldots,g_n-1)$  where ord G=n. If G is cyclic, then the augmentation ideal is just (g-1), and is principle.

**Lemma 1.4.1.** Let I an ideal in ring R with identity. Then

- (1) I = (1) if, and only if I contains a unit.
- (2) If R is commutative, then R is a field if, and only if its only ideals are (0) and (1).

*Proof.* Recall that R = (1). Now, if I = (1), then  $1 \in I$ , and 1 is a unit. Conversly, suppose that  $u \in I$  with u a unit. By definition, we have that  $r = r \cdot 1 = r(uv) = r(vu) = (rv)u$ , so that  $1 \in I$ . This makes I = (1).

Now, if R is a field, then it is a commutative ring, moreover every  $r \neq 0$  is a unit in R, which makes  $r \in I$  for some ideal  $I \neq (0)$ . This makes every  $I \neq (0)$  equal to (1). COnversly, if (0) and (1) are the only ideals of the commutative ring R, then every  $r \neq 0 \in (1)$ , which makes them units. Hence all nonzero r is a unit in R. This makes R into a field.

**Corollary.** If R is a field, then any nonzero ring homomorphism  $\phi: R \to S$  is 1–1.

*Proof.* If R is a field, then either  $\ker \phi = (0)$  or  $\ker \phi = (1)$ . Now, since  $\ker \phi \neq R$ , we must have  $\ker \phi = (0)$ .

**Definition.** We call a ring D with identity a **division ring** if its only left and right ideals are (0) and (1) respectively.

**Example 1.14.** For any field F, the only two sided ideals of  $F^{n\times n}$  are (0) and (1), so that  $F^{n\times n}$  is a division ring.

**Definition.** For any ideal M in a ring R, we call M maximal if  $M \neq R$ , and if N is an ideal with  $M \subseteq N \subseteq R$ , then either M = N or N = R.

**Lemma 1.4.2.** If R is a ring with identity, every proper ideal is contained in a maximal ideal.

*Proof.* Let I a proper ideal of R. Let  $S = \{N : N \neq (1) \text{ is a proper ideal, and } I \subseteq N\}$ . Then  $S \neq \emptyset$ , as  $I \in S$ , and the relation  $\subseteq$  partially orders S. Let C be a chain in and define

$$J = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{C}} A$$

We have that  $J \neq \emptyset$  since  $(0) \in J$ . Now, let  $a, b \in J$ , then we have that either  $(a) \subseteq (b)$  or  $(b) \subseteq (a)$ , but not both. In either case, we have  $a - b \in J$  so that J is closed under additive inverse. Moreover, since  $A \in \mathcal{C}$  is an ideal, by definition, J is closed with respect to absorbption. This makes J an ideal.

Now, if  $1 \in J$ , then J = (1) and J is not proper, and A = (1) by definition of J. This is a contradiction as A must be proper. Thereofre J must also be a proper ideal. Therefore, C has an upperbound in S, therefore, by Zorn's lemma, S has a maximal element M, i.e. it has a maximal ideal M with  $I \subseteq M$ .

**Lemma 1.4.3.** Let R be a commutative ring with identity. An ideal M is maximal if, and only if  $R_{/M}$  is a field.

*Proof.* If M is maximal, then ther is no ideal  $I \neq (1)$  for which  $M \subseteq I \subseteq R$  By the fourth isomorphism theorem, the ideals of R containing M are in 1–1 correspondence with the those of  $R_M$ . Therefore M is maximal if, and only if the only ideals of  $R_M$  are (M) and (1+M).

- **Example 1.15.** (1) Let  $n \geq 0$  an integer. The ideal  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$  if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a field. Therefore  $n\mathbb{Z}$  is maximal if, and only if n = p a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . So the maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are those  $p\mathbb{Z}$  where p is prime.
  - (2) (2, x) is not principle in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , but it is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , as  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(2, x) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  which is a field.
  - (3) The ideal (x) is not maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}}$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}/_{(x)} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ , which is not a field. Moreover,  $(x) \subseteq (2,x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . We construct this isomorphism by identifying x=0, then all polynomials of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/_{(x)}$  only have constant term in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
  - (4) Let  $a \in [0,1]$ , and  $M_a = \{f : f(a) = 0\}$  the kernel of the valuation map at a. Then M is principle, moreover, we also have that since  $f(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}/M_a \simeq \mathbb{R}$  which makes  $M_a$  maximal.
  - (5) If F is a field and G a finite group of order n, then the augmentation ideal  $(g_1 1, \ldots, g_n 1)$  is maximal in FG. Let  $\pi : \sum g_i a_i \to \sum a_i$ , then  $\ker \pi = (g_1 1, \ldots, g_n 1)$  and  $\pi(FG) = F$ . This makes  $FG/(g_1 1, \ldots, g_n 1) \simeq F$ .

**Definition.** We call an ideal P in a commutative ring R with identity **prime** if  $P \neq (1)$  and if  $ab \in P$  then either  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . Alternatively, if  $(ab) \subseteq P$  then  $(a) \subseteq P$  or  $(b) \subseteq P$ .

**Example 1.16.** The prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $p\mathbb{Z}$  with p prime together with (0).

**Lemma 1.4.4.** An ideal P in a commutative ring with identity, R, is prime if, and only if  $R_{P}$  is an integral domain.

*Proof.* Suppose that P is prime, and let (a+P)(b+P)=ab+P=P. This gives us that  $ab \in P$  and hence  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . Then either a+P=P or b+P=P in R/P. Conversly, if R/P is an integral domain, then for any a+P,b+P ab+P=P implies that either a+P=P or b+P=P. Then  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ , only when  $ab \in P$ . This makes P prime.

Corollary. Every maximal ideal is a prime ideal.

**Example 1.17.** (1) The prime ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$  are  $p\mathbb{Z}$ , where p is prime, which are the maximal ideals of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

(2) The ideal (x) in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is a prime ideal, but it is not maximal as  $(x) \subseteq (2, x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

#### 1.5 Rings of Fractions.

**Lemma 1.5.1.** Let R a commutative ring, and  $D \subseteq R$  be nonempty with  $0 \notin D$  such that D contains no zero divisors of R and that it is closed under multiplication. Define the relation  $\sim$  on  $R \times D$  by

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d)$$
 if, and only if  $ad - bc = 0$ 

Then  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $R \times D$ .

Proof. We have ab-ab=0 so that  $(a,b)\sim(a,b)$ . Moreover, if ad-bc=0, then bc-ad=0 so that  $(a,b)\sim(c,d)$  implies  $(c,d)\sim(a,b)$ . Lastly, let  $(a,b)\sim(c,d)$  and  $(c,d)\sim(e,f)$ . Then ad-bc=0 and cf-ed=0, so af-eb=(ad-bc)f+d(cf-de)=0 so that  $(a,b)\sim(e,f)$ .

**Theorem 1.5.2.** Let R a commutative ring, and  $D \subseteq R$  be nonempty with  $0 \notin D$  such that D contains no zero divisors of R and that it is closed under multiplication. Then there exists a commutative ring Q with identity such that every element of D is a unit of Q.

*Proof.* Define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $R \times D$  by

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d)$$
 if, and only if  $ad - bc = 0$ 

Label the equivalence classes of  $\sim$  over  $R \times D$  as  $\frac{a}{b} = \{(c,d) \in R \times D : ad - bc = 0\}$ . Let

$$Q = R/\sim$$

The factor set of  $\sim$  over  $R \times D$  and define binary operations + and  $\cdot$  by

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad + bc}{bd}$$
$$\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd}$$

Suppose that  $\frac{a}{b} = \frac{a'}{b'}$  and  $\frac{c}{d} = \frac{c'}{d'}$ . Then ab' - a'b = 0 and cd' - c'd = 0. Then

$$(ad + bc)(b'd') = adb'd' + bcb'd'$$

$$= ab'dd' + cd'bb'$$

$$= a'bdd' + c'dbb'$$

$$= (a'd'c'd')bd$$

So that + is well define. By similar reasoning,  $\cdot$  is also well defined.

Now, let  $\frac{a}{b}$ ,  $\frac{c}{d} \in Q$ . Then  $\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \in Q$ , as  $ad+bc \in R$ , and since  $b, d \in D$ ,  $bd \in D$ . Moreover

$$\frac{a}{b} + \left(\frac{c}{d} + \frac{e}{f}\right) = \frac{a}{b} + \frac{cf + de}{df} = \frac{adf + bcf + bde}{bdf}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d}\right) + \frac{e}{f} = \frac{ad - bc}{bd} + \frac{e}{f} = \frac{adf + bcf + bde}{bdf}$$

so that + is associative. Now, take c = 0 and  $d \in D$ , and we have

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{0}{d} = \frac{ad}{bd} = \frac{a}{b}$$

Since, abd - abd = 0 making  $\frac{ad}{bd} = \frac{a}{b}$ . Similarly, take c = -a and  $d \in D$  and we get

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{-a}{d} = \frac{0}{b}$$

So  $\frac{0}{d}$  is the identity, and  $\frac{-a}{d}$  is the inverse of  $\frac{a}{b}$ . Lastly, since R is commutative, this makes

$$\frac{a}{b} + \frac{c}{d} = \frac{c}{d} + \frac{a}{b}$$

Now, notice that since D is closed under multiplication, we have

$$\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d} = \frac{ac}{bd} \in Q$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{a}{b}(\frac{c}{d}\frac{e}{f}) = \frac{a}{b}\frac{ce}{df} = \frac{ace}{bdf} = \frac{ac}{bd}\frac{e}{f} = (\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d})\frac{e}{f}$$

Additionally, since R is commutative, we get

$$\frac{a}{b}\frac{c}{d} = \frac{c}{d}\frac{a}{b}$$

Lastly, take c = d and  $d \in D$ . Then

$$\frac{a}{b}\frac{d}{d} = \frac{ad}{bd} = \frac{a}{b}$$

This makes Q a commutative righ with identity. Moreover, every element of D is a unit in Q Moreover  $R \subseteq Q$  by taking  $r \to \frac{r}{d}$  for some  $d \in D$ .

Corollary. The ring Q satisfies the following

- (1) Q contains a copy of R as a subring, and every element of Q is of the form  $rd^{-1}$ . Moreover, if  $D = R \setminus \{0\}$ , then Q is a field.
- (2) Q is unique and the smallest ring containing a copy of R for which every element of D is a unit.

*Proof.* Imbed R into Q first. Define the map  $\iota: R \to Q$  by taking  $r \to \frac{rd}{d}$  where  $d \in D$ . Notice that  $\frac{rd}{d} = \frac{re}{e}$ , so that  $\iota$  is well defined. Now, since  $\frac{d}{d}$  is the identity of Q, we have

$$\frac{rsd}{d} = \frac{arsd}{dd} = \frac{rd}{d}\frac{sd}{d}$$

So that  $\iota$  is a ring homomorphism. Now, subce no  $d \in D$  is a zero divisor, we have that  $\ker \iota = (0)$  This makes  $\iota$  1–1. Therefore, by the first isomorphism theorem, we get

$$\iota(R) \simeq R$$

Since  $\iota(R) \subseteq Q$ ,  $\iota$  is the required imbedding.

Now, if  $D = R \setminus \{0\}$ , this makes every  $r \in R$ , nonzero into a unit of Q. Then Q has no zero divisors making it an integral domain, thus Q is a field.

Lastly, let  $\phi: R \to S$  be a 1–1 ring homomorphism such that every  $\phi(d)$  is a unit in S, where S is a commutative ring with unit and  $d \in D$ . Define the map  $\Phi: Q \to S$  by taking  $rd^{-1} \to \phi(rd^{-1})$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a 1–1 ring homomorphism, so that by the first isomorphism theorem,

$$\Phi(Q) \simeq S$$

This makes Q unique.

**Definition.** Let R a commutative ring, and  $D \subseteq R$  be nonempty with  $0 \notin D$  such that D contains no zero divisors of R and that it is closed under multiplication. Define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $R \times D$  by

$$(a,b) \sim (c,d)$$
 if, and only if  $ad - bc = 0$ 

and let

$$Q = R \times D_{\sim}$$

Then we call the commutative ring Q, with identity  $1 = \frac{d}{d}$ , the ring of fractions of R. If  $D = R \setminus \{0\}$  and R is an integral domain, we call Q the field of fractions.

**Lemma 1.5.3.** If R is an integral domain, and Q its field of fractions, and F is a field containing  $R' \simeq R$ , then the subfield of F generated by R is isomorphic to Q.

Proof. Let  $\phi: R \to R'$  the ring isomorphism between R and R'. Then the  $\phi: R \to F$  is 1–1. Define then the map  $\Phi: Q \to F$  by  $rd^{-1} \to \phi(rd^{-1})$ . Then  $\Phi$  is 1–1 and by the first isomorphism theorem,  $\Phi(Q) \simeq Q$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(R) = \phi(R) = R' \subseteq \Phi(Q)$ . Now, for all  $r, s \in R$ , we have  $\phi(rs^{-1}) \in \Phi(Q)$  and since every element of Q is of the form  $rs^{-1}$ , any subfield containing R' contains  $\Phi(Q)$ .

**Example 1.18.** (1) The field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Indeed, the construction of the ring of fractions of a commutative ring with identity is inspired by constructing  $\mathbb{Q}$  from  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (2) The field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$  itself. In general if F is a field, it is its own field of fractions.
- (3) The field of fractions of  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  is  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{D}]$ .
- (4)  $2\mathbb{Z}$  as a subring has no zero divisors, so the field of fractions of  $2\mathbb{Z}$  is also  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

CHAPTER 1. RINGS.

#### 1.6 Sun Tzu's Theorem.

**Definition.** Let  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  a collection of commutative rings with identity. We define the **direct product** of  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  to be the direct product of  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  as a group, nmade into a ring by the operation  $(r_{\alpha}), (s_{\alpha}) \to (r_{\alpha}s_{\alpha})$ . We write  $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \ldots$  when  $\{R_{\alpha}\}$  is a countable collection.

**Definition.** We call the ideals  $A, B \subseteq R$  of a ring R comaximal if A + B = R.

**Example 1.19.** If (m, n) = 1, then the ideals  $n\mathbb{Z}$   $m\mathbb{Z}$  with  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$  are comaximal.  $m\mathbb{Z} + n\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$  is the set of all diophantine equations of the form

$$mx + ny = 1$$

**Theorem 1.6.1** (Sun-Tzu's Theorem). LEt  $A_1, \ldots, A_k$  be ideals in a commutative ring R with identity. Then the map

$$R \to R/A_1 \times \cdots \times R/A_k$$
  
 $r \to (r + A_1, \dots, r + A_k)$ 

is a ring homomorphism with kernel

$$K = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} A_i$$

Moreover if for all  $1 \le i, j \le k$  with  $i \ne j$ ,  $A_i$  and  $A_j$  are comaximal, then this map is onto with  $\bigcap A_i = \prod A_i$  so that

$$R_{A_1 \ldots A_k} \simeq R_{A_1} \times \cdots \times R_{A_k}$$

*Proof.* Let k = 2, and  $A_1 = A$  and  $A_2 = B$ . Consider the map

$$\phi: R \to R$$
$$r \to (r+A, r+B)$$

Then  $rs \to (rs + A, rs + B) = (r + A, r + B)(s + A, s + B)$  so that  $\phi$  is a ring homomorphism. Now, let  $r \in \ker \phi$ , then (r + A, r + B) = (A, B) so that  $r \in A \cap B$ , conversly if  $r \in A \cap B$  then r + A = A and r + B = B so that  $r \in \ker \phi$ . Thereofore

$$\ker \phi = A \cap B$$

Now, suppose that A and B are comaximal, that is, A+B=(1). Then there is an  $x \in A$ , and a  $y \in B$  such that x+y=1. Then  $\phi(x)=(0,1)$  and  $\phi(y)=(1,0)$  and  $x=1-y\in 1+B$ . Now, take r+A, s+B, then

$$\phi(rx+sy) = \phi(r)\phi(x) + \phi(s) + \phi(y) = (r+A,r+B)(0,1) + (s+A,s+B)(1,0) = (r+A,s+B)(1,0) = (r$$

this makes  $\phi$  onto, moreover notice that  $AB \subseteq A \cap B$ , and if A + B = (1) = R, then for every  $x \in AB$ ,  $c = c \cdot 1 = cx + cy \in AB$  so that  $A \cap B = AB$ .

Now, by induction on  $k \geq 2$ , takine  $A = A_1$  and  $B = A_2 \dots A_k$  by repeating the above argument, we get the result.

Corollary. Let  $n = p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be the prime factorization of n, where  $p_1 \neq \dots \neq p_k$ . Then

 $\mathbb{Z}/_{n\mathbb{Z}} \simeq U(\mathbb{Z}/_{p_1^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}}) \times \cdots \times U(\mathbb{Z}/_{p_1^{a_1}\mathbb{Z}})$ 

Remark. Sun-Tzu's theorem is most commonly know as the Chines Remainder theorem, however it is the belief of the author that the name of the theorem should credit the author whenever possible. Also note that the Sun-Tzu of this theorem is not the same Sun-Tzu who penned The Art of War.

# Chapter 2

## Domains.

#### 2.1 Eculidian Domains.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring. We call a map  $N: R \to \mathbb{N}$ , with N(0) = 0 a **norm**, or, **degree**. If  $N(a) \ge 0$ , for all  $a \in R$ , then we call N **nonnegative**.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring, and  $N: R \to \mathbb{N}$  a norm. We say that R is a **Euclidean domain** if for all  $a, b \in R$ , with  $b \neq 0$ , there exist elements  $q, r \in R$  such that

$$a = qb + r$$
 where  $r = 0$  or  $N(r) < N(b)$ 

We call q the **quotient** and r the **remainder** of a when **divided** by b.

- **Example 2.1.** (1) Let F be any field, and  $N: F \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by N(a) = 0 for all  $a \in F$ . Then N makes F into a Euclidean domain. Take  $a, b \in F$ , with  $b \neq 0$ , and  $q = ab^{-1}$ . Then a = qb + r where r = 0.
  - (2) The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Euclidean domain with norm N(a) = |a|, the absolute value of a. In fact, the motivation for Euclidean rings comes from the division theorem, or Euclid's theorem for integers.
  - (3) Let F be a field, and consider F[x]. Let  $N: F[x] \to \mathbb{N}$  be defined by  $N: f \to \deg f$ . Then f is a Euclidean domain. If F is not a field, then it is not necessarily true that F[x] be a Euclidean domain.
  - (4) Let  $D \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be squarefree, and consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$ . Define  $N: \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}] = \mathbb{N}$  to be the absolute value of the field norm, that is  $N(a+b\sqrt{D}) = \|a+b\sqrt{D}\| = a^2 + Db^2$ . We notice that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{D}]$  is an integral domain, but it is not a Euclidean domain. This depends on our choice of D. Let D=-1 so that  $\sqrt{D}=i$ , and  $i^2=-1$ . Then the Gaussian integers,  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ , is a Euclidean domain. Let  $x=a+ib, \ y=c+id$  with  $y\neq 0$ . In  $\mathbb{Q}[i]$ , the field of fractions, we have that  $\frac{x}{y}=r+is$ , where

$$r = \frac{ac + bd}{\|y\|^2}$$
 and  $s = \frac{bc - ad}{\|y\|^2}$ 

Now, let p and q be the integers closest to r and s, respectively so that

$$|r-p| \le \frac{1}{2}$$
 and  $|s-q| \le \frac{1}{2}$ 

Let w=(r-p)+i(s-q), and take z=wy. Then we have z=x-(p+iq)y, so that x=(p+iq)y+z, moreover, we have  $\|w\|=(r-p)^2+(q-s)^2\leq \frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}=\frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $\|\cdot\|$  is multiplicative, we have

 $N(w)N(y) \le \frac{1}{2}N(y)$ 

which makes  $\mathbb{Z}[i]$  into a Euclidean domain.

- (5) Let K be a field. We define a **discrete valuation** to be a map  $\nu: K^* \to \mathbb{Z}$  such that
  - (i)  $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b)$ .
  - (ii)  $\nu$  is onto.
  - (iii)  $\min \{\nu(x), \nu(y)\} \le \nu(x+y)$ , for all  $x, y \in K^*$  for which  $x+y \ne 0$ .

We call the set  $\nu K = \{x \in K^* : \nu(x) \ge 0\}$  the **valuation ring** of  $\nu$  and is a subring of  $K^*$ . We call an integral domain R a **discrete valuation ring** if there exists a discrete valuation  $\nu$  on the field of fractions of R, having  $\nu R$  as its valuation ring.

It can be shown that discrete valuation rings are Euclidean domains by the norm  $N: 0 \to 0$  and  $N = \nu$  on all  $R^*$ .

**Lemma 2.1.1.** Every ideal in a Euclidean domain R, is a principle ideal.

*Proof.* If I = (0), we are done. Now, let  $N : R \to \mathbb{N}$  be the norm of R, and consider the image  $N(I) = \{N(a) : a \in I\}$ . By the well ordering principle, N(I) has a minimum element N(d) for some  $d \neq 0$  in I Notice that  $(d) \subseteq I$ . Now, let  $a \in I$ . Since R is a Euclidean domain, there exist  $q, r \in R$  for which

$$a = qd + r$$
 where  $r = 0$  or  $N(r) < N(d)$ 

Then notice that

$$r = a - qd$$

putting  $r \in I$  and  $N(r) \in N(I)$ . Since N(d) is the minimum element, we must have r = 0 so that a = qd, which puts  $a \in (d)$ . Therefore I = (d), making I principle.

- **Example 2.2.** (1) The polynomial ring  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not a Euclidean domain. The ideal (2, x) is not principle.
  - (2) Consider  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , i.e. D=-5. Suppose the ideal  $(3,2+\sqrt{-5})$  is a principle ideal, that is  $(3,2+\sqrt{5})=(a+b\sqrt{-5})$  for some  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ . Then we get that  $3=x(a+b\sqrt{-5})$  and  $2+\sqrt{-5}=y(a+b\sqrt{-5})$ . Then notice that  $||x||a^2+5b^2=9$ , and since  $a^2+5b^2\in\mathbb{Z}^+$ , we must have that  $a^2+5b^2=1,3,9$ .
    - (i) If  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 9$ , then ||x|| = 1 making  $x = \pm 1$  and  $a + b\sqrt{-5} = \pm 3$ , which cannot happen since  $2 + \sqrt{-5}$  is not divisible by 3.

- (ii) the equation  $a^2 + 5b^2 = 3$  cannot happen since it has no integer solutions. This makes
- (iii)  $a^2 + b\sqrt{5} = 1$ , which makes  $(a + \sqrt{-5}) = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ , moreover, we get the equation  $3x + y(2 + \sqrt{-5}) = 1$  for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$ . Multplying both sides by  $2 \sqrt{-5}$ , we get that  $3|(2 \sqrt{-5})$  which is impossible.

In all three cases, we were led to an impossibility, hence  $\mathbb{Z}[sqrt-5]$  cannot be a Euclidean domain.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring, and  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$ . We say that b divides a if there is an  $x \in R$  for which a = bx. We write b|a. We also say that a is a **multiple** of b.

**Definition.** Let R be a commutative ring. We call a nonzero element  $d \in R$  a **greatest** common divisor of elements  $a, b \in R$  if

- (1) d|a and d|b.
- (2) If  $c \in R$  is nonzero such that c|a and c|b, then c|d.

We write d = (a, b).

**Lemma 2.1.2.** Let R be a commutative ring. For any  $a, b \in R$  a nonzero element  $d \in R$  is the greatest common divisor if

- (1)  $(a,b) \subseteq (d)$ .
- (2) If  $c \in R$  is nonzero with  $(a,b) \subseteq (c)$ , then  $(d) \subseteq (c)$ .

In particular, d = (a, b).

*Proof.* The first two statements follow from definition, and the last follows lemma 2.1.1.

**Lemma 2.1.3.** If R is a commutative ring, and  $a, b \in R^*$ , such that (a, b) = (d) for some  $d \in R^*$ , then d is the greatest common divisor of a and b.

**Lemma 2.1.4.** Let R be an inetegral domain. If  $c, d \in R$  generate the same principle ideal, i.e. (d) = (c), then d = uc for some unit  $u \in R$ .

*Proof.* If c = 0 or d = 0, we are done. Suppose then that  $c, d \neq 0$ . Since (d) = (c), we have that d = xc and c = yd for some  $x, y \in R$ . Then d = (xy)d, which makes d(1 - xy) = 0. Since  $d \neq 0$ , we get xy = 1, making x and y units of R.

Corollary. If R is commutative, then greatest common divisors are unique.

**Definition.** We call an integral domain in which every principle ideal is generated by two elements a **Bezout domain**.

Lemma 2.1.5. Every Euclidean domain is a Bezout domain.

**Theorem 2.1.6** (The Extended Euclidean Algorithm). Let R be a Euclidean and  $a, b \neq 0$  elements of R. Let  $d = r_n$  be the least nonzero remainder obtained by dividing a from b recursively n + 1 times. Then

- (1) d = (a, b) is the greatest common divisor of a and b.
- (3) There exist  $x, y \in R$  for which ax + by = d.

*Proof.* By lemma 2.1.1, we get that the ideal (a,b) is principle, so there exists a greatest common divisor of a and b. Now, let  $d = r_n$  be obtained by dividing a and b recursively (n+1) times. Then the  $(n+1)^{st}$  equation gives  $r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n$ , so that  $r_n|r_{n-1}$ . Now, by induction on n if  $r_n|r_{+}k+1$  and  $r_n|r_k$  then the  $(k+1)^{st}$  equation gives  $r_{k-1} = q_{k+1}r_k + r_{k+1}$ , which implies that  $r_n|r_{k-1}$ . Therefore we get in the  $1^{st}$  equation that  $r_n|b$ , and in the  $0^{th}$  that  $r_n|a$ . That is, d|a and d|b.

Now, notice that  $r_0 \in (a, b)$  and that  $r_1 = b - qr_0 \in (b, r_0) \subseteq (a, b)$ . By induction on  $r_n$ , if  $r_{k-1}, r_n \in (a, b)$  then

$$r_{k+1} = r_{k-1} - q_{k+1}r_k \in (r_{k-1}, r_n) \subseteq (a, b)$$

which puts  $r_n \in (a, b)$  making d = (a, b) the greatest common divisor.

**Definition.** Let R be an integral domain, and let  $\tilde{R} = R^* \cup \{0\}$  the set of units together with 0. We call an element  $u \in R \setminus \tilde{R}$  a **universal side divisor** if for all  $x \in R$ , there is a  $z \in \tilde{R}$  such that u|x-z.

**Lemma 2.1.7.** Let R be an integral domain which is not a field. If R is a Euclidean domain, then there exist universal side divisors.

*Proof.* Notice that since R is not a field, that  $\tilde{R} \neq R$  and  $R \setminus \tilde{R}$  is nonempty. Let N be the norm of R, and let  $u \in R \setminus \tilde{R}$  be of minimal norm. Then for all  $x \in R$ , take x = qu + r with r = 0 or N(r) < N(u); By minimality of N(u), we get  $r \in \tilde{R}$ .

**Example 2.3.** Notice that  $\pm 1$  are the only units in the ring  $\mathbb{Z}[1+\frac{\sqrt{-19}}{2}]$ , so that  $\tilde{R}=\{0,1,-1\}$ . Suppose that  $u\in R$  is a universal side divisor, and let  $N=\|\cdot\|$  be the field norm; so that  $\|a+(1+\frac{\sqrt{-19}}{2})b\|=a^2+ab+5b^2$ . If  $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$  and  $b\neq 0$ , then we have  $a^2+ab+5b^2=(a+\frac{b}{2})^2+\frac{19}{4b^2}\geq 5$  so that the smallest nonzero norms are 1 for x=1 and 4 for x=2. Now, if u is a universal side divisor, then u|2-0 or  $u|(2\pm 1)$  that is u|2,u|3 or u|1 making u a nonunit divsor. If 2=xy then  $4=\|x\|\|y\|$  and so that  $\|x\|=1$  or  $\|y\|=1$ . Hence the only divisors of 2 in  $\mathbb{Z}[1+\frac{\sqrt{-19}}{2}]$  are  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm 2$ . Similarly the only divisors of 3 arew  $\pm 1$  or  $\pm 3$  hence  $u=\pm 2$  or  $u=\pm 3$ . Letting  $x=\frac{1+\sqrt{-19}}{2}$ , then x, nor  $x\pm 1$  are divisible by any possible u. Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}[1+\frac{\sqrt{-19}}{2}]$  has no universal side divisors, and cannot be a Euclidean domain.

#### 2.2 Principle Ideal Domains.

# Bibliography

- [1] D. Dummit, Abstract algebra. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2004.
- $[2]\,$  I. N. Herstein, Topics~in~algebra. New York: Wiley, 1975.