

**Lode Li, Martin Shubik,
Matthew J. Sobel**

[„Actually I am different.“
Subjective constructions of
ethnic identity in a migration
context and new ways in
psychological acculturation
research]

Hochschule Bremerhaven

Control of Dividends, Capital Subscriptions, and Physical Inventories.

Lode Li, Martin Shubik, Matthew J. Sobel
von Lode Li, Martin Shubik,
Matthew J. Sobel

Abstract [English]

. inhaltsverzeichnis: problemstellung und empfehlungen (5-8);
muriel asseburg: einführung (9-14); johannes reissner: iran:
wie sich die politik von der religion emanzipiert (15-21);
ioannis n. grigoriadis: die erste 'muslimisch-demokratische'
partei? die akp und die reform des politischen islams in der
türkei (22-29); guido steinberg: zwischen pragmatismus und
konfessioneller säuberung: schiitische islamisten im irak (30-
36); muriel asseburg: die palästinensische hamas zwischen
widerstandsbewegung und reformregierung (37-46); isabelle
werenfels: algeriens legale islamisten: von der 'fünften
kolonne' zur stütze des regimes (47-53); katja niethammer:
bahrainisches paradox: autoritäre islamisten durch
partizipation, prodemokratische durch exklusion? (54-62);
noha antar: die muslimbruderschaft in ägypten: zwiespältige
reformer (63-76); eva wegner: inklusion oder repression. über
die kosten-nutzen-kalküle autoritärer herrscher (77-83); muriel
asseburg: schlussfolgerungen und empfehlungen (84-
93). 'over the last years, islamist movements and parties have
more and more been able to translate their popularity into
impressive election successes or victories, for example in
egypt, iraq, and palestine. in many states in what has been
termed the 'broader middle east,' moderate islamists are today
the most important actors alongside current or former regime
elites. without doubt they will in the mid- to long term be forces
to be reckoned with and will have greater influence on political
decision-making processes than civil society or radical or
terrorist groups. although they mostly espouse socially
conservative positions, they often make progressive demands
when it comes to reform of the political system. it is often said
that islamist calls for democratization are of a purely tactical
nature, and that, if they came to power, they would set about
establishing authoritarian theocratic regimes. indeed, the 'risk'
of political opening is that power could pass to forces where
we cannot today know whether they will play by democratic
rules. at the same time, however, it is obvious that political
opening is not possible as long as it excludes those forces that
have the greatest support among the population and often
represent the only effectively organized alternative to
authoritarian regimes. the contributions in this volume look at
the cases of iran, turkey, iraq, algeria, bahrain, egypt and
palestine analyzing the questions: what are the priorities of
islamist actors? what do their reform agendas look like? to