

## Lecture 3:

## Inheritance and Composition

Reflecting the Whole in the Part

#### Wholeness Statement

Inheritance and Composition are types of relationships between classes that support reuse of code. Inheritance makes polymorphism possible, but can lock classes into a structure that may not be flexible enough in the face of change. Composition is more flexible but does not support polymorphism.


Composition and inheritance are techniques based on the principle of preserving sameness in diversity, silence in dynamism

## **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

## Review of Inheritance

```
class Superclass {
  protected void supermethod() {
    int x = 0;
class Subclass extends Superclass {
  public static void main(String[] args) {
    Superclass sub = new Subclass();
    //subclass has access to data and
    // non-private methods of superclass
    sub.supermethod();
```



Note: There are some subtle points about the protected keyword that we do not explain here (but see the Appendix to these slides at the end for more information). See demo lesson03.lecture.inheritance0

Most Restrictive \*


Least Restrictive

Access Modifiers ->	private	Default/no-access	protected	public
Inside class	Υ	Υ	Υ	Υ
Same Package Class	N	Υ	Υ	Υ
Same Package Sub-Class	N	Υ	Υ	Υ
Other Package Class	N	N	N	Υ
Other Package Sub-Class	N	N	Υ	Υ

(more general, abstract) superclass


## Example


- Relationship between a general and a specific class
  - IS-A relationship
  - no multiplicity






- Demo in StarUML
  - How to implement inheritance?
  - Generate Code







## Inheritance Arises . . .

As a way to *generalize* data and behavior of related classes

Faculty
properties:
name
address
phoneNumber
drivesVehicle
title

behavior:

computeStipend()

Secretary properties:

name address

> phoneNumber drivesVehicle

yearsOfService

behavior:

computeStipend()

#### «abstract» **StaffPerson**

-name: String-address: String

-phoneNumber: String-drivesVehicle: String

«abstract»+computeStipend(): double

+getName(): String +getAddress(): String

+getPhoneNumber(): String

+getDrivesVehicle(): String



-title: String

+getTitle(): String

+computeStipend(): double

#### Secretary

-yearsOfService: int

+getYearsOfService(): int

+computeStipend(): double

#### As a way to extend the behavior of a particular class

```
class Employee {
  //constructor
  Employee (String aName,
            double aSalary) {
         name = aName;
         salary = aSalary;
  public String getName() {
         return name;
  public double getSalary() {
        return salary;
  public void raiseSalary(double byPercent) {
         double raise = salary * byPercent / 100;
         salary += raise;
  private String name;
  private double salary;
```

```
class Manager extends Employee {
  public Manager(String name, double salary) {
         super(name, salary);
         bonus = 0;
   @Override
  public double getSalary() {
         //no direct access to private
         //variables of superclass
         double baseSalary = super.getSalary();
         return baseSalary + bonus;
  public void setBonus(double b) {
         bonus = b:
  private double bonus;
```

## Overriding a method

- A subclass can change inherited behavior of the super class by overriding methods
- Java Method Overriding Restrictions & Rules
  - Method must exist in superclass.
    - You're overriding a method, not creating a new one.
  - Method signature must match exactly.
    - Same name, parameters, and order (return type must be compatible).
  - Return type must be the same or covariant.
    - Covariant = subclass of the return type in the parent method.
  - Access level cannot be more restrictive.
    - You can make it more open (e.g., protected → public),
       but not more private.

## Overriding a method (Cont.)

- Cannot override final methods
- Cannot override static methods
- Cannot override private methods
- Throws clause can be more specific
  - You can throw fewer or narrower exceptions than the overridden method.
- Best practice is to also add the @Override annotation

## Best Practices for Using Inheritance

• *IS-A Principle* Class C may extend class D if C IS-A D.

**Example:** Manager IS-A Employee

**Example:** Secretary IS-A StaffPerson

• Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP): C may extend D if an object of type C may be used during execution where an object of type D is expected, without breaking the code.


**Example of LSP** We may use a Manager instance wherever an Employee instance is expected, so having Manager as a subclass of Employee adheres to LSP.

## **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

# Wrong Use of Inheritance: Convenient Code Re-use

- We've written the code for move() in our car class, and we want to re-use this code for our bicycle class.
- Why is this a bad design decision?




Changes to the Car class would be inherited by Bicycle and such changes may not make sense.


For instance: Car class may be updated to have a choice between automatic and manual transmission, and then be equipped with an abstract method updateTransmission(). Then Bicycle will have to implement it – but it would be meaningless to do so.

## Inheritance Just for Code Reuse

• The following classes all have a name property




Why is this use of inheritance a poor design decision?



- Rigidly binds these classes together
- Name may evolve in different ways
- Scenario: What if Person is updated to have firstName and lastName?

• What about using inheritance like this?



#### **Problems:**

- Violates IS-A and LSP
- Wastes inheritance opportunity
- CommonMethods will evolve into a mess – eventually it will contain methods that may be useful only for one or two of its subclasses.
- Use utility class instead

#### Exercise 3.1

For which of the pairs A, B of classes shown below is it correct to say that A inherits from B?

- Cat, Animal
- DigitalWatch, Timepiece
- HumanBeing, Being
- CompanyPresident, Employee
- Customer, Account
- Book, Library
- Stack, List
- Circle, Ellipse



## Exercise 3.1 - Solution

For which of the pairs A, B of classes shown below is it correct to say that A inherits from B?

- Cat, Animal Good (Cat IS-A Animal)
- DigitalWatch, Timepiece Good (DigitalWatch IS-A Timepiece)
- HumanBeing, Being Not Bad (but maybe not useful)
- CompanyPresident, Employee Good (usually the case)
- Customer, Account Bad (Customer is not an Account)
- Book, Library Bad (Book is not a Library though it may belong to one)
- Stack, List ?? See upcoming slides
- Circle, Ellipse ?? See upcoming slides

## Subtle Mistake Using Inheritance

What's wrong with the following implementation of a stack? (Hint: Problem shows up when you try to apply LSP.) Note: the Java 1.1 library implementation of Stack made the same mistake – jdk 1.2 fixed it, but IS-A principle is still violated.

```
class Stack<T> extends ArrayList<T> {
    private int stackPointer = 0;

public void push(T article) {
    int insertPosition = stackPointer++;
    add(insertPosition, article);
}

public T pop() {
    return remove(--stackPointer);
}
```

#### See Demo:

lesson03.lecture. stacklinkedlist


### Main Point 1

Inheritance is used to model IS-A relationships and must obey the Liskov Substitution Principle.

Although Inheritance offers reuse (the subclass inherits all public and protected methods and attributes), reuse should never be the *sole reason* for

creating an inheritance relationship.

The field of pure intelligence is inherited by everyone, and can easily be accessed through the practice of the TM technique.



## **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance (even when used correctly)
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

#### Benefits of Inheritance

- It reduces code redundancy. (E.g. Faculty, Secretary classes.)
- Subclasses are much more succinct (smaller class file) than they would be without inheritance. (E.g. Faculty, Secretary classes.)
- You are reusing and extending code that has already been thoroughly tested – without modifying it. (E.g. Manager class)
- You can derive a new class from an existing class even if you don't own the source code for the latter! (See demo:

```
lesson03.lecture.inheritance1.MyStringList.)
```

## **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance (even when used correctly)
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

What LSP Expects:

Anywhere you use Rectangle, replacing it with Square should not change the expected behavior.


# Fragility of Inheritance

Subclasses of a superclass – even when the IS-A criterion is met – may use the superclass in unexpected ways leading to broken code.

- Example: the Rectangle-Square Problem
  See lesson03.lecture.inheritance2
- The Rectangle-Square Problem arises because of the presence of setters.
  - Code shows that Square should not inherit from Rectangle because of LSP
  - If setters are not allowed (which would mean that Square and Rectangle are considered immutable), there is no problem about inheritance.
- Viewing a Circle as a subclass of Ellipse leads to the same set of issues.

Liskov Substitution Principle (LSP): C may extend D if an object of type C may be used during execution where an object of type D is expected, without breaking the code.

# Ellipse



Area of ellipse =  $\pi$  a b where,

a = length of semi-major axis


b = length of semi-minor axis

Ref: <a href="https://www.cuemath.com/geometry/area-of-an-ellipse/">https://www.cuemath.com/geometry/area-of-an-ellipse/</a>

# Inheritance Violates Encapsulation: The Ripple Effect

If A is a subclass of B, even if A is not modified in any way, a change in B can break A. (This is called the *Ripple Effect*.)

• Example 1: Suppose Super class B changes the method signature, subclass breaks at compile time. (lesson03.lecture.inheritance5)



#### Example 2: Extending HashSet - see

lesson03.lecture.inheritance3.

- Problem: In implementation of HashSet, addAll calls the add method, so we are incrementing addCount too many times in calls to addAll.
- Fix: Don't increment addCount in addAll operations
- The real problem: Now ExtendedHashSet depends on an undocumented implementation detail of HashSet. If creators of HashSet change the implementation of addAll, ExtendedHashSet could break.

This is an example of the Ripple Effect; the internal implementation of addCount in ExtendedHashSet can be undermined by a change in implementation in the super class – this is a violation of encapsulation.

Ref:

## **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance (even when used correctly)
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

## Designing for Inheritance

 To support inheritance, a class must document which overridable methods it uses in its own internal operations.

Example: the remove method in AbstractCollection

 More subtle points about use of inheritance may also need to be considered: See Bloch, Effective Java, pp. 88 - 89

## Forbidding Inheritance

#### Three ways:

- 1. Make the class final, OR
- 2. Make all constructors private and provide static factory methods to create instances, OR
- 3. Use sealed classes

### What Is a Sealed Class?

• Sealed classes, introduced in Java 15 (as a preview feature) and finalized in Java 17, are a way to restrict which other classes or interfaces may extend or implement them.

Keywords	Meaning
sealed	Restricts which classes/interfaces can extend or implement it
permits	Declares <b>exactly</b> which classes are allowed to extend it
final	Prevents further subclassing
non-sealed	Allows further subclassing (removes sealing for that subclass)

## Example of Sealed Class

```
public sealed abstract class Shape permits Circle, Square {
       public abstract double area();
public final class Circle extends Shape {
   private double radius;
   public Circle(double r) { this.radius = r; }
   public double area() { return Math.PI * radius * radius; }
public non-sealed class Square extends Shape {
    private double side;
    public Square(double s) { this.side = s; }
    public double area() { return side * side; }
```

# Example of Sealed Interface

```
public sealed interface TransactionFailure permits NetworkIssue, InSufficientFund{
    String reason();
}
```

```
public non-sealed class NetworkIssue implements TransactionFailure{
    @Override
    public String reason() {
        return "It is due to network issue.";
    }
}
```

```
public non-sealed class InSufficientFund implements TransactionFailure{
    @Override
    public String reason() {
       return "No enough funds available";
    }
} class LowBalanceWarning extends InSufficientFund {}//It is allowed
```

```
sealed interface A permits B, C {} 3 usages 3 implementations non-sealed interface B extends A {} 1 usage non-sealed interface C extends A {} 1 usage interface D extends A {} no usages
```

'lesson3.lecture.sealed\_demo.D' is not allowed in the sealed hierarchy

Add 'D' to permits list of sealed class 'A' てなく More actions... てく

#### Rules

- Must Declare Permitted Subtypes
- All permitted subclasses must be in the same module or same package.
- Subclasses must explicitly declare themselves as:
  - final
  - sealed
  - non-sealed
- Enforced at Compile Time
  - If any class not listed in permits tries to extend a sealed class, the compiler will throw an error.


#### **Outline of Topics**

- Review of inheritance concepts and implementation in Java
- Wrong uses of inheritance
- Benefits of inheritance
- Problems with inheritance (even when used correctly)
  - Fragility
    - Rectangle-Square Problem
  - Violates encapsulation: Ripple effect
    - Enhancing HashSet
- Best Practice (J. Bloch): Design for inheritance or else prevent it
- Using Composition
  - Instead of inheritance Example: a Stack class
  - In combination with inheritance Example: Inheriting from a Role

### Using "Composition" Instead of Inheritance

Demo: lessono3.lecture.composition1


- To avoid the pitfalls of inheritance, it is always possible to use composition instead of inheritance.
- To illustrate the technique, imagine two classes, Person and PersonWithJob. Instead of asking PersonWithJob to inherit from Person, you can compose Person in PersonWithJob and forward requests for Person functionality to the composed class. We still get the benefit of reusing Person.



# Example: Better Implementation of Stack

See lesson03.lecture.composition2 for an implementation using the Composition pattern


# Example Composition and Inheritance



What are some limitations of this design?

#### Example

#### Composition and Inheritance




#### Problems:

- Inheritance is a static relationship and it must be decided at object construction time which type of person someone is
- Once constructed, a person cannot change from being a Student to being Staff or Faculty
  - In the <u>real world</u> people change all the time
- Also a person cannot assume multiple roles of being a Staff member and a Student at the same time
  - Again, not how <u>it really works</u>





# Exercise 3.2 Composition and Inheritance




 Think of a way to redesign this class hierarchy using composition. You do not need to eliminate inheritance, but can you use composition to solve the problems mentioned in the previous slide?

Once constructed, a person cannot change from being a Student to being Staff or Faculty Also a person cannot assume multiple roles of being a Staff member and a Student at the same time

#### Solution

 Introduce a PersonRole class. This allows a Person to assume one or more PersonRoles



#### Main Point 2

Inheritance should be used only when you have a clear IS-A relationship and even then, a careful plan for using inheritance should be thought through. Otherwise, it is better to forbid inheritance and use composition.

Even in clear IS-A relationships, inheritance may not be the best choice because of its inflexibility.

Software relationships that reflect the real world are more natural and easier to understand. Likewise, life in accord with natural law tends to go forward without obstacles; life in violation of natural law tends to be "bumpy".

#### Summary

Today we considered some of the advantages and disadvantages of using inheritance. We must be cautious when using inheritance because it is a permanent relation for the lifetime of an object. This fact can conflict with our goal to build software that supports change and extensibility.

In general, composition has better support for change so we favor using composition except in cases where we have a clear 'is-a' relationship and anticipate the need for polymorphism.

## Connecting the Parts of Knowledge With the Wholeness of Knowledge

- 1. When requirements change, you should implement these changes by adding new code, not by changing old code that already works.
- 2. Inheritance and Composition are Object-Oriented principles that support reuse of implementation.
- 3. <u>Transcendental Consciousness</u> is the infinitely adaptable field of pure intelligence that can be 'reused' by every individual in all places, at all times.
- 4. Wholeness moving within itself: In Unity Consciousness, the individual is united with everything else, and inherits the total potential of natural for fulfillment of all desires spontaneously.

### Appendix: The Gosling Rules

Whenever we have 3 Java classes, SuperClass, CallingClass, ObjectRefClass so that

- SuperClass and CallingClass belong to different packages
- SuperClass has a protected member proMem
- CallingClass and ObjectRefClass are both subclasses of SuperClass
- A method inside CallingClass attempts to access proMem by way of an object reference to ObjectRefClass for instance void aMethod(ObjectRefClass ref) {
   ref.proMem;


}

• AND, ObjectRefClass is NOT a subclass of CallingClass

#### **THEN**

There is a compiler error – proMem is not considered to be visible to ref.

Example: For our clone () example, the SuperClass is Object (and the protected member proMem is Object's clone () method), the CallingClass is CallingClass, and the ObjRefClass is MyDataClass.



### Appendix: The Gosling Rules

[From Gosling, The Java Programming Language]

- A. A protected member of a class is always accessible within that class
- B. A protected member of a class SuperClass is always accessible to any method of a calling class that lives in the same package as SuperClass (even if the method sends a message to a subclass ObjRefClass (of SuperClass) object belonging to a different package).
- C. A protected member of a class <code>SuperClass</code> can also be accessed from a another class <code>CallingClass</code> in a different package, through an object reference (an instance of <code>ObjRefClass</code>) that is a narrower type than, or of the same type as, <code>CallingClass-i.e.</code> another instance of <code>CallingClass</code> or an instance of a subclass of <code>CallingClass</code>. If the type of the object reference fails to be the same as or narrower than <code>CallingClass</code>, access to the protected member is not allowed.

# Appendix: Three Ways to Resolve the Protected Paradox

- 1. Make ObjRefClass a subclass of CallingClass
- 2. Put CallingClass in the same package as SuperClass
- 3. Override SuperClass's protected method in ObjRefClass (but the new version of the method should now be public\*; this overriding method is then called by CallingClass). This 3<sup>rd</sup> solution is not related to the Gosling rules it just uses the fact that public methods of an instance can be accessed by other objects.

Solution 3 is the way clone() must be handled. In general, if you are subclassing a third-party class such as SuperClass (as we do always in relation to the class Object), Solution 3 is our only option. (demo)

<sup>\*</sup>public is the typical way. It can also be protected, but then, in accordance with the Gosling Rules, either CallingClass and ObjRefClass must belong to the same package, or ObjRefClass must be a subclass of CallingClass.

#### Appendix:

### Example: Protected Member Accessible If Object Ref Class Is a Subclass of Calling Class

```
public class CallingClass {
   public MyClass tryToClone(MyClass cl)
          throws CloneNotSupportedException {
      //ok because MyClass extends CallingClass
      return (MyClass) cl.clone();
   public static void main(String[] args) {
      CallingClass cc = new CallingClass();
      MyClass cl = new MyClass();
      trv {
         //This works
         MyClass result = cc.tryToClone(cl);
      catch (CloneNotSupportedException e) {
public class MyClass extends CallingClass implements Cloneable {
   String name = "harry";
```