Final Exam

ALECK ZHAO

December 10, 2016

- 1. (20 points) Let G be a group of order 6.
 - (a) (5 points) How many 3-Sylow subgroups are there in G?

Solution. We have $|G| = 3^1 \cdot 2$. By Sylow's Third Theorem, we have

$$n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
$$n_3 \mid 2$$

From the second condition, we must have either $n_3 = 1$ or $n_3 = 2$. Only $n_3 = 1$ satisfies the first condition, so there is exactly $\boxed{1}$ 3-Sylow subgroup in G.

(b) (5 points) Show that G contains at least one subgroup of order 2.

Proof. Since $|G| = 2^1 \cdot 3$, by Sylow's First Theorem, G contains a subgroup of order $2^1 = 2$, as desired.

Assume, for the remaining part of the exercise, that G is not cyclic.

(c) (5 points) Let H be a subgroup of G of order 2. Consider the set $\Omega = \{aH \mid a \in G\}$ of left cosets of H in G. G acts on Ω as follows:

$$G \times \Omega \to \Omega$$
, $(g, aH) \mapsto gaH$, $\forall g \in G, \forall a \in G$

Determine the cardinality $|\Omega|$ of Ω .

Solution. We have

$$|G:H| = \frac{|G|}{|H|} = \frac{6}{2} = 3$$

and |G:H| counts the number of cosets of H in G, which is exactly $|\Omega|$.

(d) (5 points) Let

$$\varphi: G \to S_{|\Omega|}, \quad \varphi(g)(aH) = gaH$$

be the group homomorphism of G into the group of permutations of Ω . Determine $\ker(\varphi)$.

Solution. Since $|G| = 2^1 \cdot 3$ and H is a Sylow 2-subgroup, by Sylow's Third Theorem, we have the following:

$$n_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$
$$n_2 \mid 3$$

From the second equation, there must be either 1 or 3 Sylow 2-subgroups. From part (a), there is exactly 1 Sylow 3-subgroup, suppose it is K and it is normal in G. If H is the only Sylow 2-subgroup, it is also normal in G, and $|G| = |H| \cdot |K|$, so by a theorem, $G \cong HK$. However, $H \cong C_2$ and $K \cong C_3$ since these subgroups have prime order, so $G \cong C_2 \times C_3$, which is cyclic. This is a contradiction, since we assumed G was not cyclic. Thus, $n_2 = 3$ and there are three Sylow 2-subgroups.

If $g \in \ker(\varphi)$, then

$$\varphi(g)(aH) = aH \implies (ga)H = aH, \quad \forall a \in G$$

Since these are cosets, we must have

$$a^{-1}ga \in H \implies g \in aHa^{-1}, \quad \forall a \in G$$

Since there are three unique Sylow 2-subgroups that are all conjugates of H, the only element contained in all conjugates of H is the identity element. Thus, q = 1, so $\ker(\varphi) = \{1\}$.

2. (20 points) Let

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 10 & 9 & 8 & 11 & 7 & 3 & 2 & 6 & 12 & 5 & 4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

be a permutation of the set $X_{12} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots, 12\}$. Compute σ^{2000}

Solution. We may decompose σ into disjoint cycles:

$$\sigma = (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)(3, 8, 6)(4, 11)$$

Since disjoint cycles commute with each other, we have

$$\sigma^{2000} = (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)^{2000} (3, 8, 6)^{2000} (4, 11)^{2000}$$

The first cycle has 7 elements, so it has order 7. Similarly, the second cycle has order 3, and the third cycle has order 2. Thus, we have

$$\begin{split} \sigma^{2000} &= (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)^{2000} (3, 8, 6)^{2000} (4, 11)^{2000} \\ &= (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)^{7 \cdot 285 + 5} (3, 8, 6)^{3 \cdot 666 + 2} (4, 11)^{2 \cdot 1000} \\ &= (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)^{5} (3, 8, 6)^{2} \end{split}$$

Let $\tau = (1, 10, 5, 7, 2, 9, 12)$ and $\lambda = (3, 8, 6)$. We have

$$\tau = \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 10 \\ 10 \mapsto 5 \\ 5 \mapsto 7 \\ 7 \mapsto 2 \\ 2 \mapsto 9 \\ 9 \mapsto 12 \\ 12 \mapsto 1 \end{cases} \implies \tau^{5} = \begin{cases} 1 \mapsto 9 \\ 9 \mapsto 7 \\ 7 \mapsto 10 \\ 10 \mapsto 12 \\ 12 \mapsto 2 \\ 2 \mapsto 5 \\ 5 \mapsto 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda = \begin{cases} 3 \mapsto 8 \\ 8 \mapsto 6 \\ 6 \mapsto 3 \end{cases} \implies \lambda^2 = \begin{cases} 3 \mapsto 6 \\ 6 \mapsto 8 \\ 8 \mapsto 3 \end{cases}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$\sigma^{2000} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 \\ 9 & 5 & 6 & 4 & 1 & 8 & 10 & 3 & 7 & 12 & 11 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- 3. (20 points) Let $A = C([0,1], \mathbb{R})$ be the ring of continuous (for the Euclidean topology) functions $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $I \subset A$ be the subset of functions $f \in A$ such that f(1/2) = 0.
 - (a) (5 points) Show that I an ideal of A.

Proof. We first show that I is an additive subgroup of A. The additive identity in A is $f_0(x) \equiv 0$ which is in I because $f_0(1/2) = 0$. Next, for two functions $f, g \in I$, we have

$$(f+g)(1/2) = f(1/2) + g(1/2) = 0$$

so $f + g \in I$. Finally, if $h \in I$, then h(1/2) = 0. The additive inverse of h is -h, and

$$(-h)(1/2) = -h(1/2) = 0$$

so $-h \in I$ as well. Thus, I is an additive subgroup of A.

Let $f \in A$. We know that A is a commutative ring, so it suffices to consider a single direction of multiplication. Let $g \in I$, so for the product fg, we have

$$(fg)(1/2) = f(1/2) \cdot g(1/2) = f(1/2) \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus, $fg \in I$ as well, so $fI \subset I$ thus I is an ideal, as desired.

(b) (5 points) Is I a prime ideal? Prove or disprove it.

Proof. Since A is a commutative ring, I being a prime ideal is equivalent to A/I being an integral domain. Let $f + I, g + I \in A/I$ where $f, g \in A$. Then the product is

$$(f+I)(g+I) = fg + I$$

If this product is equal to 0 coset, then it is equal to I. Thus, $fg \in I$, so,

$$(fg)(1/2) = f(1/2) \cdot g(1/2) = 0$$

Since $f(1/2), g(1/2) \in \mathbb{R}$ it must be that either f(1/2) = 0 or g(1/2) = 0. Thus, $f \in I$ or $g \in I$, which means either f + I = I or g + I = I, so A/I is an integral domain. Thus, I is indeed a prime ideal.

(c) (10 points) Is I a maximal ideal? Prove or disprove it.

Proof. Clearly $I \neq A$ since not all continuous functions evaluate to 0 at 1/2. Let J be an ideal in A such that $I \subsetneq J \subseteq A$. Then there exists an element $g \in J$ such that $g \notin I \implies g(1/2) \neq 0$. Then consider some $f \in A$, which we may write as

$$f = \left(f - \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g\right) + \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g$$

Note that

$$\left(f - \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g\right)(1/2) = f(1/2) - \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g(1/2) = 0 \implies f - \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g \in I$$

$$\implies f - \frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g \in J$$

since I is a subset of J, and

$$\frac{f(1/2)}{g(1/2)} \cdot g \in J$$

since it is a constant times $g \in J$. Thus, f is a sum of elements in J, which is an additive subgroup of A and therefore closed under addition, so we conclude that $f \in J$ as well, so $A \subseteq J$. Combining this with the fact that $J \subseteq A$ we get A = J. Thus, the only ideal of A containing I is A itself, so I is indeed maximal.

- 4. (20 points) Consider the polynomial $f(x) = x^2 + 2x + 3$ in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.
 - (a) (5 points) Is f(x) irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$? If yes, prove it, if not determine a proper factorization of f(x) in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$.

Proof. If f is reducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$, then f factors as (x-a)(x-b). However, we have

$$f(0) = 3$$

$$f(1) = 1 + 2 + 3 \equiv 1$$

$$f(2) = 4 + 4 + 3 \equiv 1$$

$$f(3) = 9 + 6 + 3 \equiv 3$$

$$f(4) = 16 + 8 + 3 \equiv 2$$

so there does not exist a value $a \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ such that f(a) = 0 since \mathbb{Z}_5 is an integral domain. Thus, f does not factor as a product of linear terms, so it is irreducible.

(b) (10 points) Let I = (f(x)) be the principal ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ generated by f(x). Consider the factor ring $F = \mathbb{Z}_5[x]/I$.

Prove that the coset $\overline{x} := x + I$ is invertible in F (i.e. find its multiplicative inverse) and determine the order of \overline{x} in the multiplicative group F^{\times} of units of F.

Proof. The multiplicative identity in F is 1 + I, since for any coset f + I, we have

$$(f+I)(1+I) = f+I$$

Thus, we must find an element $g+I\in F$ such that

$$(g+I)(x+I) = gx + I = 1 + I$$

which means that $gx - 1 \in I$ where $g \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$. Thus, we must have

$$ax - 1 = h(x^2 + 2x + 3)$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ with degree at most 1. For simplicity, let h = 3, so

$$gx - 1 = 3(x^2 + 2x + 3) = 3x^2 + 6x + 9$$

$$\equiv 3x^2 + x - 1$$

$$\implies gx = 3x^2 + x$$

$$\implies g = 3x + 1$$

Thus, the multiplicative inverse of \overline{x} is given by 3x + 1 + I.

If $o(\overline{x}) = n$, then we have

$$(x+I)^n = x^n + I = 1 + I \implies x^n - 1 \in I$$

Note that $x^5 \equiv x \pmod{5}$ by Fermat's Little Theorem, so

$$x^4 = 1 \implies x^4 - 1 = 0 \in I$$

so $o(\overline{x}) \mid 4$, so the order is 1, 2, or 4.

If n = 1, then

$$x-1 \in I \implies x-1 = h(x^2 + 2x + 3)$$

for some $h \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$. This is impossible, because \mathbb{Z}_5 is an integral domain, so the degree of the RHS is greater than 1. Thus, $n \neq 1$.

If n=2, then

$$x^2 - 1 \in I \implies x^2 - 1 = h(x^2 + 2x + 3)$$

Here, we must have deg h = 0 and h monic, so h = 1, but this does not satisfy the equality. Thus, $n \neq 2$.

Thus, n=4 is the smallest integer that satisfies $x^n-1 \in I$, and we know this is true because $x^4-1 \equiv 1-1=0$ in \mathbb{Z}_5 . Thus, the order of \overline{x} is $\boxed{4}$.

(c) (5 points) Find, if exists, a coset of order 3 in F^{\times} .

Solution. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}_5[x]$ such that deg $f \leq 1$. Then suppose the coset f + I has order 3, then

$$(f+I)^3 = f^3 + I = 1 + I \implies f^3 - 1 \in I$$

We can simplify by assuming deg $f \le 1$, so f = ax + b, and

$$(ax+b)^3 - 1 = (ax+b-1)[(ax+b)^2 + (ax+b) + 1] \in I$$

If this is in I, then $x^2 + 2x + 3$ divides this product, and since $x^2 + 2x + 3$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$, it must divide the quadratic part:

$$q(x^{2} + 2x + 3) = (ax + b)^{2} + (ax + b) + 1 = a^{2}x^{2} + (2ab + a)x + (b^{2} + b + 1)$$

The only possibility is $q = a^2$, so

$$a^{2}(x^{2} + 2x + 3) = a^{2} + 2a^{2}x + 3a^{2} = a^{2}x^{2} + (2ab + a)x + (b^{2} + b + 1)$$

and equating coefficients, we have

$$2a^2 = 2ab + a$$
$$3a^2 = b^2 + b + 1$$

Since \mathbb{Z}_5 is an integral domain, the first equation implies that 2a = 2b + 1. For the second equation, we have the following:

$$3a^{2} = \begin{cases} 0 \mapsto 0 \\ 1 \mapsto 3 \\ 2 \mapsto 2 \\ 3 \mapsto 2 \\ 4 \mapsto 3 \end{cases}, \qquad b^{2} + b + 1 = \begin{cases} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 3 \\ 2 \mapsto 2 \\ 3 \mapsto 3 \\ 4 \mapsto 1 \end{cases}$$

so the possible pairs satisfying the second equation and corresponding equation 1 results are

$$(a,b) = \begin{cases} (1,1) & \Longrightarrow 2(1) \neq 2(1) + 1 \\ (1,3) & \Longrightarrow 2(1) = 2(3) + 1 \\ (2,2) & \Longrightarrow 2(2) \neq 2(2) + 1 \\ (3,2) & \Longrightarrow 2(3) \neq 2(2) + 1 \\ (4,1) & \Longrightarrow 2(4) = 2(1) + 1 \\ (4,3) & \Longrightarrow 2(4) \neq 2(3) + 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, f = x + 3 and f = 4x + 1 both work, so the coset x + 3 + I has order 3 in F^{\times} .

5. (20 points) Answer this question OR 6

A local ring A is a commutative, unital ring with a unique maximal ideal. Which of the following rings is local? For each ring, show or provide a counterexample to the statement: "the ring is local."

- (a) (10 points) $A = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\mathbb{Z}$
- (b) (10 points) $A_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ ring of polynomials in x with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

- 6. (20 points) Answer this question OR 5.
 - (a) (10 points) Let p be a prime number. Consider the polynomial $f(x) = x^p px 1$. Prove or disprove the following statement:

$$f(x)$$
 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof. If p=2, then $f(x)=x^2-2x-1$ whose roots are $1\pm\sqrt{2}\notin\mathbb{Q}$, so f is irreducible when p=2.

If p > 2, then deg f is odd. Thus, if f is reducible, then it must contain at least a single linear term, since polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ factor as a product of linear terms and irreducible quadratic terms. By the Rational Root Theorem, the only possible roots of f are ± 1 , where $f(1) = -p \neq 0$ and $f(-1) = p - 2 \neq 0$. Thus, there are no rational roots, so the factorization of f cannot contain a linear term. Thus, f is irreducible.

(b) (10 points) Consider the polynomial $g(x) = x^4 + 5x^2 + 3x + 2$. Prove or disprove the following statement:

$$g(x)$$
 is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Proof. By the Rational Root Theorem, the only possible rational roots are $\pm 1, \pm 2$. We have

$$g(1) = 1 + 5 + 3 + 2 \neq 0$$

$$g(2) = 16 + 20 + 6 + 2 \neq 0$$

$$g(-1) = 1 + 5 - 3 + 2 \neq 0$$

$$g(-2) = 16 + 20 - 6 + 2 \neq 0$$

Thus, g has no rational roots. Suppose g factorizes as the product of two irreducible quadratics. Thus,

$$g(x) = x^4 + 5x^2 + 3x + 2 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x^2 + cx + d) = x^4 + (a + c)x^3 + (b + d + ac)x^2 + (ad + bc)x + bd$$

Equating coefficients, we have

$$a + c = 0$$

$$b + d + ac = 5$$

$$ad + bc = 3$$

$$bd = 2$$

From the last condition, we can have either b = 1, d = 2 or b = -1, d = -2. The other possibilities b = 2, d = 1 and b = -2, d = 1 are symmetric with the former 2.

If b = 1, d = 2, from the first equation we also have c = -a, so the system becomes

$$1 + 2 - a^2 = 5$$
$$2a - a = 3$$

From the second equation, we have a = 3, but this does not satisfy the first equation. If b = -1, d = -2, the system becomes

$$-1 - 2 - a^2 = 5$$
$$-2a + a = 3$$

but there is no solution because the LHS in the first equation is negative.

Thus, g cannot factorize as a product of two irreducible quadratics, and cannot have any linear factors, so g is irreducible.