## Homework 5

Aleck Zhao

March 5, 2017

1. Suppose that  $R = \prod_{i=1}^{m} R_i$  is a product of rings. If  $M_i$  is an  $R_i$  module for each i, then  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{m} M_i$  is naturally an R-module, via the rule

$$(r_1,\cdots,r_m)\cdot(x_1,\cdots,x_m)=(r_1x_1,\cdots,r_mx_m)$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , let  $e_i \in R$  be the tuple whose *i*th entry is  $1_{R_i}$ , and whose other entries are all 0. Let M be an R-module and define the submodule  $M_i := e_i M$ . Show that  $M_i$  is naturally an  $R_i$ -module, and that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i$ .

*Proof.* WLOG, let i = 1. The argument is the same for any i. Then we have

$$e_1M = \{ (1_{R_1}, 0, \cdots, 0) \cdot x \mid x \in M \}$$

so if  $r_1 \in R_1$ , we can write

$$r_1[(1_{R_1}, 0, \cdots, 0) \cdot x] = (r_1, 0, \cdots, 0) \cdot x$$

so  $e_1M$  is naturally an  $R_1$ -module. By extension,  $e_iM$  is an  $R_i$ -module for all i.

For any  $x \in M$ , since  $(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_m})$  is the identity element in R and since M is an R-module, we have

$$(1_{R_1}, \dots, 1_{R_m}) \cdot x = (e_1 + \dots + e_m) \cdot x = e_1 x + \dots + e_m x = x$$

where  $e_i x \in M_i$  for all i, so  $M = M_1 + \cdots + M_m$ . Suppose  $y \in M_i \cap M_i$ . Then

$$y = e_i x_i = e_j x_j$$

$$\implies e_i(e_i x_i) = (e_i e_i) x_i = e_i x_i$$

$$= e_i(e_j x_j) = (e_i e_j) x_j = 0$$

so  $y = e_i x_i = 0$ , and thus the intersection between  $M_i$  and  $M_j$  is trivial, so this is a direct sum.

2. Let R be a PID, let  $d \in R$  be a nonzero nonunit, and let  $d \sim p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m}$  be a prime factorization of d, where  $p_1, \cdots, p_k$  are pairwise non-associated prime elements and  $k_i > 0$  for all i. Show that the canonical homomorphism

$$R \to \prod_{i=1}^{m} R / \left\langle p_i^{k_i} \right\rangle$$
$$r \mapsto \left( r + \left\langle p_1^{k_1} \right\rangle, \dots, r + \left\langle p_m^{k_m} \right\rangle \right)$$

induces an isomorphism  $R/\left\langle d\right\rangle \cong\prod_{i=1}^{m}R/\left\langle p_{i}^{k_{i}}\right\rangle .$ 

*Proof.* By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have

$$R/\left\langle p_1^{k_1} \right
angle imes R/\left\langle p_2^{k_2} \right
angle \cong R/\left\langle p_1^{k_1} p_2^{k_2} 
ight
angle$$

since  $\gcd\left(p_1^{k_1},p_2^{k_2}\right)\sim 1$ . Then since  $\gcd\left(p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2},p_3^{k_3}\right)\sim 1$ , we can continue by induction to get

$$R/\left\langle p_1^{k_1} \right\rangle \times \cdots \times R/\left\langle p_m^{k_m} \right\rangle \cong R/\left\langle p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m} \right\rangle \cong R/\left\langle d \right\rangle$$

as desired.  $\Box$ 

- 3. Keep the notation of Problem 2. Let M be an R-module such that dM = 0. By the paragraph preceding Theorem 7, Section 7.1,  $M/dM \cong M$  is naturally an  $R/\langle d \rangle$ -module. Hence by Problem 2, M is naturally an  $R/\langle p_1^{k_1} \rangle \times \cdots \times R/\langle p_m^{k_m} \rangle$ -module. Let  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i$  be the corresponding direct sum decomposition obtained from Problem 1.
  - (a) Show that  $M_i = M(p_i)$  as submodules of M for all i.

*Proof.* Since M has the direct sum decomposition, we can write

$$M \ni x = x_1 + \cdots + x_m$$

where  $x_i \in M_i$ . Then WLOG take i = 1, and the argument is the same for all i. Then we have

$$M(p_1) = \{ x_1 + \dots + x_m \mid p_1^n(x_1 + \dots + x_m) = 0 \text{ for some } n \}$$

Define  $P_i := \left\langle p_i^{k_i} \right\rangle$  for all i. Then  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m M_i$  is an  $R/P_1 \times \cdots \times R/P_m$ -module by the action

$$(r_1 + P_1, \dots, r_m + P_m) \cdot (x_1 + \dots + x_m) = r_1 x_1 + \dots + r_m x_m$$

so the condition in  $M_i$  is

$$p_{1}^{n}(x_{1} + \dots + x_{m}) = p_{1}^{n}x_{1} + \dots + p_{1}^{n}x_{m}$$

$$= (p_{1}^{n} + P_{1}, p_{1}^{n} + P_{2}, \dots, p_{1}^{n} + P_{m}) \cdot (x_{1} + \dots + x_{m})$$

$$= (0 + P_{1}, p_{1}^{n} + P_{2}, \dots, p_{1}^{n} + P_{m}) \cdot (x_{1} + \dots + x_{m})$$

$$= p_{1}^{n}x_{2} + \dots + p_{1}^{n}x_{m}$$

$$= p_{1}^{n}(x_{2} + \dots + x_{m})$$

$$\implies p_{1}^{n}x_{1} = 0$$

$$\implies p_{1}^{n}(x_{2} + \dots + x_{m}) = 0$$

$$\implies x_{2} = \dots = x_{m} = 0$$

Thus, we have

$$M(p_1) = \{ x_1 + \dots + x_m \mid p_1^n x_1 = 0, x_2 = \dots = x_m = 0 \}$$
  
=  $\{ x_1 \in M_1 \mid p_1^n x_1 = 0 \}$ 

However, for all  $x_1 \in M_1$ , we have

$$p_1^n x_1 = (p_1^n + P_1) \cdot x_1 = (0 + P_1) \cdot x_1 = 0$$

so it follows that

$$M(p_1) = M_1$$

and by extension,  $M(p_i) = M_i$  for all i.

(b) Show that if  $\langle d \rangle = \operatorname{ann}(M)$ , then  $M(p_i) \neq 0$  for all i.

*Proof.* We have  $d \sim p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m} \in \text{ann}(M)$  so

$$(p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_m^{k_m}) \cdot x = p_1^{k_1} \left[ (p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}) \cdot x \right] = 0$$

for all  $x \in M$ . However, since

$$(p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_m^{k_m})\notin \langle p_1^{k_1}p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_m^{k_m}\rangle = \langle d\rangle = \operatorname{ann}(M)$$

it follows that

$$(p_2^{k_2}\cdots p_m^{k_m})\cdot x_1\neq 0$$

for some  $x_1 \in M$ . Then since  $(p_2^{k_2} \cdots p_m^{k_m}) \cdot x_1$  is annihilated by  $p_1^{k_1}$  and is nonzero, we must have  $M(p_1) \neq 0$ , and by a similar argument,  $M(p_i) \neq 0$  for all i.

## Section 7.2: Modules Over a PID

- 2. If p is a prime, determine all abelian groups of order:
  - (a)  $p^4$

Solution. The abelian groups (up to isomorphism) are

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{Z}_{p^4} & & \mathbb{Z}_{p^3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} & & \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p} \end{split}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$$

(b)  $p^6$ 

Solution. The abelian groups (up to isomorphism) are

13. If  $K \subseteq M$  are modules, show that M is torsion if and only if both K and M/K are torsion.

*Proof.* ( $\Longrightarrow$ ): If M is torsion, then since  $K \subseteq M$ , it follows that K must also be torsion. Then for  $m+K \in M/K$ , where  $m \in M$ , suppose m is annihilated by nonzero  $x \in R$ , so that xm=0. Then we have

$$x(m+K) = xm + K = 0 + K$$

so m + K is torsion since it is annihilated by a nonzero x.

 $(\Leftarrow)$ : Let  $m+K \in M/K$  be torsion and annihilated by nonzero  $x \in R$ , so

$$x(m+K) = xm + K = K \implies xm \in K$$

Since K is torsion, it follows that xm is also torsion, which means that m is torsion. Thus, since  $m \in M$  was arbitrary, M is also torsion.

15. If  $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_n$  are modules, show that  $T(M) = T(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(M_n)$ .

*Proof.* All elements in M are of the form  $(m_1, \dots, m_n)$  where  $m_i \in M_i$ . Then if such an element is torsion suppose it is annihilated by nonzero  $x \in R$ , we have

$$x(m_1, \dots, m_n) = (xm_1, \dots, xm_n) = (0, \dots, 0)$$

so  $xm_i = 0$  for all i. Thus,  $m_i$  is torsion for all i, so

$$T(M) \subset T(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(M_n)$$

Let  $m_i \in M_i$  be torsion and annihilated by nonzero  $x_i \in R$  for all i. Then if  $d = x_1 \cdots x_n$ , it follows that

$$d(m_1,\cdots,m_n)=(0,\cdots,0)$$

so  $(m_1, \dots, m_n) \in M$  is also torsion, and thus

$$T(M_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus T(M_n) \subset T(M)$$

so the two are equal.

24. Show that every submodule of a finitely generated module over a PID is again finitely generated.

Proof. Let M be finitely generated over a PID R by  $x_1, \dots, x_m$ . Then there exists a surjective map  $\varphi: R^m \twoheadrightarrow M$  where  $\varphi(e_i) = x_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then if N is a submodule of M, since  $\varphi$  is surjective, we can find the inverse image of N in  $R^m$ , say it is  $K \subset R^m$ , which is a submodule of  $R^m$ . By the Submodule Theorem, since  $R^m$  is a free module, K has rank at most m, so it is finitely generated. Then we can write a surjective map  $\theta: K \twoheadrightarrow N$  and since K is finitely generated, it follows that N must be as well.