Homework 6

ALECK ZHAO April 3, 2017

Chapter 5: The Exponential Distribution and the Poisson Process

2. Suppose that you arrive at a single-teller bank to find five other customers in the bank, one being served and the other four waiting in line. You join the end of the line. If the service times are all exponential with rate μ , what is the expected amount of time you will spend in the bank?

Solution. Let X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5 denote service times of the first five customers, and Y denote own service time. In particular, since exponential distribution is memory-less, X_1 has the same distribution as every other of the random variables. The expected amount of time spent in the bank is

$$E[X_1 + X_2 + X_3 + X_4 + X_5 + Y] = 6E[X_1] = \frac{6}{\mu}$$

3. Let X be an exponential random variable. Without any computations, which is right?

(a)
$$E[X^2 \mid X > 1] = E[(X+1)^2]$$

(b)
$$E[X^2 \mid X > 1] = E[X^2] + 1$$

(c)
$$E[X^2 \mid X > 1] = (1 + E[X])^2$$

Answer. Exponential distribution is memory-less, so conditional expectation is $E[(X+1)^2]$, thus (a).

12. If X_i , i = 1, 2, 3 are independent exponential random variables with rates λ_i , i = 1, 2, 3, find

(a)
$$P[X_1 < X_2 < X_3]$$

Solution. Consider this as a triple integral of the 3D space of the joint density. Since X_1, X_2, X_3 are independent, the joint density is just the product of the individual densities. Thus, we have

$$P[X_{1} < X_{2} < X_{3}] = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} \int_{x_{2}}^{\infty} \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{1} - \lambda_{2} x_{2} - \lambda_{3} x_{3}} dx_{3} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{1} - \lambda_{2} x_{2}} \int_{x_{2}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{3} x_{3}} dx_{3} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \lambda_{3} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{1} - \lambda_{2} x_{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{3}} \right) e^{-\lambda_{3} x_{3}} \Big|_{x_{2}}^{\infty} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{1}} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) x_{2}} dx_{2} dx_{1}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_{1} x_{1}} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}} \right) e^{-(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) x_{2}} \Big|_{x_{1}}^{\infty} dx_{1}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) x_{1}} dx_{1}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}} \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}} \right) e^{-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) x_{1}} \Big|_{0}^{\infty}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}{(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})}$$

(b) $P[X_1 < X_2 \mid \max\{X_1, X_2, X_3\} = X_3]$

Solution. This is equivalent to

$$\frac{P[X_1 < X_2, \max{\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}} = X_3]}{P[\max{\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}} = X_3]} = \frac{P[X_1 < X_2 < X_3]}{P[X_1 < X_2 < X_3] + P[X_2 < X_1 < X_3]}$$

Using the result from part (a), This is

$$\frac{\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2+\lambda_3)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3)}}{\frac{\lambda_1\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2+\lambda_3)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3)}+\frac{\lambda_2\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1+\lambda_3)(\lambda_1+\lambda_2+\lambda_3)}}=\frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_2+\lambda_3}}{\frac{1}{\lambda_2+\lambda_3}+\frac{1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_3}}=\boxed{\frac{\lambda_1+\lambda_3}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2+2\lambda_3}}$$

(c) $E[\max X_i \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3]$

Solution. We have

$$E[\max X_i \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3] = E[X_1 + (X_2 - X_1) + (X_3 - X_2) \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3]$$

$$= E[X_1 \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3] + E[(X_2 - X_1) \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3] + E[(X_3 - X_2) \mid X_1 < X_2 < X_3]$$

For the first expectation, X_1 is conditionally exponential with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$, and for the second, $X_2 - X_1$ is conditionally exponential with rate $\lambda_2 + \lambda_3$, and for the third, $X_3 - X_2$ is conditionally exponential with rate λ_3 . Thus, the expectation is just

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3}$$

(d) $E[\max X_i]$

Solution. Using the law of total probability, and results from parts (a) and (c), this expectation is

$$E[\max X_i] = \sum_{i \neq j \neq k} \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{\lambda_j + \lambda_k} + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)$$

where i, j, k are just a permutation of 1, 2, 3, and this sum runs over all permutations.

31. A doctor has scheduled two appointments, one at 1pm and the other at 1:30pm. The amounts of time that appointments last are independent exponential random variables with mean 30 mins. Assuming that both patients are on time, find the expected amount of time that the 1:30 appointment spends at the doctor's office.

Solution. The first patient is expected to spend 30 minutes, so the 1:30 patient is expected to start just upon arrival. Then since this appointment is independent from the first, it will be expected to last 30 minutes, so the 1:30 appointment is expected to spend 30 minutes at the doctor's office.

- 32. Let X be a uniform random variable on (0,1), and consider a counting process where events occur at times X + i, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.
 - (a) Does this counting process have independent increments?

Answer. No. Consider the intervals (0,0.5) and (1,1.5). These intervals are disjoint, but they have the same number of events. Either 1 if 0 < X < 0.5 or 0 otherwise.

(b) Does this counting process have stationary increments?

Answer. No. If X = 0.2, then the number of events in (0, 0 + 0.5) is 1, but the number of events in (0.3, 0.3 + 0.5) is 0.

78. A store opens at 8am. From 8 until 10am customers arrive at a Poisson process rate of 4 an hour. Between 10am and 12pm they arrive at a Poisson rate of 8 an hour. From 12pm to 2pm, the arrival rate increases steadily from eight per hour at 12pm to 10 per hour at 2pm; and from 2 to 5pm, the arrival rate drops steadily from 10 per hour at 2pm to 4 per hour at 5pm. Determine the probability distribution of the number of customers that enter the store on a given day.

Solution. Let 8am represent time t=0 and 5pm represent time t=9. Then the graph of $\lambda(t)$ is



This is a non-homogeneous Poisson process, and the distribution of N(t + s) - N(t) is Poisson (m(t + s) - m(t)). We want the distribution over the entire day, so that is N(9) - N(0) which has a Poisson distribution with rate

$$m(9) - m(0) = m(9) = \int_0^9 \lambda(t) dt = 2(4) + 2(8) + 2(8 + 10)/2 + 3(10 + 4)/2 = 56$$

85. An insurance company pays out claims on its life insurance policies in accordance with a Poisson process having rate $\lambda = 5$ per week. If the amount of money paid on each policy is exponentially distributed with mean \$2000, what is the mean and variance of the amount of money paid by the insurance company

Solution. Let X_i be the amount of money paid per claim, and let Y(t) be the compound Poisson process with underlying Poisson process N(t) with rate $\lambda = 5$. Then after four weeks, we have

$$E[Y(4)] = 4\lambda \cdot E[X_i] = (4 \cdot 5)(2000) = \boxed{4 \times 10^4}$$
$$\operatorname{Var}[Y(4)] = 4\lambda \cdot E[X_i^2] = (4 \cdot 5)(2000^2 + 2000^2) = \boxed{1.6 \times 10^8}$$

87. Determine

$$Cov[X(t), X(t+s)]$$

when $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$ is a compound Poisson process.

Solution. We have

in a four-week span?

$$Cov [X(t), X(t+s)] = E [X(t)X(t+s)] - E [X(t)] E [X(t+s)]$$

$$= E [X(t) (X(t+s) - X(t))] + E [X^{2}(t)] - E[X(t)]E[X(t+s)]$$

$$= E[X(t)]E[X(t+s) - X(t)] + E[X^{2}(t)] - E[X(t)]E[X(t+s)]$$

$$= E[X(t)] (E[X(t+s)] - E[X(t)]) + E[X^{2}(t)] - E[X(t)]E[X(t+s)]$$

$$= E[X^{2}(t)] - (E[X(t)])^{2} = Var [X(t)] = \lambda t E[Y_{i}^{2}]$$

$$= \lambda t \left(Var(Y_{i}) + (E[Y_{i}])^{2}\right)$$

88. Customers arrive at the automatic teller machine in accordance with a Poisson process with rate 12 per hour. The amount of money withdrawn on each transaction is a random variable with mean \$30 and standard deviation \$50. (A negative withdrawal means that money was deposited.) The machine is in use for 15 hours daily. Approximate the probability that the total daily withdrawal is less than \$6000.

Solution. Let X_i be the amount of money per transaction, and let Y(t) be the compound Poisson process with underlying Poisson process N(t) with rate $\lambda = 12$. Then after 15 hours, we have

$$E[Y(15)] = 12 \cdot 15 \cdot E[X_i] = 5400$$
$$Var[Y(15)] = 12 \cdot 15 \cdot E[X_i^2] = 12 \cdot 15(50^2 + 30^2)$$

Now, using the fact that Y(15) is approximately normal, we have

$$P[Y(15) < 6000] \approx P\left[Z < \frac{6000 - 5400}{\sqrt{12 \cdot 15(50^2 + 30^2)}}\right] \approx P[Z < 0.767] \approx \boxed{0.778}$$

Extra Credit

38. Let $\{M_i(t), t \geq 0\}$, i = 1, 2, 3 be independent Poisson processes with respective rates λ_i , i = 1, 2, 3 and

$$N_1(t) = M_1(t) + M_2(t), \qquad N_2(t) = M_2(t) + M_3(t)$$

(a) Find $P[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m]$.

Solution. Let $k = \min\{n, m\}$ and condition on $M_2(t)$. Then we have

$$P[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m] = \sum_{i=1}^k P[N_1(t) = n, N_2(t) = m \mid M_2(t) = i] P[M_2(t) = i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k P[M_1(t) = n - i, M_3(t) = m - i] P[M_2(t) = i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k P[M_1(t) = n - i] P[M_3(t) = m - i] P[M_2(t) = i]$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \left[e^{-\lambda_1 t} \frac{(\lambda_1 t)^{n-i}}{(n-i)!} \right] \left[e^{-\lambda_3 t} \frac{(\lambda_3 t)^{m-i}}{(m-i)!} \right] \left[e^{-\lambda_2 t} \frac{(\lambda_2 t)^i}{i!} \right]$$

I can't really find any way to non-trivially simplify this further.

(b) Find $Cov(N_1(t), N_2(t))$.

Solution. This is

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Cov}\left[N_{1}(t),N_{2}(t)\right] = \operatorname{Cov}\left[M_{1}(t) + M_{2}(t),M_{2}(t) + M_{3}(t)\right] \\ &= \operatorname{Cov}\left[M_{1}(t),M_{2}(t)\right] + \operatorname{Cov}\left[M_{1}(t),M_{3}(t)\right] + \operatorname{Cov}\left[M_{2}(t),M_{3}(t)\right] + \operatorname{Cov}\left[M_{2}(t),M_{2}(t)\right] \\ &= \operatorname{Var}\left[M_{2}(t)\right] \\ &= \lambda_{2}t \end{aligned}$$

52. Teams 1 and 2 are playing a match. The teams score points according to independent Poisson processes with respective rates λ_1 and λ_2 . If the match ends when one of the teams has scored k more points than the other, find the probability that team 1 wins.

Solution. Let $N_1(t)$ and $N_2(t)$ represent the Poisson processes for teams 1 and 2, respectively, and define $N(t) := N_1(t) + N_2(t)$. This is a Poisson process with rate $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2$. Now define the indicator

$$I_n = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if team 1 scores point } n \\ -1 & \text{if team 2 scores point } n \end{cases}$$

Then after the (n-1)th point, by the memory-less property, we have

$$P[I_n = 1] = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

Now, this is the gambler's ruin problem, where team 1 starts with k points, and is trying to get to 2k points before hitting 0, and each round has $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ probability of winning and $\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ probability of losing. The ratio is λ_2/λ_1 , so, the probability team 1 wins is

$$P[\text{team 1 wins}] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \\ \frac{1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k}{1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{2k}} & \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \end{cases}$$

94. A two-dimensional Poisson process is a process of randomly occurring events in the plane such that

- (i) for any region of area A the number of events in that region has a Poisson distribution with mean λA
- (ii) the number of events in non-overlapping regions are independent

For such a process, consider an arbitrary point in the plane and let X denote its distance from its nearest event. Show that

(a) $P[X > t] = e^{-\lambda \pi t^2}$

Proof. Let the point be p, and let N(r) be the number of events in the circle centered at p with radius r. Then P[X > t] is the probability that there are no events in this circle, so N(t) = 0. Since N(t) is a Poisson process with mean $\lambda A = \lambda \pi t^2$, we have $P[N(t) = 0] = e^{-\lambda \pi t^2}$.

(b) $E[X] = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}}$

Proof. We may write the expectation as an integral of tail probabilities:

$$E[X] = \int_0^\infty P[X > t] dt$$
$$= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \pi t^2} dt$$

Let $\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi\lambda}$ for convenience, so this is

$$\begin{split} E[X] &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, dt = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}} \, dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\lambda \pi}} \sqrt{2\pi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \end{split}$$