

# Homework 1

ALECK ZHAO

September 12, 2017

## Chapter 1: Calculus Review

3. Let  $A$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded above. Prove that  $s = \sup A$  if and only if

- (i)  $s$  is an upper bound for  $A$
- (ii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $a > s - \varepsilon$ .

State and prove the corresponding result for the infimum of a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  that is bounded below.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) : By definition, (i) is true. Then suppose for some  $\varepsilon$ , there is no  $a \in A$  such that  $a > s - \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $s - \varepsilon$  is an upper bound since  $a \leq s - \varepsilon, \forall a \in A$ , but  $s - \varepsilon < s$ , contradicting the minimality of  $s$ . Thus, such an  $a$  must exist.

( $\impliedby$ ) : Suppose there exists an upper bound  $b$  for  $A$  such that  $b < s$ . Then let  $\varepsilon = s - b > 0$ . Then  $s - \varepsilon = s - (s - b) = b$ , but since  $b$  is an upper bound for  $A$ , there cannot exist  $a \in A$  such that  $a > b$ , contradicting (ii). Thus,  $b$  does not exist, so  $s \leq b$  for all upper bounds  $b$ , and thus  $s = \sup A$ .

The corresponding result for the infimum: Prove that  $m = \inf A$  if and only if

- (i)  $m$  is a lower bound for  $A$
- (ii) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $a \in A$  such that  $a < m + \varepsilon$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) : By definition, (i) is true. Then suppose for some  $\varepsilon$ , there is no  $a \in A$  such that  $a < m + \varepsilon$ . Thus  $m + \varepsilon$  is a lower bound since  $a \geq m + \varepsilon, \forall a \in A$ , but  $m + \varepsilon > m$ , contradicting the maximality of  $m$ . Thus, such a  $a$  must exist.

( $\impliedby$ ) : Suppose there exists a lower bound  $b$  for  $A$  such that  $b > m$ . Then let  $\varepsilon = b - m > 0$ . Then  $m + \varepsilon = m + (b - m) = b$ , but since  $b$  is a lower bound for  $A$ , there cannot exist  $a \in A$  such that  $a < b$ , contradicting (ii). Thus,  $b$  does not exist, so  $m \geq b$  for all lower bounds  $b$ , and thus  $m = \inf A$ . ■

□

7. If  $a < b$ , then there is also an irrational  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  with  $a < x < b$ .

*Proof.* If  $a < b$  then  $a/\sqrt{2} < b/\sqrt{2}$ , so by Theorem 1.3, there exists a rational  $p/q \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $a/\sqrt{2} < p/q < b/\sqrt{2}$ . Then  $a < \frac{p\sqrt{2}}{q} < b$ , and  $\frac{p\sqrt{2}}{q}$  is irrational, as desired. □

15. Show that a Cauchy sequence with a convergent subsequence actually converges.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(x_n)$  is a sequence with a convergent subsequence  $(x_{k_j}) \rightarrow y$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . Next, since  $(x_{k_j}) \rightarrow y$ , choose  $M$  such that  $|x_{k_j} - y| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $k_j \geq M$ . Take  $K = \max\{N, M\}$ , so that  $|x_n - x_{k_j}| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|x_{k_j} - y| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n, k_j \geq K$ . By the triangle inequality, we have

$$|x_n - y| \leq |x_n - x_{k_j}| + |x_{k_j} - y| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq K$ , as desired. □

17. Given real numbers  $a$  and  $b$ , establish the following formulas:

(a)  $|a + b| \leq |a| + |b|$

*Proof.* Using the fact that

$$|a| = \begin{cases} a, & a \geq 0 \\ -a, & a < 0 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a, b \geq 0 &\implies |a + b| = a + b \leq a + b = |a| + |b| \\ a, b < 0 &\implies |a + b| = -(a + b) \leq -a - b = |a| + |b| \\ a \geq 0, b < 0, a + b \geq 0 &\implies |a + b| = a + b \leq a - b = |a| + |b| \\ a \geq 0, b < 0, a + b < 0 &\implies |a + b| = -(a + b) \leq a - b = |a| + |b| \end{aligned}$$

The case where  $a < 0, b \geq 0$  is identical to the third and fourth inequalities. □

(b)  $||a| - |b|| \leq |a - b|$

*Proof.* If  $a, b \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} a, b \geq 0 &\implies ||a| - |b|| = |a - b| \\ a, b < 0 &\implies ||a| - |b|| = |-a + b| = |a - b| \\ a \geq 0, b < 0 &\implies ||a| - |b|| = |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| = a - b = |a - b| \\ a < 0, b \geq 0 &\implies ||a| - |b|| = |-a - b| = |a + b| \leq |a| + |b| = -a + b = |a - b| \end{aligned}$$

where the third and fourth inequalities are from the result of (a). □

(c)  $\max\{a, b\} = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} a \geq b &\implies \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + (a - b)) = a = \max\{a, b\} \\ a < b &\implies \frac{1}{2}(a + b + |a - b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - (a - b)) = b = \max\{a, b\} \end{aligned}$$

□

(d)  $\min\{a, b\} = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|)$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} a \geq b &\implies \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b - (a - b)) = b = \min\{a, b\} \\ a < b &\implies \frac{1}{2}(a + b - |a - b|) = \frac{1}{2}(a + b + (a - b)) = a = \min\{a, b\} \end{aligned}$$

□

37. If  $(E_n)$  is a sequence of subsets of a fixed set  $S$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) \\ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) \end{aligned}$$

Show that

$$(a) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \subset \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$$

*Proof.* If  $x \in \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$  then  $x \in \bigcap_{k=N}^{\infty} E_k$  for some  $N$ . It follows that  $x \in E_k$  for all  $k \geq N$ , so  $x \in \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$  for all  $n$ , and is thus in the intersection of these sets, so  $x \in \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$ , and thus  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \subset \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n$ .  $\square$

$$(b) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n^c) = \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n \right)^c$$

*Proof.* Using the facts  $A^c \cap B^c = (A \cup B)^c$  and  $A^c \cup B^c = (A \cap B)^c$ , we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n^c) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k^c \right) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right)^c = \left[ \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k \right) \right]^c = (\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_n)^c$$

$\square$

45. Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and suppose that  $f(x) = 0$  whenever  $x$  is rational. Show that  $f(x) = 0$  for every  $x$  in  $[a, b]$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x') = y \neq 0$  for some  $x' \in [a, b]$ . Then consider a sequence of rationals  $(x_n) \rightarrow x'$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, we must have  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x')$ , but the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  is entirely 0's since the  $x_i$  are rational, whereas  $f(x') \neq 0$ , contradiction. Thus,  $x$  does not exist, so  $f(x) \equiv 0$  on  $[a, b]$ , as desired.  $\square$

46. Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous.

- (a) If  $f(0) > 0$ , show that  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x$  in some open interval  $(-a, a)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(0) = y > 0$ . Then take  $\varepsilon = y/2$ . Now, since  $f$  is continuous at 0, there must exist  $a > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |x| < a &\implies |f(x) - y| < \frac{y}{2} \\ x \in (-a, a) &\implies -\frac{y}{2} < f(x) - y < \frac{y}{2} \\ &\implies 0 < \frac{y}{2} < f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $f(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in (-a, a)$ , as desired.  $\square$

- (b) If  $f(x) \geq 0$  for every rational  $x$ , show that  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all real  $x$ . Will this result hold with  $\geq 0$  replaced by  $> 0$ ? Explain.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f(x') = y < 0$  for some irrational  $x'$ . Then consider a sequence of rationals  $(x_n) \rightarrow x'$ . Since  $f$  is continuous, we must have  $f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x')$ , but the sequence  $(f(x_n))$  is always non-negative since the  $x_i$  are rational, whereas  $f(x) < 0$ , contradiction. Thus,  $x'$  does not exist, so  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ , as desired.

If  $\geq 0$  is replaced by  $> 0$ , the statement does not hold. Suppose  $r$  is a fixed irrational number. Then let  $f(x) = (r - x)^2$ , which is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ , and positive for all  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  since  $r$  is irrational. However,  $f(r) = 0$ , so the statement is false.  $\square$