

Homework 3

ALECK ZHAO

February 16, 2017

1. Let A be a commutative ring containing a field F as a subring. For each $a \in A$, we define the multiplication by a map

$$\begin{aligned} m_a : A &\rightarrow A \\ x &\mapsto ax. \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Regarding A as an F -vector space in the natural way, show that m_a is a linear transformation over F .

Proof. Let $b \in F$ and $u, v \in A$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_a(u + v) &= a(u + v) = au + av = m_a(u) + m_a(v) \\ m_a(bu) &= a(bu) = b(au) = bm_a(u) \end{aligned}$$

The second equality comes from the fact that A is a commutative ring. Thus, m_a is a linear transformation over F , as desired. \square

- (b) Suppose that A is an integral domain which is finite dimensional as an F -vector space. Show that A is a field.

Proof. If $a \neq 0$, then

$$\ker m_a = \{x \in A \mid ax = 0\} = \{0\}$$

since we are in an integral domain. Thus, $\dim(\ker m_a) = 0$, so by the result of Exercise 31, we have $\dim A = \dim(\operatorname{im} m_a)$. By the result of Exercise 32, if both A and $\operatorname{im} m_a$ have dimension n , they are both isomorphic to F^n , so they are isomorphic to each other. Thus, m_a is a bijective map, and in particular surjective. Since $1 \in A$, there must exist $x \in A$ such that $ax = 1$, and since a was arbitrary, it follows that every possible choice for nonzero a was invertible, so F is a field, as desired. \square

Section 5.2: Principal Ideal Domains

13. (a) Show that $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ is euclidean with $\delta(a) = |N(a)|$.

Proof. Here, $\omega = \sqrt{-2} \implies \omega^2 = -2$. We claim that $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ satisfies the condition of Lemma 1. For any $r, s \in \mathbb{Q}$, let m and n be the nearest integers to r and s , respectively. Then,

$$|(r - m)^2 - \omega^2(s - n)^2| \leq \left| \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \right| = \left| \frac{3}{4} \right| < 1$$

Thus, $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ is euclidean by Lemma 1. \square

- (b) If $a = 4 + 3\sqrt{-2}$ and $b = 3 - \sqrt{-2}$, write $a = qb + r$, where $r = 0$ or $\delta(r) < \delta(b)$.

Solution. Consider a division in \mathbb{C} , where

$$\frac{a}{b} = \frac{4 + 3\sqrt{-2}}{3 - \sqrt{-2}} = \frac{(4 + 3\sqrt{-2})(3 + \sqrt{-2})}{11} = \frac{6}{11} + \frac{13}{11}\sqrt{-2}$$

So we may estimate $q = 1 + \sqrt{-2}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} qb &= (1 + \sqrt{-2})(3 - \sqrt{-2}) = 5 + 2\sqrt{-2} \\ \implies r &= -1 + \sqrt{-2} \end{aligned}$$

This is a legitimate factorization because

$$\delta(r) = |N(r)| = |(-1)^2 + 2| = 3 < 11 = \delta(b)$$

□

Section 6.1: Vector Spaces

21. If $u = a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n$ in a vector space V , and if $a_1 \neq 0$, show that $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = \text{span}\{u, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

Proof. Let $w = b_1v_1 + b_2v_2 + \cdots + b_nv_n$ be an element in $\text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Since $a_1 \neq 0$, it has an inverse, so

$$\begin{aligned} u &= a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n \\ \implies v_1 &= a_1^{-1}u - a_1^{-1}a_2v_2 - a_1^{-1}a_3v_3 - \cdots - a_1^{-1}a_nv_n \end{aligned}$$

Substituting, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w &= b_1(a_1^{-1}u - a_1^{-1}a_2v_2 - \cdots - a_1^{-1}a_nv_n) + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n \\ &= a_1^{-1}b_1u + (a_2 - a_1^{-1}a_2b_1)v_2 + \cdots + (a_n - a_1^{-1}a_nb_1)v_n \end{aligned}$$

so $w \in \text{span}\{u, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $x = c_1u + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n$ be an element in $\text{span}\{u, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. Substituting the expression for u , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= c_1(a_1v_1 + a_2v_2 + \cdots + a_nv_n) + c_2v_2 + \cdots + c_nv_n \\ &= a_1c_1v_1 + (a_2c_1 + c_2)v_2 + \cdots + (a_nc_1 + c_n)v_n \end{aligned}$$

so $x \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Thus, the two spanning sets are equal, as desired. □

23. (a) Show that an independent set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ in ${}_FV$ with n maximal is a basis.

Proof. If n is maximal, then for any $v \in V$, the set $\{v, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly dependent, so we may write

$$0 = av + a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n$$

for where not all of a, a_i are nonzero. WLOG, $a \neq 0$, so it has an inverse, and

$$v = -a^{-1}a_1v_1 - \cdots - a^{-1}a_nv_n$$

so v is a linear combination of the v_i . Since v was arbitrary, it follows that the set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ spans V , and if they are linearly independent, this set is a basis, as desired. □

- (b) Show that a spanning set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ of ${}_F V$ with n minimal is a basis.

Proof. Let $v \in V$, so since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is spanning, we may write

$$v = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n$$

for $a_i \in F, \forall i$. If n is minimal, then it must be that removing any of the v_i from the set will cause it to not span V . WLOG, remove a_1 . \square

$i++i$

30. If U is a subspace of a vector space ${}_F V$, define a scalar multiplication on the (additive) factor group V/U by $a(v + U) = av + U$. Show that V/U is a vector space and that if V is finite dimensional, then V/U is finite dimensional and $\dim V/U = \dim V - \dim U$.

Proof. Let $a, b \in F$ and $v + U, w + U \in V/U$.

V1

$$a[(v + U) + (w + U)] = a(v + w + U) = (av + aw) + U$$

V2

$$(a + b)(v + U) = a(v + U) + b(v + U) = (av + U) + (bv + U) = (av + bv) + U$$

V3

$$a(b(v + U)) = a(bv + U) = abv + U = (ab)(v + U)$$

V4

$$1(v + U) = v + U$$

Thus the four vector space axioms are satisfied, so V/U is a vector space.

Suppose $\dim V = n$, and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis. Then I claim that the set $\{v_1 + U, \dots, v_n + U\}$ spans V/U . For any coset $v + U \in V/U$, since $v \in V$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} v &= a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n \\ v + U &= (a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_n v_n) + U \\ &= a_1(v_1 + U) + \dots + a_n(v_n + U) \\ &\in \text{span}\{v_1 + U, \dots, v_n + U\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{v_1 + U, \dots, v_n + U\}$ spans V/U , and any spanning set contains a basis, so $\dim V/U \leq n$, so it is finite, as desired. \square

31. A linear transformation $\varphi : {}_F V \rightarrow {}_F W$ is a map such that $\varphi(v + w) = \varphi(v) + \varphi(w)$ and $\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v)$ for all $a \in F$ and all $v, w \in V$.

- (a) Show that $\ker \varphi$ and $\text{im } \varphi$ are subspaces of V and W , respectively.

Proof. $\ker \varphi$: We have

$$\varphi(0) + \varphi(0) = \varphi(0 + 0) = \varphi(0) \implies \varphi(0) = 0$$

so $0 \in \ker \varphi$. Let $v, w \in \ker \varphi$ and $a \in F$. Then

$$\varphi(v) + \varphi(w) = 0 + 0 = 0 = \varphi(v + w) \implies v + w \in \ker \varphi$$

and

$$\varphi(av) = a\varphi(v) = a \cdot 0 = 0 \implies av \in \ker \varphi$$

Thus, $\ker \varphi$ is a subgroup of V that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, so it is a subspace, as desired.

$\text{im } \varphi$: From above, we know that $0 \in \text{im } \varphi$ since $\varphi(0) = 0$. Let $\varphi(x), \varphi(y) \in \text{im } \varphi$ and $b \in F$. Then

$$\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = \varphi(x + y) \in \text{im } \varphi$$

since $x + y \in V$ because V is a vector space. We also have

$$b\varphi(x) = \varphi(bx) \in \text{im } \varphi$$

since $bx \in V$. Thus, $\text{im } \varphi$ is a subgroup of W that is closed under addition and scalar multiplication, so it is a subspace, as desired. \square

(b) If V is finite dimensional, show that $\text{im } \varphi$ is also finite dimensional.

Proof. Suppose $\dim V = n$, and let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be a basis for V . Then I claim that $\{\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_n)\}$ spans $\text{im } \varphi$. Consider $\varphi(v) \in \text{im } \varphi$, where $v \in V$. Then we may write

$$\begin{aligned} v &= a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \\ \varphi(v) &= \varphi(a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n) \\ &= a_1\varphi(v_1) + \dots + a_n\varphi(v_n) \\ &\in \text{span}\{\varphi(v_1), \dots, \varphi(v_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

Since every spanning set contains a basis, it follows that $\dim(\text{im } \varphi) \leq n$, so it is finite, as desired. \square

(c) If V is finite dimensional, show that $\dim V = \dim(\ker \varphi) + \dim(\text{im } \varphi)$.

Proof. If $\dim V = \dim(\ker \varphi)$, then $\ker \varphi = V$, so then $\dim(\text{im } \varphi) = \dim\{0\} = 0$, and the relation is satisfied.

Otherwise, since $\ker \varphi \subsetneq V$, we have $\dim(\ker \varphi) < n$. Let $\dim(\ker \varphi) = m$, so that $\{u_1, \dots, u_m\}$ is a basis for $\ker \varphi$. Then there exists some $v_1 \in V$ such that $v_1 \notin \ker \varphi$. \square

$i + j$

32. Vector spaces ${}_F V$ and ${}_F W$ are called isomorphic if a one-to-one, onto linear transformation $V \rightarrow W$ exists. If ${}_F V$ has dimension n , show that $V \cong F^n$.

Proof. Since $\dim V = n$, its basis has n elements, say $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ represent the standard basis for F^n . For any $u \in V$, we can represent it as

$$u = a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n, \quad a_i \in F, \forall i$$

Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} T : V &\rightarrow F^n \\ a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n &\mapsto a_1e_1 + \dots + a_ne_n \end{aligned}$$

I claim that this is a one-to-one, onto linear transformation.

To prove it is linear, let $a \in F$ and $u, v \in V$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u &= a_1v_1 + \dots + a_nv_n \\ v &= b_1v_1 + \dots + b_nv_n \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i, b_i \in F, \forall i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(u+v) &= T[(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) + (b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n)] \\
 &= T[(a_1 + b_1)v_1 + \cdots + (a_n + b_n)v_n] \\
 &= (a_1 + b_1)e_1 + \cdots + (a_n + b_n)e_n \\
 &= (a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_ne_n) + (b_1e_1 + \cdots + b_ne_n) \\
 &= T(u) + T(v) \\
 T(au) &= T[a(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n)] \\
 &= T(aa_1v_1 + \cdots + aa_nv_n) \\
 &= aa_1e_1 + \cdots + aa_ne_n \\
 &= a(a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_ne_n) \\
 &= aT(u)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus T is a linear transformation.

To prove it is injective, suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(u) &= T(v) \\
 \implies T(a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n) &= T(b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n) \\
 \implies a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_ne_n &= b_1e_1 + \cdots + b_ne_n
 \end{aligned}$$

Since the e_i form a basis for F^n , necessarily we must have $a_i = b_i, \forall i$, so then

$$a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_nv_n = b_1v_1 + \cdots + b_nv_n \implies u = v$$

so T is injective.

To prove it is surjective, take any $w \in F^n$, which can be written as a unique linear combination

$$w = c_1e_1 + \cdots + c_ne_n$$

From here, we can recover $x \in V$ such that $T(x) = w$ by taking the coefficients of the e_i , so that

$$x = c_1v_1 + \cdots + c_nv_n$$

Thus, T is surjective, so we have $V \cong F^n$, as desired. □