

Homework 10

ALECK ZHAO

April 24, 2017

1. Let E/F be a finite field extension, and let L/F be any field extension. Mimic the proof of § 10.2 Theorem 1 to show that

$$\# \{F\text{-embeddings } E \rightarrow L\} \leq [E : F].$$

Section 10.1: Galois Groups and Separability

30. Let $E \supseteq F$ be a finite extension, where $\text{char } F = p$.

- (a) If $u \in E$ has a separable minimal polynomial q over F , show that $u \in F(u^p)$. [Hint: If m is the minimal polynomial of u over $F(u^p)$, show $m \mid q$ and $m \mid (x - u)^p$.]

Proof. Let m be the minimal polynomial of u over $F(u^p)$. Then since $q \in F(u^p)[x]$ and $q(u) = 0$, we must have $m \mid q$ since it is the minimal polynomial. Since q is separable, it must have distinct roots in $F(u^p)$, and since $m \mid q$, it too must have distinct roots.

Suppose $f = x^p - u^p \in F(u^p)[x]$, but since $\text{char } F = p$, this is $(x - u)^p$. Since $f(u) = 0$, we must have $m \mid (x - u)^p$. But since m must have distinct roots, we must have $m = x - u$, so since $m \in F(u^p)[x]$, we have $u \in F(u^p)$, as desired. \square

- (b) Define $F(E^p) = \{a_1 u_1^p + \cdots + a_n u_n^p \mid a_i \in F, u_i \in E, n \geq 1\}$. Show that $F(E^p)$ is a subfield of E .

Proof. Clearly $1_E \in F(E^p)$. Then if

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 u_1^p + \cdots + a_n u_n^p &\in F(E^p) \\ b_1 v_1^p + \cdots + b_m v_m^p &\in F(E^p) \end{aligned}$$

where WLOG $n \leq m$ and $a_i, b_j \in F$ and $u_i, v_j \in E$ for all i, j , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(a_1 u_1^p + \cdots + a_n u_n^p) - (b_1 v_1^p + \cdots + b_m v_m^p) \\ &= (a_1 u_1^p - b_1 v_1^p) + \cdots + (a_n u_n^p - b_n v_n^p) + b_{n+1} v_{n+1}^p + \cdots + b_m v_m^p \\ &= [a_1 u_1^p - a_1 v_1^p - (b_1 - a_1) v_1^p] + \cdots + [a_n u_n^p - a_n v_n^p - (b_n - a_n) v_n^p] + \sum_{k=n+1}^m b_k v_k^p \\ &= a_1 (u_1^p - v_1^p) + \cdots + a_n (u_n^p - v_n^p) + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_j - a_j) v_j^p + \sum_{k=n+1}^m b_k v_k^p \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (u_i - v_i)^p + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_j - a_j) v_j^p + \sum_{k=n+1}^m b_k v_k^p \\ &\in F(E^p) \end{aligned}$$

 \square

- (c) If $E = F(E^p)$ and $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\} \subseteq E$ is F -independent, show that $\{w_1^p, \dots, w_k^p\}$ is F -independent. [Hint: Extend to a basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_n\}$ of E , show that $\{w_1^p, \dots, w_k^p, \dots, w_n^p\}$ span E , and apply Theorem 7 §6.1.]

Proof. Extend $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$ to an F -basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_n\}$ of E . Now if $v \in E = F(E^p)$, then suppose

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i^p$$

where $a_i \in F$ and $u_i \in E$ for all i . Then since $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_n\}$ is a basis, there is a unique representation for u_i in terms of these basis elements:

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i u_i^p = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} w_j \right)^p = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n a_i b_{ij}^p w_j^p$$

Thus, the set $\{w_1^p, \dots, w_k^p, \dots, w_n^p\}$ spans E . Since $\{w_1, \dots, w_k, \dots, w_n\}$ was a basis, $\dim E = n$ so $\{w_1^p, \dots, w_k^p, \dots, w_n^p\}$ is F -independent. \square

31. Let $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$ be fields with $[E : F]$ finite. Show that $E \supseteq F$ is separable if and only if both $E \supseteq K$ and $K \supseteq F$ are separable.

32. If $E \supseteq F$ is a finite extension, then $u \in E$ is called a separable element over F if its minimal polynomial in $F[x]$ is separable.

(a) If $u \in E$ is separable over F and $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$, where K is a field, show that u is separable over K . [Hint: Exercise 30(d)]

Proof. If $p \in F[x]$ and $q \in K[x]$ are the minimal polynomials of u over F and K , respectively, then since $p \in K[x]$, we must have $q \mid p$. Since u is separable over F , that means p is separable so it has distinct roots, and thus q must also have distinct roots, so it is separable. Thus u is separable over K . \square

(b) Show that $u \in E$ is separable over F if and only if $F(u) \supseteq F$ is a separable extension.

(c) Define $S = \{u \in E \mid u \text{ is separable over } F\}$. Show that S is a subfield of E , that $S \supseteq F$ is separable, and that $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$, with $K \supseteq F$ separable, implies that $S \supseteq K$. [Hint: If $u, v \in S$, show that $F(u, v) \supseteq F$ is separable by (a) and Exercise 31.]

Proof. Subfield: Clearly $1_E \in S$ since $1_E \in F$ and $x - 1$ is separable. If $u \in E$, then from (b), we have $F(u) \supseteq F$ is separable. Then if $v \in E$, since $F(u, v) = F(u)(v)$ is separable over $F(u)$, it follows that $F(u, v) \supseteq F$ is separable from Exercise 31. Thus, since $u + v$ and uv are in $F(u, v)$, they are both separable, and thus in S . Similarly, $u^{-1} \in F(u, v)$ so u^{-1} is also separable, so S is a subfield, as desired.

$S \supseteq F$ is separable by its definition, since everything inside is separable over F . If $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$ and $K \supseteq F$ is separable, then if $u \in K$ is separable over F , since $E \supseteq K$, that means $u \in S$, so $S \supseteq K$. \square

Section 10.2: The Main Theorem of Galois Theory

5. Let $E = F(t)$ be the field of rational forms over a field. In each case, compute $K = E_G$ and find the minimal polynomial $m \in K[x]$ of t over K .

(a) $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$, where σ is that F -automorphism of E given by $\sigma(t) = -t$.

Solution. We have $\sigma^2(t) = t$, so it suffices to consider σ . Let $K \ni f = \frac{p(t)}{q(t)}$ for $p, q \in F[t]$. Then

$$\sigma(f) = f \iff \sigma\left(\frac{p(t)}{q(t)}\right) = \frac{p(-t)}{q(-t)} = \frac{p(t)}{q(t)} \iff p(t)q(-t) = p(-t)q(t)$$

If $\text{char } F = 2$, then $K = E$ because $a = -a \implies at^n = -at^n$ for all $a \in F$. Then the minimal polynomial is $x - t$.

Otherwise, let $g(t) = p(t)q(-t)$, so $g(-t) = p(-t)q(t) = p(t)q(-t) = g(t)$, so $g(t) = h(t^2)$ for some $h \in F[t]$. Now, $f = \frac{p(t)}{q(t)} = \frac{h(t^2)}{q(t)q(-t)}$ and similarly, $q(t)q(-t) = k(t^2)$ for some $k \in F[t]$, so $f = \frac{h(t^2)}{k(t^2)}$, so $K = F(t^2)$. Then the minimal polynomial is $x^2 - t^2$. \square

- (b) $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$, where σ is that F -automorphism of E given by $\sigma(t) = 1 - t$.

Solution. We have $\sigma^2(t) = t$, so it suffices to consider σ . Let $K \ni f = \frac{p(t)}{q(t)}$ for $p, q \in F[t]$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i t^i &\implies p(1-t) = \sum_{i=0}^m a_i (1-t)^i \\ q(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j t^j &\implies q(1-t) = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j (1-t)^j \end{aligned}$$

\square

10. Let $E \supseteq F$ be fields with $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. If $H \subseteq G$ is a subgroup and H° is finite, show that H is closed.
11. If $E \supseteq K \supseteq F$ are fields, show that $E \supseteq K$ is Galois if and only if K is closed as an intermediate field of $E \supseteq F$.