

## Homework 7

ALECK ZHAO

April 26, 2018

1. Let HALT be the Halting language. Show that HALT is NP-hard. Is it NP-complete?

*Proof.* We will construct a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT, which is known to be NP-complete. Given an instance  $S$  of 3SAT, let  $T$  be a TM that iterates over all possible assignments to this instance, so that it only halts if a satisfying assignment is found, otherwise it loops forever. Then if  $\langle T, \langle S \rangle \rangle$  is in the HALT, that must mean there exists a satisfying assignment to  $S$ , and if not, there does not exist a satisfying assignment. Thus, this is a reduction from an instance of 3SAT to an instance of HALT, which is clearly polynomial time because converting  $T$  and  $S$  into representations can only take polynomial time. Thus, HALT is NP-hard.

HALT is not NP-complete because it is not in NP. We know this because HALT is an undecidable language, and therefore no verifier can run in polynomial time.  $\square$

2. Call graphs  $G$  and  $H$  isomorphic if the nodes of  $G$  can be reordered so that the graph  $G$  is identical to  $H$ . Let  $\text{ISO} = \{\langle G, H \rangle : G, H \text{ are isomorphic}\}$ . Show that  $\text{ISO} \in \mathbf{NP}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we are given  $G$  and  $H$  and a certificate  $c = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  of indices. Then we construct the verifier as  $V(\langle G, H \rangle, c)$  as

- (1) Suppose  $G$  has  $n$  vertices. First check if  $H$  also has  $n$  vertices. If not, reject.
- (2) Now check if  $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$  is a permutation of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . If not, reject.
- (3) Now for each vertex  $v_j$  in  $H$ , take the map  $v_i \mapsto v_{i_j}$ . Now check if  $G$  and the transformed  $H$  are identical. If they are, accept, otherwise, reject.

Step (1) can be completed using a DFS, which takes  $O(|V| + |E|)$  time. Step (2) can be completed using a sorting algorithm, which takes  $O(n^2) = O(|V|^2)$  time. Step (3) can be completed by just checking every edge and every vertex, which takes  $O(|V| + |E|)$ . Thus, this verifier runs in polynomial time in the size of the inputs. It is clearly a correct verifier since it checks everything that needs to be checked, so  $\text{ISO}$  is in  $\mathbf{NP}$ .  $\square$

3. Show that, if  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ , then every language  $A \in \mathbf{P}$ , except  $A = \emptyset$  and  $A = \Sigma^*$ , is NP-complete.

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ , then if  $A \in \mathbf{P}$  we have  $A \in \mathbf{NP}$ . Now, to show that  $A$  is NP-hard, we need to show that any  $B \in \mathbf{NP}$  can be solved in polynomial time using an oracle for  $A$ . Since  $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{NP}$ , this means  $B \in \mathbf{P}$  so every language can be solved in polynomial time given an oracle for  $A$  (that we wouldn't even need to use). Thus,  $A$  is NP-hard, and thus  $A$  is NP-complete.  $\square$

4. Let  $\phi$  be a 3CNF. An  $\neq$ -assignment to the variables of  $\phi$  is one where each clause contains two literals with unequal truth values.

- (a) Show that any  $\neq$ -assignment automatically satisfies  $\phi$ , and the negation of any  $\neq$ -assignment to  $\phi$  is also an  $\neq$ -assignment.

*Proof.* If  $(x \vee y \vee z)$  is a clause in a  $\neq$ -assignment, where WLOG  $x$  and  $y$  have unequal truth values, this clause evaluates to 1. Since all clauses satisfy this property, combining all clauses will also yield a truth value of 1, and thus satisfy  $\phi$ .

If we negate the  $\neq$ -assignment, consider the clause  $(x \vee y \vee z)$  in the original, which becomes  $(\neg x \vee \neg y \vee \neg z)$ . If WLOG  $x$  and  $y$  had unequal truth values in the original, then  $\neg x$  and  $\neg y$  have unequal truth values, so each clause still satisfies the property of being a  $\neq$ -assignment.  $\square$

- (b) Let  $\neq\text{SAT}$  be the collection of 3CNFs that have an  $\neq$ -assignment. Show that we obtain a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT to  $\neq\text{SAT}$  by replacing each clause

$$c_i = (y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3)$$

with the two clauses

$$(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee z_i) \text{ and } (\bar{z}_i \vee y_3 \vee b)$$

where  $z_i$  is a new variable for each clause  $c_i$  and  $b$  is a single additional new variable.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) : Consider a satisfying assignment to clause  $i$  being  $(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3)$ . Take  $b = 0$ . Then if  $y_1, y_2$  are both 0, we must have  $y_3$  be 1 in order for the clause to be satisfied, so we can take  $z_i = 1$  and construct the two clauses  $(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee 1)$  and  $(0 \vee y_3 \vee 0)$  which are both valid and satisfying  $\neq$ -assignments.

Otherwise, one of  $y_1, y_2$  is not 0, so we can take  $z_i = 0$ , so we can construct the two clauses  $(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee 0)$  and  $(1 \vee y_3 \vee 0)$ , which are both valid  $\neq$ -assignments. This is clearly polynomial time since we have only doubled the number of clauses, so if there exists a satisfying assignment to the original 3SAT, there exists a satisfying  $\neq$ -assignment.

( $\impliedby$ ) : Consider a satisfying  $\neq$ -assignment to clauses  $i$  being  $(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee z_i)$  and  $(\bar{z}_i \vee y_3 \vee b)$ . If one of  $y_1, y_2$ , or  $y_3$  is not 0, then the clause  $(y_1 \vee y_2 \vee y_3)$  would be satisfied. Otherwise, if they are all 0, then by part (a), negating this  $\neq$ -assignment will still be satisfying, which means one of  $\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2$ , or  $\bar{y}_3$  would not be 0, and thus  $(\bar{y}_1 \vee \bar{y}_2 \vee \bar{y}_3)$  is a satisfying assignment for 3SAT. Clearly this is polynomial time, so if there exists a satisfying assignment to the  $\neq\text{SAT}$ , there exists a satisfying assignment for SAT.  $\square$

- (c) Conclude that  $\neq\text{SAT}$  is NP-complete.

*Proof.* Clearly, if given an assignment, we can determine if it is a valid  $\neq$ -assignment in polynomial time (just go through each clause and check), and we can also determine if it is satisfying by simply evaluating, so  $\neq\text{SAT}$  is in NP.

Since 3SAT is NP-complete and there exists a polynomial time reduction from 3SAT to  $\neq\text{SAT}$ , it follows that  $\neq\text{SAT}$  is NP-hard, and thus NP-complete.  $\square$