

Homework 8

ALECK ZHAO

November 2, 2017

1 Matroids (40 points)

- (a) Let U be a finite set, let $k \geq 0$ be an integer, and let $\mathcal{I} = \{S \subseteq U : |S| \leq k\}$. Prove that (U, \mathcal{I}) is a matroid.

Proof. We show that (U, \mathcal{I}) satisfies the 3 properties of matroids.

- (i) Clearly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ because $|\emptyset| = 0 \leq k$.
- (ii) If $F \in \mathcal{I}$, then $|F| \leq k$. If $F' \subseteq F$, then $|F'| \leq |F| \leq k$, so $F' \in \mathcal{I}$ as well.
- (iii) If $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|F_2| > |F_1|$, then we have

$$|F_2| \leq k \implies |F_1| \leq k - 1$$

Since $F_2 \setminus F_1 \neq \emptyset$, take $e \in F_2 \setminus F_1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1 \cup \{e\}| &= |F_1| + 1 \leq k \\ \implies F_1 \cup \{e\} &\in \mathcal{I} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (U, \mathcal{I}) is a matroid. □

- (b) Let U be a finite set and let U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k be a partition of U into nonempty disjoint subsets (where $k \geq 2$). Let r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k be positive integers. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{S \subseteq U : |S \cap U_i| \leq r_i \text{ for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}\}$. Prove that (U, \mathcal{I}) is a matroid.

Proof. We show that (U, \mathcal{I}) satisfies the 3 properties of matroids.

- (i) Clearly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{I}$ because $|\emptyset \cap U_i| = |\emptyset| = 0 \leq r_i$ for all i since r_i are positive.
- (ii) If $F \in \mathcal{I}$, then $|F \cap U_i| \leq r_i$ for all i . If $F' \subseteq F$, then $F' \cap U_i \subseteq F \cap U_i$ because if $x \in F' \cap U_i$, we have $x \in F' \implies x \in F$ and $x \in U_i$, so $x \in F \cap U_i$. Thus $|F' \cap U_i| \leq |F \cap U_i| \leq r_i$, so $F' \in \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) Let $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ with $|F_2| > |F_1|$. Since the U_i partition U , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |F_2 \cap U_i| = |F_2| > |F_1| = \sum_{i=1}^n |F_1 \cap U_i|$$

If $|F_2 \cap U_i| \leq |F_1 \cap U_i|$ for all i , then $|F_2| \leq |F_1|$, a contradiction, so there exists some k such that $|F_2 \cap U_k| > |F_1 \cap U_k|$. Then $(F_2 \cap U_k) \setminus (F_1 \cap U_k) \neq \emptyset$, so take some $e \in (F_2 \cap U_k) \setminus (F_1 \cap U_k)$. Because the U_i are disjoint, $e \in U_k$ and $e \notin U_i$ for all $i \neq k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |(F_1 \cup \{e\}) \cap U_i| &= |(F_1 \cap U_i) \cup (\{e\} \cap U_i)| \\ &= \begin{cases} |(F_1 \cap U_i) \cup \emptyset| = |F_1 \cap U_i| \leq r_i & \text{if } i \neq k \\ |(F_1 \cap U_k) \cup \{e\}| \leq |F_2 \cap U_k| \leq r_k & \text{if } i = k \end{cases} \\ \implies |(F_1 \cup \{e\}) \cap U_i| &\leq r_i, \forall i \\ \implies F_1 \cup \{e\} &\in \mathcal{I} \end{aligned}$$

□

2 Cuts and Flows (60 points)

- (a) Suppose you are given a directed graph $G = (V, E)$, two vertices s and t , a capacity function $c : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, and a second function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Give an $O(m + n)$ -time algorithm to determine whether f is a maximum (s, t) -flow in G . As always, prove running time and correctness.

Cuts are sometimes defined as subsets of the edges of the graph, instead of as partitions of its vertices. We will sometimes go back and forth between these two definitions without making much of a distinction, so in this problem you will prove that these two definitions are almost equivalent.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph, and let $s, t \in V$. We say that a set of edges $X \subseteq E$ *separates* s and t if every directed path from s to t contains at least one edge in X . For any subset S of vertices, let $\delta(S)$ denote the set of edges leaving S , i.e., let $\delta(S) = \{(u, v) \in E : u \in S, v \notin S\}$.

- (b) Let (S, \bar{S}) be an (s, t) cut (i.e., $s \in S$ and $t \in \bar{S}$, where $\bar{S} = V \setminus S$). Prove that $\delta(S)$ separates s and t .
- (c) Let X be an arbitrary subset of edges that separates s and t . Prove that there is an (s, t) -cut (S, \bar{S}) such that $\delta(S) \subseteq X$.
- (d) Let X be a *minimal* subset of edges that separates s and t (so for all $X' \subseteq X$, we know that X' does not separate s and t). Prove that there is an (s, t) -cut (S, \bar{S}) such that $\delta(S) = X$.