

Homework 6

ALECK ZHAO

October 25, 2017

Chapter 6: Connectedness

5. If E and F are connected subsets of M with $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$, show that $E \cup F$ is connected.

Proof. Suppose $E \cup F$ was disconnected. Then write $E \cup F = A \cup B$ where $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A, B \neq \emptyset$. Since $E \cap F \neq \emptyset$, take $x \in E \cap F$, and WLOG $x \in A$. Then since $B \neq \emptyset$, take $y \in B$. Then either $y \in E$ or $y \in F$, so WLOG $y \in E$, and thus $y \in B \cap E$. Now, $x \in E$ as well so $x \in A \cap E$. Thus we have $A \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $B \cap E \neq \emptyset$, but $(A \cap E) \cup (B \cap E) = E$ is a disconnection for E . Contradiction, since E was assumed to be connected, and thus $E \cup F$ is connected. \square

12. If M is connected and has at least two points, show that M is uncountable. (Hint: Find a non-constant, continuous, real-valued function on M .)

Proof. Let $x, y \in M$, then $d(x, y) > 0$. Consider $d(x, \cdot) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If d takes on every value from 0 to $d(x, y)$, then M must be uncountable. If not, then suppose $d(x, a) \neq d_0$ for any $a \in M$. Then we have

$$M = \{a : d(x, a) < d_0\} \cup \{a : d(x, a) > d_0\}$$

is a disjoint union of open sets in M , which contradicts that M is connected. Thus, it follows that M is uncountable. \square

15. If $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and open, show that f is strictly monotone.

Proof. Suppose f was not strictly monotonic. Then there exists $a < c < b$, where either

$$f(a) \leq f(c) \geq f(b)$$

or

$$f(a) \geq f(c) \leq f(b)$$

If the first condition is true, then f attains a maximum value over $[a, b]$ that is at least as large as $f(c)$, which means f attains a maximum value m over (a, b) as well since $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are not unique maxima. Then the image of (a, b) contains its maximum, contradicting the fact that f is an open map.

If the second condition is true, then f attains a minimum value of $[a, b]$ that is at most as large as $f(c)$, which means f attains a minimum value n over (a, b) as well since $f(a)$ and $f(b)$ are not unique minima. Then the image of (a, b) contains its minimum, contradicting the fact that f is an open map. Thus, f must be strictly monotonic. \square

26. Let $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = \sin(1/x)$ for $x \neq 0$ and $f(0) = 0$. Show that although f is not continuous, the graph of f is a connected subset of \mathbb{R}^2 . (Hint: Use exercise 9.)

Proof. Let $A = \{(x, \sin(1/x)) : x \in (0, 1]\}$. Then $\overline{A} = A \cup \{(0, 0)\}$. Since A is connected, it follows that \overline{A} is also connected, which is the graph of f in \mathbb{R}^2 . \square

Chapter 7: Completeness

5. Prove that A is totally bounded if and only if \overline{A} is totally bounded.

Proof. (\implies) : Since A is totally bounded, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_i)$ for $x_i \in A$. Take $y \in \overline{A}$. Then there must exist some $x \in A$ such that $d(y, x) < \varepsilon/2$ since y is a limit point of A . Then we also have $d(x, x_i) < \varepsilon/2$ for some i , so

$$d(y, x_i) \leq d(y, x) + d(x, x_i) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$$

so y is within ε of some x_i . Thus $\overline{A} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{\varepsilon}(x_i)$, so \overline{A} is totally bounded.

(\impliedby) : Since $A \subset \overline{A}$, it follows that A is totally bounded. □

9. Give an example of a closed bounded subset of ℓ_{∞} that is not totally bounded.

Solution. Let $S = \{e^{(n)} : n \geq 1\}$ where $e^{(i)}$ is the sequence of 0s with a 1 in the i th position. Then clearly S is closed and bounded since $d(x, y) = 1$ for $x \neq y$. However, if $\varepsilon < 1$, then S cannot be covered by finitely many ε -balls since each ball could only cover a single element in S , so S is not totally bounded. □

10. Prove that a totally bounded metric space M is separable. (Hint: For each n , let D_n be a finite $(1/n)$ -net for M . Show that $D = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is a countable dense set.)

Proof. For $n \geq 1$, let D_n be a finite $(1/n)$ -net for M , which must exist because M is totally bounded. Then since D_i is finite for all i , their union is countable.

Now, suppose $x \in M$ but $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap D = \emptyset$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Then that means $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap D_k = \emptyset$ for all k . However, if $k > 1/\varepsilon$ then since $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \cap D_k = \emptyset$, it follows that x is not within $1/k$ of any element in the net, which is a contradiction. Thus D is dense in M , so M is separable. □

18. Fill in the details of the proofs that ℓ_1 and ℓ_{∞} are complete.

Proof. (ℓ_1): Let (f_n) be a sequence in ℓ_1 , where $f_n = (f_n(k))_{k=1}^{\infty}$, and suppose (f_n) is Cauchy in ℓ_1 .

$$|f_n(k) - f_m(k)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |f_n(i) - f_m(i)| = \|f_n - f_m\|_1$$

for any k , so $(f_n(k))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy for any k . Then set $f(k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(k)$ for each k .

Now, (f_n) is bounded in ℓ_1 since it is Cauchy, so suppose $\|f_n\|_1 \leq B$ for all n . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^N |f(k)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |f_n(k)| \leq B$$

Since this holds for arbitrary N , it follows that $\|f\|_1 \leq B$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose n_0 such that $\|f_n - f_m\|_1 < \varepsilon$ whenever $m, n \geq n_0$. Then for any N and any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\sum_{i=k}^N |f(k) - f_n(k)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^N |f_m(k) - f_n(k)| < \varepsilon$$

and thus $\|f - f_n\|_1 < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$, so $f_n \rightarrow f$.

(ℓ_∞) : Let (f_n) be a sequence in ℓ_∞ , where $f_n = (f_n(k))_{k=1}^\infty$, and suppose (f_n) is Cauchy in ℓ_∞ .

$$|f_n(k) - f_m(k)| \leq \sup_j |f_n(j) - f_m(j)| = \|f_n - f_m\|_\infty$$

for any k , so $(f_n(k))_{n=1}^\infty$ is Cauchy for any k . Then set $f(k) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(k)$ for each k .

Now, (f_n) is bounded in ℓ_∞ since it is Cauchy, so suppose $\|f_n\|_\infty \leq B$ for all n . Then

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |f(i)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |f_n(i)| \leq B$$

Since this holds for arbitrary N , it follows that $\|f\|_1 \leq B$.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, choose n_0 such that $\|f_n - f_m\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ whenever $m, n \geq n_0$. Then for any N and any $n \geq n_0$,

$$\sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |f(i) - f_n(i)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{1 \leq i \leq N} |f_m(i) - f_n(i)| < \varepsilon$$

and thus $\|f - f_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$, so $f_n \rightarrow f$.

□