

Homework 10

ALECK ZHAO

November 29, 2017

1 Minimum Subgraph (33 points)

Consider the following decision problem, which we will call MINIMUM SUBGRAPH. The input is a graph $G = (V, E)$, a subset of nodes $M \subseteq V$ known as the *marked* nodes, and an integer k . A YES instance is one in which there is a connected subgraph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ of G so that $M \subseteq V_H$ and $|V_H| \leq k$. Otherwise it is a NO instance. Less formally: can we connect all of the vertices in M using at most k vertices (including M)?

- (a) Prove that MINIMUM SUBGRAPH is in NP.

Proof. Here, the witness X is the subgraph $H = (V_H, E_H)$ and the verifier checks that $|V_H| \leq k$, that $M \subset V_H$, and that H is connected. Checking $|V_H| \leq k$ using a counter takes $O(n)$ time since $V_H \subset V$. Checking $M \subset V_H$ takes $O(n^2)$ by simply iterating over all $v \in M$ and iterating through V_H searching for v . Finally, we can perform a DFS in H to check for connectedness in $O(n + m)$ time. This algorithm takes $O(n^2 + m)$ time.

This algorithm is correct because if (G, M, k) is a YES instance, H is a witness that yields YES from the algorithm, due to correctness of DFS in determining connectedness. If G was a NO instance, then such a subgraph does not exist, and any witness would fail one or more of the checks. \square

To prove that MINIMUM SUBGRAPH is NP-hard, we will do a reduction from VERTEX COVER. Suppose that we are given an instance $(G = (V, E), k)$ of VERTEX COVER (so this is a YES instance if there is a vertex cover of G of size at most k , and is a NO instance otherwise). We construct a new graph $H = (V', E')$ as follows:

- $V' = V \cup E \cup \{z\}$
- $E' = \{\{v, e\} : v \in V \text{ is an endpoint of } e \in E\} \cup \{\{v, z\} : v \in V\}$

In other words, we construct H by subdividing each edge in G with a new vertex, and then connect all of the original vertices to a new *apex* vertex z .

- (b) Prove that if G has a vertex cover of size at most k , then there is a connected subgraph of H which contains all vertices in $E \cup \{z\}$ and has at most $k + |E| + 1$ vertices total.

Proof. If $S \subset V$ is a vertex cover of size at most k , then $v \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \in S$ and $|S| \leq k$. Then let $V_H = S \cup E \cup \{z\}$, which has $|S| + |E| + 1 \leq k + |E| + 1$ vertices and $E_H = \{\{v, z\} : v \in S\} \cup \{\{v, e\} : v \in S \text{ is an endpoint of } e \in E\}$. The claim is that $H' = (V_H, E_H)$ is connected.

Suppose there was an unreachable vertex in H' , which must be of the form $\{u, v\}$ for $u, v \in V$ since all vertices in S are connected to z . Since $\{u, v\}$ can only be connected to u or v in H' , this means that neither u nor v is in S , but then S is not a vertex cover of G since $\{u, v\} \in E$ has no incident vertices. Contradiction, so H' is connected. \square

- (c) Prove that if G does not have a vertex cover of size at most k , then there is no connected subgraph of H which contains all vertices in $E \cup \{z\}$ and has at most $k + |E| + 1$ vertices total. Hint: prove the contrapositive.

Proof. Suppose there was a connected subgraph $H' = (V_H, E_H)$ of H containing all vertices in $E \cup \{z\}$ and has at most $k + |E| + 1$ vertices total. Then V_H contains at most k elements from V , say $S \subset V$. The claim is that S is a vertex cover of G .

Since H' is connected, each $\{u, v\} \in E$ has either $u \in S$ or $v \in S$. If not, it would be unreachable since the only vertices connected to $\{u, v\}$ in H' are u and v . This is exactly the condition that S is a vertex cover of G . \square

- (d) Using the previous two parts, prove that MINIMUM SUBGRAPH is NP-hard.

Proof. We perform a reduction from VERTEX COVER to MINIMUM SUBGRAPH. Given an instance $(G = (V, E), k)$ of VERTEX COVER, take $f(G = (V, E), k) = (H, E \cup \{z\}, k + |E| + 1)$ where H is constructed as before.

From part (b), if G has a vertex cover of size at most k , then there is a connected subgraph of H containing all of $M = E \cup \{z\}$ and having at most $k + |E| + 1$ vertices, so $(H, E \cup \{z\}, k + |E| + 1)$ is a YES instance of MINIMUM SUBGRAPH. From part (c), if G does not have a vertex cover of size at most k , then H does not have the desired connected subgraph. Thus, YES and NO instances are mapped to YES and NO instances, respectively.

Finally, by part (a), we can compute f in polynomial time. Since VERTEX COVER is NP-hard, it follows that MINIMUM SUBGRAPH is also NP-hard. \square

2 Graduation Requirements Revisited (34 points)

John Hopkins has switched to a more lenient policy for graduation requirements than it had in Homework 9. As in the previous homework, there is a list of requirements r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m where each requirement r_i is of the form “you must take at least k_i courses from set S_i ”. However, under the new policy a student *may* use the same course to fulfill multiple requirements. For example, if there was a requirement that a student must take at least one course from $\{A, B, C\}$, and another required at least one course from $\{C, D, E\}$, and a third required at least one course from $\{A, F, G\}$, then a student would only have to take A and C to graduate.

Now consider an incoming freshman interested in finding the *minimum* number of courses required to graduate. You will prove that the problem faced by this freshman is NP-complete, even if each k_i is equal to 1. More formally, consider the following decision problem: given n items (say a_1, \dots, a_n), given m subsets of these items S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m , and given an integer k , does there exist a set S of at most k items such that $|S \cap S_i| \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

- (a) Prove that this problem is in NP.

Proof. Here, the witness X is the set S and the verifier checks that $|S| \leq k$ and $|S \cap S_i| \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Checking $|S| \leq k$ using a counter takes $O(n)$ since $|S| \leq n$. Checking $|S \cap S_i| \geq 1$ takes $O(|S| |S_i|) = O(n^2)$ by iterating over all elements of S and checking if S_i contains it, because $|S_i| \leq n$. Then doing this for each i takes a total of $O(mn^2)$ time, so the total time for this algorithm is $O(mn^2)$, which is polynomial time.

This algorithm is correct because if $(\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}, \{S_1, \dots, S_m\}, k)$ is a YES instance, S is a witness that yields YES from the algorithm. If it is a NO instance, then such an S does not exist, and any witness will fail one or more of the checks. \square

- (b) Prove that this problem is NP-hard.

Proof. We perform a reduction from VERTEX COVER. □

$i++;$

3 Magic Subroutines (33 points)

- (a) Suppose you are given a magic black box that can determine in polynomial time, given an arbitrary graph G , the number of vertices in the largest clique in G . Describe a polynomial-time algorithm that computes, given an arbitrary graph G , a clique of G of maximum size, using this magic black box as a subroutine. Prove polynomial running time and correctness.

Solution. Consider the following algorithm:

- (1) Initialize $C = \emptyset$
- (2) Compute the size of the largest clique in G . Suppose it is k .
- (3) Pick an arbitrary vertex v , remove v from G and compute the size of the largest clique in $G - v$.
 - (a) If this returns k , then v was not part of the largest clique.
 - (b) If this returns $k - 1$, then v was part of the largest clique. Add v back to G .
- (4) Repeat the process with each remaining vertex.
- (5) Return C .

Running time: Step (2) takes polynomial time. The process of computing largest clique size at each step takes polynomial time, with a total of n iterations, one for each vertex, so the total running time is still polynomial in n and m .

Correctness: If there is a single clique of size k , then during the algorithm the black box will return $k - 1$ on $G - v$ if and only if v is in this clique of size k . To see this, if v is in the clique, then removing it will reduce the size of the largest clique by 1, and if the largest clique in $G - v$ has size $k - 1$, then v must have been part of that max clique. Thus, these vertices will all be added to C , which is the largest clique.

If there are multiple cliques of size k , then during the algorithm the black box will return k on $G - v$ until there is only one remaining clique of size k because at each step we remove a vertex from G . Now, this is the same scenario as when there was one clique of size k . □

- (b) Suppose you are given a magic black box that can determine in polynomial time, given an arbitrary boolean circuit Φ (with one output and no loops, like in CIRCUIT-SAT), whether Φ is satisfiable. Describe a polynomial-time algorithm that either computes a satisfying input for a given boolean circuit or correctly reports that no such input exists, using the magic black box as a subroutine. Prove polynomial running time and correctness.