

## Homework 4

ALECK ZHAO

March 1, 2018

### Chapter 16: Lebesgue Measure

2. Prove statements (i) and (ii) of Proposition 16.2.

(i)  $0 \leq m^*(E) \leq \infty$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of intervals  $(I_n)$  covering  $E$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) < m^*(E) + \varepsilon$$

Since  $\ell(I_n) \geq 0$ , it follows that  $m^*(E) + \varepsilon > 0 \implies m^*(E) \geq 0$ . Then if  $E = \mathbb{R}$ , any covering must include an unbounded interval, so  $m^*(E) = \infty$ , so the upper bound can be achieved.  $\square$

(ii) If  $E \subset F$ , then  $m^*(E) \leq m^*(F)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of intervals  $(I_n)$  covering  $F$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) < m^*(F) + \varepsilon$$

Then since  $E \subset F$ , this sequence also covers  $E$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) < m^*(F) + \varepsilon \\ \implies m^*(E) &\leq m^*(F) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

3. Earlier attempts at defining the measure of a (bounded) set were similar to Lebesgue's, except that the infimum was typically taken over finite unions of intervals covering the set. Show that if  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  is contained in a finite union of open intervals  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i)$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \geq 1$ . Thus,  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  would have "measure" 1 by this definition.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < 1$ . Then these intervals would not cover  $[0, 1]$ , so there must exist some open interval. Since rationals are dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there must exist a rational  $q$  in this interval, and thus these intervals would not cover  $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ . Contradiction, so  $\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \geq 1$ .  $\square$

5. If we define  $rE = \{rx : x \in E\}$ , what is  $m^*(rE)$  in terms of  $m^*(E)$ ?

*Solution.* We claim that  $m^*(rE) = |r|m^*(E)$ . If  $E$  has measure  $\infty$ , then it is clear that  $rE$  also has measure  $\infty$ . Otherwise, they are both bounded. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of intervals  $(a_n, b_n)$  covering  $E$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) < m^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{|r|}$$

Then if  $r \geq 0$ , it follows that  $(ra_n, rb_n)$  covers  $rE$ , and likewise if  $r < 0$ , the intervals  $(rb_n, ra_n)$  covers  $rE$ . In either case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(rE) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |r| (b_n - a_n) < |r| m^*(E) + \varepsilon \\ \implies m^*(rE) &\leq |r| m^*(E) \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument, there exists a sequence of intervals  $(c_k, d_k)$  covering  $rE$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (d_k - c_k) < m^*(rE) + \varepsilon$$

Then if  $r \geq 0$ , the intervals  $(\frac{c_k}{r}, \frac{d_k}{r})$  covers  $E$  and if  $r < 0$ , the intervals  $(\frac{d_k}{r}, \frac{c_k}{r})$  cover  $E$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|r|} (d_k - c_k) < \frac{1}{|r|} m^*(rE) + \frac{1}{|r|} \varepsilon \\ \implies |r| m^*(E) &\leq m^*(rE) \end{aligned}$$

so in fact  $m^*(rE) = rm^*(E)$ . □

8. Given  $\delta > 0$ , show that  $m^*(E) = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n)$  where the infimum is taken over all coverings of  $E$  by sequences of intervals  $(I_n)$ , where each  $I_n$  has diameter less than  $\delta$ .

*Proof.* We trivially have  $m^*(E) \leq \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of intervals  $(J_k)$  covering  $E$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(J_k) < m^*(E) + \varepsilon$$

Now, for each interval  $J_k$ , we can write  $J_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} I_{k,i}$  where  $I_{k,i}$  are pairwise disjoint and  $\ell(I_{k,i}) < \delta$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_{k,i}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(J_k) < m^*(E) + \varepsilon \\ \implies \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) &\leq m^*(E) \end{aligned}$$

so we have  $m^*(E) = \inf \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n)$  as desired. □

13. Show that  $m^*(E \cup F) \leq m^*(E) + m^*(F)$  for any sets  $E, F$ .

*Proof.* If  $E$  or  $F$  has measure  $\infty$ , the inequality trivially holds. Otherwise, they both have bounded measure. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exist sequences of intervals  $(I_n)$  and  $(J_k)$  covering  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively, such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) &< m^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(J_k) &< m^*(F) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $E \cup F \subset (\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n) \cup (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} J_k)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(E \cup F) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell(I_n) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ell(J_k) < \left(m^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) + \left(m^*(F) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) = m^*(E) + m^*(F) + \varepsilon \\ \implies m^*(E \cup F) &\leq m^*(E) + m^*(F) \end{aligned}$$

□

15. Prove that a subset of a set of outer measure zero is again a set of outer measure zero. Prove that a finite union of sets of outer measure zero has outer measure zero.

*Proof.* Let  $F \subset E$  where  $E$  has measure 0. Then by property (ii), we have  $m^*(F) \leq m^*(E) = 0$ , but since measure is at least, 0, it follows that  $m^*(F) = 0$ .

If  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are sets of outer measure zero, then by the result of 13, we have

$$m^*(E_1 \cup E_2) \leq m^*(E_1) + m^*(E_2) = 0$$

and since measure is at least 0, it follows that  $m^*(E_1 \cup E_2) = 0$ . By induction, it follows that any finite union of measure zero sets has measure 0. □

16. If  $m^*(E) = 0$ , show that  $m^*(E \cup A) = m^*(A) = m^*(A \setminus E)$  for any  $A$ .

*Proof.* By countable subadditivity, we have  $m^*(E \cup A) \leq m^*(E) + m^*(A) = m^*(A)$ . Since  $A \subset E \cup A$ , we also have  $m^*(A) \leq m^*(E \cup A)$ , so it follows that  $m^*(E \cup A) = m^*(A)$ .

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(A) &\leq m^*(A \setminus E) + m^*(E) = m^*(A \setminus E) \\ A \setminus E &\subset A \implies m^*(A \setminus E) \leq m^*(A) \\ \implies m^*(A) &= m^*(A \setminus E) \end{aligned}$$

□

21. If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq K|x - y|$  for all  $x$  and  $y$ , show that  $m^*(f(E)) \leq Km^*(E)$  for any  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* If  $E$  has measure  $\infty$ , the inequality trivially holds. Otherwise,  $m^*(E) < \infty$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a sequence of intervals  $(a_n, b_n)$  such that  $E \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n, b_n)$ . Then we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (b_n - a_n) < m^*(E) + \frac{\varepsilon}{K}$ . We also have that  $f(E) \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} f[(a_n, b_n)]$ , where

$$f[(a_n, b_n)] = (f(c_n), f(d_n)), \quad c_n, d_n \in (a_n, b_n)$$

since Lipschitz functions are continuous. Then since  $f[(a_n, b_n)]$  is a covering of  $f(E)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} m^*(f(E)) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell\left(f[(a_n, b_n)]\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (f(d_n) - f(c_n)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(d_n - c_n) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K(b_n - a_n) \\ &< Km^*(E) + \varepsilon \\ \implies m^*(f(E)) &\leq Km^*(E) \end{aligned}$$

□