

# Talking out our posteriors, or how to do Bayesian regression

April 10, 2018

# Overview of the Learning Session

- ▶ Introduction to Bayesianism
- ▶ Simple computational Bayes
- ▶ Bayesian regression

## Discussions to have

- ▶ Forgetting event spaces for random variables.
- ▶ Adult form of the Bayes Rule.
- ▶ Bayesian learning, vs. Bayesian statistics.
- ▶ The theory and practice of Markov Chain Monte Carlos.
- ▶ More intuitive ways to turn Bayes's rule to a posterior.

**Want to show how to run regressions with non-parametric parameters.**

# Theoretical setup

Example: Let

$$y_i = \sum_k \beta_k x_{ik} + \epsilon_i$$

If we assume i.i.d.,  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ , so

- ▶  $p(x|\beta, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(y_i | \sum_k \beta_k x_{ik}, \sigma_i^2)$
- ▶  $p(\beta) \propto 1$ ,  $p(\log \sigma) \propto 1$
- ▶  $p(\beta, \sigma|x)$  is the regression result.

## Simple example

Suppose we have data  $x_i$  and our data-generating model is

$$x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma)$$

and we don't impose that  $\beta$  has a parametric form.

Start with non-informative priors:

$$p(\beta) \propto 1$$

$$p(\log \sigma) \propto 1$$

Using our math:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\beta, \sigma | x) &\propto p(\beta) p(\sigma) p(x | \beta, \sigma) \\ &\propto \sigma^{-n-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2} \\ &\propto \sigma^{-n-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [(n-1)\text{Var}(x) + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

Does that tell us anything?

## Simple example

Suppose we have data  $x_i$  and our data-generating model is

$$x_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, \sigma)$$

and we don't impose that  $\beta$  has a parametric form.

Start with non-informative priors:

$$p(\beta) \propto 1$$

$$p(\log \sigma) \propto 1$$

Using our math:

$$\begin{aligned} p(\beta, \sigma | x) &\propto p(\beta) p(\sigma) p(x | \beta, \sigma) \\ &\propto \sigma^{-n-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_i (x_i - \mu)^2} \\ &\propto \sigma^{-n-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} [(n-1)\text{Var}(x) + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2]} \end{aligned}$$

Does that tell us anything? **Yes:** A relative measure of the probability of any given combination of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$ .

## How do we calculate the actual distributions of $\beta$ and $\sigma$ ?

- ▶ There is no closed-form solution.
- ▶ (This is not the case for every kind of parameter, but analytical solutions are rare cases.)
- ▶ The probability of a given value of  $\beta$  depends on  $\sigma$ .
- ▶ Various ways to numerically approximate.

```

## Bayesian estimate
library(rstan)

## A simple model in Stan
stan.code <- "
data {
  int<lower=0> N;
  vector[N] x;
}
parameters {
  real mu;
  real<lower=0> sigma;
}
model {
  x ~ normal(mu, sigma);
}"

## Fit the model to each set of data
fit <- stan(model_code=stan.code, data=list(N=N, x=xx))
fit1 <- stan(fit=fit, data=list(N=2*N, x=xx1))
fit2b <- stan(fit=fit, data=list(N=3*N, x=c(xx, xx1)))

la <- extract(fit)
la1 <- extract(fit1)
la2b <- extract(fit2b)

```



```
## Plot a comparison vs. individual studies
```

```
ggplot() +  
  geom_density(data=data.frame(x=la$mu), aes(x, colour="Study 1", linetype="Numeric")) +  
  geom_density(data=data.frame(x=la1$mu), aes(x, colour="Study 2", linetype="Numeric")) +  
  geom_line(data=data.frame(x=xgrid, y=gaus0*.96), aes(x, y, colour="Study 1", linetype="Approximate")) +  
  geom_line(data=data.frame(x=xgrid, y=gaus1*1.05), aes(x, y, colour="Study 2", linetype="Approximate")) +  
  theme_minimal() +  
  scale_linetype_discrete(name="") + scale_colour_discrete(name="") + xlab(NULL)
```

```
## Plot a comparison vs. pooled
```

```
dens0 <- density(la$mu, from=beta.range[1], to=beta.range[2])  
dens1 <- density(la1$mu, from=beta.range[1], to=beta.range[2])
```

```
ggplot() +  
  geom_density(data=data.frame(x=la2b$mu), aes(x, colour="Pooled", linetype="Numeric")) +  
  geom_line(data=data.frame(x=dens0$x, y=7.2*dens0$y * dens1$y), aes(x, y, colour="Updated", linetype="Numeric"))  
) +  
  theme_minimal() +  
  scale_linetype_discrete(name="") + scale_colour_discrete(name="") + xlab(NULL)
```

## Full Bayesian regression

Suppose we have an OLS-style model:

$$y|\beta, \sigma, X \sim \mathcal{N}(X\beta, \sigma^2 I)$$

As used above, the (conditional) posterior distribution of  $\beta$  for a known  $\sigma$  has an analytical form:

$$\beta|\sigma, y \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\beta}, V_{\beta}\sigma^2)$$

where

$$\hat{\beta} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}X^{\top}y$$

$$V_{\beta} = (X^{\top}X)^{-1}$$

The (marginal) posterior distribution of  $\sigma$  is also recognizable:

$$\sigma^2|y \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(n - k, s^2)$$

where

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n - k}(y - X\hat{\beta})^{\top}(y - X\hat{\beta})$$

## Algorithm for drawing from posterior distribution

1. Calculate the model in OLS. Let  $\hat{\beta}$  be the OLS coefficients.
2. Use the model residuals to calculate  $s^2$ .
3. Use the model covariance matrix ( $= s^2(X^T X)^{-1}$ ) calculate  $V_{\beta}$ .
4. Draw a random value of  $\sigma^2$  from the scaled inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution.
5. Draw a random value of  $\beta$  from a multivariate normal, using that value of  $\sigma$ .

### Properties:

- ▶ The OLS result will be the mode of the Bayesian posterior (= MLE)
- ▶ The standard error is the second derivative of the Bayesian posterior

```
## Load the data
data(treering)

## Setup the model
library(splines)

year <- -6000:1979
sin2 <- sin(2*pi*year / 2)
cos2 <- cos(2*pi*year / 2)
sin7 <- sin(2*pi*year / 7)
cos7 <- cos(2*pi*year / 7)

## Calculate the OLS model
mod <- lm(treering ~ ns(year, df=5) + sin2 + cos2 + sin7 + cos7)
summary(mod)

## Setup the
library(MASS)
library(geoR)

## Calculate the Bayesian model
nn <- length(treering)
kk <- 10
betahat <- mod$coeff
s2 <- sum(mod$resid^2) / (nn - kk)
V.beta <- vcov(mod) / s2
sigmas <- rinvchisq(100000, nn - kk, s2)
betas <- t(sapply(sigmas, function(sigma) mvrnorm(1, betahat, V.beta * sigma)))
```

```

## Construct a comparison set of Gaussians
df <- data.frame(kk=c(), xx=c(), pp=c())
for (kk in 1:10) {
  xx <- seq(betahat[kk] - 5 * sqrt(vcov(mod)[kk, kk]), betahat[kk] + 5 * sqrt(vcov(mod)[kk, kk]),
            length.out=100)
  pp <- dnorm(xx, betahat[kk], sqrt(vcov(mod)[kk, kk]))
  df <- rbind(df, data.frame(kk, xx, pp))
}

## Construct a dataset of observations for density calculation
df.bayes <- data.frame(kk=c(), xx=c())
for (kk in 1:10) {
  ## Drop values beyond limits of OLS
  limitlo <- betahat[kk] - 5 * sqrt(vcov(mod)[kk, kk])
  limithi <- betahat[kk] + 5 * sqrt(vcov(mod)[kk, kk])
  valid <- betas[, kk] > limitlo & betas[, kk] < limithi
  df.bayes <- rbind(df.bayes, data.frame(kk, xx=betas[valid, kk]))
}

## Graph it!
library(ggplot2)
ggplot(df, aes(xx)) +
  facet_wrap(~ kk, scales="free", nrow=4, ncol=4) +
  geom_line(aes(y=pp)) +
  geom_density(data=df.bayes, col=2, linetype=2) +
  theme_minimal() + xlab(NULL) + ylab(NULL)

```

# Comparison

