

# OLG Write-up

Angela Zheng

This note describes two OLG models and their equilibrium to be used for computational exercises.

## Model 1: Based on Huggett, 1996

This is a heterogeneous agent OLG model based on Huggett, 1996.

In this economy, there is a continuum of agents born each period. The population has the following features:

- agents live for  $N$  periods max
- for each age  $t$ , agents have a probability of  $s_t$  of surviving up to  $s_t$  (conditional on being alive at  $t - 1$ )
- population grows at rate  $n$

Agents have CRRA utility with coefficient  $\sigma$ :  $u(c) = \frac{u(1-\sigma)}{1-\sigma}$  and lifetime utility:

$$E\left[\sum_{t=1}^N \beta^t (\Pi_{j=1}^t s_j) u(c_t)\right] \quad (1)$$

The labour productivity of an agent,  $e(z, t)$  depends on their age,  $t$  and an idiosyncratic shock  $z$  that is Markov. Every period, agents choose consumption  $c$  and assets  $a'$ , while supplying labour inelastically in exchange for a wage  $w$ .

The dynamic programming problem for an agent is:

$$\begin{aligned} V(a, z, t) &= \max_{c, a'} u(c) + \beta s_{t+1} E[V(a', z', t+1) | (a, z)] \\ \text{s.t. } c + a' &\geq a(1 + r(1 - \tau)) + (1 - \theta - \tau)e(z, t)w + T + b_t \\ c &\geq 0, a' \geq \bar{a} \end{aligned}$$

$T$  is a lump-sum transfer,  $b_t$  is a social security benefit,  $r$  is the risk-free interest rate,  $\tau$  is a tax rate on capital and labour income.  $\tau$  is an additional social security tax on labour income. Furthermore,  $b_t$  has the following form:  $b_t = 0$  if  $t < R$  and  $b_t = b$  otherwise, where  $R$  is the retirement age.  $\bar{a}$  is a borrowing limit and agents in their last period of life cannot borrow:  $a' \geq 0$  if  $t = N$ . If agents die before age  $N$  their assets are collected and given back to agents as transfers  $T$ .

There is a representative firm with production function  $Y = F(K, L) = AK^\alpha L^{1-\alpha}$  that hires labour and capital each period.

In addition, there is a government who spends  $G$  in expenditures and collects taxes on capital, and labour. It must satisfy:  $G = \tau(rK + wL)$  We need to define some more notation before going into the definition of equilibrium.

- $X = [\bar{a}, \infty) \times Z$  is the state space over assets and idiosyncratic productivity shocks
- $B(X)$  is the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$
- $\psi_t(B)$  is the fraction of age  $t$  agents with states in  $B$
- $\mu_t$  is the fraction of age  $t$  agents in the economy.
- $P(x, t-1, B)$  is a transition function that gives the probability an agent at  $t$  agent transitions to set  $B$  given he started in state  $x$

## Equilibrium

A stationary eq. consists of  $c(a, z, t)$ ,  $a'(a, z, t)$ ,  $r, w, K, L, T, G, \tau, \theta, b$  and distributions  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_N$  s.t.:

1.  $c(a, z, t), a'(a, z, t)$  solve the household's problem.
2.  $K, L$  solve the problem of the firm:

$$w = F_L(K, L) , r = F_K(K, L) - \delta$$

3. Markets clear:

- (a) Goods:  $\sum_t \mu_t \int_X (c(a, z, t) + a'(a, z, t)) d\psi_t + G = F(K, L) + (1 - \delta)K$
- (b) Assets:  $\sum_t \mu_t \int_X a'(a, z, t) d\psi_t = (1 + n)K$
- (c) Labour:  $\sum_t \mu_t \int_X e(z, t) d\psi_t = L$
- (d) The transition function is consistent with agent's behaviour:  $\psi_{t+1}(B) = \int_X P(x, t, B) d\psi_t$ ,  $\forall t, B$
- (e) Government budget constraint:  $G = \tau(rK + wL)$
- (f) Social security benefits equal taxes:  $\theta wL = b(\sum_{t=R}^N \mu_t)$
- (g) Transfers equal accidental bequests:

$$T = \left\{ \sum_t \mu_t (1 - s_{t+1}) \int_X a(x, t) (1 + r(1 - \tau)) d\psi_t \right\} / (1 - n) \quad (2)$$

## Model 2: Based on Krueger and Kubler, 2003

This is a discrete-time OLG model with aggregate uncertainty. The authors solve the model using a projection algorithm (Smolyak's method). The aggregate shocks follow a Markov Chain with transition matrix  $\Pi$ . Let  $z^t$  denote the history of aggregate states. There are overlapping generations of agents that live for a finite number periods,  $N$ . One agent is born at each date  $z^t$ , i.e. there is no within generation heterogeneity.

### Problem of an Agent

The agent gets utility from consumption and supplies one unit of labour inelastically. The problem for an agent who was born at time  $s$  and is currently alive in period  $t$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max E_s \left[ \sum_{t=s}^{s+N-1} \beta^{t-s} u(c_t^s) \right] \\ \text{s.t. } c_t^s + a_t^s = r_t a_{t-1}^s + w_t l^{t-s} \end{aligned}$$

$u(\cdot)$  is the utility function of the agent and satisfies Inada conditions.  $a_t^s$  is holdings of capital that the agent can rent out to a firm at price  $r_t$ .  $l^{t-s}$  is the labour productivity of the agent and is dependent on the agent's age and the aggregate state of the economy,  $z_t$ .

The Euler equation for the household is:

$$u'(c_t^s(z^t)) = \beta E_{z_t} u'(c_{t+1}^s(z^t, z_{t+1})) r(z^t, z_{t+1}) \quad (3)$$

The agents take expectations over next period's realization of  $z, z_{t+1}$ . Other assumptions about the households are:

- $a_{s+N-1}^s \geq 0$  i.e. at period  $t = s + N - 1$  (the last period of the agent's life), the agent cannot borrow
- agents are born with no assets :  $a_{s-1}^s = 0$
- at  $t = 0$  there is one agent of each age  $1, \dots, N$  with respective assets  $a_{-1}^0, \dots, a_{-1}^{N+1}$  where  $a_{-1}^0 = 0$  by the previous point
- denote  $\mathcal{I}_t$  to be the set of agents alive at time  $t$

### Firms

There is a single firm who hires capital  $K_t$ ,  $L_t$  each period  $t$ , after seeing the shock  $z_t$ . Therefore firms don't have uncertainty and solve the following problem to maximize current period profits:

$$\max_{K_t, L_t} f(K_t, L_t, z_t) - w_t L_t - r_t K_t$$

The optimal choice for labour satisfies:

$$w(z^t) = \eta(z^t)F_L(K(z^t), L(z^t)) \quad (4)$$

The optimal choice for capital satisfies:

$$w(z^t) = \eta(z^t)F_K(K(z^t), L(z^t)) + (1 - \delta(z^t)) \quad (5)$$

The authors take:  $f(K, L, z) = \eta(z)F(K, L) + K(1 - \delta(z))$  where  $\eta(z)$  is a stochastic productivity shock, and  $\delta$  is depreciation (that is also a function of the aggregate state).

## Equilibrium

Given an initial aggregate state  $z_0$ , an initial level of assets  $\{a_{-1}^s\}_{s=-N+1}^0$ , a competitive equilibrium, is a sequence of choices  $\{c_t^i, a_t^i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}_t}$ , and choices  $\{K_t, L_t\}$  such that  $\forall t = 0, \dots, \infty$ :

1.  $\forall s = 0, \dots$ , given  $\{r_t, w_t\}_{t=0}^\infty, \{c_t^i, a_t^i\}_{t=s}^{s+N-1}$  solve the household's problem, i.e. they satisfy equation (1).
2. For  $r_t, w_t, K_t$  and  $L_t$  satisfy (2) and (3).
3. Market Clearing:  $\forall t, :$ 
  - Labour:  $L_t = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_t} l_t^i$
  - Capital:  $K_t = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}_t} a_{t-1}^i$