Precalculus Practice Problems: Midterm 2

Alan Zhou

2024-2025

The focus of these review problems is on the material covered in Weeks 13 through 23, but keep in mind that prior material can still appear on the exam.

Contents

1	Law	vs of Sines and Cosines	2
	1.1	Review problems	2
	1.2	Challenge problems	3
	1.3	Answers	4
2	Cor	mplex Numbers I: Algebra	g
	2.1	Review problems	9
		Challenge problems	
	2.3	Answers	12
3	Cor	nplex Numbers II: Exponentials	15
	3.1	Review problems	15
	3.2	Challenge problems	17
	3.3	Answers	19

1 Laws of Sines and Cosines

1.1 Review problems

Calculators are recommended for this section. Throughout, if ABC is a triangle, then we use a, b, and c to denote the side lengths BC, CA, and AB, respectively. (That is, a is the length of the side opposite A, etc.) The notation [ABC] denotes the area of ABC.

- 1. SAS congruence. Let ABC be a triangle with a=1, b=5, and $\angle C=104^{\circ}$.
 - (a) Find [ABC].
 - (b) Find c.
 - (c) Using the law of sines, or otherwise, find $\sin A$ and $\sin B$.
 - (d) Show that $\angle A = \arcsin(\sin A)$ and $\angle B = \arcsin(\sin B)$, and hence compute $\angle A$ and $\angle B$. (Hint: For which angles does $\arcsin(\sin \theta) = \theta$ hold?)
- 2. SSS congruence. Let ABC be a triangle with a = 13, b = 14, and c = 15.
 - (a) Using the law of cosines, or otherwise, find $\cos A$, $\cos B$, and $\cos C$.
 - (b) Compute $\angle A$, $\angle B$, and $\angle C$.
 - (c) Find [ABC].
- 3. ASA/AAS congruence. Let ABC be a triangle with $c=2, \angle A=12^{\circ}$, and $\angle B=77^{\circ}$.
 - (a) Find $\angle C$.
 - (b) Find a and b.
 - (c) Find [ABC].
- 4. SSA non-congruence. Let ABC be a triangle with $\angle A = 30^{\circ}$, a = 6, and b = 9.
 - (a) Show that $c^2 (9\sqrt{3})c + 45 = 0$.
 - (b) Find all possible values of c.
- 5. Extended law of sines. If ABC is a triangle with circumradius R, then the extended law of sines states that

$$\frac{a}{\sin A} = \frac{b}{\sin B} = \frac{c}{\sin C} = 2R.$$

- (a) Express $\sin C$ in terms of a, b, and [ABC].
- (b) Assuming the extended law of sines, show that $R = \frac{abc}{4[ABC]}$.
- (c) Given that a = 13, b = 14, and c = 15, compute R.
- 6. Single-cevian computations. Let ABC be a triangle and let D be a point on side \overline{BC} . (The line segment \overline{AD} is a cevian from A.)
 - (a) Express $\angle ADB$ in terms of $\angle CDA$.

(b) Ratio lemma. Using the law of sines, or otherwise, show that

$$\frac{BD}{DC} = \frac{AB}{AC} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle DAC)}.$$

- (c) Angle bisector theorem. Show that if \overline{AD} bisects $\angle BAC$, then $\frac{AB}{BD} = \frac{AC}{DC}$.
- (d) Stewart's theorem. Let $\angle ADB = \theta$ and let AD = d, BD = x, and DC = y. Show that

$$c^2 = d^2 + x^2 - 2dx \cos \theta,$$

$$b^2 = d^2 + y^2 + 2dy \cos \theta.$$

and conclude that

$$b^2x + c^2y = a(d^2 + xy).$$

- 7. Concurrent cevians. Let ABC be a triangle and let D, E, F lie on $\overline{BC}, \overline{CA}, \overline{AB}$ respectively.
 - (a) Show that

$$\frac{AF}{FB} \cdot \frac{BD}{DC} \cdot \frac{CE}{EA} = \frac{\sin(\angle ACF)}{\sin(\angle FCB)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle DAC)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle CBE)}{\sin(\angle EBA)}$$

(b) Ceva's theorem. Show that \overline{AD} , \overline{BE} , and \overline{CF} are concurrent if and only if the two sides of the above equation are equal to 1.

1.2 Challenge problems

- 8. Points O, A, B, and C are placed in the plane so that AO = BO = CO = 4, AB = 2, and AC = 1. Find all possible lengths of BC.
- 9. In triangle ABC, point D lies on \overline{BC} so that \overline{AD} bisects $\angle BAC$. Assuming that BD=7, BA=8, and AD=5, find CD.
- 10. Eisenstein triples. An Eisenstein triple is an unordered triple of positive integers $\{a, b, c\}$ for which a triangle with side lengths a, b, and c has an angle of measure either 60° or 120° . If the Eisenstein triple corresponds to a triangle with an angle of measure 60° , we will call it an Eisenstein triple of **acute type**, and otherwise, we call it an Eisenstein triple of **obtuse type**. (The "acute type" and "obtuse type" names are non-standard.)
 - (a) Suppose a, b < c are such that $\{a, b, c\}$ is an Eisenstein triple of obtuse type. Show that $\{a, a + b, c\}$ and $\{a + b, b, c\}$ are Eisenstein triples of acute type.
 - (b) Conversely, show that every Eisenstein triple of acute type either corresponds to an equilateral triangle or arises from an Eisenstein triple of obtuse type in the above manner.
 - (c) Show that if $\{a, b, c\}$ is an Eisenstein triple of obtuse type with gcd(a, b, c) = 1, then there are relatively prime positive integers m and n such that

$$\{a,b,c\}=\{2mn+n^2,m^2-n^2,m^2+mn+n^2\}.$$

(Hint: See Section 1 Problem 10 from the Midterm 1 review.)

1.3 Answers

1. (a)
$$[ABC] = \frac{1}{2}ab\sin C = \frac{5}{2}\sin(104^\circ) \approx 2.426$$

(b)
$$c = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos C} = \sqrt{26 - 10\cos(104^\circ)} \approx 5.331$$

(c)
$$\sin A = \frac{a \sin C}{c} \approx \frac{\sin(104^{\circ})}{5.331} \approx 0.182$$

 $\sin B = \frac{b \sin C}{c} \approx \frac{5 \sin(104^{\circ})}{5.331} \approx 0.910$

(d) Since $\angle C$ is obtuse, $\angle A$ and $\angle B$ are acute. Since acute angles are included in the range of arcsin, we have $\angle A = \arcsin(\sin A)$ and $\angle B = \arcsin(\sin B)$.

$$\angle A = \arcsin(\sin A) \approx \arcsin(0.182) \approx 10.49^{\circ}$$

$$\angle B = \arcsin(\sin B) \approx \arcsin(0.910) \approx 65.51^{\circ}$$

2. (a)
$$\cos A = \frac{b^2 + c^2 - a^2}{2bc} = \frac{3}{5}$$

 $\cos B = \frac{c^2 + a^2 - b^2}{2ca} = \frac{33}{65}$
 $\cos C = \frac{a^2 + b^2 - c^2}{2ab} = \frac{5}{13}$

(b) The range of arccos is $[0^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}]$, so we can always use it to extract triangle angles.

$$\angle A = \arccos(\cos A) = \arccos(\frac{3}{5}) \approx 53.13^{\circ}$$

$$\angle B = \arccos(\cos B) = \arccos(\frac{33}{65}) \approx 59.49^{\circ}$$

$$\angle C = \arccos(\cos C) = \arccos(\frac{5}{13}) \approx 67.38^{\circ}$$

(c)
$$[ABC] = \frac{1}{2}bc\sin A = \frac{14\cdot15}{2}\sin(\arccos(\frac{3}{5})) = 7\cdot15\cdot\frac{4}{5} = 84$$

3. (a)
$$\angle C = 180^{\circ} - \angle A - \angle B = 91^{\circ}$$

(b)
$$a = \frac{c}{\sin C} \cdot \sin A = \frac{2 \sin 12^{\circ}}{\sin 91^{\circ}} \approx 0.416$$

 $b = \frac{c}{\sin C} \cdot \sin B = \frac{2 \sin 77^{\circ}}{\sin 91^{\circ}} \approx 1.949$

(c)
$$[ABC] = \frac{1}{2}ac\sin B \approx 0.416\sin 77^{\circ} \approx 0.405$$

$$a^2 = b^2 + c^2 - 2bc \cos A \implies 36 = 81 + c^2 - 18 \cos(30^\circ)c.$$

Evaluating $\cos(30^\circ) = \sqrt{3}/2$ and rearranging gives us $c^2 - (9\sqrt{3})c + 45 = 0$.

$$c = \frac{9\sqrt{3} \pm \sqrt{(9\sqrt{3})^2 - 4 \cdot 1 \cdot 45}}{2} = \frac{9\sqrt{3} \pm 3\sqrt{7}}{2}.$$

5. (a)
$$\sin C = \frac{2[ABC]}{ab}$$
.

(b)
$$R = \frac{c}{2\sin C} = \frac{c}{\frac{4[ABC]}{ab}} = \frac{abc}{4[ABC]}$$

(c)
$$R = 65/8$$

6. (a)
$$\angle ADB = 180^{\circ} - \angle CDA$$

(b) By the law of sines,

$$\frac{AB}{\sin(\angle ADB)} = \frac{BD}{\sin(\angle BAD)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{AC}{\sin(\angle CDA)} = \frac{DC}{\sin(\angle DAC)}.$$

Dividing one equation by the other and using the fact that $\sin(\angle ADB) = \sin(\angle CDA)$ gets us the desired result after rearranging.

- (c) When \overline{AD} bisects $\angle BAC$, we have $\angle BAD = \angle DAC$, so the sines cancel in part (b).
- (d) The law of cosines in triangle ADB, using $\angle ADB$, gives us

$$(AB)^{2} = (AD)^{2} + (BD)^{2} - 2(AD)(BD)\cos(\angle ADB) \implies c^{2} = d^{2} + x^{2} - 2dx\cos\theta,$$

while the law of cosines in triangle ADC, using $\angle CDA$, gives us

$$b^{2} = d^{2} + y^{2} - 2dy\cos(180^{\circ} - \theta) = d^{2} + y^{2} + 2dy\cos\theta.$$

Adding y times the first equation to x times the second equation, so as to eliminate $\cos \theta$,

$$b^{2}x + c^{2}y = (d^{2}x + y^{2} + 2dy\cos\theta)x + (d^{2}y + x^{2} - 2dx\cos\theta)y$$
$$= d^{2}(x + y) + xy(x + y) = a(d^{2} + xy).$$

7. (a) By the ratio lemma (problem 6b),

$$\begin{split} \frac{AF}{FB} &= \frac{CA}{CB} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle ACF)}{\sin(\angle FCB)}, \\ \frac{BD}{DC} &= \frac{AB}{AC} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle DAC)}, \\ \frac{CE}{EA} &= \frac{BC}{BA} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle CBE)}{\sin(\angle EBA)}. \end{split}$$

Multiplying these equations together gives us the desired result.

(b) First suppose \overline{AD} , \overline{BE} , and \overline{CF} concur at point P. Then by the law of sines,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\sin(\angle ACF)}{\sin(\angle DAC)} &= \frac{\sin(\angle ACP)}{\sin(\angle PAC)} = \frac{AP}{CP}, \\ \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle EBA)} &= \frac{\sin(\angle BAP)}{\sin(\angle PBA)} = \frac{BP}{AP}, \\ \frac{\sin(\angle CBE)}{\sin(\angle FCB)} &= \frac{\sin(\angle CBP)}{\sin(\angle PCB)} = \frac{CP}{BP}. \end{split}$$

Multiplying these equations,

$$\frac{\sin(\angle ACF)}{\sin(\angle FCB)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle DAC)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle CBE)}{\sin(\angle EBA)} = \frac{\sin(\angle ACF)}{\sin(\angle DAC)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle BAD)}{\sin(\angle EBA)} \cdot \frac{\sin(\angle CBE)}{\sin(\angle FCB)}$$
$$= \frac{AP}{CP} \cdot \frac{BP}{AP} \cdot \frac{CP}{BP} = 1.$$

Conversely, suppose both sides of the equation from part (a) are 1, so in particular

$$\frac{AF}{FB} \cdot \frac{BD}{DC} \cdot \frac{CE}{EA} = 1.$$

Let \overline{AD} and \overline{BE} intersect at point Q, and let line \overline{CQ} intersect side \overline{AB} at point F'. Then using what we just showed,

$$\frac{AF'}{F'B} \cdot \frac{BD}{DC} \cdot \frac{CE}{EA} = 1.$$

This means AF/FB = AF'/F'B. With F and F' both interior to segment \overline{AB} , this can only happen if F = F', which means that \overline{AD} , \overline{BE} , and \overline{CF} concur (at Q) as desired.

8. Fix points A and B on a circle of radius 4 centered at O so that AB = 2. By the law of cosines, we can find

$$\cos(\angle AOB) = \frac{7}{8}$$
 and $\cos(\angle AOC) = \frac{31}{32}$,

from which we find

$$\sin(\angle AOB) = \frac{\sqrt{15}}{8}$$
 and $\sin(\angle AOC) = \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{32}$.

Since CO = 4, we know C lies on this circle as well, and since AC = 1, there are two possible locations for C, one on either side of \overline{OA} . When C and B lie on the same side of \overline{OA} , we have $\angle BOC = \angle AOB - \angle AOC$, which gives us

$$BC = \sqrt{32 - 32\cos(\angle AOB - \angle AOC)}$$

$$= 4\sqrt{2 - 2\left(\frac{7}{8} \cdot \frac{31}{32} + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{8} \cdot \frac{3\sqrt{7}}{32}\right)}$$

$$= 4\sqrt{2 - \frac{217 + 3\sqrt{105}}{128}} \approx 1.016.$$

When C and B lie on opposite sides of \overline{OA} , we instead have $\angle BOC = \angle BOA + \angle AOC$. A similar calculation to the first case yields

$$BC = 4\sqrt{2 - \frac{217 - 3\sqrt{105}}{128}} \approx 2.953.$$

9. Let CD = 7x, so that AC = 8x by the angle bisector theorem. By Stewart's theorem,

$$(AB)^{2} \cdot (DC) + (AC)^{2} \cdot (BD) = (BC)[(AD)^{2} + (BD)(DC)]$$
$$8^{2} \cdot 7x + (8x)^{2} \cdot 7 = (7 + 7x)(5^{2} + 7 \cdot 7x)$$
$$(8^{2} \cdot 7)(x + x^{2}) = 7(1 + x)(25 + 49x).$$

Since x > 0, we can safely divide by 7(1 + x) on both sides to get

$$64x = 25 + 49x \implies x = \frac{5}{3}.$$

Therefore, CD = 7x = 35/3.

- 10. (a) Let \overrightarrow{ABC} be the corresponding triangle, with $\angle C = 120^\circ$ opposite side AB = c. Extend ray \overrightarrow{AC} to point D so that CD = CB. Then triangle BCD is equilateral, so triangle ADB has side lengths AD = a + b, DB = a, and AB = c with $\angle D = 60^\circ$. This means $\{a, a+b, c\}$ is an Eisenstein triple of acute type. A similar construction where we extend \overrightarrow{BC} tells us that $\{a+b, b, c\}$ is also an Eisenstein triple of acute type.
 - (b) Let a, b, c be such that $\{a, b, c\}$ is an Eisenstein triple of acute type with AB = c opposite the 60° angle in the corresponding triangle ABC. If a = b, then the triangle is equilateral. Otherwise, suppose without loss of generality that a < b. Let point D on side \overline{AC} be such that CD = CB and let a' = AD = b a. Then triangle ADB has $\angle ADB = 120^{\circ}$, so $\{a', a, c\}$ is an Eisenstein triple of obtuse type. As b = a' + a, the original Eisenstein triple $\{a, b, c\}$ can be constructed from $\{a', a, c\}$ according to part (a).
 - (c) Suppose without loss of generality that c is the side opposite the 120° angle, so that by the law of cosines,

$$c^2 = a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos 120^\circ = a^2 + ab + b^2$$
.

Dividing through by c^2 and letting x = a/c and y = b/c, finding Eisenstein triples is equivalent to finding points with positive rational coordinates on the conic

$$x^2 + xy + y^2 = 1$$
.

This equation describes an ellipse in the plane passing through the points $(\pm 1, 0)$ and $(0, \pm 1)$. Moreover, every point with positive rational coordinates can be connected to the point (0, -1) by a line of rational slope greater than 1.

Let t = m/n be a rational number greater than 1, where m and n are relatively prime positive integers. The line of slope t through (0, -1) is y = tx - 1, so to find the other point where the line intersects the conic, we substitute to get the equation

$$x^{2} + x \cdot (tx - 1) + (tx - 1)^{2} = 1,$$

$$(t^{2} + t + 1)x^{2} - (2t + 1)x = 0.$$

One solution is x = 0, corresponding to y = -1, and the other solution is

$$x = \frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1},$$

corresponding to

$$y = tx - 1 = \frac{t^2 - 1}{t^2 + t + 1}.$$

Substituting t = m/n and clearing nested denominators gives us

$$(x,y) = \left(\frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{c}\right) = \left(\frac{2mn + n^2}{m^2 + mn + n^2}, \frac{m^2 - n^2}{m^2 + mn + n^2}\right).$$

¹More specifically, we can rewrite the equation as $\frac{u^2}{2/3} + \frac{v^2}{2} = 1$ where $u = \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $v = \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}}$. This is an ellipse centered at (0,0) whose semimajor axis has length $\sqrt{2}$ lying along the v-axis, i.e. the line u = 0 or equivalently y = -x. The semiminor axis has length $\sqrt{2/3}$ lying along the u-axis, i.e. the line v = 0 or equivalently y = x.

To finish, we need to check whether the fractions on the right hand side are fully reduced. To start, since gcd(m, n) = 1,

$$\gcd(2mn + n^2, m^2 + mn + n^2) = \gcd(n \cdot (2m + n), m^2 + mn + n^2)$$

$$= \gcd(2m + n, m^2 + mn + n^2)$$

$$= \gcd(2m + n, m^2 + mn + n^2 - n \cdot (2m + n))$$

$$= \gcd(2m + n, m^2 - mn) = \gcd(2m + n, m \cdot (m - n))$$

$$= \gcd(2m + n, m - n) = \gcd(3n, m - n).$$

If $m \equiv n \pmod{3}$, then let m = n + 3k. Then gcd(n, k) = 1 and

$$\gcd(3n, m - n) = \gcd(3n, 3k) = 3\gcd(n, k) = 3.$$

Otherwise,

$$\gcd(3n, m-n) = \gcd(n, m-n) = \gcd(n, m) = 1.$$

Thus we are done in the case that $m \neq n \pmod{3}$, while in the case that $m \equiv n \pmod{3}$,

$$a = \frac{2mn + n^2}{3}, \quad b = \frac{m^2 - n^2}{3}, \quad c = \frac{m^2 + mn + n^2}{3}.$$

Let $r = \frac{m+2n}{3}$ and $s = \frac{m-n}{3}$, so that n = r - s and m = r + 2s. Then

$$a = \frac{2(r+2s)(r-s) + (r-s)^2}{3} = r^2 - s^2,$$

$$b = \frac{(r+2s)^2 - (r-s)^2}{3} = 2rs + s^2,$$

$$c = \frac{(r+2s)^2 + (r+2s)(r-s) + (r-s)^2}{3} = r^2 + rs + s^2,$$

so the result still holds with r and s in place of m and n.

2 Complex Numbers I: Algebra

Throughout, \mathbb{R} denotes the set of all real numbers and \mathbb{C} denotes the set of all complex numbers.

2.1 Review problems

- 1. Arithmetic. Let z = -3 + 3i and w = -4 2i. Compute each of the following:
 - (a) $\operatorname{Re} z$
 - (b) Im w
 - (c) z+w
 - (d) z-w
 - (e) zw
 - (f) z/w
- 2. A quadratic with real coefficients. Find all complex solutions to the equation $z^2 + 5 = 4z$.
- 3. Real-valued products. Find all complex numbers z for which (-4+2i)z is real.
- 4. Powers of i and periodic sequences.
 - (a) Show that $i^4 = 1$.
 - (b) Find all complex solutions to the equation $z^4 = 1$ and write each one as a power of i.
 - (c) Let z_1, z_2, z_3, \ldots be a 4-periodic sequence of complex numbers, meaning that $z_{n+4} = z_n$ for all positive integers n. Show that there exist complex numbers a, b, c, d such that

$$z_n = a + b \cdot i^n + c \cdot i^{2n} + d \cdot i^{3n}$$

for all n.

- 5. Complex conjugation. Given a complex number z = x + yi, the **complex conjugate** of z is defined to be $\overline{z} = x yi$.
 - (a) Compute $\overline{943 319i}$.
 - (b) Prove the following properties of complex conjugation:
 - i. $(\overline{z}) = z$ for all complex numbers z.
 - ii. $\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w}$ for all complex numbers z and w.
 - iii. $\overline{z \cdot w} = \overline{z} \cdot \overline{w}$ for all complex numbers z and w.
 - iv. Re $z = (z + \overline{z})/2$
 - v. Im $z = (z \overline{z})/2i$

Remark: From these, we can show that $\overline{z-w} = \overline{z} - \overline{w}$ for all complex numbers z and w, that $\overline{z/w} = \overline{z}/\overline{w}$ for all complex numbers z and $w \neq 0$, and that $\overline{z^n} = \overline{z}^n$ for all complex numbers z and for all integers n (with $z \neq 0$ when $n \leq 0$).

6. Magnitude. Given a complex number z = x + yi, the **magnitude** or **absolute value** of z is defined to be $|z| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

9

- (a) Compute |21 + 20i|.
- (b) Prove the following properties of the magnitude:
 - i. $|z|^2 = z \cdot \overline{z}$ for all complex numbers z.
 - ii. $|zw| = |z| \cdot |w|$ for all complex numbers z and w.

Remark: From these, it follows that |z/w| = |z|/|w| for all complex numbers z and $w \neq 0$, and that $|z^n| = |z|^n$ for all integers n (with $z \neq 0$ when $n \leq 0$).

- 7. Square roots of complex numbers.
 - (a) Find a complex number w for which $w^2 = -16 + 30i$.
 - (b) Find the two complex numbers z satisfying $2z^2 (8+4i)z + (14-7i) = 0$.
 - (c) Prove that for every complex number z, there is a complex number w for which $w^2 = z$. Remark: It follows from this that every quadratic polynomial with complex coefficients has complex roots (with roots given by the familiar quadratic formula).

2.2 Challenge problems

- 8. (a) Show that $\operatorname{Re} z \leq |z|$ for all complex numbers z. When does equality occur?
 - (b) Triangle inequality. Using part (a), or otherwise, show that

$$|z + w| \le |z| + |w|$$

for all complex numbers z and w. When does equality occur?

9. In this problem, we work through one formal construction of the complex numbers. Let \mathcal{C} be the set of all ordered pairs of real numbers, and define operations \oplus and \otimes on \mathcal{C} by

$$(a,b) \oplus (c,d) = (a+c,b+d),$$

$$(a,b) \otimes (c,d) = (ac-bd,ad+bc).$$

We call \oplus and \otimes the addition and multiplication on \mathcal{C} , respectively.

(a) Let u = (-2, -4), v = (-3, 1), and w = (0, 4). Verify each of the following:

$$\begin{split} u \oplus (v \oplus w) &= (u \oplus v) \oplus w, \\ u \otimes (v \otimes w) &= (u \otimes v) \otimes w, \\ u \oplus v &= v \oplus u, \\ u \otimes v &= v \otimes u, \\ u \otimes (v \oplus w) &= (u \otimes v) \oplus (u \otimes w), \\ u \oplus (0,0) &= u, \\ v \otimes (1,0) &= v. \end{split}$$

Also find pairs a_v and m_u for which $v \oplus a_v = (0,0)$ and $m_u \otimes u = (1,0)$.

One can show that these equalities hold in general and that for any $z \in \mathcal{C}$, we can find a unique $a_z \in \mathcal{C}$ for which $z \oplus a_z = (0,0)$. This a_z is the **additive inverse** of z and is denoted -z. Similarly, for any non-zero $z \in \mathcal{C}$, we can find a unique $m_z \in \mathcal{C}$ for which $z \otimes m_z = (1,0)$. This m_z is the **multiplicative inverse** of z and is denoted z^{-1} .

These properties, collectively called the "field axioms," are enough to derive all of the usual algebraic facts that we are familiar with in the context of real number algebra. What remains is to check that \mathcal{C} "does what we expect the complex numbers to do."

(b) Prove that for any two real numbers x and y,

$$(x,0) \oplus (y,0) = (x+y,0)$$
 and $(x,0) \otimes (y,0) = (xy,0)$.

This shows that the elements (r,0) for $r \in \mathbb{R}$, with operations \oplus and \otimes , "act like" the real numbers with the usual addition and multiplication operations + and \times . As such, we can regard \mathbb{R} as being contained within \mathcal{C} by identifying $r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $(r,0) \in \mathcal{C}$, and then \otimes and \otimes extend + and \times from \mathbb{R} to all of \mathcal{C} . As such, when r is a real number we simply write r instead of (r,0). Moreover, from now on, we write + and \times (or \cdot) instead of \oplus and \otimes . We also introduce the subtraction and division operations as z-w=z+(-w) and $z/w=z\cdot w^{-1}$.

(c) Show that $(0,1) \times (0,1) = -1$ and $(0,-1) \times (0,-1) = -1$.

This shows that \mathcal{C} has square roots of -1, as expected. We can now recover the usual notation by defining i = (0,1) and then observing that $(x,y) = x + y \cdot i$. Henceforth, we can forget about the underlying ordered pairs and replace \mathcal{C} with the usual \mathbb{C} .

10. A function $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an \mathbb{R} -automorphism of \mathbb{C} if

$$f(z+w) = f(z) + f(w)$$
 and $f(zw) = f(z) \cdot f(w)$

for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ and f(r) = r for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) Show that if $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is an \mathbb{R} -automorphism of \mathbb{C} , then f(i) = i or f(i) = -i.
- (b) Show that the only two \mathbb{R} -automorphisms of \mathbb{C} are the identity function f(z)=z and the conjugation function $f(z)=\overline{z}$.

2.3 Answers

- 1. (a) -3
 - (b) -2
 - (c) -7 + i
 - (d) 1 + 5i
 - (e) 18 6i
 - (f) $\frac{3}{10} \frac{9}{10}i$
- 2. 2 + i and 2 i
- 3. Let z = a + bi. Then (-4 + 2i)(a + bi) = (-4a 2b) + (2a 4b)i. For this product to be real, we need 2a - 4b = 0, so a = 2b. Hence z = 2b + bi = b(2 + i), so any real multiple of 2 + iwould do the job.
- 4. (a) We have $i^2 = -1$, so then $i^3 = -i$, so then $i^4 = -i^2 = -(-1) = 1$.
 - (b) $1 = i^0$, $i = i^1$, $-1 = i^2$, and $-i = i^3$.
 - (c) The right hand side is also 4-periodic, so it suffices to show that there exist a, b, c, d with

$$z_n = a + b \cdot i^n + c \cdot i^{2n} + d \cdot i^{3n}$$

for n = 1, 2, 3, 4. This gives us the system of linear equations

$$a + ib - c - id = z_1,$$

$$a - b + c - d = z_2,$$

$$a - ib - c + id = z_3,$$

$$a+b+c+d=z_4.$$

This system does indeed have a solution, namely

$$a = \frac{z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4}{4},$$

$$b = \frac{-iz_1 - z_2 + iz_3 + z_4}{4},$$

$$c = \frac{-z_1 + z_2 - z_3 + z_4}{4},$$

$$b = \frac{-iz_1 - z_2 + iz_3 + z_4}{4},$$

$$c = \frac{-z_1 + z_2 - z_3 + z_4}{4},$$

$$d = \frac{iz_1 - z_2 - iz_3 + z_4}{4}.$$

- 5. (a) 943 + 319i
 - (b) Let z = x + yi and w = a + bi throughout.

i.
$$\overline{(\overline{z})} = \overline{x - yi} = x + yi = z$$

ii.
$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{(x+a)+(y+b)i} = (x+a)-(y+b)i$$

 $\overline{z}+\overline{w} = (x-yi)+(a-bi) = (x+a)-(y+b)i$

iii.
$$\overline{z \cdot w} = \overline{(xa - yb) + (xb + ya)i} = (xa - yb) - (xb + ya)i$$

$$\overline{z} \cdot \overline{w} = (x - yi)(a - bi) = (xa - yb) - (xb + ya)i$$
iv.
$$\frac{z + \overline{z}}{2} = \frac{(x + yi) + (x - yi)}{2} = x = \operatorname{Re} z$$
v.
$$\frac{z - \overline{z}}{2i} = \frac{(x + yi) - (x - yi)}{2i} = y = \operatorname{Im} z$$

- 6. (a) 29
 - (b) Let z = x + yi and w = a + bi throughout.

i.
$$z \cdot \overline{z} = (x+yi)(x-yi) = x^2 - (yi)^2 = x^2 + y^2 = |z|^2$$

ii. $|zw| = \sqrt{|zw|^2} = \sqrt{zw \cdot \overline{zw}} = \sqrt{z\overline{z} \cdot w\overline{w}} = \sqrt{|z|^2 \cdot |w|^2} = |z| \cdot |w|$

7. (a) Let w = a + bi, so then $w^2 = (a^2 - b^2) + 2abi$. Therefore, we need $a^2 - b^2 = -16$ and ab = 15. Substituting b = 15/a,

$$a^2 - \frac{225}{a^2} = -16 \iff a^4 + 16a^2 - 225 = 0.$$

Since a is real, $a^2 > 0$, so the only viable solution to the quadratic in a^2 is

$$a^2 = \frac{-16 + \sqrt{16^2 - 4(1)(-225)}}{2} = \frac{-16 + \sqrt{1156}}{2} = \frac{-16 + 34}{2} = 9.$$

Therefore, a=3, in which case b=5, or a=-3, in which case b=-5. Hence the two square roots of -16+30i are $\pm(3+5i)$.

(b) By the quadratic formula,

$$z = \frac{(8+4i) \pm \sqrt{(8+4i)^2 - 4(2)(14-7i)}}{2(2)}$$

$$= \frac{(8+4i) \pm \sqrt{(64+64i-16) - (112-56i)}}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(8+4i) \pm \sqrt{-64+120i}}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(8+4i) \pm 2\sqrt{-16+30i}}{4}$$

$$= \frac{(4+2i) \pm (3+5i)}{2}$$

$$= \frac{7+7i}{2} \text{ or } \frac{1-3i}{2}.$$

(c) If z = x + yi and w = a + bi satisfies $w^2 = z$, then following the same procedure as in part (a) yields, when $y \neq 0$,

$$a^4 - xa^2 - \frac{y^2}{4} = 0.$$

The product of the roots of the quadratic $T^2 - xT - y^2/4$ is $-y^2/4 < 0$, so there is a positive root α and a negative root β . Taking $a^2 = \alpha$, so then $a = \sqrt{\alpha}$ and b = y/2a, gives us a square root of z.

In the case y=0, either a=0 or b=0. If $x\geq 0$, then take $a=\sqrt{x}$ and b=0, and if x<0, then take a=0 and $b=\sqrt{-x}$.

8. (a) For the inequality,

$$|z| = \sqrt{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2 + (\operatorname{Im} z)^2} \ge \sqrt{(\operatorname{Re} z)^2} = |\operatorname{Re} z| \ge \operatorname{Re} z.$$

For the first inequality step, we have equality if and only if Im z = 0. For the second inequality step, we have equality if and only if $\text{Re } z \geq 0$. Putting these together, equality holds in the overall inequality if and only if z is a non-negative real number.

(b) As both sides are non-negative, it suffices to prove the squared inequality. We have

$$|z+w|^2 = (z+w) \cdot \overline{(z+w)}$$

$$= z\overline{z} + z\overline{w} + w\overline{z} + w\overline{w}$$

$$= |z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z\overline{w}) + |w|^2$$

$$\leq |z|^2 + 2|z| \cdot |w| + |w|^2$$

$$= (|z| + |w|)^2,$$

as required. Equality holds when we have equality in $\text{Re}(z\overline{w}) = |z\overline{w}|$, and by part (a), we know that this requires $z\overline{w} \geq 0$. If w = 0, this condition holds. Otherwise, $w\overline{w} > 0$ and $z\overline{w} \geq 0$, so $z/w \geq 0$. That is, $z = \lambda w$ for a non-negative real number λ .

- 9. (a) $u \oplus (v \oplus w) = (u \oplus v) \oplus w = (-5, 1)$ $u \otimes (v \otimes w) = (u \otimes v) \otimes w = (-40, 40)$ $u \oplus v = v \oplus u = (-5, -3)$ $u \otimes v = v \otimes u = (10, 10)$ $u \otimes (v \oplus w) = (u \otimes v) \oplus (u \otimes w) = (26, 2)$ $a_v = (3, -1)$ $m_u = (-1/10, /5)$
 - (b) $(x,0) \oplus (y,0) = (x+y,0+0) = (x+y,0)$ $(x,0) \otimes (y,0) = (x \cdot y - 0 \cdot 0, x \cdot 0 + 0 \cdot y) = (xy,0)$
 - (c) $(0,1) \times (0,1) = (0 \cdot 0 1 \cdot 1, 0 \cdot 1 + 1 \cdot 0) = (-1,0) = -1$ $(0,-1) \times (0,-1) = (0 \cdot 0 - (-1) \cdot (-1), 0 \cdot (-1) + (-1) \cdot 0) = (-1,0) = -1$
- 10. (a) We have

$$f(i)^2 = f(i) \cdot f(i) = f(i \cdot i) = f(-1) = -1,$$

so either f(i) = i or f(i) = -i.

(b) Let z = x + yi. Then

$$f(z) = f(x + yi) = f(x) + f(yi) = x + f(y) \cdot f(i) = x + y \cdot f(i).$$

If f(i) = i, then f(z) = x + yi = z. If f(i) = -i, then $f(z) = x - yi = \overline{z}$.

3 Complex Numbers II: Exponentials

For real numbers θ , we define $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$, with this expression also sometimes denoted $\cos \theta$. For complex numbers z = x + yi, we define $e^z = e^x \cdot e^{iy}$, where e^x is the real exponential function with base $e \approx 2.718$ evaluated at x.

3.1 Review problems

- 1. Magnitude and argument. For each of the following complex numbers z, find the magnitude |z| and all possible values of the argument arg z (if defined).
 - (a) 1
 - (b) 2 + 2i
 - (c) -3i
 - (d) $-2 + 2\sqrt{3}i$
 - (e) 0
- 2. More on arguments. For any non-zero real number z, we define the **principal value** of the argument to be the value of arg z in the interval² $(-\pi, \pi]$ and denote this by Arg z.
 - (a) Let z and w be complex numbers with arguments $2\pi/3$ and $3\pi/4$, respectively. What is (a possible value of) the argument of z^2/w ?
 - (b) In general, $\arg(zw) = \arg z + \arg w$ is true when interpreted to mean that if θ is a possible value for the argument of z and ϕ is a possible value for the argument of w, then $\theta + \phi$ is a possible value for the argument of zw.

Does Arg(zw) = Arg z + Arg w hold?

3. Exponential form. Fill in the table below.

Standard form	Exponential form
7i	$7e^{i\pi/2}$
	$e^{\pi i}$
1+i	
$3-3\sqrt{3}i$	
	$2e^{-2i\pi/3}$
	$4e^{5i\pi/12}$

4. Exact values of roots of unity. For any positive integer n, an n-th root of unity is a solution to the equation $z^n = 1$. Compute the n-th roots of unity for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8.

²Some authors may opt for another interval like $[0, 2\pi)$, but $(-\pi, \pi]$ is the most common.

- 5. Roots of other complex numbers. Let n be a positive integer and let z be any complex number. Writing z in exponential form, let real numbers $r \ge 0$ and θ be such that $z = re^{i\theta}$.
 - (a) In terms of r, θ , and n, write down an n-th root of z.
 - (b) Show that if w is an n-th root of z and ζ is an n-th root of unity, then ζw is also an n-th root of z.
 - (c) Conversely, show that if w_1 and w_2 are two *n*-th roots of z, then there is an *n*-th root of unity ζ for which $w_2 = \zeta w_1$.
 - (d) Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/7}$. Express all solutions to $z^7 = 128$ in terms of ζ .
- 6. Primitive n-th roots of unity. Let n be a positive integer. A **primitive** n-th root of unity is an n-th root of unity which is not a k-th root of unity for any positive integer k < n.
 - (a) For n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, what are the primitive n-th roots of unity?
 - (b) Any *n*-th root of unity can be written in the form $e^{2\pi ik/n}$ for an integer k. Show that this is a primitive *n*-th root of unity if and only if gcd(k,n) = 1.
 - (c) Let ζ be a primitive *n*-th root of unity. Show that for any integer k,

$$1 + \zeta^k + \zeta^{2k} + \dots + \zeta^{(n-1)k} = \begin{cases} n & n \mid k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(d) Roots of unity filter. Let

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \dots + a_{mn} z^{mn}$$

be a polynomial and let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/n}$. Show that

$$a_0 + a_n + a_{2n} + \dots + a_{mn} = \frac{f(1) + f(\zeta) + f(\zeta^2) + \dots + f(\zeta^{n-1})}{n}.$$

- (e) Compute $\binom{2025}{0} + \binom{2025}{3} + \binom{2025}{6} + \dots + \binom{2025}{2025}$.
- 7. Sine and cosine as exponentials.
 - (a) Let θ be a real number. Show that

$$\cos \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}}{2}$$
 and $\sin \theta = \frac{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}}{2i}$.

(b) For any complex number z, we define

$$\cos z = \frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin z = \frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}.$$

Other trig functions of z are defined in terms of sine and cosine as usual, e.g. $\tan z = \frac{\sin z}{\cos z}$. Calculate $\cos(i)$ and $\sin(i)$.

- (c) Prove that $\cos^2 z + \sin^2 z = 1$ for all complex numbers z.
- (d) Prove that $2\sin z\cos z = \sin(2z)$ for all complex numbers z.

3.2 Challenge problems

8. Let $\ln: (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ (temporarily) denote the natural logarithm function, i.e. the inverse of the real exponential function $x \mapsto e^x$. Define $L: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$L(z) = \ln|z| + i\arg z.$$

Since arg is multi-valued, L is also multi-valued, with its possible output values differing by integer multiples of $2\pi i$.

(a) Show that $e^{L(z)} = z$ (no matter what value of $\arg z$ we take). As such, L acts as an "inverse" to the complex exponential, so we call it the **complex logarithm** and denote it by $\log z$ instead of L(z). We define the **principal value of the logarithm** to be

$$Log z = \ln|z| + i \operatorname{Arg} z.$$

- (b) Compute the following:
 - i. Log 1
 - ii. $\log i$
 - iii. Log(-3-3i)
- (c) Prove that $\log(zw) = \log z + \log w$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Is it true that $\operatorname{Log}(zw) = \operatorname{Log} z + \operatorname{Log} w$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$?
- (d) Show that the solutions to $\tan w = z$ are

$$w = \frac{1}{2i} \log \left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz} \right).$$

This makes the expression on the right hand side the (multi-valued) **inverse tangent** function for complex numbers.

- 9. The fundamental theorem of algebra states that for every non-constant one-variable polynomial function $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$, where $n \geq 1$ and the a_i are complex numbers with $a_n \neq 0$, there exists $r \in \mathbb{C}$ with p(r) = 0. In this problem, we provide one proof of this theorem. Note that without loss of generality, we can suppose $a_n = 1$, and since p(0) = 0 when $a_0 = 0$, we only need to address the case that $a_0 \neq 0$.
 - (a) Let $R = 2(|a_0| + |a_1| + \cdots + |a_{n-1}| + 1)$. Show that if $|z| \ge R$, then

$$\left|\frac{p(z)}{z^n}\right| \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) A theorem of mathematical analysis tells us that since |p(z)| is a continuous function of z, there is a point z_0 with $|z_0| \leq R$ for which $m = |p(z_0)|$ is the minimum value of |p(z)| as z ranges over all complex numbers with magnitude at most R. Show that in fact, m is the minimum value of |p(z)| as z ranges over all complex numbers.

(c) Suppose for the sake of contradiction that m > 0. By translating, we can suppose that $z_0 = 0$, so that $m = |a_0|$. Let $0 < k \le n$ be the smallest positive integer with $a_k \ne 0$, let ω be any complex number satisfying $\omega^k = -a_0/a_k$, and let

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \min \left(1, |a_0| \cdot \left[|a_{k+1}\omega^{k+1}| + |a_{k+2}\omega^{k+2}| + \dots + |a_n\omega^n| \right]^{-1} \right).$$

Show that

$$|p(\epsilon \cdot \omega) - (a_0 - a_0 \epsilon^k)| \le \frac{|a_0|}{2} \epsilon^k,$$

and hence deduce that $|p(\epsilon \cdot \omega)| < m$, contradicting minimality of m.

10. Let $\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_{\varphi(n)}$ be all the primitive *n*-th roots of unity (where $\varphi(n)$ is the number of positive integers $k \leq n$ satisfying $\gcd(k, n) = 1$). We define the **n-th cyclotomic polynomial** to be

$$\Phi_n(X) = (X - \zeta_1)(X - \zeta_2) \cdots (X - \zeta_{\varphi(n)}).$$

- (a) Compute $\Phi_n(X)$ for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8.
- (b) Show that $X^n 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(X)$.
- (c) Show that $\Phi_p(X) = X^{p-1} + X^{p-2} + \cdots + X + 1$ whenever p is prime.
- (d) An important fact for number theory is that the cyclotomic polynomials are **irreducible** (over the integers), meaning that they cannot be written as products of polynomials of lower degree with integer coefficients. We will not go through a general proof here, but some special cases are easier to tackle.

Let p be a prime. By considering a shifted cyclotomic polynomial $f(X) = \Phi_p(X+1)$, or otherwise, show that $\Phi_p(X)$ is irreducible.

3.3 Answers

1. In all of the below, k can be any integer.

(a)
$$|1| = 1$$
 and $\arg 1 = 2\pi i k$

(b)
$$|2+2i| = 2\sqrt{2}$$
 and $arg(2+2i) = \pi/4 + 2\pi ik$

(c)
$$|-3i| = 3$$
 and $arg(-3i) = -\pi/2 + 2\pi i k$

(d)
$$|-2+2\sqrt{3}i|=4$$
 and $\arg(-2+2\sqrt{3}i)=2\pi/3+2\pi ik$

(e)
$$|0| = 0$$
 and $\arg 0$ is undefined

2. (a)
$$2 \cdot \frac{2\pi}{3} - \frac{3\pi}{4} = \frac{7\pi}{12}$$
 (any integer multiple of 2π can be added to this)

(b) Let
$$z=w=-i$$
. Then $\operatorname{Arg} z=\operatorname{Arg} w=-\pi/2$, but

$$Arg(zw) = Arg(-1) = \pi \neq Arg z + Arg w.$$

3. When converting from standard form to exponential form, we can add any integer multiple of $2\pi i$ to the exponent to get another valid exponential form expression.

Standard form	Exponential form
7i	$7e^{i\pi/2}$
-1	$e^{\pi i}$
1+i	$\sqrt{2}e^{i\pi/4}$
$3-3\sqrt{3}i$	$6e^{-i\pi/3}$
$-1-\sqrt{3}i$	$2e^{-2i\pi/3}$
$(\sqrt{6} - \sqrt{2}) + (\sqrt{6} + \sqrt{2})i$	$4e^{5i\pi/12}$

4. See the table below.

n	<i>n</i> -th roots of unity
1	1
2	1, -1
3	$1, \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \frac{-1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}$
4	1, i, -1, -i
6	$1, \frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, -1, \frac{-1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}$
8	$1, \frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, i, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}, -1, \frac{-1-i}{\sqrt{2}}, -i, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}$

5. (a)
$$r^{1/n}e^{i(\theta/n)}$$

(b) If
$$w^n = z$$
 and $\zeta^n = 1$, then $(\zeta w)^n = \zeta^n w^n = 1 \cdot z = z$.

- (c) If $w_1^n = w_2^n = z$, then $(w_1/w_2)^n = 1$, so $w_1/w_2 = \zeta$ for some n-th root of unity ζ .
- (d) $z = 2\zeta^k$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 6$.
- 6. (a) See the table below.

n	primitive <i>n</i> -th roots of unity
1	1
2	-1
3	$\frac{-1+\sqrt{3}i}{2}, \ \frac{-1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}$
4	i,-i
6	$\frac{1+\sqrt{3}i}{2},\ \frac{1-\sqrt{3}i}{2}$
8	$\frac{1+i}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-1-i}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}}$

- (b) Suppose that $\gcd(k,n)=1$ and $(e^{2\pi ik/n})^m=e^{2\pi ikm/n}=1$ for some positive integer m. Then km/n must be an integer, and with $\gcd(k,n)=1$, this forces m to be divisible by n. Hence $e^{2\pi ik/n}$ is not an m-th root of unity for any m< n. Inversely, suppose $\gcd(k,n)=d>1$. Then $(e^{2\pi ik/n})^{n/d}=e^{2\pi i(k/d)}=1$, so $e^{2\pi ik/n}$ is an (n/d)-th root of unity and hence not a primitive n-th root of unity.
- (c) If n divides k, then $\zeta^k = 1$ so the sum evaluates to n. If n does not divide k, then since ζ is a primitive n-th root of unity, $\zeta^k \neq 1$. Therefore,

$$1 + \zeta^k + \dots + \zeta^{(n-1)k} = \frac{1 - \zeta^{nk}}{1 - \zeta^k} = \frac{1 - 1}{1 - \zeta^k} = 0.$$

(d) We start by writing the right hand side as

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}f(\zeta^k) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j=0}^{mn}a_j(\zeta^k)^j = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=0}^{mn}\left(a_j\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(\zeta^k)^j\right).$$

The inner sum, by part (c), is n whenever $n \mid j$ and 0 otherwise, so the sum becomes

$$\frac{1}{n}(na_0 + na_n + na_{2n} + \dots + na_{mn}) = a_0 + a_n + \dots + a_{mn}.$$

(e) Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3}$ and let $f(z) = (1+z)^{2025}$, so that $a_j = {2025 \choose j}$. Then

$$\binom{2025}{0} + \binom{2025}{3} + \dots + \binom{2025}{2025} = \frac{f(1) + f(\zeta) + f(\zeta^2)}{3} = \frac{2^{2025} - 2}{3}.$$

7. (a) We have $e^{i\theta} = \cos \theta + i \sin \theta$ and

$$e^{-i\theta} = \cos(-\theta) + i\sin(-\theta) = \cos\theta - i\sin\theta.$$

Adding the equations and dividing by 2 gives us the cosine formula, while subtracting the equations and dividing by 2i gives us the sine formula.

(b)
$$\cos i = \frac{e+e^{-1}}{2}$$
 and $\sin i = \frac{e^{-1}-e}{2i} = \frac{(e-e^{-1})i}{2}$

(c)
$$\cos^2 z + \sin^2 z = \left(\frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}\right)^2 = \frac{e^{2iz} + 2 + e^{-2iz}}{4} - \frac{e^{2iz} - 2 + e^{-2iz}}{4} = 1$$

(d)
$$2\sin z \cos z = 2\left(\frac{e^{iz} - e^{-iz}}{2i}\right)\left(\frac{e^{iz} + e^{-iz}}{2}\right) = \frac{e^{2iz} - e^{-2iz}}{2i} = \sin(2z)$$

8. (a)
$$e^{L(z)} = e^{\ln|z|} e^{i \arg z} = |z| e^{i \arg z} = z$$

ii.
$$\pi i/2$$

iii.
$$\ln(3\sqrt{2}) - 3\pi i/4$$

(c)
$$\log(zw) = \ln|zw| + i\arg(zw) = (\ln|z| + \ln|w|) + i(\arg z + \arg w) = \log z + \log w$$

The statement $\log(zw) \stackrel{?!}{=} \log z + \log w$ fails for the same reason that $\operatorname{Arg}(zw)$

The statement $\text{Log}(zw) \stackrel{?!}{=} \text{Log } z + \text{Log } w$ fails for the same reason that $\text{Arg}(zw) \stackrel{?!}{=} \text{Arg } z + \text{Arg } w$ fails. In particular, when |z| = 1, we have Log z = i Arg z, so we can just take z = w = -i as in our counterexample for problem 2(b).

(d) We have

$$\tan w = \frac{\sin w}{\cos w} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{e^{iw} - e^{-iw}}{e^{iw} + e^{-iw}} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{e^{2iw} - 1}{e^{2iw} + 1}.$$

If $\tan w = z$, then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{i} \frac{e^{2iw} - 1}{e^{2iw} + 1} &= z, \\ e^{2iw} - 1 &= iz(e^{2iw} + 1), \\ (1 - iz)e^{2iw} &= 1 + iz, \\ e^{2iw} &= \frac{1 + iz}{1 - iz}, \\ w &= \frac{1}{2i} \log \left(\frac{1 + iz}{1 - iz}\right). \end{split}$$

9. (a) By the triangle inequality,

$$\left| \frac{p(z)}{z^n} \right| = \left| 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{z^{n-j}} \right| \ge 1 - \left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{z^{n-j}} \right|,$$

so it suffices to show the last term is at most 1/2. Since $|z| \ge 1$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{z^{n-j}} \right| \le \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{|a_j|}{|z|^{n-j}} \le \frac{1}{|z|} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|.$$

That this is at most 1/2 then follows from $|z| \ge R > 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |a_j|$.

(b) We have $m \leq |p(z)|$ whenever $|z| \leq R$, so in particular $m \leq |p(0)| = |a_0|$. When $|z| \geq R$, part (a) gives us $|p(z)| \geq R^n/2$. If $m \leq 1$, then $R^n/2 \geq 2^{n-1} \geq m$, and if m > 1, then $R^n/2 \geq |a_0|^n > |a_0| \geq m$ as well. Hence m is indeed the minimum of |p(z)| over all z.

(c) We start by writing

$$p(\epsilon \cdot \omega) = a_0 + a_k \epsilon^k \omega^k + \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j \epsilon^j \omega^j = a_0 - a_0 \epsilon^k + \epsilon^k \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_j \epsilon^{j-k} \omega^j.$$

Since $\epsilon \leq 1/2 < 1$, the sum can be bounded by

$$\left| \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} a_j \epsilon^{j-k} \omega^j \right| \le \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} |a_j \omega^j| \cdot \epsilon^{j-k} \le \epsilon \sum_{j=k+1}^{n} |a_j \omega^j|.$$

This last expression is bounded above by $|a_0|/2$, as required. To finish,

$$|p(\epsilon \cdot \omega)| \le |a_0| - |a_0|\epsilon^k + \frac{|a_0|}{2}\epsilon^k = m\left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^k}{2}\right) < m.$$

10. (a) See the table below.

$\Phi_n(X)$
X-1
X+1
$X^2 + X + 1$
$X^2 + 1$
$X^2 - X + 1$
$X^4 + 1$

- (b) First, note that when $d \neq d'$, the polynomials $\Phi_d(X)$ and $\Phi_{d'}(X)$ share no roots because a primitive d-th root of unity cannot also be a (d')-th root of unity or vice versa. Therefore, all roots of the right hand side occur with multiplicity 1, as is the case on the left hand side. As such, it suffices to show that the left and right hand sides have the same roots. If ζ is a root of the right hand side, then ζ is a root of $\Phi_d(X)$ for some $d \mid n$. Since $\zeta^d = 1$ and $d \mid n$, we also have $\zeta^n = 1$, so ζ is a root of the left hand side. Conversely, let ζ be a root of $X^n 1$ and suppose ζ is a primitive d-th root of unity. Let n = qd + r, where $0 \le r < d$ is the remainder when n is divided by d. Then $\zeta^n qd = \zeta^r = 1$. Since ζ is a primitive d-th root of unity, we must have r = 0, so $d \mid n$. This means ζ is a root of $\Phi_d(X)$ and hence a root of the right hand side.
- (c) When p is prime, $X^p 1 = \Phi_1(X)\Phi_n(X)$, so

$$\Phi_p(X) = \frac{X^p - 1}{X - 1} = X^{p-1} + \dots + X + 1.$$

(d) For p=2, we saw that $\Phi_2(X)=X+1$, which cannot be factored, so for the rest of the argument, we can suppose p>2. Any factorisation of $f(X)=\Phi_p(X+1)$ yields a factorisation of $\Phi_p(X)$, so it suffices to show that f(X) is irreducible. We calculate

$$f(X) = \frac{(X+1)^p - 1}{(X+1) - 1} = X^{p-1} + pX^{p-2} + \binom{p}{2}X^{p-3} + \dots + \binom{p}{p-2}X + p.$$

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that we have a (non-trivial) factorisation

$$f(X) = (X^k + a_{k-1}X^{k-1} + \dots + a_0)(X^{p-1-k} + b_{p-2-k}X^{p-2-k} + \dots + b_0),$$

where 0 < k < p-1. Expanding, $a_0b_0 = p$, so we can suppose without loss of generality that $a_0 = \pm p$ and $b_0 = \pm 1$; whatever the signs are, $p \mid a_0$ but $p \nmid b_0$. Looking at the linear term, $a_0b_1 + a_1b_0 = \binom{p}{p-2}$, which is divisible by p. Since $p \mid a_0$ but $p \nmid b_0$, we must have $p \mid a_1$. Looking at the quadratic term, $a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0 = \binom{p}{p-3}$, which is divisible by p. Since $p \mid a_0, a_1$ but $p \nmid b_0$, we must have $p \mid a_2$. Continuing in this way, $p \mid a_j$ for all $0 \le j \le k-1$. (If $k \ge p-1-k$, then we take $b_{p-1-k} = 1$ and $b_j = 0$ for all $j \ge p-k$.) The coefficient of X^k is then $a_0b_k + a_1b_{k-1} + \cdots + a_{k-1}b_1 + b_0$, which is not divisible by p. However, the coefficient of X^k should also be $\binom{p}{p-1-k}$, which is divisible by p since 0 < k < p-1. This is a contradiction, so f(X) cannot factor non-trivially over the integers.