# Lecture on:
Electric Fields

Ref book: Fundamentals of Physics - D. Halliday, R. Resnick & J. Walker (10th Ed.)

Md. Asaduzzaman Asad

Institute of Natural Sciences

United International **University**

Electric Fields

Test charge q_0
at point P

Charged object

(a)

The rod sets up an electric field, which can create a force on the test charge.

Electric field at point P

(b)

Fig. 22-1 (a) A positive test charge q_0 placed at point P near a charged object. An electrostatic force $\vec{F}$ acts on the test charge. (b) The electric field $\vec{E}$ at point P produced by the charged object.

22-2 The Electric Field

The temperature at every point in a room has a definite value. You can measure the temperature at any given point or combination of points by putting a thermometer there. We call the resulting distribution of temperatures a *temperature field*. In much the same way, you can imagine a *pressure field* in the atmosphere; it consists of the distribution of air pressure values, one for each point in the atmosphere. These two examples are of *scalar fields* because temperature and air pressure are scalar quantities.

The electric field is a *vector field*; it consists of a distribution of *vectors*, one for each point in the region around a charged object, such as a charged rod. In principle, we can define the electric field at some point near the charged object, such as point P in Fig. 22-1a, as follows: We first place a *positive* charge q_0, called a *test charge*, at the point. We then measure the electrostatic force $\vec{F}$ that acts on the test charge. Finally, we define the electric field $\vec{E}$ at point P due to the charged object as

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\vec{F}}{q_0} \qquad \text{(electric field).} \qquad (22\text{-}1)$$

Thus, the magnitude of the electric field $\vec{E}$ at point P is $E = F/q_0$, and the direction of $\vec{E}$ is that of the force $\vec{F}$ that acts on the *positive* test charge. As shown in Fig. 22-1b, we represent the electric field at P with a vector whose tail is at P. To define the electric field within some region, we must similarly define it at all points in the region.

The SI unit for the electric field is the newton per coulomb (N/C). Table 22-1 shows the electric fields that occur in a few physical situations.

Table 22-1

Some Electric Fields

| Field Location or Situation	Value (N/C)
At the surface of a uranium nucleus	3×10^{21}
Within a hydrogen atom, at a radius of 5.29×10^{-11} m	5×10^{11}
Electric breakdown occurs in air	3×10^{6}
Near the charged drum of a photocopier	10^{5}
Near a charged comb	10^{3}
In the lower atmosphere	10^{2}
Inside the copper wire of household circuits	10^{-2}

22-3 Electric Field Lines

Michael Faraday, who introduced the idea of electric fields in the 19th century, thought of the space around a charged body as filled with *lines of force.* Although we no longer attach much reality to these lines, now usually called **electric field lines**, they still provide a nice way to visualize patterns in electric fields.

The relation between the field lines and electric field vectors is this: (1) At any point, the direction of a straight field line or the direction of the tangent to a curved field line gives the direction of $\vec{E}$ at that point, and (2) the field lines are drawn so that the number of lines per unit area, measured in a plane that is perpendicular to the lines, is proportional to the *magnitude* of $\vec{E}$. Thus, E is large where field lines are close together and small where they are far apart.

Figure 22-2a shows a sphere of uniform negative charge. If we place a *positive* test charge anywhere near the sphere, an electrostatic force pointing *toward* the center of the sphere will act on the test charge as shown. In other words, the electric field vectors at all points near the sphere are directed radially toward the sphere. This pattern of vectors is neatly displayed by the field lines in Fig. 22-2b, which point in the same directions as the force and field vectors. Moreover, the spreading of the field lines with distance from the sphere tells us that the magnitude of the electric field decreases with distance from the sphere.

If the sphere of Fig. 22-2 were of uniform *positive* charge, the electric field vectors at all points near the sphere would be directed radially *away from* the sphere. Thus, the electric field lines would also extend radially away from the sphere. We then have the following rule:

Fig. 22-2 (a) The electrostatic force $\vec{F}$ acting on a positive test charge near a sphere of uniform negative charge. (b) The electric field vector $\vec{E}$ at the location of the test charge, and the electric field lines in the space near the sphere. The field lines extend *toward* the negatively charged sphere. (They originate on distant positive charges.)

Electric field lines extend away from positive charge (where they originate) and toward negative charge (where they terminate).

Fig. 22-3 (*a*) The electrostatic force $\vec{F}$ on a positive test charge near a very large, nonconducting sheet with uniformly distributed positive charge on one side. (*b*) The electric field vector $\vec{E}$ at the location of the test charge, and the electric field lines in the space near the sheet. The field lines extend *away from* the positively charged sheet. (*c*) Side view of (*b*).

Positive test charge

$\vec{F}$

$\vec{E}$

(*a*)

(*b*)

(*c*)

Fig. 22-4 Field lines for two equal positive point charges. The charges repel each other. (The lines terminate on distant negative charges.) To "see" the actual three-dimensional pattern of field lines, mentally rotate the pattern shown here about an axis passing through both charges in the plane of the page. The three-dimensional pattern and the electric field it represents are said to have *rotational symmetry* about that axis. The electric field vector at one point is shown; note that it is tangent to the field line through that point.

Fig. 22-5 Field lines for a positive point charge and a nearby negative point charge that are equal in magnitude. The charges attract each other. The pattern of field lines and the electric field it represents have rotational symmetry about an axis passing through both charges in the plane of the page. The electric field vector at one point is shown; the vector is tangent to the field line through the point.

The relation between the field lines and electric field vectors is this: (1) At any point, the direction of a straight field line or the direction of the tangent to a curved field line gives the direction of $\vec{E}$ at that point, and (2) the field lines are drawn so that the number of lines per unit area, measured in a plane that is perpendicular to the lines, is proportional to the *magnitude* of $\vec{E}$. Thus, E is large where field lines are close together and small where they are far apart.

22-4 The Electric Field Due to a Point Charge

To find the electric field due to a point charge q (or charged particle) at any point a distance r from the point charge, we put a positive test charge q_0 at that point. From Coulomb's law (Eq. 21-1), the electrostatic force acting on q_0 is

$$\vec{F} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qq_0}{r^2} \hat{r}.$$ (22-2)

The direction of $\vec{F}$ is directly away from the point charge if q is positive, and directly toward the point charge if q is negative. The electric field vector is, from Eq. 22-1,

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\vec{F}}{q_0} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2} \hat{r} \quad \text{(point charge).}$$ (22-3)

The direction of $\vec{E}$ is the same as that of the force on the positive test charge: directly away from the point charge if q is positive, and toward it if q is negative.

Because there is nothing special about the point we chose for q_0, Eq. 22-3 gives the field at every point around the point charge q. The field for a positive point charge is shown in Fig. 22-6 in vector form (not as field lines).

We can quickly find the net, or resultant, electric field due to more than one point charge. If we place a positive test charge q_0 near n point charges $q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n$, then, from Eq. 21-7, the net force $\vec{F}_0$ from the n point charges acting on the test charge is

$$\vec{F}_0 = \vec{F}_{01} + \vec{F}_{02} + \cdots + \vec{F}_{0n}.$$

Therefore, from Eq. 22-1, the net electric field at the position of the test charge is

$$\vec{E} = \frac{\vec{F}_0}{q_0} = \frac{\vec{F}_{01}}{q_0} + \frac{\vec{F}_{02}}{q_0} + \cdots + \frac{\vec{F}_{0n}}{q_0}$$
$$= \vec{E}_1 + \vec{E}_2 + \cdots + \vec{E}_n. \tag{22-4}$$

Here $\vec{E}_i$ is the electric field that would be set up by point charge i acting alone. Equation 22-4 shows us that the principle of superposition applies to electric fields as well as to electrostatic forces.

Fig. 22-6 The electric field vectors at various points around a positive point charge.

Fig. 22-7 (a) Three particles with charges q_1, q_2, and q_3 are at the same distance d from the origin. (b) The electric field vectors $\vec{E}_1$, $\vec{E}_2$, and $\vec{E}_3$, at the origin due to the three particles. (c) The electric field vector $\vec{E}_3$ and the vector sum $\vec{E}_1 + \vec{E}_2$ at the origin.

Problem-1:

Figure 22-7a shows three particles with charges $q_1 = +2Q$, $q_2 = -2Q$, and $q_3 = -4Q$, each a distance d from the origin. What net electric field $\vec{E}$ is produced at the origin?

KEY IDEA

Charges q_1, q_2, and q_3 produce electric field vectors $\vec{E}_1$, $\vec{E}_2$, and $\vec{E}_3$, respectively, at the origin, and the net electric field is the vector sum $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_1 + \vec{E}_2 + \vec{E}_3$. To find this sum, we first must find the magnitudes and orientations of the three field vectors.

Magnitudes and directions: To find the magnitude of $\vec{E}_1$, which is due to q_1, we use Eq. 22-3, substituting d for r and $2Q$ for q and obtaining

$$E_1 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{2Q}{d^2}.$$

Similarly, we find the magnitudes of $\vec{E}_2$ and $\vec{E}_3$ to be

Fig. 22-7 (a) Three particles with charges q_1, q_2, and q_3 are at the same distance d from the origin. (b) The electric field vectors $\vec{E_1}$, $\vec{E_2}$, and $\vec{E_3}$, at the origin due to the three particles. (c) The electric field vector $\vec{E_3}$ and the vector sum $\vec{E_1} + \vec{E_2}$ at the origin.

$$E_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{2Q}{d^2} \quad \text{and} \quad E_3 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{4Q}{d^2}.$$

We next must find the orientations of the three electric field vectors at the origin. Because q_1 is a positive charge, the field vector it produces points directly *away* from it, and because q_2 and q_3 are both negative, the field vectors they produce point directly *toward* each of them. Thus, the three electric fields produced at the origin by the three charged particles are oriented as in Fig. 22-7b. (*Caution:* Note that we have placed the tails of the vectors at the point where the fields are to be evaluated; doing so decreases the chance of error. Error becomes very probable if the tails of the field vectors are placed on the particles creating the fields.)

Adding the fields: We can now add the fields vectorially just as we added force vectors in Chapter 21. However, here we can use symmetry to simplify the procedure. From Fig. 22-7b, we see that electric fields $\vec{E}_1$ and $\vec{E}_2$ have the same direction. Hence, their vector sum has that direction and has the magnitude

$$E_1 + E_2 = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{2Q}{d^2} + \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{2Q}{d^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{4Q}{d^2},$$

which happens to equal the magnitude of field $\vec{E}_3$.

We must now combine two vectors, $\vec{E}_3$ and the vector sum $\vec{E}_1 + \vec{E}_2$, that have the same magnitude and that are oriented symmetrically about the x axis, as shown in Fig. 22-7c. From the symmetry of Fig. 22-7c, we realize that the equal y components of our two vectors cancel (one is upward and the other is downward) and the equal x components add (both are rightward). Thus, the net electric field $\vec{E}$ at the origin is in the positive direction of the x axis and has the magnitude

$$E = 2E_{3x} = 2E_3 \cos 30°$$

$$= (2) \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{4Q}{d^2} (0.866) = \frac{6.93Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 d^2}. \qquad \text{(Answer)}$$

22-5 The Electric Field Due to an Electric Dipole

Figure 22-8a shows two charged particles of magnitude q but of opposite sign, separated by a distance d. As was noted in connection with Fig. 22-5, we call this configuration an *electric dipole*. Let us find the electric field due to the dipole of Fig. 22-8a at a point P, a distance z from the midpoint of the dipole and on the axis through the particles, which is called the *dipole axis*.

From symmetry, the electric field $\vec{E}$ at point P—and also the fields $\vec{E}_{(+)}$ and $\vec{E}_{(-)}$ due to the separate charges that make up the dipole—must lie along the dipole axis, which we have taken to be a z axis. Applying the superposition principle for electric fields, we find that the magnitude E of the electric field at P is

$$E = E_{(+)} - E_{(-)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2_{(+)}} - \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{r^2_{(-)}}$$

$$= \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z - \frac{1}{2}d)^2} - \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z + \frac{1}{2}d)^2}. \tag{22-5}$$

After a little algebra, we can rewrite this equation as

$$E = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 z^2} \left(\frac{1}{\left(1 - \dfrac{d}{2z}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{\left(1 + \dfrac{d}{2z}\right)^2} \right). \qquad (22\text{-}6)$$

After forming a common denominator and multiplying its terms, we come to

$$E = \frac{q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 z^2} \frac{2d/z}{\left(1 - \left(\dfrac{d}{2z}\right)^2\right)^2} = \frac{q}{2\pi\varepsilon_0 z^3} \frac{d}{\left(1 - \left(\dfrac{d}{2z}\right)^2\right)^2}. \qquad (22\text{-}7)$$

Fig. 22-8 (a) An electric dipole. The electric field vectors $\vec{E}_{(+)}$ and $\vec{E}_{(-)}$ at point P on the dipole axis result from the dipole's two charges. Point P is at distances $r_{(+)}$ and $r_{(-)}$ from the individual charges that make up the dipole. (b) The dipole moment $\vec{p}$ of the dipole points from the negative charge to the positive charge.

We are usually interested in the electrical effect of a dipole only at distances that are large compared with the dimensions of the dipole — that is, at distances such that $z \gg d$. At such large distances, we have $d/2z \ll 1$ in Eq. 22-7. Thus, in our approximation, we can neglect the $d/2z$ term in the denominator, which leaves us with

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{qd}{z^3}. \tag{22-8}$$

The product qd, which involves the two intrinsic properties q and d of the dipole, is the magnitude p of a vector quantity known as the **electric dipole moment** $\vec{p}$ of the dipole. (The unit of $\vec{p}$ is the coulomb-meter.) Thus, we can write Eq. 22-8 as

$$E = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{p}{z^3} \qquad \text{(electric dipole).} \tag{22-9}$$

The direction of $\vec{p}$ is taken to be from the negative to the positive end of the dipole, as indicated in Fig. 22-8b. We can use the direction of $\vec{p}$ to specify the orientation of a dipole.

22-6 The Electric Field Due to a Line of Charge

We now consider charge distributions that consist of a great many closely spaced point charges (perhaps billions) that are spread along a line, over a surface, or within a volume. Such distributions are said to be **continuous** rather than discrete. Since these distributions can include an enormous number of point charges, we find the electric fields that they produce by means of calculus rather than by considering the point charges one by one. In this section we discuss the electric field caused by a line of charge. We consider a charged surface in the next section. In the next chapter, we shall find the field inside a uniformly charged sphere.

When we deal with continuous charge distributions, it is most convenient to express the charge on an object as a *charge density* rather than as a total charge. For a line of charge, for example, we would report the *linear charge density* (or charge per unit length) λ, whose SI unit is the coulomb per meter. Table 22-2 shows the other charge densities we shall be using.

Figure 22-10 shows a thin ring of radius R with a uniform positive linear charge density λ around its circumference. We may imagine the ring to be made of plastic or some other insulator, so that the charges can be regarded as fixed in place. What is the electric field $\vec{E}$ at point P, a distance z from the plane of the ring along its central axis?

To answer, we cannot just apply Eq. 22-3, which gives the electric field set up by a point charge, because the ring is obviously not a point charge. However, we can mentally divide the ring into differential elements of charge that are so small that they are like point charges, and then we can apply Eq. 22-3 to each of them. Next, we can add the electric fields set up at P by all the differential elements. The vector sum of the fields gives us the field set up at P by the ring.

Let ds be the (arc) length of any differential element of the ring. Since λ is the charge per unit (arc) length, the element has a charge of magnitude

$$dq = \lambda \, ds. \tag{22-10}$$

The perpendicular components just cancel but the parallel components add.

Fig. 22-10 A ring of uniform positive charge. A differential element of charge occupies a length ds (greatly exaggerated for clarity). This element sets up an electric field $d\vec{E}$ at point P. The component of $d\vec{E}$ along the central axis of the ring is $dE\cos\theta$.

This differential charge sets up a differential electric field $d\vec{E}$ at point P, which is a distance r from the element. Treating the element as a point charge and using Eq. 22-10, we can rewrite Eq. 22-3 to express the magnitude of $d\vec{E}$ as

$$dE = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{dq}{r^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{\lambda\,ds}{r^2}. \tag{22-11}$$

From Fig. 22-10, we can rewrite Eq. 22-11 as

$$dE = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{\lambda\,ds}{(z^2 + R^2)}. \tag{22-12}$$

Figure 22-10 shows that $d\vec{E}$ is at angle θ to the central axis (which we have taken to be a z axis) and has components perpendicular to and parallel to that axis.

Every charge element in the ring sets up a differential field $d\vec{E}$ at P, with magnitude given by Eq. 22-12. All the $d\vec{E}$ vectors have identical components parallel to the central axis, in both magnitude and direction. All these $d\vec{E}$ vectors have components perpendicular to the central axis as well; these perpendicular components are identical in magnitude but point in different directions. In fact, for any perpendicular component that points in a given direction, there is another one that points in the opposite direction. The sum of this pair of components, like the sum of all other pairs of oppositely directed components, is zero.

Thus, the perpendicular components cancel and we need not consider them further. This leaves the parallel components; they all have the same direction, so the net electric field at P is their sum.

Figure 22-12 The electric fields set up at P by a charge element and its symmetric partner (on the opposite side of the ring). The components perpendicular to the z axis cancel; the parallel components add.

The parallel component of $d\vec{E}$ shown in Fig. 22-10 has magnitude $dE \cos \theta$. The figure also shows us that

$$\cos \theta = \frac{z}{r} = \frac{z}{(z^2 + R^2)^{1/2}}. \tag{22-13}$$

Then multiplying Eq. 22-12 by Eq. 22-13 gives us, for the parallel component of $d\vec{E}$,

$$dE \cos \theta = \frac{z\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} \, ds. \tag{22-14}$$

To add the parallel components $dE \cos \theta$ produced by all the elements, we integrate Eq. 22-14 around the circumference of the ring, from $s = 0$ to $s = 2\pi R$. Since the only quantity in Eq. 22-14 that varies during the integration is s, the other quantities can be moved outside the integral sign. The integration then gives us

$$E = \int dE \cos \theta = \frac{z\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} \int_0^{2\pi R} ds$$

$$= \frac{z\lambda(2\pi R)}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}}. \tag{22-15}$$

Since λ is the charge per length of the ring, the term $\lambda(2\pi R)$ in Eq. 22-15 is q, the total charge on the ring. We then can rewrite Eq. 22-15 as

$$E = \frac{qz}{4\pi\varepsilon_0(z^2 + R^2)^{3/2}} \qquad \text{(charged ring).} \qquad (22\text{-}16)$$

If the charge on the ring is negative, instead of positive as we have assumed, the magnitude of the field at P is still given by Eq. 22-16. However, the electric field vector then points toward the ring instead of away from it.

Let us check Eq. 22-16 for a point on the central axis that is so far away that $z \gg R$. For such a point, the expression $z^2 + R^2$ in Eq. 22-16 can be approximated as z^2, and Eq. 22-16 becomes

$$E = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{q}{z^2} \qquad \text{(charged ring at large distance).} \qquad (22\text{-}17)$$

This is a reasonable result because from a large distance, the ring "looks like" a point charge. If we replace z with r in Eq. 22-17, we indeed do have Eq. 22-3, the magnitude of the electric field due to a point charge.

Let us next check Eq. 22-16 for a point at the center of the ring—that is, for $z = 0$. At that point, Eq. 22-16 tells us that $E = 0$. This is a reasonable result because if we were to place a test charge at the center of the ring, there would be no net electrostatic force acting on it; the force due to any element of the ring would be canceled by the force due to the element on the opposite side of the ring. By Eq. 22-1, if the force at the center of the ring were zero, the electric field there would also have to be zero.

Problem-2:

Figure 22-11a shows a plastic rod having a uniformly distributed charge $-Q$. The rod has been bent in a 120° circular arc of radius r. We place coordinate axes such that the axis of symmetry of the rod lies along the x axis and the origin is at the center of curvature P of the rod. In terms of Q and r, what is the electric field $\vec{E}$ due to the rod at point P?

This negatively charged rod is obviously not a particle.

Plastic rod of charge $-Q$

$60°$

$60°$

P

r

(a)

But we can treat this element as a particle.

ds

P

(b)

Here is the field the element creates.

ds

$\overrightarrow{dE}$

θ

P

(c)

Calculation:

$$dq = \lambda \, ds.$$

$$dE = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{dq}{r^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\lambda \, ds}{r^2}.$$

These y components just
cancel, so neglect them.

These x components add.
Our job is to add all such
components.

(d)

(e)

(f)

Here is the field created by
the symmetric element,
same size and angle.

We use this to relate the
element's arc length to
the angle that it subtends.

(g)

Fig. 22-11 (a) A plastic rod of charge $-Q$ is a circular section of radius r and central angle 120°; point P is the center of curvature of the rod. (b)–(c) A differential element in the top half of the rod, at an angle θ to the x axis and of arc length ds, sets up a differential electric field $d\vec{E}$ at P. (d) An element ds', symmetric to ds about the x axis, sets up a field $d\vec{E}'$ at P with the same magnitude. (e)–(f) The field components. (g) Arc length ds makes an angle $d\theta$ about point P.

the component dE_x set up by ds as

$$dE_x = dE \cos \theta = \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\lambda}{r^2} \cos \theta \, ds. \qquad (22\text{-}20)$$

Equation 22-20 has two variables, θ and s. Before we can integrate it, we must eliminate one variable. We do so by replacing ds, using the relation

$$ds = r \, d\theta,$$

in which $d\theta$ is the angle at P that includes arc length ds (Fig. 22-13g). With this replacement, we can integrate Eq. 22-20 over the angle made by the rod at P, from $\theta = -60°$ to $\theta = 60°$; that will give us the field magnitude at P:

$$E = \int dE_x = \int_{-60°}^{60°} \frac{1}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{\lambda}{r^2} \cos \theta \, r \, d\theta$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \int_{-60°}^{60°} \cos \theta \, d\theta = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} \Big[\sin \theta \Big]_{-60°}^{60°}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r} [\sin 60° - \sin(-60°)]$$

$$= \frac{1.73\lambda}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r}. \qquad (22\text{-}21)$$

Charge density: To evaluate λ, we note that the full rod subtends an angle of 120° and so is one-third of a full circle. Its arc length is then $2\pi r/3$, and its linear charge density must be

$$\lambda = \frac{\text{charge}}{\text{length}} = \frac{Q}{2\pi r/3} = \frac{0.477Q}{r}.$$

Substituting this into Eq. 22-21 and simplifying give us

$$E = \frac{(1.73)(0.477Q)}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2}$$

$$= \frac{0.83Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2}. \qquad \text{(Answer)}$$

The direction of $\vec{E}$ is toward the rod, along the axis of symmetry of the charge distribution. We can write $\vec{E}$ in unit-vector notation as

$$\vec{E} = \frac{0.83Q}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^2}\,\hat{\imath}.$$

22-9 A Dipole in an Electric Field

We have defined the electric dipole moment $\vec{p}$ of an electric dipole to be a vector that points from the negative to the positive end of the dipole. As you will see, the behavior of a dipole in a uniform external electric field $\vec{E}$ can be described completely in terms of the two vectors $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{p}$, with no need of any details about the dipole's structure.

Electrostatic forces act on the charged ends of the dipole. Because the electric field is uniform, those forces act in opposite directions (as shown in Fig. 22-19a) and with the same magnitude $F = qE$. Thus, *because the field is uniform*, the net force on the dipole from the field is zero and the center of mass of the dipole does not move. However, the forces on the charged ends do produce a net torque $\vec{\tau}$ on the dipole about its center of mass. The center of mass lies on the line connecting the charged ends, at some distance x from one end and thus a distance $d - x$ from the other end. From Eq. 10-39 ($\tau = rF \sin \phi$), we can write the magnitude of the net torque $\vec{\tau}$ as

$$\tau = Fx \sin \theta + F(d - x) \sin \theta = Fd \sin \theta. \qquad (22\text{-}32)$$

The dipole is being torqued into alignment.

Fig. 22-19 (a) An electric dipole in a uniform external electric field $\vec{E}$. Two centers of equal but opposite charge are separated by distance d. The line between them represents their rigid connection. (b) Field $\vec{E}$ causes a torque $\vec{\tau}$ on the dipole. The direction of $\vec{\tau}$ is into the page, as represented by the symbol $\otimes$.

We can also write the magnitude of $\vec{\tau}$ in terms of the magnitudes of the electric field E and the dipole moment $p = qd$. To do so, we substitute qE for F and p/q for d in Eq. 22-32, finding that the magnitude of $\vec{\tau}$ is

$$\tau = pE \sin \theta. \tag{22-33}$$

We can generalize this equation to vector form as

$$\vec{\tau} = \vec{p} \times \vec{E} \quad \text{(torque on a dipole).} \tag{22-34}$$

Vectors $\vec{p}$ and $\vec{E}$ are shown in Fig. 22-19b. The torque acting on a dipole tends to rotate $\vec{p}$ (hence the dipole) into the direction of field $\vec{E}$, thereby reducing θ. In Fig. 22-19, such rotation is clockwise. As we discussed in Chapter 10, we can represent a torque that gives rise to a clockwise rotation by including a minus sign with the magnitude of the torque. With that notation, the torque of Fig. 22-19 is

$$\tau = -pE \sin \theta. \tag{22-35}$$

Potential Energy of an Electric Dipole

Potential energy can be associated with the orientation of an electric dipole in an electric field. The dipole has its least potential energy when it is in its equilibrium orientation, which is when its moment $\vec{p}$ is lined up with the field $\vec{E}$ (then

$\vec{\tau} = \vec{p} \times \vec{E} = 0$). It has greater potential energy in all other orientations. Thus the dipole is like a pendulum, which has *its* least gravitational potential energy in *its* equilibrium orientation—at its lowest point. To rotate the dipole or the pendulum to any other orientation requires work by some external agent.

The expression for the potential energy of an electric dipole in an external electric field is simplest if we choose the potential energy to be zero when the angle θ in Fig. 22-19 is 90°.We then can find the potential energy U of the dipole at any other value of θ with Eq. 8-1 ($\Delta U =-W$) by calculating the work W done by the field on the dipole when the dipole is rotated to that value of θ from 90°.With the aid of Eq. 10-53 ($W = \tau d\theta$) and Eq. 22-35, we find that the potential energy U at any angle θ is

$$U = -W = -\int_{90°}^{\theta} \tau \, d\theta = \int_{90°}^{\theta} pE \sin \theta \, d\theta. \qquad (22\text{-}36)$$

Evaluating the integral leads to

$$U = -pE \cos \theta. \qquad (22\text{-}37)$$

We can generalize this equation to vector form as

$$U = -\vec{p} \cdot \vec{E} \quad \text{(potential energy of a dipole).} \qquad (22\text{-}38)$$

Equations 22-37 and 22-38 show us that the potential energy of the dipole is least ($U = -pE$) when $\theta = 0$ ($\vec{p}$ and $\vec{E}$ are in the same direction); the potential energy is greatest ($U = pE$) when $\theta = 180°$ ($\vec{p}$ and $\vec{E}$ are in opposite directions).

When a dipole rotates from an initial orientation θ_i to another orientation θ_f, the work W done on the dipole by the electric field is

$$W = -\Delta U = -(U_f - U_i), \tag{22-39}$$

where U_f and U_i are calculated with Eq. 22-38. If the change in orientation is caused by an applied torque (commonly said to be due to an external agent), then the work W_a done on the dipole by the applied torque is the negative of the work done on the dipole by the field; that is,

$$W_a = -W = (U_f - U_i). \tag{22-40}$$

Water Molecule (Dipole (H_2O)

Positive side

Hydrogen   Hydrogen

$\vec{p}$

105°

Oxygen

Negative side

Fig. 22-18 A molecule of H_2O, showing the three nuclei (represented by dots) and the regions in which the electrons can be located. The electric dipole moment $\vec{p}$ points from the (negative) oxygen side to the (positive) hydrogen side of the molecule.

Microwave Cooking

Food can be warmed and cooked in a microwave oven if the food contains water because water molecules are electric dipoles. When you turn on the oven, the microwave source sets up a rapidly oscillating electric field $\vec{E}$ within the oven and thus also within the food. From Eq. 22-34, we see that any electric field $\vec{E}$ produces a torque on an electric dipole moment $\vec{p}$ to align $\vec{p}$ with $\vec{E}$. Because the oven's $\vec{E}$ oscillates, the water molecules continuously flip-flop in a frustrated attempt to align with $\vec{E}$.

Energy is transferred from the electric field to the thermal energy of the water (and thus of the food) where three water molecules happened to have bonded together to form a group. The flip-flop breaks some of the bonds. When the molecules reform the bonds, energy is transferred to the random motion of the group and then to the surrounding molecules. Soon, the thermal energy of the water is enough to cook the food. Sometimes the heating is surprising. If you heat a jelly donut, for example, the jelly (which holds a lot of water) heats far more than the donut material (which holds much less water). Although the exterior of the donut may not be hot, biting into the jelly can burn you. If water molecules were not electric dipoles, we would not have microwave ovens.

A neutral water molecule (H_2O) in its vapor state has an electric dipole moment of magnitude 6.2×10^{-30} C·m.

(a) How far apart are the molecule's centers of positive and negative charge?

(b) If the molecule is placed in an electric field of 1.5×10^4 N/C, what maximum torque can the field exert on it? (Such a field can easily be set up in the laboratory.)

Calculations: There are 10 electrons and 10 protons in a neutral water molecule; so the magnitude of its dipole moment is

$$p = qd = (10e)(d),$$

in which d is the separation we are seeking and e is the elementary charge. Thus,

$$d = \frac{p}{10e} = \frac{6.2 \times 10^{-30}\ \text{C} \cdot \text{m}}{(10)(1.60 \times 10^{-19}\ \text{C})}$$

$$= 3.9 \times 10^{-12}\ \text{m} = 3.9\ \text{pm}. \qquad \text{(Answer)}$$

This distance is not only small, but it is also actually smaller than the radius of a hydrogen atom.

Calculation: Substituting $\theta = 90°$ in Eq. 22-33 yields

$$\tau = pE \sin \theta$$

$$= (6.2 \times 10^{-30} \, C \cdot m)(1.5 \times 10^4 \, N/C)(\sin 90°)$$

$$= 9.3 \times 10^{-26} \, N \cdot m. \qquad \text{(Answer)}$$

(c) How much work must an *external agent* do to rotate this molecule by 180° in this field, starting from its fully aligned position, for which $\theta = 0$?

Calculation: From Eq. 22-40, we find

$$W_a = U_{180°} - U_0$$
$$= (-pE \cos 180°) - (-pE \cos 0)$$
$$= 2pE = (2)(6.2 \times 10^{-30} \text{ C·m})(1.5 \times 10^4 \text{ N/C})$$
$$= 1.9 \times 10^{-25} \text{ J.} \hspace{2cm} \text{(Answer)}$$