Clustering documents WUM 2

Dawid Płudowski Antoni Zajko

Wasaw University of Technology

Presentation Overview

1 Dataset

Data introduction Preprocessing

2 Models

Visualisation Baseline Final model

About the data

```
resources > data > III docword.nips.sample.csv
          doc id, word id, count
          1,2,1
          1,39,1
          1,42,3
          1,77,1
          1,95,1
          1,96,1
          1,105,1
          1,108,1
          1,133,3
          1,137,2
          1,140,1
          1,149,1
          1,155,1
          1,158,17
          1,169,1
          1,172,4
```

Figure: Docword dataset example

```
resources > data > ≡ vocab.enron.txt
         aaa
         aaas
         aactive
         aadvantage
         aaker
         aap
         aapg
         aaron
         aarp
         aas
         aau
         ab1890
         ab1x
         ab31x
         aha
```

Figure: Vocab dataset example

3/10

Preprocessing methods

- Sample 1500 documents from each dataset.
- Convert raw dataframes into dictionaries with Bags of Words (BoW).
- 3 Split into train and test samples.
- 4 Filter out rare and type-wise tokens (such as links or stop words).
- 5 Generating additional features (LDA topics, statistics).
- 6 Encode BoW using Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (tf-idf).
- 7 Reduce dimensions with truncated SVD.
- 8 Standardize final dataset.

Models

- 1 Agglomerative;
- 2 DBScan;
- Gaussian Mixture;
- 4 KMeans;
- 6 KMedioids;
- 6 LDA;

Visualisation




Figure: Visualisation of data with original labels

Baseline

Assign labels randomly.




Figure: Visualisation of data with random model

Gaussian Mixture model




Figure: Visualisation of data with GMM model

Real labels comparison

| | size | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|------|---------|--------|
| label | enron | kos | nips | nytimes | pubmed |
| pred | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.89 |
| 1 | 0.06 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 0.87 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.29 | 0.02 |
| 3 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.09 |
| 4 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

| | size | | | | |
|-------|-------|------|------|---------|--------|
| label | enron | kos | nips | nytimes | pubmed |
| pred | | | | | |
| 0 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.86 |
| 1 | 0.10 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 0.65 | 0.01 |
| 2 | 0.86 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.24 | 0.01 |
| 3 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| | | | | | |

Figure: Comparison in train data

Figure: Comparison in test data

Thanks for your attention

