Association rule mining

In this notebook, you'll implement the basic pairwise association rule mining algorithm.

To keep the implementation simple, you will apply your implementation to a simplified dataset, namely, letters ("items") in words ("receipts" or "baskets"). Having finished that code, you will then apply that code to some grocery store market basket data. If you write the code well, it will not be difficult to reuse building blocks from the letter case in the basket data case.

Problem definition

Let's say you have a fragment of text in some language. You wish to know whether there are association rules among the letters that appear in a word. In this problem:

- · Words are "receipts"
- · Letters within a word are "items"

You want to know whether there are association rules of the form, $a \Rightarrow b$ a \Rightarrow b, where aa and bb are letters. You will write code to do that by calculating for each rule its *confidence*, $conf(a \Rightarrow b)$ confidence" will be another name for an estimate of the conditional probability of bb given aa, or $Pr[b \mid a]Pr[b \mid a]$.

Sample text input

Let's carry out this analysis on a "dummy" text fragment, which graphic designers refer to as the lorem ipsum:

In []: latin_text = """ Sed ut perspiciatis, unde omnis iste natus error sit voluptatem accusantium doloremque laudantium, totam rem aperiam eaque ipsa, quae ab illo inventore veritatis et quasi architecto beatae vitae dicta sunt, explicabo. Nemo enim ipsam voluptatem, quia voluptas sit, aspernatur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magni dolores eos, qui ratione voluptatem sequi nesciunt, neque porro quisquam est, qui dolorem ipsum, quia dolor sit amet consectetur adipisci[ng] velit, sed quia non numquam [do] eius modi tempora inci[di]dunt, ut labore et dolore magnam aliquam quaerat voluptatem. Ut enim ad minima veniam, quis nostrum exercitationem ullam corporis suscipit laboriosam, nisi ut aliquid ex ea commodi consequatur? Quis autem vel eum iure reprehenderit, qui in ea voluptate velit esse, quam nihil molestiae consequatur, vel illum, qui dolorem eum fugiat, quo voluptas nulla pariatur?

> At vero eos et accusamus et iusto odio dignissimos ducimus, qui blanditiis praesentium voluptatum deleniti atque corrupti, quos dolores et quas molestias excepturi sint, obcaecati cupiditate non provident, similique sunt in culpa, qui officia deserunt mollitia animi, id est laborum et dolorum fuga. Et harum quidem rerum facilis est et expedita distinctio. Nam libero tempore, cum soluta nobis est eligendi optio, cumque nihil impedit, quo minus id, quod maxime placeat, facere possimus, omnis voluptas assumenda est, omnis dolor repellendus. Temporibus autem quibusdam et aut officiis debitis aut rerum necessitatibus saepe eveniet, ut et voluptates repudiandae sint et molestiae non recusandae. Itaque earum rerum hic tenetur a sapiente delectus, ut aut reiciendis voluptatibus maiores alias consequatur aut perferendis doloribus asperiores repellat.

Exercise 0 (ungraded). Look up and read the translation of lorem ipsum!

Data cleaning. Like most data in the real world, this dataset is noisy. It has both uppercase and lowercase letters, words have repeated letters, and there are all sorts of non-alphabetic characters. For our analysis, we should keep all the letters and spaces (so we can identify distinct words), but we should ignore case and ignore repetition within a word.

For example, the eighth word of this text is "error." As an *itemset*, it consists of the three unique letters, $\{e, o, r\}\{e, o, r\}$. That is, treat the word as a set, meaning you only keep the unique letters.

This itemset has three possible \textit{itempairs}: $\{e,o\} \{e,o\}, \ \{e,r\} \{e,r\}, \ \text{and} \ \ \{o,r\} \{o,r\}.$

Start by writing some code to help "clean up" the input.

Exercise 1 (normalize_string_test: 2 points). Complete the following function, normalize_string(s). The input s is a string (str object). The function should return a new string with (a) all characters converted to lowercase and (b) all non-alphabetic, non-whitespace characters removed.

Clarification. Scanning the sample text, latin_text, you may see things that look like special cases. For instance, inci[di]dunt and [do]. For these, simply remove the non-alphabetic characters and only separate the words if there is explicit whitespace.

For instance, inci[di]dunt would become incididunt (as a single word) and [do] would become do as a standalone word because the original string has whitespace on either side. A period or comma without whitespace would, similarly, just be treated as a non-alphabetic character inside a word *unless* there is explicit whitespace. So e pluribus.unum basium would become e pluribusunum basium even though your common-sense understanding might separate pluribus and unum.

Hint. Regard as a whitespace character anything "whitespace-like." That is, consider not just regular spaces, but also tabs, newlines, and perhaps others. To detect whitespaces easily, look for a "high-level" function that can help you do so rather than checking for literal space characters.

```
In []: def normalize_string(s):
    assert type (s) is str
#
# YOUR CODE HERE
#
# Demo:

In []: # `normalize_string_test`: Test cell
norm_latin_text = normalize_string(latin_text)

assert type(norm_latin_text) is str
assert len(norm_latin_text) == 1694
assert all([c.isalpha() or c.isspace() for c in norm_latin_text])
assert norm_latin_text == norm_latin_text.lower()
```

Exercise 2 (get_normalized_words_test: 1 point). Implement the following function, get_normalized_words(s). It takes as input a string s (i.e., a strobject). It should return a list of the words in s, after normalization per the definition of normalize_string(). (That is, the input s may not be normalized yet.)

```
In []: def get_normalized_words (s):
    assert type (s) is str
# # YOUR CODE HERE
# # Demo:

In []: # `get_normalized_words_test`: Test cell
    norm_latin_words = get_normalized_words(norm_latin_text)

assert len(norm_latin_words) == 250
    for i, w in [(20, 'illo'), (73, 'eius'), (144, 'deleniti'), (248, 'asperiores')]:
    assert norm_latin_words[i] == w
```

Exercise 3 (make_itemsets_test: 2 points). Implement a function, make_itemsets(words). The input, words, is a list of strings. Your function should convert the characters of each string into an itemset and then return the list of all itemsets. These output itemsets should appear in the same order as their corresponding words in the input.

```
In []: def make_itemsets(words):
    #
    # YOUR CODE HERE
#

In []: # `make_itemsets_test`: Test cell
    norm_latin_itemsets = make_itemsets(norm_latin_words)

# Lists should have the same size
    assert len(norm_latin_itemsets) == len(norm_latin_words)

# Test a random sample
from random import sample
for i in sample(range(len(norm_latin_words)), 5):
    print('[{}]'.format(i), norm_latin_words[i], "-->", norm_latin_itemsets[i])
    assert set(norm_latin_words[i]) == norm_latin_itemsets[i]
```

Implementing the basic algorithm

Recall the pseudocode for the algorithm that Rachel and Rich derived together:

```
Find Assoc Rules (R, A, S)

Let T[a,b], C[a] \( -0 \) \( \text{Va,b} \) A

for every r \in R do

for every \{a \in r, b \in r\} do

T[a,b] \leftarrow T[a,b] + 1

T[b,a] \leftarrow T[b,a] + 1

for every a \in r do

C[a] \leftarrow C[a] + 1
```

Aside: Default dictionaries

Recall that the overall algorithm requires maintaining a table of item-pair (tuples) counts. It would be convenient to use a dictionary to store this table, where keys refer to item-pairs and the values are the counts.

However, with Python's built-in dictionaries, you always to have to check whether a key exists before updating it. For example, consider this code fragment:

```
D = {'existing-key': 5} # Dictionary with one key-value pair
D['existing-key'] += 1 # == 6
D['new-key'] += 1 # Error: 'new-key' does not exist!
```

The second attempt causes an error because 'new-key' is not yet a member of the dictionary. So, a more correct approach would be to do the following:

```
D = {'existing-key': 5} # Dictionary with one key-value pair
if 'existing-key' not in D:
    D['existing-key'] = 0
D['existing-key'] += 1
if 'new-key' not in D:
    D['new-key'] = 0
D['new-key'] += 1
```

This pattern is so common that there is a special form of dictionary, called a *default dictionary*, which is available from the collections module: <u>collections.defaultdict</u>.

When you create a default dictionary, you need to provide a "factory" function that the dictionary can use to create an initial value when the key does *not* exist. For instance, in the preceding example, when the key was not present the code creates a new key with the initial value of an integer zero (0). Indeed, this default value is the one you get when you call int() with no arguments:

```
In []:
In []:
from collections import defaultdict

D2 = defaultdict (int) # Empty dictionary

D2['existing-key'] = 5 # Create one key-value pair

D2['existing-key'] += 1 # Update
D2['new-key'] += 1
```

Exercise 4 (update_pair_counts_test: 2 points). Start by implementing a function that enumerates all item-pairs within an itemset and updates, *in-place*, a table that tracks the counts of those item-pairs.

The signature of this function is:

```
def update_pair_counts(pair_counts, itemset):
```

where you pair_counts is the table to update and itemset is the itemset from which you need to enumerate item-pairs. You may assume pair_counts is a default dictionary. Each key is a pair of items (a, b), and each value is the count. You may assume all items in itemset are distinct, i.e., that you may treat it as you would any set-like collection. Since the function will modify pair_counts, it does not need to return an object.

```
In [ ]: from collections import defaultdict
    from itertools import combinations # Hint!

def update_pair_counts (pair_counts, itemset):
    """
    Updates a dictionary of pair counts for
    all pairs of items in a given itemset.
    """
```

```
#
# YOUR CODE HERE
```

```
In []: # `update_pair_counts_test`: Test cell
    itemset_1 = set("error")
    itemset_2 = set("dolor")
    pair_counts = defaultdict(int)

    update_pair_counts(pair_counts, itemset_1)
    assert len(pair_counts) == 6
    update_pair_counts(pair_counts, itemset_2)
    assert len(pair_counts) == 16

print('"{}" + "{}"\n==> {}'.format (itemset_1, itemset_2, pair_counts))
for a, b in pair_counts:
    assert (b, a) in pair_counts
    assert pair_counts[(a, b)] == pair_counts[(b, a)]
```

Exercise 5 (update_item_counts_test: 2 points). Implement a procedure that, given an itemset, updates a table to track counts of each item.

As with the previous exercise, you may assume all items in the given itemset (itemset) are distinct, i.e., that you may treat it as you would any set-like collection. You may also assume the table (item_counts) is a default dictionary.

Exercise 6 (filter_rules_by_conf_test: 2 points). Given tables of item-pair counts and individual item counts, as well as a confidence threshold, return the rules that meet the threshold. The returned rules should be in the form of a dictionary whose key is the tuple, (a, b)(a,b) corresponding to the rule $a \Rightarrow b$ $a \Rightarrow b$, and whose value is the confidence of the rule, $conf(a \Rightarrow b)conf(a \Rightarrow b)$.

You may assume that if (a, b)(a, b) is in the table of item-pair counts, then both aa and bb are in the table of individual item counts.

Aside: pretty printing the rules. The output of rules above is a little messy; here's a little helper function that structures that output a little, which will be useful for both debugging and reporting purposes.

```
In [ ]: def gen_rule_str(a, b, val=None, val_fmt='{:.3f}', sep=" = "):
    text = "{} => {}".format(a, b)
    if val:
        text = "conf(" + text + ")"
        text += sep + val_fmt.format(val)
    return text

def print_rules(rules):
    if type(rules) is dict or type(rules) is defaultdict:
```

assert item_counts['r'] == 2

```
from operator import itemgetter
  ordered_rules = sorted(rules.items(), key=itemgetter(1), reverse=True)
else: # Assume rules is iterable
  ordered_rules = [((a, b), None) for a, b in rules]
for (a, b), conf_ab in ordered_rules:
  print(gen_rule_str(a, b, conf_ab))
```

Exercise 7 (find_assoc_rules_test: 3 points). Using the building blocks you implemented above, complete a function find_assoc_rules so that it implements the basic association rule mining algorithm and returns a dictionary of rules.

In particular, your implementation may assume the following:

- 1. As indicated in its signature, below, the function takes two inputs: receipts and threshold.
- 2. The input, receipts, is a collection of itemsets: for every receipt r in receipts, r may be treated as a collection of unique items.
- 3. The input threshold is the minimum desired confidence value. That is, the function should only return rules whose confidence is at least threshold.

The returned dictionary, rules, should be keyed by tuples (a,b)(a,b) corresponding to the rule $a\Rightarrow b$ $a\Rightarrow b$; each value should the the confidence $conf(a\Rightarrow b)$ conf($a\Rightarrow b$) of the rule.

```
In []: def find_assoc_rules(receipts, threshold):
    #
    # YOUR CODE HERE
#

In []: # `find_assoc_rules_test`: Test cell
    receipts = [set('abbc'), set('ac'), set('a')]
    rules = find_assoc_rules(receipts, 0.6)

    print("Original receipts as itemsets:", receipts)
    print("Resulting rules:")
    print_rules(rules)

    assert ('a', 'b') not in rules
    assert ('a', 'b') in rules
    assert ('a', 'c') in rules
    assert ('c', 'a') in rules
    assert ('b', 'c') in rules
    assert ('b', 'c') in rules
    assert ('c', 'b') not in rules
```

Exercise 8 (latin_rules_test: 2 points). For the Latin string, latin_text, use your find_assoc_rules() function to compute the rules whose confidence is at least 0.75. Store your result in a variable named latin rules.

```
In []: # Generate `latin_rules`:
    #
    # YOUR CODE HERE

#

# Inspect your result:

In []: # `latin_rules_test`: Test cell
    assert len(latin_rules) == 10
    assert all([0.75 <= v <= 1.0 for v in latin_rules.values()])
    for ab in ['xe', 'qu', 'hi', 'xi', 'vt', 're', 've', 'fi', 'gi', 'bi']:
    assert (ab[0], ab[1]) in latin_rules</pre>
```

Next, let's analyze the rules common to Latin text and English text. That is, suppose we have two lists of commonly occurring rules, one for Latin text (computed above as latin_rules) and one for English text; we'd like to know which pairs commonly occur in both.

For the English text, here is an English translation of the lorem ipsum text, encoded as the variable english_text in the next code cell:

```
In [ ]: | english_text = """
        But I must explain to you how all this mistaken idea
        of denouncing of a pleasure and praising pain was
        born and I will give you a complete account of the
        system, and expound the actual teachings of the great
        explorer of the truth, the master-builder of human
        happiness. No one rejects, dislikes, or avoids
        pleasure itself, because it is pleasure, but because
        those who do not know how to pursue pleasure
        rationally encounter consequences that are extremely
        painful. Nor again is there anyone who loves or
        pursues or desires to obtain pain of itself, because
        it is pain, but occasionally circumstances occur in
        which toil and pain can procure him some great
        pleasure. To take a trivial example, which of us
        ever undertakes laborious physical exercise, except
        to obtain some advantage from it? But who has any
        right to find fault with a man who chooses to enjoy
        a pleasure that has no annoying consequences, or
        one who avoids a pain that produces no resultant
        pleasure?
        On the other hand, we denounce with righteous
```

indignation and dislike men who are so beguiled and demoralized by the charms of pleasure of the moment, so blinded by desire, that they cannot foresee the pain and trouble that are bound to ensue; and equal blame belongs to those who fail in their duty through weakness of will, which is the same as saying through shrinking from toil and pain. These cases are perfectly simple and easy to distinguish. In a free hour, when our power of choice is untrammeled and when nothing prevents our being able to do what we like best, every pleasure is to be welcomed and every pain avoided. But in certain circumstances and owing to the claims of duty or the obligations of business it will frequently occur that pleasures have to be repudiated and annovances accepted. The wise man therefore always holds in these matters to this principle of selection: he rejects pleasures to secure other

Exercise 9 (intersect_keys_test: 2 points). Write a function that, given two dictionaries, finds the intersection of their keys.

```
In []: def intersect_keys(d1, d2):
    assert type(d1) is dict or type(d1) is defaultdict
    assert type(d2) is dict or type(d2) is defaultdict
#
# YOUR CODE HERE
#
```

```
In []: # `intersect_keys_test`: Test cell
from random import sample

key_space = {'ape', 'baboon', 'bonobo', 'chimp', 'gorilla', 'monkey', 'orangutan'}
val_space = range(100)

for trial in range(10): # Try 10 random tests
    d1 = {k: v for k, v in zip(sample(key_space, 4), sample(val_space, 4))}
    d2 = {k: v for k, v in zip(sample(key_space, 3), sample(val_space, 3))}
    k_common = intersect_keys(d1, d2)
    for k in key_space:
        is_common = (k in k_common) and (k in d1) and (k in d2)
        is_not_common = (k not in k_common) and ((k not in d1) or (k not in d2))
        assert is_common or is_not_common
```

 $\textbf{Exercise 10} \ (\texttt{common_high_conf_rules_test: 1 points}). \ Let's \ consider \ any \ rules \ with \ a \ confidence \ of \ at \ least \ 0.75 \ to \ be \ a \ "high-confidence \ rule."$

Write some code that finds all high-confidence rules appearing in *both* the Latin text *and* the English text. Store your result in a list named common_high_conf_rules whose elements are (a,b)(a,b) pairs corresponding to the rules $a\Rightarrow b$ $a\Rightarrow b$.

```
In []: #
# YOUR CODE HERE
#
print("High-confidence rules common to _lorem ipsum_ in Latin and English:")
In []: # `common bigh conf mules test`: Test soll
```

```
In []: # `common_high_conf_rules_test`: Test cell
assert len(common_high_conf_rules) == 2
assert ('x', 'e') in common_high_conf_rules
assert ('q', 'u') in common_high_conf_rules
```

Putting it all together: Actual baskets!

Let's take a look at some real data that someone was kind enough to prepare for a similar exercise designed for the R programming environment.

First, here's a code snippet to load the data, which is a text file. If you are running in the Vocareum environment, we've already placed a copy of the data there; if you are running outside, this code will try to download a copy from the CSE 6040 website.

```
In [ ]: def on_vocareum():
    import os
    return os.path.exists('.voc')

def download(file, local_dir="", url_base=None, checksum=None):
    import os, requests, hashlib, io
    local_file = "{}{}".format(local_dir, file)
    if not os.path.exists(local_file):
        if url_base is None:
            url_base = "https://cse6040.gatech.edu/datasets/"
        url = "{}{}".format(url_base, file)
        print("Downloading: {} ...".format(url))
        r = requests.get(url)
        with open(local_file, 'wb') as f:
        f.write(r.content)
    if checksum is not None:
        with io.open(local_file, 'rb') as f:
```

```
body = f.read()
            body checksum = hashlib.md5(body).hexdigest()
            assert body_checksum == checksum, \
                "Downloaded file '{}' has incorrect checksum: '{}' instead of '{}'".format(local file,
                                                                                            body checksum.
                                                                                            checksum)
    print("'{}' is ready!".format(file))
if on_vocareum():
   DATA PATH = "../resource/asnlib/publicdata/"
else.
   DATA_PATH = ""
datasets = {'groceries.csv': '0a3d21c692be5c8ce55c93e59543dcbe'}
for filename. checksum in datasets.items():
   download(filename, local_dir=DATA_PATH, checksum=checksum)
with open('{}{}'.format(DATA_PATH, 'groceries.csv')) as fp:
   groceries_file = fp.read()
```

Each line of this file is some customer's shopping basket. The items that the customer bought are stored as a comma-separated list of values.

Exercise 11: Your task. (basket_rules_test: 4 points). Your final task in this notebook is to mine this dataset for pairwise association rules. In particular, your code should produce (no pun intended!) a final dictionary, basket_rules, that meet these conditions (read carefully!):

- 1. The keys are pairs (a, b)(a,b), where aa and bb are item names (as strings).
- 2. The values are the corresponding confidence scores, $conf(a \Rightarrow b)conf(a \Rightarrow b)$.
- 3. Only include rules a ⇒ b a⇒b where item aa occurs at least MIN_COUNT times and conf(a ⇒ b) conf(a⇒b) is at least THRESHOLD.

Pay particular attention to Condition 3: not only do you have to filter by a confidence threshold, but you must exclude rules $a \Rightarrow b$ a \Rightarrow b where the item aa does not appear "often enough." There is a code cell below that defines values of MIN_COUNT and THRESHOLD, but your code should work even if we decide to change those values later on.

Aside: Why would an analyst want to enforce Condition 3?

Your solution can use the <code>groceries_file</code> string variable defined above as its starting point. And since it's in the same notebook, you may, of course, reuse any of the code you've written above as needed. Lastly, if you feel you need additional code cells, you can create them <code>after</code> the code cell marked for your solution but <code>before</code> the code marked, <code>### TEST CODE ###</code>.

Fin! Don't forget to restart the kernel and re-run the notebook from scratch. If that seems to work, go ahead and submit the notebook in the autograder.