Given a CFG G, and an input string w, does 6 generate w?

ACFG = 3/G, w> | G is a CFG that generates w}

Thm: ACFG is decidable

Bad idea: Design a TM M to try all derivations,

& why does not work?

Good idea: Convert & into Chomsky Normal Form. - Need only consider a finite number of

derivations for the given string.

"On input < 9, N7

1 Convert G into Chomsky Normal Form 2. List all derivations with 2/W/-1 steps

That TM is a recognizer, not a decider. We need a different (smart) idea.

Hint: P 2.26

The first undecidable problem

Given a TM M and a string w, does M accept

ATM = 3<M, w> | M is a TM that accepts ws

ATM is undecidable, but recognizable. The following machine U recognizes ATM.

U = "On input <M, w> where M is a TM and w is a string":

1. Simulate M on input w.

2. If M accepts, accept If M rejects, reject. What if M does not halt?

U is an example of Universal Turing machine.
(Stored-program computers)

The first undecidable problem

ATM = 3 < M, w > 1 M is a TM that accepts w} is undecidable. That is, there is no TM that decides ATM.

Proof:

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is such a TM H.

 $H(\langle M, w \rangle) = \lambda \text{ accept if } M \text{ accepts } \omega$ reject otherwise Consider a new TM D that uses H as a sub-D = "On input <M> where M is a TM

1. Run H on input (M, <M>>.

2. Output the opposite of what Houtputs That is,

D((M)) = 2 accept if M does not accept <M>
reject if M accepts <M>
what if <M > is <D>?

The first undecidable problem (another proof)

Given a TM M and a string w, does M accept w?

ATM = 3 < M, w> | M is a TM that accepts w}
is undecidable. That is, there is no TM

that decides ATM.

Proof: Assume that ATM is decidable.

Then D=3<M>: M does not accept <M>}
is decidable also, because

D = 3 < M> | < M, < M>> & ATM }

Let Mp be a TM that decides D: For any TM M,

Mp accepts <M> iff M does not accept <M? In particular,

MD accepts (MD) iff MD does not accept < MD>