## 6. Natural Deduction

Next we turn to the study of deductive systems for propositional logic. A deductive system is a collection of axioms and inference rules. A "derivation" in a deductive system shows how a formula can be derived from a set of hypotheses using the postulates (axioms and inference rules) of the system.

## Deductive System N

In the natural deduction system N, the derivable objects are sequents—expressions of the form

$$\Gamma \Rightarrow F \tag{1}$$

("F under assumptions  $\Gamma$ ") where F is a formula and  $\Gamma$  is a finite set of formulas. If  $\Gamma$  is written as  $\{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$ , we will usually drop the braces and write (1) as

$$G_1, \dots, G_n \Rightarrow F$$
 . (2)

Intuitively, a sequent (2) is understood as the formula

$$(G_1 \wedge \dots \wedge G_n) \to F \tag{3}$$

if n > 0, and as F if n = 0.

The axioms of N are sequents of the forms

$$\Rightarrow \top$$
,

$$F \Rightarrow F$$

and

$$\Rightarrow F \vee \neg F$$
.

The last one is called the law of excluded middle.

In the list of inference rules below,  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Delta$ ,  $\Delta_1$ ,  $\Delta_2$  are finite sets of formulas. All inference rules of **N** except for the two rules at the end are classified into introduction rules (the left column) and elimination rules (the right column); the exceptions are the contradiction rule (C) and the weakening rule (W):

$$(\land I) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Delta \Rightarrow G} \xrightarrow{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow F \land G}$$

$$(\land I) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Delta \Rightarrow G} \qquad (\land E) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow G}$$

$$(\vee I) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow G} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow G} G$$

$$(\vee I) \ \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow F}{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \vee G} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow G}{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \vee G} \qquad (\vee E) \ \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \vee G}{\Gamma, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \Rightarrow H} \Delta_2, G \Rightarrow H$$

$$(\rightarrow I) \frac{\Gamma, F \Rightarrow G}{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \rightarrow G}$$

$$(\rightarrow E) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{\Delta \Rightarrow F} \xrightarrow{G} \xrightarrow{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow G}$$

$$(\neg I) \ \frac{\Gamma, F \Rightarrow \bot}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \neg F}$$

$$(\neg E) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \quad \Delta \Rightarrow \neg F} \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow \bot$$

$$(C) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow \bot} F$$

$$(W) \xrightarrow{\Gamma \Rightarrow F} \quad \text{if } \Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$$

We regard the introduction and elimination rules for equivalence

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \to G \quad \Delta \Rightarrow G \to F}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow F \leftrightarrow G} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \leftrightarrow G}{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \to G} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow F \leftrightarrow G}{\Gamma \Rightarrow G \to F}$$

as special cases of  $(\land I)$  and  $(\land E)$ .

## System N is sound and complete:

**Theorem 1** a sequent  $\Gamma \Rightarrow F$  is provable in **N** iff  $\Gamma$  entails F.

To prove a formula F in System N means to prove the sequent  $\Rightarrow F$ . The theorem asserts that a formula is provable in N iff it is a tautology.

Figure 1 shows a proof of the formula

$$(p \to (q \to r)) \to ((p \land q) \to r)$$

with the corresponding "informal proof" to the right of the bar. Figure 2 is a proof of

$$(\neg p \to q) \to (\neg q \to p)$$

in N, again with an "English translation."

Figure 1: A proof of  $(p \to (q \to r)) \to ((p \land q) \to r)$ 

In each of the following problems, find a proof of the given formula in System N, and show also the corresponding informal proof.

**6.1** 
$$((p \land q) \rightarrow r) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)).$$

**6.2** 
$$(p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)).$$

**6.3** 
$$((p \land q) \lor r) \rightarrow (p \lor r).$$

**6.4** 
$$(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$$
.

**6.5** 
$$(p \to q) \lor (q \to p)$$
.

In the following F and G are formulas. Find a proof in System N.

**6.6** 
$$F \wedge G \leftrightarrow G \wedge F$$
,  $F \vee G \leftrightarrow G \vee F$ .

**6.7** 
$$F \wedge \top \leftrightarrow F, F \vee \bot \leftrightarrow F.$$

1. 
$$\neg p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow \neg p \rightarrow q$$
 — axiom Assume  $\neg p \rightarrow q$ . Now our goal is to prove  $\neg q \rightarrow p$ . Assume  $\neg q \rightarrow p$ . Assume  $\neg q \rightarrow p$ . Assume  $\neg q \rightarrow p$ . Now our goal is to prove  $p$ . Now our goal is to prove  $p$ . Consider two cases. Case 1:  $p$ . This case is trivial. Case 2:  $\neg p$ . Then, by the first assumption,  $q$ . Then, by the first assumption,  $q$ . This contradicts the second assumption, so that we can conclude  $p$  also. 9.  $\neg p \rightarrow q$ ,  $\neg q \Rightarrow p$  — by  $(\rightarrow E)$  from 3, 4, 8 Thus, in either case,  $p$ . We have proved  $\neg q \rightarrow p$ 

Figure 2: A proof of  $(\neg p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$ 

and consequently we are done.

**6.8** 
$$\neg F \leftrightarrow (F \rightarrow \bot), \top \leftrightarrow (\bot \rightarrow \bot).$$

11.  $\Rightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow p)$  — by  $(\rightarrow I)$  from 10

**6.9** 
$$(F \rightarrow \neg G) \leftrightarrow \neg (F \land G)$$
.

**6.10** 
$$\neg (F \lor G) \leftrightarrow \neg F \land \neg G$$
.

**6.11** 
$$\neg (F \land G) \leftrightarrow \neg F \lor \neg G$$
.

**6.12** 
$$\neg \neg \neg F \leftrightarrow \neg F$$
.