# Protocol Audit Report Azriel April 23, 2025

# Protocol Audit Report

Version 1.0

 $Cy frin.\, io$ 

## Protocol Audit Report

### Azriel

April 23, 2025

Prepared by: Azriel

### Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Compatibilities:
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - [H-1]RockPaperScissors::joinGameWithEth Allows Users to Join Token Games Without Paying
  - [M-1] Funds/Token Lockup Risk Due to Unresponsive Opponent After Move Commit
  - [M-2] Improper Validation in RockPaperScissors::timeoutReveal Allows Premature Game Forfeits
  - [I-1]: Unsafe ERC20 Operations should not be used
  - [I-2]: Solidity pragma should be specific, not wide
  - [G-1]: public functions not used internally could be marked external
  - [G-2]: Define and use constant variables instead of using literals
  - [G3]: Event is missing indexed fields

## **Protocol Summary**

Simulates Rock Paper Scissors game on Web3 and ensures fairness that players are not able to view their opponent's moves ahead of time.

### Disclaimer

The Azriel(me) team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

### Risk Classification

		Impact		
Likelihood	High Medium Low	High H H/M M	Medium H/M M M/L	Low M M/L L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

### **Audit Details**

### Scope

In Scope:

src/

- --RockPaperScissors.sol Main game contract
- --WinningToken.sol ERC20 token awarded to winners

### Compatibilities:

Blockchains: Ethereum Mainnet All EVM-compatible chains

Tokens: ETH (for betting) RPSW (Rock Paper Scissors Winner Token) - internal ERC20 token

#### Roles

Players: Users who create or join games, commit and reveal moves, and participate in matches

Admin: The protocol administrator who can update timeout parameters and withdraw accumulated fees

Contract Owner: Initially the deployer of the contract, capable of setting a new admin

### **Executive Summary**

For this audit, I used about 6h in total spread across 2 days to conduct the audit and complete the report. I made use of tools like Slither and Aderyn to first conduct static analysis, in addition to manual analysis. Additionally, I used cloc and Solidity Metrics to aid me in the initial scoping phase and understanding of the code base better, although there are only 2 files in scope.

### Issues found

Severity	Number of Issues Found
High	1
Medium	2
Low	0
Info	5

### **Findings**

[H-1]RockPaperScissors::joinGameWithEth Allows Users to Join Token Games Without Paying

**Description:** The RockPaperScissors::joinGameWithEth function fails to validate that msg.value > 0. As a result, an attacker can call this function with msg.value == 0 and still successfully join a Token-based Game, provided the original creator also set the bet to 0. The check require(msg.value == game.bet) passes because both values are 0.

Impact: An attacker can exploit this by joining a token game without staking any tokens. After joining, the attacker commits a move and immediately calls timeoutReveal, which triggers \_cancelGame — a function that mints 1 WinningToken to both participants. Since the attacker joined without any cost, they effectively farm free tokens. Repeating this at scale enables rapid minting of arbitrary amounts of tokens, undermining the integrity and scarcity of the token economy.

**Proof of Concept:** To test this, I have added the following test function into the current test suite. The following proof of concept demonstrates the exact exploit highlighted above.

```
address public playerC = makeAddr("playerC");
address public playerD = makeAddr("playerD");
uint256 testGameId;

function testJoinGameWithTokenUsingEth() public {
    // Set up 2 new players, we will be using playerD's account as the attacker
    vm.prank(address(game));
```

```
token.mint(playerC, 10);
vm.prank(address(game));
token.mint(playerD, 10);
vm.stopPrank();
// Player C first creates a token game
vm.startPrank(playerC);
token.approve(address(game), 1);
testGameId = game.createGameWithToken(TOTAL_TURNS, TIMEOUT);
vm.stopPrank();
// Attacker joins the same game using joinGameWithEth (with 0 msg.value)
vm.startPrank(playerD);
game.joinGameWithEth(testGameId);
vm.stopPrank();
assertEq(token.balanceOf(playerC), 9);
// verify that player D did not transfer tokens
assertEq(token.balanceOf(playerD), 10);
// Verify game state, ensure that player D is in the game
(address storedPlayerC, address storedPlayerD,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, RockPaperScissors.GameS
    game.games(testGameId);
assertEq(storedPlayerC, playerC);
assertEq(storedPlayerD, playerD);
assertEq(uint256(state), uint256(RockPaperScissors.GameState.Created));
// Commit a move for player D
bytes32 saltD = keccak256(abi.encodePacked("salt for player D"));
bytes32 commitD = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(uint8(RockPaperScissors.Move.Rock), sai
vm.startPrank(playerD);
game.commitMove(testGameId, commitD);
// Call timeoutReveal immediately after committing to get a the game cancelled
game.timeoutReveal(testGameId);
vm.stopPrank();
// Verify balances, showing that playerD was able to gain tokens
assertEq(token.balanceOf(playerC), 10);
assertEq(token.balanceOf(playerD), 11);
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** An additional check that game.bet > 0 should be included within the function. This would ensure that the function cannot be

}

used to join token games.

```
function joinGameWithEth(uint256 _gameId) external payable {
    Game storage game = games[_gameId];

    require(game.state == GameState.Created, "Game not open to join");
    require(game.playerA != msg.sender, "Cannot join your own game");
    require(block.timestamp <= game.joinDeadline, "Join deadline passed");
    require(msg.value == game.bet, "Bet amount must match creator's bet");
    require(game.bet > 0, "Eth must be sent to join an Eth game");

    game.playerB = msg.sender;
    emit PlayerJoined(_gameId, msg.sender);
}
```

# [M-1] Funds/Token Lockup Risk Due to Unresponsive Opponent After Move Commit

**Description:** When a player joins a game and commits their move, the game state transitions to Committed, but the revealDeadline remains unset (defaulting to 0) until the opponent also commits their move. If the opponent becomes unresponsive and does not commit, the game remains in the Committed state indefinitely with no way to proceed or exit. This results in both players' funds/tokens being locked in the contract.

Why existing timeout/cancel mechanisms don't work:

RockPaperScissors::timeoutReveal cannot be triggered because revealDeadline
== 0, making the requirement require(block.timestamp > game.revealDeadline)
always fail.

 ${\tt RockPaperScissors::cancelGame} \ \ {\tt cannot} \ \ {\tt be} \ \ {\tt used} \ \ {\tt be} \\ {\tt canse:}$ 

The game is no longer in the Created state.

Only the creator (playerA) is allowed to cancel, and they can't once the state has moved to Committed.

**Impact:** Players may permanently lose access to their funds/tokens if their opponent abandons the game after one move is committed. There is currently no way to recover from this state.

### Proof of Concept: N/A

**Recommended Mitigation:** Introduce a new field (e.g., commitDeadline) that sets a timeout after the first player commits a move. If the second player fails to commit within this timeframe, the first player should be able to cancel the game and recover their funds. This ensures fairness and prevents griefing through inactivity.

```
// Game structure
struct Game {
    address playerA; // Creator of the game
    address playerB; // Second player to join
    uint256 bet; // Amount of ETH bet
    uint256 timeoutInterval; // Time allowed for reveal
      uint256 commitDeadline; // Deadline for committing a move
    uint256 revealDeadline; // Deadline for revealing moves
    uint256 creationTime; // When the game was created
    uint256 joinDeadline; // Deadline for someone to join the game
    uint256 totalTurns; // Total number of turns in the game
    uint256 currentTurn; // Current turn number
    bytes32 commitA; // Hashed move from player A
    bytes32 commitB; // Hashed move from player B
   Move moveA; // Revealed move from player A
    Move moveB; // Revealed move from player B
    uint8 scoreA; // Score for player A
    uint8 scoreB; // Score for player B
    GameState state; // Current state of the game
}
// commitMove function
if (game.commitA != bytes32(0) && game.commitB != bytes32(0)) {
      game.commitDeadline = 0; // Reset the deadline once both parties have committed
    game.revealDeadline = block.timestamp + game.timeoutInterval;
}
  else {
      game.commitDeadline = block.timestamp + 1 days; // or whatever duration that the
// additional function for either player to cancel the game after one side has committee
function timeoutCommit(uint256 _gameId) external {
    Game storage game = games[_gameId];
    require(game.state == GameState.Committed, "Game must be in created state");
    require(msg.sender == game.playerA || msg.sender == game.playerB, "Only players can
    require(game.commitDeadline != 0, "Commit deadline must be set!");
    require(block.timestamp > game.commitDeadline, "Commit deadline not reached yet");
    _cancelGame(_gameId);
}
```

# [M-2] Improper Validation in RockPaperScissors::timeoutReveal Allows Premature Game Forfeits

**Description:** he RockPaperScissors::timeoutReveal function is intended to handle situations where one or both players fail to reveal their committed

moves after the revealDeadline. However, there is no check to ensure that game.revealDeadline has been properly set before using it in the statement:

```
require(block.timestamp > game.revealDeadline, "Reveal phase not timed out yet");
```

If game.revealDeadline is still at its default value of 0 (e.g., when only one player has committed a move), this require statement will always pass, as block.timestamp will always be greater than zero. This allows any player to prematurely invoke timeoutReveal immediately after committing a move—before the opponent even has a chance to respond.

**Impact:** A malicious player could join multiple games, commit a move, and instantly call timeoutReveal to disrupt the game flow. While this may not result in financial loss, it can prevent legitimate gameplay, effectively acting as a denial-of-service attack against the contract's normal operations.

**Proof of Concept:** Please refer to [H-1], where this exploit was also demonstrated

Recommended Mitigation: Add a validation check to ensure game.revealDeadline has been explicitly set before performing the timeout logic. This prevents the function from being abused before both players have committed their moves and the reveal phase has officially started.

```
function timeoutReveal(uint256 _gameId) external {
        Game storage game = games[_gameId];
        require(msg.sender == game.playerA || msg.sender == game.playerB, "Not a player in
        require(game.state == GameState.Committed, "Game not in reveal phase");
          require(game.revealDeadline != 0, "Reveal Deadline not set yet");
++
        require(block.timestamp > game.revealDeadline, "Reveal phase not timed out yet");
        // If player calling timeout has revealed but opponent hasn't, they win
        bool playerARevealed = game.moveA != Move.None;
        bool playerBRevealed = game.moveB != Move.None;
        if (msg.sender == game.playerA && playerARevealed && !playerBRevealed) {
            // Player A wins by timeout
            _finishGame(_gameId, game.playerA);
        } else if (msg.sender == game.playerB && playerBRevealed && !playerARevealed) {
            // Player B wins by timeout
            _finishGame(_gameId, game.playerB);
        } else if (!playerARevealed && !playerBRevealed) {
            // Neither player revealed, cancel the game and refund
            _cancelGame(_gameId);
        } else {
           revert("Invalid timeout claim");
        }
   }
```

### [I-1]: Unsafe ERC20 Operations should not be used

ERC20 functions may not behave as expected. For example: return values are not always meaningful. It is recommended to use OpenZeppelin's SafeERC20 library.

### 2 Found Instances

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 131

```
winningToken.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), 1);
```

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 180

```
winningToken.transferFrom(msg.sender, address(this), 1);
```

### [I-2]: Solidity pragma should be specific, not wide

Consider using a specific version of Solidity in your contracts instead of a wide version. For example, instead of pragma solidity ^0.8.0;, use pragma solidity 0.8.0;

### 2 Found Instances

- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 2
  - pragma solidity ^0.8.13;

```
pragma solidity ^0.8.13;
```

### [G-1]: public functions not used internally could be marked external

Instead of marking a function as public, consider marking it as external if it is not used internally.

#### 2 Found Instances

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 378

```
function tokenOwner() public view returns (address) {
```

• Found in src/WinningToken.sol Line: 25

```
function decimals() public view virtual override returns (uint8) {
```

### [G-2]: Define and use constant variables instead of using literals

If the same constant literal value is used multiple times, create a constant state variable and reference it throughout the contract.

#### 4 Found Instances

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 100

```
require(_timeoutInterval >= 5 minutes, "Timeout must be at least 5 minutes");
```

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 128

```
require(_timeoutInterval >= 5 minutes, "Timeout must be at least 5 minutes");
```

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 483

```
uint256 fee = (totalPot * PROTOCOL_FEE_PERCENT) / 100;
```

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 520

```
uint256 fee = (totalPot * PROTOCOL_FEE_PERCENT) / 100;
```

### [G3]: Event is missing indexed fields

Index event fields make the field more quickly accessible to off-chain tools that parse events. However, note that each index field costs extra gas during emission, so it's not necessarily best to index the maximum allowed per event (three fields). Each event should use three indexed fields if there are three or more fields, and gas usage is not particularly of concern for the events in question. If there are fewer than three fields, all of the fields should be indexed.

### 8 Found Instances

• Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 72

```
event GameCreated(uint256 indexed gameId, address indexed creator, uint256 bet, uir
```

- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 74
   event MoveCommitted(uint256 indexed gameId, address indexed player, uint256 current
- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 75

event MoveRevealed(uint256 indexed gameId, address indexed player, Move move, uint2

- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 76
   event TurnCompleted(uint256 indexed gameId, address winner, uint256 currentTurn);
- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 77
   event GameFinished(uint256 indexed gameId, address winner, uint256 prize);
- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 79
   event JoinTimeoutUpdated(uint256 oldTimeout, uint256 newTimeout);
- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 80
   event FeeCollected(uint256 gameId, uint256 feeAmount);
- Found in src/RockPaperScissors.sol Line: 81

  event FeeWithdrawn(address indexed admin, uint256 amount);