Problem 1: Transcription factor cascade (adapted from Alon 2.4, 30 points)

Consider a cascade of three transcriptional activators, $X \to Y \to Z$. Protein X is initially present in the cell in its inactive form. At time t = 0, X rapidly becomes active and binds the promoter of Y, so that protein Y starts to be produced at a rate β_y . When Y levels exceed a threshold K_y , gene Z begins to be expressed at a rate β_z . All proteins have the same degradation/dilution rate α .

- a) Using the information above, find an expression for the time derivative of Y. Integrate to find Y(t) given the initial condition Y(0) = 0. (Hint: A similar derivation is given in Alon Ch. 2.)
- b) At what time τ does expression of Z begin?
- c) What is the concentration of protein Z as a function of time?
- d) What is the *response time* of the system, i.e., the time between when *X* is activated and when *Z* reaches one half of its maximal value?

Problem 2: Multistep Ultrasensitivity (Ingalls 6.8.7, 40 points)

In a 2005 paper, Jeremy Gunawardena presented a straightforward analysis of multistep ultrasensitivity and revealed that it is not well-described by Hill functions¹.

Consider a protein S that undergoes a sequential chain of n phosphorylations, all of which are catalyzed by the same kinase E, but each of which requires a separate collision event. Let S_k denote the protein with k phosphate groups attached. Suppose the phosphatase F acts in a similar multistep manner. The reaction scheme is then

$$S_0 \Longrightarrow S_1 \Longrightarrow \cdots \Longrightarrow S_n$$

In steady state, the net reaction rate at each step is zero.

a) Consider the first phosphorylation-dephosphorylation cycle. Expanding the steps in the catalytic mechanism, we have

$$S_0 + E \xrightarrow[k_{-1E}]{k_{-1E}} ES_0 \xrightarrow[k_{-1E}]{k_{catE}} E + S_1$$
 and $S_1 + F \xrightarrow[k_{-1F}]{k_{1F}} FS_1 \xrightarrow[k_{-1F}]{k_{catF}} F + S_0$

Apply a rapid equilibrium assumption to the association reactions $(S_0 + E \leftrightarrow ES_0)$ and $S_1 + F \leftrightarrow FS_1$ to describe the reaction rates as

$$k_{\text{catE}}[ES_0] = \frac{k_{\text{catE}}}{K_{ME}}[S_0][E]$$
 and $k_{\text{catF}}[FS_1] = \frac{k_{\text{catF}}}{K_{MF}}[S_1][F]$

where $K_{ME} = k_{-1E}/k_{1E}$, $K_{MF} = k_{-1F}/k_{1F}$, and [E] and [F] are the concentrations of free kinase and phosphatase.

b) Use the fact that at steady state the net phosphorylation-dephosphorylation rate is zero to arrive at the equation

$$\frac{[S_1]}{[S_0]} = \lambda \frac{[E]}{[F]}, \quad \text{where } \lambda = \frac{k_{\text{catE}}}{k_{\text{catF}}} \frac{K_{MF}}{K_{ME}}$$

 $^{^1}$ Gunawardena, J. (2005). Multisite protein phosphorylation makes a good threshold but can be a poor switch. PNAS

c) Suppose the kinetic constants are identical for each phosphorylation-dephosphorylation step. In that case, verify that

$$\frac{\left[S_{2}\right]}{\left[S_{1}\right]} = \lambda \frac{\left[E\right]}{\left[F\right]}, \quad \text{so} \quad \frac{\left[S_{2}\right]}{\left[S_{0}\right]} = \lambda^{2} \left(\frac{\left[E\right]}{\left[F\right]}\right)^{2} \quad \text{and more generally} \quad \frac{\left[S_{j}\right]}{\left[S_{0}\right]} = \lambda^{j} \left(\frac{\left[E\right]}{\left[F\right]}\right)^{j}$$

d) Use the result in part c to write the fraction of protein S that is in the fully phosphorylated form as

$$\frac{\left[S_n\right]}{\left[S_{\text{total}}\right]} = \frac{\left(\lambda u\right)^n}{1 + \lambda u + \left(\lambda u\right)^2 + \ldots + \left(\lambda u\right)^n} \tag{1}$$

where u = [E]/[F] is the ratio of kinase to phosphatase concentrations. Hint: $[S_{total}] = [S_0] + [S_1] + [S_2] + ... + [S_n]$.

e) Use the ratio [E]/[F] to approximate the ratio of total concentrations $[E_{\text{total}}]/[F_{\text{total}}]$, so that equation 1 describes the system's dose-response. Plot this function (vs. u) for various values of n. Take $\lambda = 1$ for simplicity. Use your plots to verify Gunawardena's conclusion that for high values of n, these dose-response curves exhibit a threshold (in this case, at u = 1) but their behavior cannot be described as switch-like, as they show near-hyperbolic growth beyond the threshold, regardless of the values of n.

Problem 3: Multistep Ultrasensitivity (Adapted from Ingalls 4.8.3, 30 points)

Consider the general linear system

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax + by$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = cx + dy$$

Note that the steady state is (x, y) = (0, 0). Choose six sets of parameter values (a, b, c, and d) that yield the following behaviors:

- a) Stable node (real negative eigenvalues)
- b) Stable spiral point (complex eigenvalues with negative real part)
- c) Center (purely imaginary eigenvalues)
- d) Unstable spiral point (complex eigenvalues with positive real part)
- e) Unstable node (real positive eigenvalues)
- f) Saddle point (real eigenvalues of different sign)

In each case, prepare a phase portrait of the system, including a direction field and a few representative trajectories. (Note: Unlike the problem in Ingalls, we will not require that you include the nullclines.) Hint: if either of the off-diagonal entries in the Jacobian matrix are zero, then the eigenvalues are simply the entries on the diagonal.