The road so far

Recap on attack analysis with co-simulation of CPSs

Attack analysis with formal methods

Connection between the two worlds

Analysis of the attacks with co-simulation



- Co-simulation allows us to analyze the impact of attacks
 - Gaining all the advantages of the co-simulation
 - (see slides on CPS)

- Exhaustive simulation of the behavior of the system under attack
 - Can be infeasible
 - Initial results can be assumed as general results
 - Results can be misinterpreted

Formal methods can help



- Formal methods provides results with general validity
 - We can consider different experiments at once
 - ✓ FORALL parameters values IT IS TRUE THAT....
 - ✓ FORALL input values IT IS TRUE THAT...
 - ✓ FORALL $t > t_1$ IT IS FALSE THAT...
 - The formal systems prevents users from making mistakes
 - Discharge the TCCs
 - Use a well founded logic for reasoning
 - Rigorous application of the logic reasoning

Drawbacks of formal methods



- Building a formal model of the system under analysis
 - A team of expert users
 - An heterogeneous team
 - Poor graphical results
 - A lot of time

- Proving the formulae
 - Are they actually true?
 - On which subset are they true?
 - Are the hypothesis correct?

Merge co-simulation and Formal methods



- The advantages of one approach are the drawbacks of the other
 - and vice-versa

- Combining the two approaches can provide the best tradeoff between
 - Effort for the analysis
 - Validity of the results
- The combination of the two approaches is still an open field

An example of combination



- https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11416-019-00344-9
- This theorem can be exploited in the design of attacks: if an attack changes the value of the left sensor to white for less than L*S seconds, then the attack will never move the robot from one side of the line to the other. This means that if a security system is able to detect an attack within L steps, it manages to keep the robot close to the line.