Result:

- Problem 11, 12:

Output:

gamma: 32 lambda: 0.001 ======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

====== gamma: 32 lambda: 1000 ======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

====== gamma: 2 lambda: 0.001 ======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

====== gamma: 2 lambda: 1 =======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

===== gamma: 2 lambda: 1000 ======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.44

===== gamma: 0.125 lambda: 0.001 ======

Ein: 0.0 Eout: 0.46

====== gamma: 0.125 lambda: 1 =======

Ein: 0.03 Eout: 0.45

====== gamma: 0.125 lambda: 1000 ======

Ein: 0.2425 Eout: 0.39

Minimum Ein is 0.0 when

gamma: 32 lambda: 0.001 gamma: 32 lambda: 1 gamma: 32 lambda: 1000 gamma: 2 lambda: 0.001 gamma: 2 lambda: 1 gamma: 2 lambda: 1000 gamma: 0.125 lambda: 0.001

Minimum Eout is 0.30 when

gamma: 0.125 lambda: 1000

- **Problem 13, 14:**

Output:

====== lambda: 0.01 =======

Ein: 0.3175 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 0.1 ======

Ein: 0.3175 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 1 ======

Ein: 0.3175 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 10 ======

Ein: 0.32 Eout: 0.37 ====== lambda: 100 ======

Ein: 0.3125 Eout: 0.39

Minimum Ein is 0.3125 when lambda: 100 Minimum Ein is 0.36 when lambda: 0.01, 0.1, 1.

- **Problem 15, 16:**

====== lambda: 0.01 ======

Ein: 0.32 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 0.1 =======

Ein: 0.3175 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 1 ======

Ein: 0.32 Eout: 0.36

====== lambda: 10 ======

Ein: 0.3175 Eout: 0.37

====== lambda: 100 ======

Ein: 0.3125 Eout: 0.39

Minimum Ein is 0.3125 when lambda: 100 Minimum Eout is 0.36 when lambda: 0.01, 0.1, 1.

The result of 15 and 16 is very similar with 13 and 14. I expected that boostrapping would make the performance much better. However, the result didn't match what I expected. This may caused by some reasons:

- 1. Number of iterations is not big enough.
- 2. The hypothesis sets are not so different.
- 3. The data set can't be separated by linear or nonlinear algorithm.
- 4. The voting could be implemented by non-uniform.