



Technische Universität München  
School of Computation, Information and Technology  
Bio-Inspired Information Processing

Bachelor's Thesis

# Investigation of Cortical Responses to Modulated Noise Stimuli Using fNIRS

Pei-Yi Lin

*Date of Submission:*  
September 17, 2022

*Supervisors:*  
Prof. Dr.-Ing. Werner Hemmert  
Dr. Ali Saeedi  
M.Sc. Carmen Marie Castañeda González



## **Abstract**

In this study, we sought to determine whether functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS), a non-invasive neuroimaging method which is safe to use repeatedly and for extended period of time, can provide an objective measure to the cortical response when subjects are exposed to different levels of sound intensity. Eight normal-hearing subjects, including both biological genders and different races, were included and actively participated in the present study. Measurement data were processed to compute the changes in oxyhemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin concentration. The resulted waveform morphologies were studied and a regional analysis was conducted. The results were compared with previous studies, mainly the one from Weder et al. (2018). Potential reasons to cause the variance from the previous research (Weder et al., 2018) were investigated. The feasibility with using fNIRS for neuroimaging in hearing research was once again evaluated.

**Keywords**— functional near-infrared spectroscopy, cortical responses, sound intensities , language processing , normal-hearing listeners



# Acknowledgments

Huge thanks to my friends who volunteered and participated in this study.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Technical Background . . . . .	2
1.3	Related Work . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Study Participants . . . . .	6
2.2	Probe Design . . . . .	6
2.3	Acoustic Stimulation during fNIRS Experiment . . . . .	10
2.4	fNIRS Setup . . . . .	11
2.5	Data preprocesing . . . . .	12
<b>3</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>16</b>
3.1	Participant 3 . . . . .	18
3.2	Participant 4 . . . . .	20
3.3	Participant 5 . . . . .	22
3.4	Participant 6 . . . . .	24
3.5	Participant 7 . . . . .	26
<b>4</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>28</b>
4.1	Waveform Morphology . . . . .	28
4.2	Regional Analysis . . . . .	28
4.3	Device Limitation and fNIRS Testing Conditions . . . . .	29
4.4	Data Processing . . . . .	30
4.5	Loudness Perception . . . . .	31
4.6	Audio Stimuli . . . . .	31
4.7	Language Processing in Human Brains . . . . .	31
4.8	Laterality of Brain Activation . . . . .	32
4.9	Depth and Location of Cortical Response . . . . .	32
4.10	HbR and HbO Data . . . . .	33

*Contents*

<b>5 Conclusion and Future Prospectives</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>A Acronym</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>B List of Figures</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>C List of Tables</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>D Other Measurement Data</b>	<b>42</b>
D.1 Participant 1 . . . . .	42
D.2 Participant 2 . . . . .	44
D.3 Participant 8 . . . . .	46
<b>References</b>	<b>50</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

This research aims to better understand the brain activities of normal-hearing subjects when they are exposed to auditory stimuli of different loudness with the help of functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS).

In the field of neuro-imaging, although functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) is widely used and provides excellent resolution, it still has many limitations, especially when it comes to hearing research. First of all, that fact that fMRI rooms are noisy makes it difficult to control the auditory stimulation desired due to inevitable environmental noises. In addition, fMRI scans are done in a magnetic field. It has not yet been proved that pregnant women and infants can be safely exposed to an external magnetic field in the fMRI room. For people with hearing disabilities, more specifically cochlear implant patients, going into a fMRI room would not be ideal, either. Although there are already cochlear implants that can be worn to a magnetic field, it is still generally not suggested to wear a piece of metal in a fMRI room.

With fNIRS, we can measure brain activity by using near-infrared light to estimate cortical hemodynamic activities which occur in response to neural activity. It is non-invasive and risk-free. The fNIRS device is portable and works silently. With the cap secured on the head, it is also more resilient to motion artifacts. All these makes it ideal for hearing research. However, it is not yet commonly used in clinical diagnostics due to the lack of understanding of the expected brain activities measured with fNIRS. The spatial resolution is also worse than that of fMRI. Therefore, in this research, we'd like to perform some fNIRS measurement and analyse the fNIRS data under different experiment conditions.

## *Introduction*

If we can utilize fNIRS better so that the technology can be more commonly used in clinical applications, hearing abnormality of patients can be diagnosed earlier. This is especially important for infants or children. There is strong evidence that language development happens in the early stages of one's life (Newport, 1990), and children may never acquire a language if they have not been exposed to a language before they reach the age of six or seven (Clark, 2000). As a result, early identification and intervention of hearing loss can prevent severe linguistic, communicative, psychosocial repercussions (Robinshaw, 1995) (Yoshinaga-Itano et al., 1998). I find hearing research a meaningful topic. For one, speech is the primary and direct way of human communication. We express ourselves and perceive other people's opinion via speech. For the other, music has always been an important part of my life. Without the ability to hear and listen, neither speech nor music will be possible to be perceived. Therefore, helping other people with hearing disabilities get better diagnosis and treatment is the ultimate goal for this study, and fNIRS is of great potential to help solve the issue.

## **1.2 Technical Background**

The central concept of neuroimaging is dependent on the relationship between oxygen consumption and neuronal activity. Increases in neuronal activity require more glucose and oxygen to be rapidly delivered via the blood stream. Via this hemodynamic response, blood releases glucose and oxygen to active neurons at a faster rate relative to inactive neurons (Pelphrey, 2013). By measuring this Blood-oxygen-level-dependent (BOLD) signal, neuroimaging is therefore possible.

Hemoglobin, the protein from inside red blood cells, transports oxygen molecules throughout the body. Higher hemoglobin levels and red blood cell transfusion are associated with higher cerebral oxygen delivery. Different concentration levels of hemoglobin results in a spectral change. The biological tissue has a relatively good transparency for light in the near-infrared region (700-1300nm) (Jöbsis, 1977). Therefore, it is possible to transmit sufficient photons *in situ* monitoring.

## 1.2 Technical Background

The technique of NIRS relies on the Beer-Lambert law (Swinehart, 1962), which is given by:

$$OD_\lambda = \log\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right) = \epsilon_\lambda \cdot c \cdot L \quad (1.2.1)$$

$OD_\lambda$ : a dimensionless factor known as the optical density of the medium.

$I_0$  : the incident radiation.

$I$ : the transmitted radiation.

$\epsilon_\lambda$ : the molar absorptivity ( $mM^{-1} \cdot cm^{-1}$ ) of the chromophore.

$c$ : the concentration ( $mM$ ) of the chromophore.

$L$ : length of light path.

The Beer-Lambert law applies for a clear, non-scattering medium. When the law is applied to a scattering medium, e.g. brain tissue, a correction factor should be applied. The factor, called "differential path length factor (DPF)" accounts for the increase in optical path length due to scattering in the tissue. The modified Beer-Lambert law (Delpy et al., 1988) is given by:

$$OD_\lambda = \epsilon_\lambda \cdot c \cdot L \cdot B + OD_{R,L} \quad (1.2.2)$$

where  $OD_{R,L}$  represents the oxygen-independent light absorption due to scattering in the tissue, and  $(L \cdot B)$  is the true mean pathlength traveled by the detected photons. In our case, i.e. CW-NIRS <sup>1</sup>, this mean path length is not known. In a highly scattering medium, the path length of trajectories is longer than the source-detector separation. Nevertheless, one may still estimate the path length within the whole sampling region by multiplying the source-detector distance with a DPF. Assuming  $OD_{R,L}$  is constant during a measurement, we may rewrite the previous equation in terms of changes in optical density and changes in concentration as follows:

$$\Delta c = \frac{\Delta OD_\lambda}{\epsilon_\lambda \cdot L \cdot B} \quad (1.2.3)$$

The validity of the above equation depends on how much the DPF, or in this equation "B" varies. (Delpy et al., 1988) investigated this question and gave a relation between the DPF and the head diameter. Nonetheless, newer research also provides different ways to estimate the DPF. In the scope of this present study, the DPF was calculated from a function of wavelengths and age of the participant (Duncan et al., 1996).

---

<sup>1</sup>The Continuous Wave (CW) method relies on the steady illumination of tissue and the detection of the transmitted light intensity. This conceptually and technically simplest form of tissue spectroscopy assesses the overall light attenuation inside the tissue and cannot differentiate effects of scattering and absorption. Source : <https://nirx.net/cwfnirs>

## *Introduction*


### **1.3 Related Work**

Despite the fact that fNIRS has become a popular neuroimaging method for hearing research, spatial resolution is reduced compared to fMRI and often the exact locations of fNIRS optodes and detailed anatomical information is not known. On top of the limited spatial resolution of fNIRS, the relationship between cortical activation and speech processing is not yet clearly investigated, either. Up till now, there are no standard fNIRS source-detector locations that are generally accepted as being ideal for capturing the cortical activation in response to speech signals. As a result, many research was done to overcome the issues and hopefully enable fNIRS in more clinical applications.

Frost et al. (1999) conducted a fMRI study and found evidence to prove that language processing is strongly left lateralized in both sexes. Belin et al. (2000) also used fMRI in their study and found out that the superior temporal sulcus (STS) showed greater neuronal activity when subjects listened passively to vocal sounds, whether speech or non-speech, than to non-vocal environmental sounds. Shader et al. (2021) conducted a study with fNIRS. They explored broad and restricted regions of interest that are sensitive to detecting cortical activation using fNIRS in response to auditory- and visual-only speech. Their results suggested that temporal regions near Heschl's gyrus may be the most advantageous location in adults for identifying hemodynamic responses to complex auditory speech signals using fNIRS. Pollonini et al. (2013) studied the cortical activation level in response to the speech signals with different levels of intelligibility. fNIRS evidence proved that normal speech evoked the stronger responses within the auditory cortex than distorted speech, and environmental sounds produced the least cortical activation.

This project is based on a previous study (Weder et al., 2018). The authors measured their human subjects with fNIRS when the subjects were given different sound stimuli with different sound pressure levels. In their research, the results showed that fNIRS responses originating from auditory processing areas are highly dependent on sound intensity level. More specifically, higher stimulation levels led to higher concentration changes. Caudal and rostral channels showed different waveform morphologies, reflecting specific cortical signal processing of the stimulus.

### 1.3 Related Work



Source: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK10971/>

Figure 1.1: Some Anatomical Terminology. The terms anterior, posterior, superior, and inferior refer to the long axis of the body, which is straight. Therefore, these terms indicate the same direction for both the forebrain and the brainstem. In contrast, the terms dorsal, ventral, rostral, and caudal refer to the long axis of the central nervous system.

# Chapter 2

## Methods

### 2.1 Study Participants

We measured 8 normal hearing people. Participant 8 was given silent stimuli as a comparison. The detailed information about the subjects are listed in the table.

Participant	Gender	Handedness	Race	Hair color	Age (year)
1	F	right-handed	east asian	dark	22
2	M	right-handed	caucasian	blond	18
3	M	left-handed	caucasian	brunet	21
4	F	right-handed	east asian	dark	21
5	M	right-handed	caucasian	blond	26
6	F	right-handed	southeast asian	dark	22
7	M	left-handed	east asian	dark	23
8	M	right-handed	caucasian	blond	22

Table 2.1: Demographic information of the study participants.

### 2.2 Probe Design

The probes were first designed in AtlasViewer (release v2.11.3 <sup>1</sup>) (see Figure 2.3) (Aasted et al., 2015) and the SD GUI interface <sup>2</sup> (see Figure 2.1). The probe design was made as close as possible to the research from Weder et

---

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/BUNPC/AtlasViewer/releases/tag/v2.11.3>

<sup>2</sup>a sub GUI of AtlasViewer

## 2.2 Probe Design

al (2018). However, several modifications had to be made due to device limitations.

First of all, the paper only provided a rough 2D-sketch of their probe design (see Figure 2.2). The channels were not described in detail. Though there are different ways to define the channels, we believe it should not matter as long as the mid-points of the channel correspond to that of the previous research (Weder et al., 2018).





Figure 2.1: SDgui interface and optode coordinates.

## Methods



Source: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10162-018-0661-0>

Figure 2.2: Probe design from Weder et al. (2018)




Figure 2.3: Probe design in this research. Shown in AtlasViewer (2015) . The red numbers represent the light sources and the blue numbers represent the detector. Channels are shown in yellow lines.

## 2.2 Probe Design




Figure 2.4: Manufacturing process of the cap



Figure 2.5: Finished cap on dummy

Due to device limitations, we only measured one side of the brain. According to Frost et al. (1999), language processing has been predominantly associated to cortical activity in the left hemisphere. As a result, we decided to focus on the left hemisphere.

The fNIRS device we used also had limited number of sources and detectors. In the original design of Weder et al. (2018), 9 sources and 9 detectors were used. However, the device we used had only 10 sources and 8 detectors. Hence, we shifted one channel around T7 a little bit to the left, so that one less detector is needed. At the end, there were 12 long channels and 2 short channels in our setup (see Figure 3.1).

The physical cap was self-made from a swimming cap (see Figure 2.5). With the help of a dummy head model, correct positions of the optodes were marked and holes were drilled accordingly. The mounts were put on the cap on the holes. In order to ensure the correct channels length to be fixed exactly at 30 mm, plastic holders were also placed on the long channels. The Brite23 package comes with mounts for short channels. Thus, no plastic holders were needed in the case. The short channels were 11 mm long. The self-made cap turned out to work out well. The contacts between the scalp and the optodes were good thanks to the elastic characteristic of the material.

## *Methods*

### **2.3 Acoustic Stimulation during fNIRS Experiment**

Auditory stimuli were delivered binaurally via an audio metric headphone (Sennheiser HD 650)<sup>3</sup>. Stimuli consisted of 20-s chunks of the ICRA noise<sup>4</sup> (Dreschler et al., 2001).

To begin with, ICRA noise was developed to be used as background noise in clinical tests of hearing aids and possibly for measuring characteristics of non-linear instruments. The signals are based on live English speech from the EUROM database (Chan et al., 1995) in which a female speaker is explaining about the system of arithmetical notation. The speech signals were sampled with a sampling rate of 44.1 kHz. By composing the speech signals with well defined spectral and temporal characteristic, the modified signals have long-term spectrums but are completely unintelligible.

We chose to use ICRA noise as stimuli based on several reasons. For one, ICRA noise is a broadband amplitude-modulated signal. By selecting a broadband stimulus, broad cortical auditory areas are activated more strongly compared to simple static stimulus, e.g. a pure tone with a fixed amplitude. The bandwidth of auditory stimuli is positively correlated with the mean percentage signal change and spread of cortical activation (Hall et al., 2001). A previous fMRI study also manifested that more complex auditory stimuli elicit greater response in most parts of the auditory cortex (Belin et al., 2002). For the other, ICRA noise is a well-known and accessible stimulus. It is also considered as an international de facto standard for hearing research. In this way, our results can be directly compared to other research.

As for choosing different sound level pressure, we picked 40 dB, 65 dB, 90 dB, and silent stimulus, i.e. 0 dB. Calibrations were performed using an oscilloscope, a G.R.A.S. Power Module Type 12AK<sup>5</sup>, and an artificial ear (G.R.A.S. 43AA)<sup>6</sup>. The artificial ear transform the sound pressure levels (SPLs) into electrical signals, i.e. voltages that can be measured by the

---

<sup>3</sup><https://www.sennheiser-hearing.com/de-DE/p/hd-650/>

<sup>4</sup>The ICRA-Noise has been developed for the International Collegium of Rehabilitative Audiology by the HACTES work group (Hearing Aid Clinical Test Environment standardisation). The purpose was to establish collection of noise signals to be used as background noise in clinical tests of hearing aids and possibly for measuring characteristics of non-linear instruments. Source: <https://icra-audiology.org/Repository/icra-noise>

<sup>5</sup><https://www.grasacoustics.com/products/power-module/traditional-power-supply-lemo/product/225-12ak>

<sup>6</sup><https://www.grasacoustics.com/products/ear-simulator-kit/traditional-power-supply-lemo/product/238-43aa>

## 2.4 fNIRS Setup

oscilloscope. According to the instruction manual of the G.R.A.S. artificial ear, the measured level is 11.19 [ $\frac{mV}{Pa}$ ]. The SPL in dB is defined as

$$SPL[dB] = 20 \cdot \log \frac{P}{P_0} , \text{ where } P_0 \text{ is } 20\mu\text{Pa} \quad (2.3.1)$$

Hence, the relation between SPL and measured voltage should be.

$$V = 20\mu\text{Pa} \cdot 10^{\frac{SPL}{20}} \cdot 10^{\frac{Gain}{20}} \cdot 11.19 \frac{mV}{Pa} \quad (2.3.2)$$

The headphone with the artificial ear were setup together in the sound booth to ensure minimal environmental noise. The output voltages were measured with the oscilloscope. This way, the corresponding amplitude inputs for later MATLAB scripts for the desire SPLs can be determined.

MATLAB (version 2019b) and Oxysoft (version 3.3.33) were used during the measurement. In MATLAB, a chunk in the ICRA audio files was selected. It was multiplied with different amplitude levels for 4 SPLs and ramped with a 10-ms Hanning window. In each epoch, all four stimuli (0dB, 40 dB, 65 dB, and 90 dB) were played randomly once. After each stimulus, there was a 25-s silence rest to wait for the hemodynamic response. For each participant, 8 epochs were conducted. The stimuli were marked with lab streaming layers<sup>7</sup> to note which SPL it was. This lab streaming layer also acted as an interface between MATLAB and Oxysoft, so that Oxysoft could mark the time for each stimulus in the measurement data correctly in real time.

## 2.4 fNIRS Setup

The Brite23<sup>8</sup> was used as the fNIRS device in this research. It is light weight, has 10 sources and 8 detectors and can support up to 23 channels. The Brite23 fNIRS device was connected via bluetooth to the PC and the Oxysoft software. For each measurement, the DPF was calculated depending on the age of the participant. The sampling rate was fixed at 50 Hz, for enough resolution but not unnecessarily large in terms of data size.

After the settings in the Oxysoft software were done, the participant were asked to put on the self-made cap. On each optode position, the hair

---

<sup>7</sup>The lab streaming layer (LSL) is a system for the unified collection of measurement time series in research experiments that handles both the networking, time-synchronization, (near-) real-time access as well as optionally the centralized collection, viewing and disk recording of the data. Source : <https://github.com/sccn/labstreaminglayer>

<sup>8</sup>[https://neurolite.ch/sites/default/files/Brite23%20Brochure\\_0.pdf](https://neurolite.ch/sites/default/files/Brite23%20Brochure_0.pdf)

## *Methods*

would be put aside gently with a Q-tip to ensure better contact between the optodes and the scalp. Then, the participants would be asked to put on the headphone and go into the sound-attenuating booth. The participants were also asked to keep the eyes closed and keep the head still to ensure minimum interference from visual stimulation and motion.

## **2.5 Data preprocessing**

Data preprocessing and analysis was executed in MATLAB (Mathworks, USA) and the Homer3 toolbox. The following steps were executed.




Figure 2.6: Flow chart of data processing

First, the hemodynamic response was extracted with the Homer3 toolbox. Raw data were converted into optical densities. Motion artifacts were removed by using wavelet transformation of the data. (Molavi and Dumont, 2012). We also tried to use principle component analysis (PCA) to remove motion artifact, since PCA has the advantage of faster computation. However, it is also known for tending to remove too much of the activation signal

## 2.5 Data preprocesing

in adults. Wavelet transform on the other hand, takes longer to compute, but it is better at maintaining relevant frequency content. Then, the Homer3 toolbox bandpass filter (0.01 - 0.5 Hz) was used to reduced drift, broadband noise, heartbeat, and respiration artifacts. Changes of concentration of oxygenated hemoglobin (HbO) and deoxygenated hemoglobin (HbR) were estimated by applying the modified Beer-Lambert Law (Delpy et al., 1988). In this step, a correction factor, DPF, is used. Although strictly speaking, the DPF should be experimentally obtained with FD-NIRS or TD-NIRS, due to device limitations, it was not possible in this project. Hence, in our research, the DPF was determined by wavelengths of the fNIRS device and age of the participant. (Duncan et al., 1996). According to Duncan et al. (1996), the DPF for two wavelengths can be calculated with the formulae:

$$DPF_{744} = 5.11 + 0.106 \cdot Age^{0.723} \quad (2.5.1)$$

$$DPF_{852} = 4.67 + 0.062 \cdot Age^{0.819} \quad (2.5.2)$$

with age given in years.

Duncan et al. (1996) developed a broadband radiofrequency-modulated phase resolved spectroscopy (PRS) instrument using four wavelengths(690, 744, 807, and 832 nm) which can measure phase shifts through more than 4 cm of brain tissue in less than one second. In the study, the modulation frequency was set at 200 MHz, which has been shown theoretically to be a frequency at which phase shift and true mean optical path length are equal (Arridge et al., 1992). By dividing the true mean optical path length with source detector separation, the DPF can be obtained.

The authors also provided mathematical models based on the measurements. The estimated DPF is in a sequential order as the used wavelengths. The shorter the wavelength, the larger the mean DPF they measured. Even though only four equations were provided with the above-mentioned four wavelengths, and our wavelengths were different then that of the authors used, we were convinced that with the above two equations, we could still get fair estimate of the true DPF in our case.

## Methods




Figure 2.7: Age dependence of DPF. Taken from Duncan et al. (1996)

It is important to note that the noise due to motion artifacts, drift, broadband noise, heartbeat, and respiration artifacts need to be processed before the concentration was estimated, according to the previous research (Huppert et al., 2009).

Later on, the extracerebral component in long channels should be reduced by using measurements from the short channels as follows: the first principal components from the two short channels were estimated and then multiplied by its coefficient from the general linear model (GLM) (Friston et al., 1994). However, this was not done in the present study, since the coefficient from the GLM were very small. They were of the magnitudes  $10^{-16}$ , whereas the hemodynamic response in the long channels were of the magnitudes  $10^{-5}$ . Hence, we concluded the extracerebral components in our case could be negligible.

Channels with unusable data were excluded here for further analysis. The scalp coupling index (SCI) (Pollonini et al., 2013) is a common measure to detect unusable channels. The SCI estimates the correlation between the two wavelength channels in the cardiac band as the follows.

First, the signal is bandpass-filtered to keep only the cardiac band. In our case, a wide band of (0.5 - 2.5) Hz was chosen. Then, amplitude normalization is performed, and the SCI computation is defined as the absolute cross-correlation value at zero-time lag (Pollonini et al., 2013).

## 2.5 Data preprocessing




Figure 2.8: Distribution of SCI values.

In the present study, only four channels failed to reach the threshold of 0.75. In other words, of all the measurements (14 channels per participant, 8 participants in total). Over 96% of the measurements passed the SCI threshold.

# Chapter 3

## Results

From our measurements, the results varied a lot individually. Hence, grand average and further statistical analysis would not be well-applicable. In this section, individual results from selected participants are presented, including waveform morphology of the 14-channel measurements for both the HbO and HbR data. In addition, the regional analysis for the HbO data are also included in this section. Results from other measured participants are included in appendix.

First of all, our channels with the optode template are defined as shown in figure 3.1.




Figure 3.1: Channel Definition

In the following figures. Channels with invalid SCI would not be taken into consideration, and hence would not be shown. Measurements in all channels were plotted in the same scale except for the two short channels marked in thicker outlines. In all our measurements, the changes in the dynamic hemoglobin response were significantly less in the short channels by more than a magnitude.

Our regions of interest (ROI) were defined as the following figure. The auditory cortex was in particular of our interest. Hence, channel 4, channel 8, and channel 9 together formed one region (ROI 2). The rest of the channels formed ROI 1. It was of our interest to compare how the response of the auditory cortex differ from the rest of the left brain hemisphere.




Figure 3.2: ROI Definition

## Results

### 3.1 Participant 3




Figure 3.3: HbO Measurement from participant 3.




Figure 3.4: HbR Measurement from participant 3.

### 3.1 Participant 3




Figure 3.5: ROI Measurement from participant 3.

The results from this participant was the closest one to the results reported by Weder et al. For the oxygenated hemoglobin HbO waveform morphology, tonic response could be observed in channel 1, 2, and 3, and phasic response could be observed in channel 10 and 12.

## Results

### 3.2 Participant 4

There were also some poor measurements even though the SCI is above the threshold 0.75. For example, in our case of participant 4. One possible reason can be due to the thick dark hair of the participant. Light absorption can affect the result greatly.




Figure 3.6: HbO Measurement from participant 4.




Figure 3.7: HbR Measurement from participant 4.

### 3.2 Participant 4




Figure 3.8: ROI Measurement from participant 4.

## Results

### 3.3 Participant 5




Figure 3.9: HbO Measurement from participant 5.




Figure 3.10: HbR Measurement from participant 5.

### 3.3 Participant 5




Figure 3.11: ROI Measurement from participant 5.

For the oxygenated hemoglobin ( $\text{HbO}_2$ ) waveform, there were significantly larger on-sets for the 90 dB sound stimuli in Channel 1, 2, and 3, i.e. around the Broca's area.

Apart from this, the waveforms for deoxygenated hemoglobin ( $\text{HbR}$ ), were also quite different from the ones Weder et al (2018). reported. For the loudest sound stimuli, channels overlying the caudal superior temporal gyrus and channels over Broca's area showed clear phasic response.

## Results

### 3.4 Participant 6




Figure 3.12: HbO Measurement from participant 6.




Figure 3.13: HbR Measurement from participant 6.

### 3.4 Participant 6




Figure 3.14: ROI Measurement from participant 6.

For the oxygenated hemoglobin, HbO waveform, the loudest sound stimuli resulted in phasic response for almost all the channels. In addition, it also resulted in faster on-set compared with other stimuli of lower sound pressure levels.

On the other hand, as for the deoxygenated hemoglobin, HbR response, results from multiple channels appeared to be noisy even if the SCI values were already above the suggested threshold.

## Results

### 3.5 Participant 7




Figure 3.15: HbO Measurement from participant 7.




Figure 3.16: HbR Measurement from participant 7.

### 3.5 Participant 7




Figure 3.17: ROI Measurement from participant 7.

The results from this participant are rather indeterminant to differentiate between response to different sound pressure levels.

# Chapter 4

## Discussion

### 4.1 Waveform Morphology

The results we got for the waveform morphology did not speak entirely with the results that Weder et al. (2018) reported. In most of the cases, larger sound pressure level did result in greater positive change of oxygenated hemoglobin concentration, or in other words, greater negative change in de-oxygenated hemoglobin concentration. However, the results were not consistent between participants. The separation between different sound pressure levels could not be clearly seen. Apart from the results with the loudest audio stimuli, responses from other quieter audio stimuli were rather indistinguishable. Moreover, regarding the type of responses we measured from different regions of the left brain hemisphere, phasic response could be observed from the channels over the supramarginal superior temporal gyrus from most of the participants. However, only from some of the participants, channels over Broca's area could show a broad tonic pattern as Weder et al. (2018) described.


### 4.2 Regional Analysis

In comparison to the research from Weder et al. (2018), we chose a different approach to define our region of interest. Instead of first looking at the results and grouping different regions according to similar waveforms, we were more interested to know how the responses from the auditory cortex would be compared with other regions of the measured left brain hemisphere, so we grouped the three channels over the caudal superior temporal gyrus as one region (ROI 2), and the rest of the channels as another region (ROI 1).

The auditory cortex 4.1 was our interest of this study. It is around the

#### 4.3 Device Limitation and fNIRS Testing Conditions

caudal superior temporal gyrus. We compared the hemoglobin response from the three channels over the auditory cortex with all the other channels lying on the rest of the measured left brain hemisphere. The waveform morphology of the auditory cortex is very similar to the counterparts of the rest of the measured parts. In other words, the dynamic hemoglobin response of the auditory cortex represents that of the entire left brain hemisphere fairly well.



Source: <https://human-memory.net/sensory-cortex/>

Figure 4.1: Motor and sensory regions of the cerebral cortex

## 4.3 Device Limitation and fNIRS Testing Conditions

There could be several factors that potentially caused the results from this project to vary from that Weder et al. (2018) reported. First, we used the device Brite23. It is also a continuous-wave fNIRS device. The sources emitted light of slightly different wavelengths, which are 757 nm and 843 nm, whereas Weder et al. (2018) used the device (NIRScout, NIRX, Germany) which sources emitted light of wavelengths 760 nm and 850 nm. Additionally as for the fNIRS testing procedure, we could have also improved on several

### *Discussion*

things. To begin with, a darker sound booth would be more suitable. In our setup, the testing was also performed in a sound booth, but with normal light condition. Still, if the lighting was dimmer, there could be less noise in the hemoglobin response from visual stimulation. In addition, it would make sense to stabilize the participant's neck with a neck cushion. Not only would it be more comfortable for the participants during the measurement. Movement artifacts could also be reduced. Moreover, by including breaks in between participant might be able to maintain better attention.

Also, from our measurements, data from female participants with long hair had worse data quality. In our configuration, since we were only measuring the left brain hemisphere. First asking the participant to put the hair to the right side made it easier to put the cap on. Sometimes when the participants had thick long hair, trying to put the hair aside can be a futile attempt, but it was easier when they first put the hair to the other side. Last but not least, we would be curious to know how would the hemoglobin response from more people be like. If possible, more participants should be measured so the results from the project can be more credible. For example, participants of different ages and every gender and race would be desirable for this hearing research. Besides, other than only measure normal-hearing people, it would also be of our great interest to measure some cochlear implant users and compare the results together.

## **4.4 Data Processing**

Our measured data was processed with a modified approach. In the research from Weder et al. (2018), data pre-processing and analysis was executed in MATLAB and SPSS (version 24, IBM Corp., USA). They combined custom-made MATLAB scripts with Homer2 functions. On the other hand, the newer Homer3 with our MATLAB script in this study. Judging from the varying individual results, group analysis or statistical analysis will not be applicable in this case. Hence, the software program for statistical analysis, SPSS, was not used in this project. Furthermore, the differential pathlength factor (DPF) for each participant was calculated from their age. The resultant HbO and HbR concentration was estimated with the correction factor, whereas Weder et al. (2018) did not mention how they chose or calculated the DPF values.

## **4.5 Loudness Perception**

In this project, results from individuals varied much. Although in hearing research, response from normal-hearing participants should be similar and reproducible, it is also well-known that, even between normal-hearing listeners, considerable differences still exist in loudness perceptions (Garnier et al., 1999). A more recent research (Weder et al., 2020) was conducted in detail, and showed that brain activation in response to different stimulus intensities is more reliant upon individual loudness sensation than the physical stimulus properties. Therefore, the authors suggested that loudness estimates should be examined when interpreting results, especially when it comes to measurements using different auditory stimulus intensities. Different loudness perception can explain the varying results from individual participants.

## **4.6 Audio Stimuli**

ICRA noises were chosen as the stimuli in this study because it is believed to be able to activate broad cortical auditory areas. However, some other researches were able to detect differences in cortical responses to speech of different intelligibility. Pollonini et al. (2013) found that normal speech evoked stronger responses within the auditory cortex than distorted speech did, and environmental sounds produced the least cortical activation. ICRA noises are amplitude-modulated noises, are completely unintelligible, and thus, belong to the distorted-speech category. Although ICRA noises may be able to activate broader cortical auditory areas than simple static stimulus, normal speech can perhaps produce even stronger responses within auditory cortex, according to Pollonini et al. (2013). In addition, by providing intelligible normal speech as a stimuli rather than unintelligible noise, the measurement process can be less boring for the participants. They can then be more compliant and thus maintain better attention to actively listen to the soundtracks.

## **4.7 Language Processing in Human Brains**

One primary goal of fNIRS research is to improve a patient's ability to discriminate speech. In many studies, topics associated with hearing and language processing were investigated. However, language perception and processing in human brain do not have to be involved with audio stimuli. Visual-only speech can also activate language processing in the human brain. Shader et al. (2021) used both auditory-only and visual-only connected speech as

## *Discussion*

stimuli in their research. Their results suggested that Heschl's gyrus (see Figure 4.2) may be the most advantageous location for identifying hemodynamic responses to complex auditory speech signals using fNIRS, for measuring responses to visual speech with fNIRS, regions corresponding to the facial processing pathway in occipital lobe can be more advantageous.

## **4.8 Laterality of Brain Activation**

In the present study, the fNIRS optodes were all placed on the left brain hemisphere, since according to Frost et al. (1999), language processing is strongly left lateralized. Nonetheless, from other papers, different results were observed and did not speak entirely with the conclusion from Frost et al. (1999). For example, the data from Pollonini et al. (2013) is more responsive to changes in activation within the right hemisphere. Belin et al. (2000) showed that the voice-selective regions can be found bilaterally along the upper bank of STS. Shader et al. (Shader et al., 2021) also measured relatively symmetrical patterns across both hemispheres. Hence, if it is more advantageous to measure the left hemisphere for cortical response to audiometric stimuli with fNIRS remains till this point a question to be answered.


## **4.9 Depth and Location of Cortical Response**

The depth of cortical response to complex auditory speech signals can also greatly affect the fNIRS measurements. Strangman et al. (2013) demonstrated that sensitivity in depth decreases exponentially and diminishing returns appear to begin around 40 to 50 mm source-detector separations.

The left inferior frontal gyrus (see Figure 4.2), or more specifically Broca's area (see Figure 4.1) is implicated in higher-level linguistic processing (Belin et al., 2000). While in some studies (Wijayasiri et al., 2017) (Zhou et al., 2018), significant cortical activity in response to auditory speech signals in this region can be detected, it was not always the case (Mushtaq et al., 2019). The studies that observed significant activation in the frontal region used fMRI or a combination of fMRI and fNIRS. It is possible that speech-evoked activity in the inferior frontal gyrus is isolated to the deeper cortical areas, so it's less likely to be detected with fNIRS. Different neuroimaging methods can also explain why some studies (Frost et al., 1999) reported language processing to be left-lateralized whereas in other studies (Shader et al., 2021), opposite results were observed. It is possible that speech-evoked activities is related to the deeper cortical areas in the left hemisphere while

#### 4.10 HbR and HbO Data

the stimuli evoked responses in more superficial areas of the right cortex, making them more easily detected by fNIRS.



Source: <https://www.tabers.com/tabersonline/view/Tabers-Dictionary/734639/all/gyrus>

Figure 4.2: Gyrus

## 4.10 HbR and HbO Data

Most fNIRS studies only present HbO data (Ferrari and Quaresima, 2012), since it has a lower noise level, and thus more obvious responses can be observed. However, with our system, no significant difference was found regarding the HbO and HbR noise levels for most of the participants. Only some channels were measured with higher noise levels for the HbR data collected from participant 6. Unlike what Weder et al. (2018) presented in their paper, we were surprised to be able to measure comparably the same magnitude of HbO and HbR responses.

# Chapter 5

## Conclusion and Future Prospectives

This study aims to confirm and reproduce the results from Weder et al. (2018). With the limited devices, we made our measurement conditions, i.e. optode template configuration and audio sound stimuli as similar as those of the previous research from Weder et al. (2018) as possible.

Our results were not completely as expected. Few common patterns could be found between participants. Therefore, we analysed the results from each individual participant and compared these with the results Weder et al. (2018) provided. Variables that might have potentially affected the results of the study were investigated with a thorough literature review.

Other than purely reproducing the results from the one main paper (Weder et al., 2018), this study also take other related research into consideration. We studied and considered the effect of different audio stimuli, individual perception of loudness of the sound, depth, laterality and location of the cortical activation. By taking advantage of previous fMRI studies (Belin et al., 2000) (Belin et al., 2002) (Hall et al., 2001) (Frost et al., 1999), we compared the laterality of cortical activation in this study and previous researches. Building upon the presumption from earlier researches and our measurement data, we also concluded that the cortical activation from audio stimuli is possibly deeper for some participants in the audio cortex. Additionally other than merely taking the HbO data for analysis, the magnitude and noise of the measured HbR data was also compared with the counterparts of the HbO data.

## **Appendix A**

### **Acronym**

# Acronyms

**BOLD** Blood-oxygen-level-dependent.

**CW-NIRS** continuous wave near-infrared spectroscopy.

**DPF** differential path length factor.

**FD-NIRS** frequency domain near-infrared spectroscopy.

**fMRI** functional magnetic resonance imaging.

**fNIRS** functional near-infrared spectroscopy.

**GLM** general linear model.

**HbO** oxygenated hemoglobin.

**HbR** deoxygenated hemoglobin.

**ICRA** International Collegium for Rehabilitative Audiology.

**LSL** lab streaming layer.

**mBLL** modified Beer-Lambert law.

**PCA** principle component analysis.

**PRS** phase resolved spectroscopy.

**SCI** scalp coupling index.

**STS** superior temporal sulcus.

**TD-NIRS** time domain near-infrared spectroscopy.

# **Appendix B**

## **List of Figures**

# List of Figures

1.1 Some Anatomical Terminology. The terms anterior, posterior, superior, and inferior refer to the long axis of the body, which is straight. Therefore, these terms indicate the same direction for both the forebrain and the brainstem. In contrast, the terms dorsal, ventral, rostral, and caudal refer to the long axis of the central nervous system. . . . .	5
2.1 SDgui interface and optode coordinates. . . . .	7
2.2 Probe design from Weder et al. (2018) . . . . .	8
2.3 Probe design in this research. Shown in AtlasViewer (2015). The red numbers represent the light sources and the blue numbers represent the dectector. Channels are shown in yellow lines. . . . .	8
2.4 Manufacturing process of the cap . . . . .	9
2.5 Finished cap on dummy . . . . .	9
2.6 Flow chart of data processing . . . . .	12
2.7 Age dependence of DPF. Taken rom Duncan et al. (1996) . .	14
2.8 Distribution of SCI values. . . . .	15
3.1 Channel Definition . . . . .	16
3.2 ROI Definition . . . . .	17
3.3 HbO Measurement from participant 3. . . . .	18
3.4 HbR Measurement from participant 3. . . . .	18
3.5 ROI Measurement from participant 3. . . . .	19
3.6 HbO Measurement from participant 4. . . . .	20
3.7 HbR Measurement from participant 4. . . . .	20
3.8 ROI Measurement from participant 4. . . . .	21
3.9 HbO Measurement from participant 5. . . . .	22
3.10 HbR Measurement from participant 5. . . . .	22
3.11 ROI Measurement from participant 5. . . . .	23
3.12 HbO Measurement from participant 6. . . . .	24

*List of Figures*

3.13	HbR Measurement from participant 6 . . . . .	24
3.14	ROI Measurement from participant 6 . . . . .	25
3.15	HbO Measurement from participant 7 . . . . .	26
3.16	HbR Measurement from participant 7 . . . . .	26
3.17	ROI Measurement from participant 7 . . . . .	27
4.1	Motor and sensory regions of the cerebral cortex . . . . .	29
4.2	Gyrus . . . . .	33
D.1	HbO measurement from participant 1 . . . . .	42
D.2	HbR measurement from participant 1 . . . . .	43
D.3	ROI measurement from participant 1 . . . . .	43
D.4	HbO measurement from participant 2 . . . . .	44
D.5	HbR measurement from participant 2 . . . . .	44
D.6	ROI measurement from participant 2 . . . . .	45
D.7	HbO measurement from participant 8. Silent comparison . . .	46
D.8	HbR measurement from participant 8. Silent comparison . . .	46
D.9	ROI measurement from participant 8. Silent comparision. . . . .	47

# **Appendix C**

## **List of Tables**

# List of Tables

2.1 Demographic information of the study participants. . . . .	6
--	---

# Appendix D

## Other Measurement Data

### D.1 Participant 1




Figure D.1: HbO measurement from participant 1.

### D.1 Participant 1




Figure D.2: HbR measurement from participant 1.




Figure D.3: ROI measurement from participant 1.

### Other Measurement Data

## D.2 Participant 2




Figure D.4: HbO measurement from participant 2.




Figure D.5: HbR measurement from participant 2.

## D.2 Participant 2




Figure D.6: ROI measurement from participant 2.

### Other Measurement Data

## D.3 Participant 8




Figure D.7: HbO measurement from participant 8. Silent comparison




Figure D.8: HbR measurement from participant 8. Silent comparison

### D.3 Participant 8




Figure D.9: ROI measurement from participant 8. Silent comparision.

This participant was given only silence stimuli. No pattern could be concluded for the measured waveform morphology. Nonetheless, it's noteworthy to know that even if there are almost no visual and sound stimuli, dynamic hemoglobin response still exists.

# Bibliography

- Aasted, C. M., Yücel, M. A., Cooper, R. J., Dubb, J., Tsuzuki, D., Becerra, L., Petkov, M. P., Borsook, D., Dan, I., and Boas, D. A. (2015). Anatomical guidance for functional near-infrared spectroscopy: AtlasViewer tutorial. *Neurophotonics*, 2(2):020801.
- Arridge, S. R., Cope, M., and Delpy, D. T. (1992). The theoretical basis for the determination of optical pathlengths in tissue: temporal and frequency analysis. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 37(7):1531–1560.
- Belin, P., Zatorre, R., and Ahad, P. (2002). Human temporal-lobe response to vocal sounds. *Brain research. Cognitive brain research*, 13:17–26.
- Belin, P., Zatorre, R., Lafaille, P., Ahad, P., and Pike, B. (2000). Voice-selective areas in human auditory cortex. *Nature*, 403:309–12.
- Chan, D., Fourcin, A., Gibbon, D., Granstrom, B., Hucvale, M., George, K., Kvale, K., Lamel, L., Lindberg, B., Moreno, A., Mouropoulos, J., and Senia, F. (1995). Eurom - a spoken language resource for the eu. *Proc. Eurospeech*, 1.
- Clark, B. A. (2000). First-and second-language acquisition in early childhood.
- Delpy, D. T., Cope, M., van der Zee, P., Arridge, S., Wray, S., and Wyatt, J. (1988). Estimation of optical pathlength through tissue from direct time of flight measurement. *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, 33(12):1433–1442.
- Dreschler, W., Herschuure, H., Ludvigsen, C., and Westermann, S. (2001). Icra noises: Artificial noise signals with speech-like spectral and temporal properties for hearing aid assessment. *Audiology*, 40:148–157.
- Duncan, A., Meek, J., Clemence, M., Elwell, C. E., Fallon, P., Tyszczuk, L., Cope, M., and Delpy, D. T. (1996). Measurement of cranial optical path length as a function of age using phase resolved near infrared spectroscopy. *Pediatric Research*, 39:889–894.

## Bibliography

- Ferrari, M. and Quaresima, V. (2012). A brief review on the history of human functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fnirs) development and fields of application. *NeuroImage*, 63(2):921–935.
- Friston, K. J., Holmes, A. P., Worsley, K. J., Poline, J.-P., Frith, C. D., and Frackowiak, R. S. (1994). Statistical parametric maps in functional imaging: a general linear approach. *Human brain mapping*, 2(4):189–210.
- Frost, J. A., Binder, J. R., Springer, J. A., Hammeke, T. A., Bellgowan, P. S., Rao, S. M., and Cox, R. W. (1999). Language processing is strongly left lateralized in both sexes. evidence from functional MRI. *Brain*, 122 (Pt 2):199–208.
- Garnier, S., Micheyl, C., Berger-Vachon, C., and Collet, L. (1999). Effect of signal duration on categorical loudness scaling in normal and in hearing-impaired listeners. *Audiology : official organ of the International Society of Audiology*, 38:196–201.
- Hall, D., Haggard, M., Summerfield, A., Akeroyd, M., Palmer, A., and Bowtell, R. (2001). Functional magnetic resonance imaging measurements of sound-level encoding in the absence of background scanner noise. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 109:1559–70.
- Huppert, T. J., Diamond, S. G., Franceschini, M. A., and Boas, D. A. (2009). Homer: a review of time-series analysis methods for near-infrared spectroscopy of the brain. *Appl. Opt.*, 48(10):D280–D298.
- Jöbsis, F. F. (1977). Noninvasive, infrared monitoring of cerebral and myocardial oxygen sufficiency and circulatory parameters. *Science*, 198(4323):1264–1267.
- Molavi, B. and Dumont, G. A. (2012). Wavelet-based motion artifact removal for functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Physiological Measurement*, 33(2):259–270.
- Mushtaq, F., Wiggins, I., Kitterick, P., Anderson, C., and Hartley, D. (2019). Evaluating time-reversed speech and signal-correlated noise as auditory baselines for isolating speech-specific processing using fnirs. *PLOS ONE*, 14:e0219927.
- Newport, E. L. (1990). Maturational constraints on language learning. *Cognitive Science*, 14(1):11–28.

## Bibliography

- Pelphrey, K. A. (2013). *Blood-Oxygen-Level-Dependent (BOLD) Signal*, pages 465–466. Springer New York, New York, NY.
- Pollonini, L., Olds, C., Abaya, H., Bortfeld, H., Beauchamp, M., and Oghalai, J. (2013). Auditory cortex activation to natural speech and simulated cochlear implant speech measured with functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Hearing research*, 309.
- Robinshaw, H. M. (1995). Early intervention for hearing impairment: Differences in the timing of communicative and linguistic development. *British Journal of Audiology*, 29(6):315–334. PMID: 8861408.
- Shader, M., Luke, R., Gouailhardou, N., and McKay, C. (2021). The use of broad vs restricted regions of interest in functional near-infrared spectroscopy for measuring cortical activation to auditory-only and visual-only speech. *Hearing Research*, 406:108256.
- Strangman, G. E., Li, Z., and Zhang, Q. (2013). Depth sensitivity and source-detector separations for near infrared spectroscopy based on the colin27 brain template. *PLOS ONE*, 8(8):1–13.
- Swinehart, D. F. (1962). The beer-lambert law. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 39(7):333.
- Weder, S., Shoushtarian, M., Olivares, V., Zhou, X., Innes-Brown, H., and McKay, C. (2020). Cortical fnirs responses can be better explained by loudness percept than sound intensity. *Ear and Hearing*, 41:1.
- Weder, S., Zhou, X., Shoushtarian, M., Innes-Brown, H., and McKay, C. (2018). Cortical processing related to intensity of a modulated noise stimulus-a functional near-infrared study. *J. Assoc. Res. Otolaryngol.*, 19(3):273–286.
- Wijayasiri, P., Hartley, D., and Wiggins, I. (2017). Brain activity underlying the recovery of meaning from degraded speech: A functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fnirs) study. *Hearing Research*, 351.
- Yoshinaga-Itano, C., Sedey, A., Coulter, D., and Mehl, A. (1998). Language of early- and later-identified children with hearing loss. *Pediatrics*, 102:1161–71.
- Zhou, X., Seghouane, A.-K., Shah, A., Innes-Brown, H., Cross, W., Litovsky, R., and McKay, C. M. (2018). Cortical speech processing in postlingually deaf adult cochlear implant users, as revealed by functional near-infrared spectroscopy. *Trends in Hearing*, 22:2331216518786850. PMID: 30022732.

# **Erklärung der Selbstständigkeit**

Hiermit versichere ich, die vorliegende Arbeit selbstständig verfasst und keine anderen als die angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel benutzt sowie die Zitate deutlich kenntlich gemacht zu haben.

.....  
Ort, Datum

Pei-Yi Lin