## PostgreSQL function parameters

## Function authorization related parameters

- The first parameters are related to security; when functions are called, they are executed within a security context that determines their privileges.
- The following options control the function privileges context:
  - SECURITY DEFINER
  - SECURITY INVOKER

- The default value for this option is SECURITY INVOKER, which indicates that the function will be executed with the privileges of the user that calls it.
- The SECURITY DEFINER functions will be executed using the privileges of the user that created it.
- For the SECURITY INVOKER functions, the user must have the permissions to execute the CRUD operations that the function implements; otherwise, the function will raise an error.
- The SECURITY DEFINER functions are very useful in defining triggers or for temporarily promoting the user to perform tasks only supported by the function.

 To test these security parameters, let's create two dummy functions using the postgres user and execute them in different sessions, as follows:

```
(base) joshua@joshua-VirtualBox:~$ cd /etc/postgresql/11/main
(base) joshua@joshua-VirtualBox:/etc/postgresql/11/main$ ls
conf.d environment pg_ctl.conf pg_hba.conf pg_ident.conf postgresql.conf start.conf
(base) joshua@joshua-VirtualBox:/etc/postgresql/11/main$ sudo vim pg_hba.conf
```

```
# DO NOT DISABLE!
# If you change this first entry you will need to make sure that the
# database superuser can access the database using some other method.
# Noninteractive access to all databases is required during automatic
# maintenance (custom daily cronjobs, replication, and similar tasks).
# Database auritiitstiative toytii by olita uoriatii socket
local all
                        postgres
                                                                реег
# TYPE DATABASE
                                        ADDRESS
                        USER
                                                                METHOD
# "local" is for Unix domain socket connections only
local all
                        all
                                                                реег
# IPv4 local connections:
host
        all
                        all
                                        127.0.0.1/32
                                                                md5
# IPv6 local connections:
        all
                        all
                                        ::1/128
                                                                md5
host
```

```
# DO NOT DISABLE!
# If you change this first entry you will need to make sure that the
# database superuser can access the database using some other method.
# Noninteractive access to all databases is required during automatic
# maintenance (custom daily cronjobs, replication, and similar tasks).
local all
                        all
                                                               trust
# TYPE DATABASE
                       USER
                                       ADDRESS
                                                               METHOD
# "local" is for Unix domain socket connections only
local all
                        all
                                                               peer
# IPv4 local connections:
        all
host
                                       127.0.0.1/32
                                                               md5
# IPv6 local connections:
                                                               md5
host
        all
                        all
                                        ::1/128
```

(base) joshua@joshua-VirtualBox:/etc/postgresql/11/main\$ sudo pg\_ctlcluster 11 main reload (base) joshua@joshua-VirtualBox:/etc/postgresql/11/main\$

 To test the functions, let's execute them using a session created by the postgres user, as follows:

 Now, let's use another session created by the car\_portal\_app user, as follows:

## Function planner related parameters

- Function planner related parameters give the planner information about a function's execution cost.
- This helps the planner to generate a good execution plan.
- The following three parameters are used by the planner to determine the cost of executing the function, the number of rows that are expected to be returned, and whether the function pushes down when evaluating predicates:
  - LEAKPROOF: LEAKPROOF means that the function has no side effects. It does not reveal any information about its argument. For example, it does not throw error messages about its argument. This parameter affects views with the security barrier parameter.
  - COST: This declares the execution cost per row; the default value for the C language function is 1, and for PL/pgSQL, it is 100. The cost is used by the planner to determine the best execution plan.
  - ROWS: The estimated number of rows returned by the function, if the function is set-returning. The default value is 1000.

• To understand the effect of the rows configuration parameter, let's consider the following example:

```
car_portal=# CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION a() RETURNS SETOF INTEGER AS $$
car_portal$# SELECT 1;
car_portal$# $$ LANGUAGE SQL;
CREATE FUNCTION
```

Now, let's execute the following query:

- The SQL function return type is SETOF INTEGER, which means that the planner expected more than one row to be returned from the function.
- Since the ROWS parameter is not specified, the planner uses the default value, which is 1000.
- Finally, due to CROSS JOIN, the total estimated number of rows is 3000, which is calculated as  $3\times1,000$ .

- In the preceding example, an incorrect estimation is not critical.
- However, in a real-life example, where we might have several joins, the error of row estimation will be propagated and amplified, leading to poor execution plans.

- The COST function parameter determines when the function will be executed, as follows:
  - It determines the function execution order
  - It determines whether the function call can be pushed down

- The following example shows how the execution order for functions is affected by the COST function.
- Let's suppose that we have two functions, as follows:

```
car_portal=# CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION slow_function (anyelement) RETURNS BOOLEAN AS $$
car portal$# BEGIN
                    RAISE NOTICE 'Slow function %', $1;
car portal$#
car portal$#
                    RETURN TRUE;
car portal$# END; $$ LANGUAGE PLPGSQL COST 10000;
CREATE FUNCTION
car_portal=# CREATE OR REPLACE FUNCTION fast_function (anyelement) RETURNS BOOLEAN AS $$
car portal$# BEGIN
car portal$#
                    RAISE NOTICE 'Fast function %', $1;
car portal$#
                    RETURN TRUE;
car_portal$# END; $$ LANGUAGE PLPGSQL COST 0.0001;
CREATE FUNCTION
```

- The preceding two SQL statements are identical, but the predicates are shuffled.
- Both of the statements give the same execution plan.
- Notice how the predicates are arranged in the filter execution plane node.
- fast\_function is evaluated first, followed by the ILIKE operator, and finally, slow function is pushed.

 When you execute one of the preceding statements, you will get the following results:

- Notice that fast\_function was executed four times and slow function was only executed twice.
- This behavior is known as short circuit evaluation.
- slow\_function is only executed when fast\_function and the ILIKE operator have returned true.

- Views can be used to implement authorization, and they can be used to hide data from some users.
- The function cost parameter could be exploited in earlier versions of PostgreSQL to crack views; however, this has been improved by the introduction of the LEAKPROOF and SECURITY\_BARRIER flags.

- To be able to exploit the function cost parameter to get data from a view, several conditions should be met, some of which are as follows:
  - The function cost should be very low.
  - The function should be marked as LEAKPROOF. Note that only superusers are allowed to mark functions as LEAKPROOF.
  - The VIEW security barrier flag shouldn't be set.
  - The function should be executed and not ignored due to short-circuit evaluation.

- Meeting all of these conditions is very difficult.
- The following code shows a hypothetical example of exploiting views.
- First, let's create a view, alter the fast\_function function, and set it as LEAKPROOF:

```
car_portal=# CREATE OR REPLACE VIEW pg_sql_pl AS SELECT lanname FROM pg_language WHERE lanname ILIKE '%sql%';
CREATE VIEW
car_portal=# ALTER FUNCTION fast_function(anyelement) LEAKPROOF;
ALTER FUNCTION
car_portal=#
```

• To exploit the function, let's execute the following query:

- In the preceding example, the view itself should not show c and internal.
- By exploiting the function cost, the function was executed before executing the lanname ILIKE '%sql%' filter, exposing information that will never be shown by the view.

• Since only superusers are allowed to mark a function as LEAKPROOF, exploiting the function cost is not possible in newer versions of PostgreSQL.

## Function configuration related parameters

- PostgreSQL can be configured per session, as well as within a function scope.
- This is quite useful in particular cases, when we want to override the session settings in the function.
- The settings parameters can be used to determine resources (such as the amount of memory required to perform an operation such as work mem), or they can be used to determine execution behavior, such as disabling a sequential scan or nested loop joins.
- Only parameters that have the context of the user can be used (referring to the settings parameters that can be assigned to the user session).

- The SET clause causes the specified setting parameter to be set with a specified value when the function is entered; the same setting parameter value is reset back to its default value when the function exits.
- The parameter configuration setting can be set explicitly for the whole function or overwritten locally inside of the function, and it can inherit the value from the session setting using the CURRENT clause.

• Let's suppose that a developer would like to quickly fix the following statement, which uses an external merge sort method, without altering the work mem session:

```
Car_portal=# EXPLAIN (analyze, buffers) SELECT md5(random()::text) FROM generate_series(1, 1000000) order by 1;

QUERY PLAN

Sort (cost=69.83..72.33 rows=1000 width=32) (actual time=3895.917..4763.415 rows=1000000 loops=1)
Sort Key: (md5((random())::text))
Sort Method: external merge Disk: 42096kB
Buffers: shared hit=3, temp read=9422 written=9447
-> Function Scan on generate_series (cost=0.00..20.00 rows=1000 width=32) (actual time=227.126..1317.507 rows=1000000 loops=1)
Buffers: temp read=1709 written=1709
Planning Time: 0.136 ms
Execution Time: 4844.019 ms
(8 rows)
```

 The SELECT statement in the preceding example can be wrapped in a function, and the function can be assigned a specific work\_mem, as follows:

 Now, let's run the function to see the results of the work\_mem setting effect:

```
car_portal=# EXPLAIN (ANALYZE ,BUFFERS) SELECT * FROM configuration_test();
```

```
QUERY PLAN

-----
Function Scan on configuration_test (cost=0.25..10.25 rows=1000 width=32) (actual time=4625.267..4709.364 rows=1000000 lo ops=1)
Planning Time: 0.022 ms
Execution Time: 4803.256 ms
(3 rows)
```

- When the function is entered, work mem is assigned a value of  $100 \rm MB$ ; this affects the execution plan, and the sorting is now done in the memory.
- The function's execution time is faster than the query.

 To confirm this result, let's change work mem for the session, in order to compare the results, as follows: