

Time vs Space Complexity



if a function has a sum of 2nd and 3rd degree, then would the time complexity be only the 3rd degree and the 2nd degree would be ignored? Why?

Yes, if a function has a sum of **2nd-degree (quadratic) and 3rd-degree (cubic) terms**, the time complexity is determined **only by the highest-degree term** (i.e., the cubic term). The lower-degree term is ignored in Big-O notation.

Why is the lower-degree term ignored?

Big-O notation focuses on **asymptotic behavior**—how the function grows as $n \to \infty$. The highest-degree term grows the fastest and dominates the function for large n.

Example:

Suppose an algorithm has a time complexity:

$$T(n) = 5n^3 + 2n^2 + 7n + 10$$

For very large n, the n^3 **term grows much faster** than n^2 , n, or the constant. Let's compare their growth:

n	n^3	n^2
10	1,000	100
100	1,000,000	10,000
1,000	1,000,000,000	1,000,000

Clearly, n^3 **dominates** as n increases.

Final Time Complexity:

$$T(n) = O(n^3)$$

We ignore **coefficients** (like 5, 2, etc.) and **lower-degree terms** (like n^2 , n, and **constants**) because they have negligible impact compared to n^3 for large n.

Would you like a graphical comparison of how these terms grow? 😊



what is "asymptotic behavior" and what does it mean by "dominates the function for large n"?

What is "Asymptotic Behavior"?



Asymptotic behavior refers to how a function behaves as its input n becomes very large ($n \to \infty$). In **Big-O notation**, we care about the **long-term growth rate** of an algorithm rather than its exact values for small n.

For example:

- $f(n) = 3n^2 + 5n + 10$
- As n grows, the $3n^2$ term becomes much larger than 5n or 10.
- So, the function behaves **asymptotically like** $O(n^2)$.

What Does "Dominates the Function for Large n" Mean?

A term **dominates** if it grows much faster than all other terms as n increases.

Example: Comparing Growth Rates

Let's analyze three different terms:

- *n* (linear growth)
- n^2 (quadratic growth)
- n^3 (cubic growth)

n	n	n^2	n^3
10	10	100	1,000
100	100	10,000	1,000,000
1,000	1,000	1,000,000	1,000,000,000

- **For small** n, all terms contribute somewhat.
- For large n, n^3 grows way faster than n^2 or n, meaning it dominates the function.

Thus, if an algorithm has a complexity like $T(n) = 2n^3 + 4n^2 + 10n + 50$,

- $2n^3$ dominates, so we write $O(n^3)$.
- The lower-order terms are **ignored in Big-O notation**.

Intuition with a Real-Life Example

Think of it like comparing different speeds:

- Walking speed (~5 km/h)
- Car speed (~100 km/h)
- Airplane speed (~900 km/h)

If you travel a long distance, **airplane speed dominates**—the walking speed becomes irrelevant compared to the airplane.





"The lower-order terms are ignored in Big-O notation." does it refer to the code blocks of lower time complexity that runs parallel to the high time complexity coded blocks? for example, if two loops are to run separately and one is a nested loop, then the time complexity of the non-nested loop would be ignored and only the nested loop's time complexity will be counted?

Yes! You've got the right intuition. In Big-O notation, the lower-order terms (or less dominant code blocks) are ignored, especially when they run separately.

Example 1: Parallel Code Blocks with Different Complexities

Consider this pseudo-code:

```
python
# First loop (O(n))
for i in range(n):
    print(i)
# Nested loop (0(n^2))
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(n):
        print(i, j)
```

Step-by-step Time Complexity Analysis:

- 1. First loop runs O(n) times.
- 2. Second loop runs O(n²) times (since it's nested).
- 3. Total complexity:

$$O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$$

4. Since n^2 dominates n for large n, we ignore O(n) and simply write:

$$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

Example 2: Two Nested Loops vs. Single Loop

```
python
# O(n^2) nested loop
for i in range(n):
    for j in range(n):
        print(i, j)
# 0(n) loop
for k in range(n):
    print(k)
```

• The nested loop runs O(n²) times.



- The separate loop runs O(n) times.
- The final complexity is $O(n^2) + O(n) = O(n^2) \rightarrow O(n)$ is ignored.

General Rule for Complexity Calculation

- 1. If loops run sequentially, add their complexities.
 - Example: $O(n) + O(n^2) = O(n^2)$ (ignore the smaller term)
- 2. **If loops are nested**, multiply their complexities.
 - Example: $O(n) \times O(n) = O(n^2)$
- 3. Ignore constants and lower-order terms in Big-O notation.

So yes, when two loops run **separately**, and one has a much higher complexity, we ignore the lower one because **it doesn't significantly impact performance for large** n.

Would you like me to show a visualization for this? 😊



can you share some references for this "ignoring" procedure?

Yes! The idea of **ignoring lower-order terms** and **constant factors** in **Big-O notation** is a fundamental concept in **asymptotic analysis**. Here are some standard references that explain why we do this:

1. Introduction to the Design and Analysis of Algorithms – Anany Levitin

- Chapter: Growth of Functions & Asymptotic Notation
 - Discusses how Big-O focuses on dominant terms because they **determine runtime for large inputs**.
 - Quote:

"In asymptotic analysis, the highest-order term dominates the growth rate of a function as n becomes large. Lower-order terms become negligible."

P Book Link (Google Books)

2. Introduction to Algorithms (CLRS) - Cormen, Leiserson, Rivest, Stein

- Chapter 3: Growth of Functions
 - Explains how **lower-order terms and constant coefficients** are ignored in Big-O notation.
 - Example from the book:
 - $\circ \ \ ext{If } f(n) = 6n^2 + 100n + 3000$, then we write $O(n^2)$ because n^2 dominates for large n.
 - Quote:

"Big-O notation describes an upper bound on the growth of a function, making constant factors and lower-degree terms irrelevant."

P Book Link (MIT Press)

3. Algorithm Design Manual - Steven Skiena

- Chapter: Complexity Analysis
 - Explains why asymptotic notation ignores small terms
 - Example:
 - If an algorithm has complexity $O(n^3 + 5n^2 + 20n + 100)$, the $O(n^3)$ term dominates.
 - Quote:

"Asymptotic analysis ignores details that are insignificant for large inputs. The highest-degree term dominates the function's growth."

Book Link (Springer)

4. Online Resources

- MIT OpenCourseWare Introduction to Algorithms
 - Video Lecture: Asymptotic Analysis (MIT 6.006)
 - **CS50** by Harvard University Growth of Algorithms
 - Course Link: CS50 Lectures

Final Summary

- Why do we ignore lower-order terms?
 - ullet Because for large n, they become **insignificant** compared to the highest-order term.
 - Big-O focuses on worst-case growth, and smaller terms don't affect the overall trend.

Would you like me to elaborate on any specific reference? 😊