Lab2 (cont): requirements

Goal of this lab is to practice the techniques to formalize the requirements of a software product.

Consider the RVC system (Lab 2)

Create in your git repository a markdown document with the following structure (requirements document) and fill it in

```
# Stakeholders

| Stakeholder name  | Description |
| ----------------- |:-----------:|


# Context Diagram and interfaces

## Context Diagram


## Interfaces
| Actor | Logical Interface | Physical Interface  |
| ------------ |:-------------:| -----:|


# Functional and non functional requirements

## Functional Requirements

| ID        | Description  |
| ------------ |:-------------:|
|  FR1     | tbc (To be completed)  |


## Non Functional Requirements

| ID        | Type (efficiency, reliability, .. see iso 9126)           |
Description  | Refers to FR |
| ------------ |:-------------:| :-----:| -----:|
|  NFR1     | tbc | tbc  | tbc |


# Use case diagram and use cases

## Use case diagram

## Use Cases

### Use case 1, name tbc

| Actors Involved        | tbc  |
| ------------ |:-------------:|
|  Precondition     | tbc |
|  Post condition     | tbc |
```

```
| | tbc |
|  Nominal Scenario     |  tbc |
|  Variants     | tbc  |


# Relevant scenarios

## Scenario 1

| Scenario ID: tbc         | Corresponds to UC x  |
| ------------- |:-------------|
| Description | tbc |
| Precondition |  tbc |
| Postcondition |  tbc  |
| Step#        |  Step description   |
|  1     | |
|  2     |  |
|  3     | |
| 4 | |




# Glossary

# System design

# Deployment diagram
```

For the diagrams you can use tools like Argo UML, Astah, Star UML, Plant UML.

Always consider the possible defects in a requirement document (omissions, inconsistencies, ambiguities ..).

Consider that the document must be sufficient to:

- allow another team (not you) design and code the application
- allow another team (not you) to test the application