

## Assignment 5

1. This problem addresses the difficulty inherent in linear prediction analysis for high-pitched speakers,

- (a) Suppose  $h[n]$  is the impulse response of an all-pole system where,

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k z^{-k}}$$

So that

$$h[n] = \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k h[n-k] + \delta[n]$$

Hint: Multiply both sides of the difference equation by  $h[n-i]$  and sum over  $n$ . Note that  $h[n]$  is causal.

- (b) Assume  $s[n]$  is a periodic waveform, given by

$$s[n] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} h[n-kP]$$

where  $P$  is the pitch period. Show that the autocorrelation of  $s[n]$ , windowed over multiple pitch periods, consists of periodically repeated replicas of  $r_s[\tau]$ , i.e.,

$$r_s[\tau] = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} r_h[\tau - kP]$$

but with decreasing amplitude due to the window.

- (c) Using your result in parts (a) and (b), explain the difference between your result in part(a) and the normal equations for the autocorrelation method using the windowed speech signal  $s[n]$ .
  - (d) Using your results from parts (b) and (c), explain why linear prediction analysis is more accurate for low-pitched speakers than high-pitched speakers.
2. (MATLAB) In this exercise, use the voiced speech signal *speech1\_10k* (at 10000 samples/s) in the workspace *ex5M1.mat*. This problem illustrates the autocorrelation method of linear prediction.

- (a) Window *speech1\_10k* with a 25-ms Hamming window. Compute the autocorrelation of the resulting windowed signal and plot.
  - (b) Assume that two resonances represent the signal and model the vocal tract with 4 poles. Set up the autocorrelation matrix  $R_n$ , using your result from part (a). The autocorrelation matrix is of dimension  $4 \times 4$ .
  - (c) Solve for the linear predictor coefficients by matrix inversion.
  - (d) Plot the log-magnitude of the resulting frequency response:

$$H(\omega) = \frac{A}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k e^{-j\omega k}}$$

where the gain is given by  $A^2 = E_n = r_n[0] - \sum_{k=1}^p \alpha_k r_n[k]$ . Compare your result with the log-magnitude of the Fourier transform of the windowed signal. What similarities and differences do you observe?

- (e) Using your estimates of the predictor coefficients from part (c), compute the prediction error sequence associated with *speech1\_10k* and plot. From the prediction error sequence, what conclusions might one draw about the model (i.e., all-pole/impulse-train-driven) and estimation accuracy?
3. (MATLAB) In this problem you will use your results from previous problem to perform speech synthesis of the speech waveform *speech1\_10k* in the workspace *ex5M1.mat*.
- (a) Using your estimates of the predictor coefficients from previous problem, compute an estimate of the vocal tract impulse response.
  - (b) Using the prediction error sequence you computed in previous problem, estimate an average pitch period of the voiced sequence *speech1\_10k*.
  - (c) Using your results from parts (a) and (b), synthesize an estimate of *speech1\_10k*. How does your waveform estimate differ from the original? Consider the minimum-phase nature of the impulse response estimate.
  - (d) Using the MATLAB number generator *randm.m*, synthesize the "whispered" counterpart to your voiced synthesis of part (c). Using the MATLAB *sound.m* function, listen to your two estimates and compare to the original.