

Finite-Element Modeling for Crustal Deformation

Brad Aagaard



June 14, 2010

Crustal Deformation Modeling

Elasticity problems where geometry does not change significantly

Quasi-static modeling associated with earthquakes

- Strain accumulation associated with interseismic deformation
 - What is the stressing rate on faults X, Y, and Z?
 - Where is strain accumulating in the crust?
- Coseismic stress changes and fault slip
 - What was the slip distribution in earthquake A?
 - How did earthquake A change the stresses on faults X, Y, and Z?
- Post-seismic relaxation of the crust
 - What rheology is consistent with observed post-seismic deformation?
 - Can aseismic creep or afterslip explain the deformation?

Crustal Deformation Modeling


Elasticity problems where geometry does not change significantly

Volcanic deformation associated with magma chambers and/or dikes

- Inflation
 - What is the geometry of the magma chamber?
 - What is the potential for an eruption?
- Eruption
 - Where is the deformation occurring?
 - What is the ongoing potential for an eruption?
- Dike intrusions
 - What the geometry of the intrusion?

Crustal Deformation Modeling

Overview of workflow for typical research problem



Governing Equations

Elasticity equation

$$\sigma_{ij,j} + f_i = \rho \ddot{u}_i \text{ in } V, \quad (1)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} n_j = T_i \text{ on } S_T, \quad (2)$$

$$u_i = u_i^0 \text{ on } S_u, \text{ and} \quad (3)$$

$$R_{ki}(u_i^+ - u_i^-) = d_k \text{ on } S_f. \quad (4)$$

Multiply by weighting function and integrate over the volume,

$$- \int_V (\sigma_{ij,j} + f_i - \rho \ddot{u}_i) \phi_i dV = 0 \quad (5)$$

After some algebra,

$$- \int_V \sigma_{ij} \phi_{i,j} dV + \int_{S_T} T_i \phi_i dS + \int_V f_i \phi_i dV - \int_V \rho \ddot{u}_i \phi_i dV = 0 \quad (6)$$

Governing Equations

Writing the trial and weighting functions in terms of basis (shape) functions,

$$u_i(x_i, t) = \sum_m a_i^m(t) N^m(x_i), \quad (7)$$

$$\phi_i(x_i, t) = \sum_n c_i^n(t) N^n(x_i). \quad (8)$$

After some algebra, the equation for vertex degree of freedom i of vertex n is

$$-\int_V \sigma_{ij} N_{,j}^n dV + \int_{S_T} T_i N^n dS + \int_V f_i N^n dV - \int_V \rho \sum_m \ddot{a}_i^m N^m N^n dV = 0 \quad (9)$$

Governing Equations

Using numerical quadrature we convert the integrals to sums over the cells and quadrature points

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{\text{vol cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} \sigma_{ij} N_{,j}^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| + \sum_{\text{surf cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} T_i N^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| \\ & + \sum_{\text{vol cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} f_i N^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| \\ & - \sum_{\text{vol cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} \rho \sum_m \ddot{a}_i^m N^m N^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| = \vec{0} \quad (10) \end{aligned}$$

Quasi-static Solution

Neglect inertial terms

Form system of algebraic equations

$$\underline{A}(t)\vec{u}(t) = \vec{b}(t) \quad (11)$$

where

$$A_{ij}^{nm}(t) = \sum_{\text{vol cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} \frac{1}{4} C_{ijkl}(t) (N_{,l}^m + N_{,k}^m) (N_{,j}^n + N_{,i}^n) w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| \quad (12)$$

$$b_i(t) = \sum_{\text{surf cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} T_i(t) N^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| + \sum_{\text{vol cells}} \sum_{\text{quad pts}} f_i(t) N^n w_q |J_{\text{cell}}| \quad (13)$$

and solve for $\vec{u}(t)$.


Problem Setup

- Which suite of tools should I use?
 - Is there an analytic or semi-analytic solution?
 - Can I solve a 2-D problem or do I need to solve a 3-D problem?
- Which cell type is appropriate?
 - Can I mesh the geometry of the domain with hexahedral cells?
 - How will the discretization size vary in space?
- What factors will control the resolution that I need?
 - What length scales are important in my problem?
 - What time scales are important?

Basis Functions

$$\vec{u}(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_n N^n(\vec{x}) \vec{u}^n(t)$$

Triangle (3 basis fns)




$$N_0 = \frac{1}{2}(-p - q)$$

$$N_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + p)$$

$$N_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + q)$$

Quadrilateral (4 basis fns)



$$N_3 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - p)(1 + q)$$



$$N_2 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + p)(1 + q)$$

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{4}(1 - p)(1 - q)$$



$$N_1 = \frac{1}{4}(1 + p)(1 - q)$$

Varying Cell Size

Stair-step



Smooth




Potential Pitfalls

- Over/under resolving the deformation
 - Poor mesh quality
 - Using resolution much finer than constraints
 - Failing to resolve stress concentrations
- Failing to check the simulation results against intuition
 - Do the results make sense?
 - How close are the results to an analytical solution?
- Choosing the wrong suite of tools or parameters
 - Nonlinear problems require nonlinear solvers
 - Propagating seismic waves require inertial terms

Poor Mesh Quality

Distorted cells

The most distorted cell controls the rate convergence (quasi-static problems) and the time step (dynamic problems).



Hints, Tips, and Tricks

- Start at the coarsest resolution possible
- Work through the entire problem at a coarse resolution
 - Eliminate obstacles using simple test problems that run quickly
 - Verify workflow is feasible and meets desired objective
- Increase resolution as needed
 - Only run large problems when the kinks are worked out
 - Verify solution is converging
- Double-check inputs and outputs at every stage
 - Did the software do what I think I told it to do?