Aalto University School of Science Degree Programme of Computer Science and Engineering

Antti Paananen

# In-house software development process: The usability perspective

DRAFT! — July 2, 2013 — DRAFT!

Supervisor: Professor Marko Nieminen Instructor: Jouni Kuusinen M.Sc. (Tech.)



Aalto University School of Science

School of Science ABSTRACT OF
Degree Programme of Computer Science and Engineering MASTER'S THESIS

Author:	Antti Paananen				
Title:					
In-house software development process: The usability perspective					
Date:	June 5, 2013	Pages:	16		
Professorship:	Usability and User Interfaces	Code:	T-121		
Supervisor:	Professor Marko Nieminen				
Instructor:	Jouni Kuusinen M.Sc. (Tech.)				
-					
Keywords:	Usability, ERP, Software Development Process, Process Measurement, Cognitive walkthrough, Remote Usability Evaluation, SUS, Contextual Inquiry, ISI				
Language:	English				



Aalto-yliopisto Perustieteiden korkeakoulu Tietotekniikan tutkinto-ohjelma

#### DIPLOMITYÖN TIIVISTELMÄ

Tekijä:	Antti Paananen				
Työn nimi:					
Yrityksen sisäinen ohjelmistokehitysprosessi: Käytettävyysnäkökulma					
Päiväys:	5. kesäkuuta 2013	Sivumäärä:	16		
Professuuri:	Käytettävyys ja käyttöliittymät	Koodi:	T-121		
Valvoja:	Professori Marko Nieminen				
Ohjaaja:	Filosofian maisteri Jouni Kuusinen				
-					
Asiasanat:	Käytettävyys, ERP, Ohjelmistokehitysprosessi, Prosessimit-				
	taus, Kognitiivinen läpikäynti, Käytettävyyden etäarviointi,				
	SUS, Kontekstuaalinen tutkimus, ISI				
Kieli:	Englanti				

# Acknowledgements

Espoo, June 5, 2013

Antti Paananen

# Abbreviations

ERP Enterprice Resource Planning

SUS System Usability Scale

ISI Interaction Sequence Illustration

UI User Interface

# Contents

Al	bbreviations and Acronyms	5
1	Introduction  1.1 Motivation and methods	<b>7</b> 7 8 9
2	Methods  2.1 Contextual Inquiry	10 10 10 10 11 11 11
3	Process experiment 3.1 Steps	12 12 12
4	Analysis 4.1 Results	13 13 13
5	Discussion and conclusions	14
$\mathbf{A}$	SUS form	16

## Introduction

In this chapter, the background and reasoning for the thesis is described together with the focus and limitations of the research. In the text, research problems and the structure of the thesis will be also defined.

#### 1.1 Motivation and methods

In the 1980s, when the usage of personal computers (PCs) became more common, software design practices were still falsely assuming that the users were knowledgeable and competent in computer science. As an outcome, big part of the users were practically incapable of using operating systems and applications. During these times, the concepts of Human Computer Interaction (HCI) and usability, became important. Since then, the design process of interactive software for common people emphasized usability. [3] TÄHÄN VIELÄ ISO-STANDARDISTA JUTTUA!!!!!!

The term Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) was invented in the early 1990s.[4] The purpose of the ERP software is to offer techniques and concepts for integrated and thorough management of business, as well as making it more efficient. The usage of ERP software has increased globally and nowadays even service organizations have invested a lot of resources in ERP implementation.[5, 1]

Despite the importance of the efficiency aspect, the usability of ERP systems is not a widely studied topic. However, weaknesses in usability may lead into a low productivity and make it harder for users to achieve their goals.[6]

The aim of this thesis is to examine if the usability of a service-oriented ERP system can be enhanced by integrating usability inquiries, inspections and measures into the software development process. In the research, one

well defined business process is examined and the state of its usability in the system is determined by using variety of applicable methods:

- Contextual Inquiry to define the business process.
- Cognitive walkthrough for usability inspection.
- Interaction Sequence Illustration (ISI) to measure the amount of interaction steps in the process.
- System Usability Scale (SUS) to give a global view of subjective assessments of usability.
- Remote Usability evaluation and Usability logging for remote usability evaluation.

The measurements are focused on time, error rate and user satisfaction.

### 1.2 Background and research questions

The subscriber of this thesis is a middle-sized company which is offering information services globally and practicing in-house software development. Because of the fast pace of growth, the company is willing to reform their current ERP system as well as the whole software development process. The aim of this thesis is to join usability perspective into this process and give answers to following research questions.

• How usability methods can help to identify critical disparities in the usage of a system?

Understanding the differences in the system usage between individuals can help to understand and deploy best practices throughout the organization and therefore improve efficiency.

• How the user efficiency is affected by the usability measurements?

It is important to find the most effective and usable user interface solutions and thus decrease the average time spent on tasks. Local differences can be tracked with remote usability measurements.

• What usability methods can be practically joined with the software development process of an ERP system?

Finding practical and efficient usability methods to be joined with the software development process can improve the quality of the end product.

### 1.3 Scope and structure of the thesis

This thesis covers research about usability of the in-house software development process and its scope does not include any other aspects of the process. The literature research consists of a few usability methods and even though the target of the research is ERP software, literature about them are not covered in the thesis. The results of the research may not be suitable for every organization.

The first actual chapter of the thesis is about the usability methods. Every usability method used in the research is discussed carefully. In the second chapter the process experiment is being introduced. It covers the experiment steps and the implementation details. In the third chapter, the data gathered in the experiment, and the implementation process is being analyzed. In the last chapter the research will be summed up and discussed.

### Methods

In order to be able to discover reliable research data, the research methods must be understood thoroughly. In this research, the data is gathered with a few types of usability methods. Inquiries are used to study the business process. The process itself is measured from many different aspects and also remote evaluation is utilized. All the methods is described in detail in the following chapter.

#### 2.1 Contextual Inquiry

#### 2.2 Process measurement

#### 2.2.1 System Usability Scale

In his paper Brooke[2] argued that usability is not any real existing quality, but a good usability artefact is appropriate to its purpose. In other words "the usability of any tool or system has to be viewed in terms of the context in which it is used, and its appropriateness to that context"[2]. In many cases, context related usability evaluation is not desirable. The reason for this is that a large scale context analysis is usually neither cost-efficient nor practical. System Usability Scale is one possible answer to these requirements. [2]

SUS is a simple way to get subjective views about the usability of a system. It is a ten-item *Likert scale*, meaning that every item consist of the scale of five ranging from "strongly disagree" to "strongly agree". [2]

- 2.2.2 Interaction Sequence Illustration
- 2.2.3 Time used and success rate
- 2.3 Automated Remote Usability Evaluation

# Process experiment

Introduction to the chapter.

### 3.1 Steps

- Creating the model for gathering data
- Modified contextual inquiry
- Process measurement methods
- Analysis 1.
- Prototype creation
- $\bullet$  Remote evaluation -; process measurement methods.
- Analysis 2.

## 3.2 Implementation

# Analysis

### 4.1 Results

-Comparison between country offices

## 4.2 Implementation analysis

-Should these methods be implemented as a part of the process or not.

# Discussion and conclusions

# Bibliography

- [1] BOTTA-GENOULAZ, V., AND MILLET, P.-A. An investigation into the use of erp systems in the service sector. *International Journal of Production Economics* 99, 1-2 (0 2006), 202–221.
- [2] Brooke, J. Sus -a quick and dirty usability scale. *Usability evaluation* in industry 189 (1996), 194.
- [3] COCKTON, G. Usability Evaluation. The Encyclopedia of Human-Computer Interaction, 2nd Ed. The Interaction Design Foundation, Aarhus, Denmark, 2013.
- [4] JACOBS, F. R. Enterprise resource planning (erp) a brief history. Journal of Operations Management 25, 2 (2007), 357–363.
- [5] Leon, A. Enterprise resource planning. Tata McGraw-Hill Education, 2007.
- [6] TOPI, H., LUCAS, W., AND BABAIAN, T. Identifying usability issues with an erp implementation. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems* (2005), Citeseer.

# Appendix A

# SUS form

### System Usability Scale

© Digital Equipment Corporation, 1986.

	Strongly disagree				Strongly agree
I think that I would like to     use this system frequently					
	1	2	3	4	5
I found the system unnecessarily complex					
	1	2	3	4	5
I thought the system was easy to use					
4. I think that I would need the	1	2	3	4	5
support of a technical person to					
be able to use this system	1	2	3	4	5
5. I found the various functions in this system were well integrated					
and dystem were well integrated	1	2	3	4	5
I thought there was too much inconsistency in this system					
modification in the dystem	1	2	3	4	5
7. I would imagine that most people would learn to use this system					
very quickly	1	2	3	4	5
8. I found the system very cumbersome to use					
cumbersome to use	1	2	3	4	5
9. I felt very confident using the					
system	1	2	3	4	5
10. I needed to learn a lot of					
things before I could get going with this system	1	2	3	4	5