models

December 7, 2017

This module will house the functions and code where we run models and try to get the highest R^squared/lowest RMSLE

```
In [1]: import pandas as pd
        import numpy as np
        import matplotlib
        from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
        import seaborn as sns
        from sklearn.model_selection import KFold
        from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
        from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error
        from sklearn.linear_model import Lasso
        from sklearn.linear model import Ridge
        from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor
        from sklearn.preprocessing import PolynomialFeatures
        from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor
        from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor
        import warnings
        warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')
        %matplotlib inline
        sns.reset_defaults()
```

0.0.1 Model Rationale and Project Trajectory

As elaborated in the introduction, we picked crime data because crime and murder prediction is an important problem to solve. An algorithm that can predict future murder can be a huge asset to state and local governments. Ultimately, we focused on trying to predict the most recent year, and thus chose 2016 to be our test set.

Next, we chose models. We decided to cross validate and tune hyper parameters on regression models that we used in this class: Lasso, Ridge, Random Forest, and Graident Boosting. However, we needed a baseline for model comparison.

Baseline

We chose a simple **auto-regressive model** to service as our baseline. For year t,

$$Murder_t = B_0 + B_1 Murder_{t-1}$$

Features

We decided to use all of the features which met the imputation threshold (*see Data Sources Page for details*). This included crime variables, census variables, GDP, one-hot encoded State, MSA

and year variables. We will let regularization and tree methods select the features that are most important. The only features that we drop are those that are transformations/related to murder: murder rate, number of violent crimes (since it is the sum of murder and all the other crime variables), city murder, violent crime rate, and city violent crime.

Use of Lags

We decided to include the previous year's number of murders as a feature. This is standard in time series prediction, but it makes sense for us to have to eliminate 2006, so our training set becomes 2007-2015.

Metrics

In order to compare models, we need a metric. We decided not to use R2 after realizing that it was inappropriate. Without any effort, we were getting extremely high R2 and this is because TSS (Total Sum of Squares Error) will be extremely high as it pulls a mean out of the entire sample which will vary widely by MSA. Instead we chose to compare MSE. As a result, the ratio of between RSS and TSS will very low and R2 will be very high. Instead, we chose to compare model performance using mean squared error on the test set.

Hyper-parameter tuning

Lasso/Ridge - We cross-validated on the regularization parameter. Note that we tried to perform scaling (standardization and normalization) but this resulted in inferior performance. This was due to the fact that distribution on train and test data was not the same which was leading to extremely poor performance on the transformed test set, so we chose to cross validate without standardizing/normalizing. However, we recognize that this made interpreting coefficients much more difficult as the features had different scales.

Random Forest - We cross-validated on the number of trees. Additionally, we set the bootstrap parameter false as we did not feel that bootstrapping was valid in the time series context. Additionally, because we didn't have a wide variety of features that were correlated with murder, we set max features equal to the number of features. This resulted in more correlated trees, but avoids trees constructed solely with poor features.

Gradient Boosting - Any deviations in learning rate from 0.1 resulted in horrendous performance. So we fixed learning rate and cross validated on the depth of each tree used during boosting.

Cross Validation Strategy

Because we were interested in using past data to predict future data, we couldn't use a simple train-test split. Instead, we implemented a rolling window cross validation strategy. This is a time-series variation of leave one out cross validation. In this iterative approach you increase the training set by one year each iteration and your validation window rolls back in time.

In our context, this would mean training on 2007, validating on 2008-2015 in the first iteration, training on 2007-2008, validating on 2009-2015 in the following iteration and taking the average of each validation error at the end.

However, since our focus is to predict the most recent year, we modified the rolling window. We restricted the validation set to only be a single year, and we set our validation window range to be 2010-2015. We do this to help simulate our goal which is to use preceding data to predict the most recent year.

So, our modified rolling window was: Train on 2007-2009 Validate on 2010 Train on 2008-2010 Validate on 2011 etc For model above, we picked the parameter that gave the lowest average validation MSE. We then fit that model on the entire training set and recorded the MSE on the test set predictions.

Do early years matter?

Finally, we also wanted to test whether earlier years (ie 2007, 2008) matter when it comes to predicting 2016. So, we ran a loop that increased the first year of training each iteration. This table illustrates the first two iterations.

Iteration	Training Set Range
1	2007 - 2015
2	2008 - 2015

Within each loop we found the optimal parameter using rolling window cross validation, fitted the model on the restricted training set year range using that best model and reported test set performance. This allowed us to compare models within each training set range and see how models performed using different training set ranges, which was one of our goals of this project.

```
In [2]: #Import Data
        final_df= pd.read_json('output/final_imputed1.json')
        final_df = final_df.sort_values(['join_key', 'year'])
In [3]: # Adding Lag murer_mans
        final_df['lag_y'] = final_df.groupby(['join_key'])['mur_mans'].shift(1)
        final_df = final_df.loc[final_df.year >= 2007, :]
In [4]: # Don't need these variables for modeling - strings and murder derivatives
       no_model_vars = ['violent_crime', 'rate_mur_mans', 'rate_violent_crime',
                         'city_violent_crime', 'city_mur_mans','mur_mans','state_key',
                         'join_key','MSA','largest_city','city_key']
In [5]: # Test Set will be 2016
        final_two = final_df['year'].isin([2016])
        # Need year for indexing but don't put into model
        x_vars = final_df.columns.difference(no_model_vars)
        model_vars = [x for x in x_vars if x != 'year']
       y_var = 'mur_mans'
        xtrain = final_df.loc[~final_two, x_vars]
        ytrain = final_df.loc[~final_two, y_var]
        xtest = final_df.loc[final_two, x_vars]
        ytest = final_df.loc[final_two, y_var]
```

Baseline Model - Simple Auto-regressive Model

```
In [7]: '''
       Function
        _____
        hyper_tuning
        This function will control which parameters we tune for each one
        of our regressor model. It takes in a string for model type and parameter
        and returns a model with that parameter set.
        Parameters
        model_type (str) - "LASSO", "RIDGE", "RF", "GB"
        param (float) - number for hyper parameter
        def hyper_tuning(model_type, param):
            if model_type == "LASSO":
                m = Lasso(alpha=param)
            elif model_type == "RIDGE":
                m = Ridge(alpha=param)
            elif model_type == "RF":
                m = RandomForestRegressor(n_estimators=param, bootstrap=False)
            elif model type == "GB":
                m = GradientBoostingRegressor(max_depth =param)
            else:
                print("Model type incorrectly specified")
            return(m)
        , , ,
        Function
        _____
        Rolling_later
        This function implements a variation on rolling window cross validation. It does cross
        Parameters:
        Model_type (str) - "LASSO", "RIDGE", "RF", "GB"
        param_list - list of floats to feed into model
        xtrain - dataframe or matrix of features
        ytrain - response variable vector
        min_year - first year of training set
        Returns parameter that gave lowest mean squared validation error
        def rolling_later(model_type, param_list, xtrain, ytrain, min_year):
            errors = []
```

```
m = hyper_tuning(model_type, p)
                # Capture average cross validation error for this parameter
                p_errors = []
                min year = xtrain['year'].min()
                # If train set has thrown out 2010 we want 2011 to be starting validation
                if min year \geq 2010:
                    start_val = min_year + 1
                else:
                    start_val = 2010
                for yr in range(start_val, 2015):
                    val_index = xtrain.year == yr
                    tr_index = xtrain.year < yr</pre>
                    xtr = xtrain.loc[tr_index, model_vars]
                    ytr = ytrain[tr_index]
                    xval = xtrain.loc[val_index, model_vars]
                    yval = ytrain[val_index]
                    m.fit(xtr, ytr)
                    # We are going to return error divided by number of years in Val window
                    # This will put more weight when validation is later and fewer years
                    p_errors.append(mean_squared_error(yval, m.predict(xval)))
                # For this parameter store the average error
                errors.append(np.mean(np.mean(p_errors)))
            # Next I need return the best parameter
            return(param_list[np.argmax(errors)])
In [8]: # Quick Function to get mse
        mse = lambda m: mean_squared_error(ytest, m.predict(xtest.loc[:, model_vars]))
In [9]: # This function is going to final optimal parameter for each
        # one of our regression model and store it. It will do
        # this 6 times each each time we drop all variables <= y
        # in below loop
        # we are going to try to see if we get performance only
        # using more recent data
        param_vectors = {"LASSO": [0.1, 0.5, 1, 2, 5],
                         'RIDGE': [x for x in range(1, 10)],
                         'RF': [100, 200, 250],
                         'GB': [1,2,3]}
        test_errors = {"LASSO":[] , 'RIDGE': [] , 'RF': [], 'GB': []}
        best_params = {"LASSO":[], "RIDGE":[], "RF":[], "GB":[]}
        for min_year in range(2007, 2015):
            print("Dropping %i" %(min_year - 1))
            # Keep min_year and above
```

for p in param_list:

```
# first iteration 2006-
            # secon iteration 2007-
            drop_y = final_df.year >= min_year
            xtrain_drop = final_df.loc[(~final_two) & (drop_y), x_vars]
            ytrain drop = final df.loc[(~final two) & (drop y), y var]
            # Now Cross Validate on this year range using Rolling Window
            # to final optimal paramter from dictionary above
            for model in param_vectors.keys():
                best_param = rolling_later(model,
                                           param_vectors[model],
                                           xtrain_drop,
                                           ytrain_drop,
                                           min_year)
                best_params[model].append(best_param)
                best_m = hyper_tuning(model, best_param)
                # Now fit on training set with certain years dropped and predict on the test
                best_m.fit(xtrain_drop.loc[:, model_vars], ytrain_drop)
                test_errors[model].append(mse(best_m))
Dropping 2006
Dropping 2007
Dropping 2008
Dropping 2009
Dropping 2010
Dropping 2011
Dropping 2012
Dropping 2013
```

0.0.2 This plot looks at different models performance on test set as a function of first year of training data



