

**Problem for Day 4.** In this problem, we consider the statistical inverse problem of 1D de-blurring, formulated as

$$Y = \mathcal{A}X + E, \quad (1)$$

where  $X, Y$  and  $E$  are all random variables in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  is a blurring matrix. Complete the Python code `exercise_1.py` in day 4 folder following these instructions.

1. the vector  $\mathbf{s}$  contains a discretization of the interval  $[0, 1]$  with step size  $\Delta s = 1/100$ . Use  $\mathbf{s}$  to create a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  that approximates the signal

$$x(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0.2 \leq s \leq 3.5, \\ 2, & 0.5 \leq s \leq 0.7, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. Use the Python function `A` to add blurr to  $\mathbf{x}$  and create blurred measurement  $\mathbf{y}$  and add noise to create noisy measurement `y_obs`, using blurring standard deviation  $\delta = 1$  (in the code this is defined by `delta`). Plot the original signal  $\mathbf{x}$  and the blurred measurements  $\mathbf{y}$  and noisy measurements `y_obs`.

Now we use the Bayes' theorem to construct the posterior as

$$\pi_{X|Y=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \propto \pi_{Y|X}(\mathbf{y})\pi_X(\mathbf{x})$$

and investigate different choices for the prior  $\pi_X(\mathbf{x})$ .

**Uncorrelated prior:** the first exercise is to choose the prior  $\pi_X(\mathbf{x})$  to be

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_n),$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional identity matrix. Continue completing the code following these instructions.

3. Write the density function of the posterior distribution up to the proportionality constant when  $E \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , i.e.,

$$\pi_{X|Y=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x}) \propto \dots$$

4. Complete the code in `exercise_2.py` using the steps below in order to enable sampling from the posterior distribution of this inverse problem:

(a) write a Python function `prior` that computes the log (un-normalized) prior density, i.e.,

$$\log \pi_X(\mathbf{x}) = -\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

- (b) write a Python function `likelihood` that computes the log (un-normalized) likelihood density, i.e.,

$$\log \pi_{Y|X=\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2$$

- (c) write a Python function `posterior` that computes the log (un-normalized) posterior density.
- (d) using the random-walk Metropolis-Hasting algorithm, draw 10000 samples. Choose the step size  $c$  that gives you about 23% acceptance rate.

5. plot the posterior mean.

6. plot the point-wise variance as a measure of uncertainty, i.e., limit your samples to a point, e.g.,  $s = i\Delta s$  for a particular  $i$ , now your samples are real-valued samples. You can compute the variance of these samples. Then repeat for all points in  $\mathbf{s}$ .

7. Where are the regions that has high(er) uncertainty.

**Increment (correlated) prior:** In this exercise we will set the prior on increments instead of pixel values. Let us first introduce the random variable for the increments:

$$\mathbf{z}_i = \mathbf{x}_{i+1} - \mathbf{x}_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

and let  $\mathbf{z}$  be the vector that contains  $\mathbf{z}_i$ . Define the Matrix  $T$  that transforms  $\mathbf{z}$  into  $\mathbf{x}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} = T\mathbf{z}$

8. Write how the matrix  $T$  look like.

Now let  $Z$  be the random variable associate with the vector  $\mathbf{z}$ , with the prior density function  $\pi_Z(\mathbf{z})$  (the exact density will be discussed below).

8. Reformulate the statistical de-blurring inverse problem using the change of variable  $X = TZ$  and  $\mathbf{x} = T\mathbf{z}$ . (you need to reformulate the prior to be  $\pi_Z$  and the likelihood to be  $\pi_{Y|Z}$ )

Now we will investigate different types of priors for  $Z$ . We will use Gaussian prior, Laplace prior and Cauchy priors. Laplace and Cauchy priors will promote sparsity in jumps, i.e., we, a priori, expect fewer jumps in the signal.

8. In the Python code `exercise_3.py` for day 4, write 3 functions that can compute the log density function for the prior, once for the Gaussian  $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\text{prior}}^2 I_n)$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{prior}} = 0.1$ ,

$$\pi_Z(\mathbf{z}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{z}\|_2^2}{2\sigma_{\text{prior}}^2}\right),$$

once for the Laplace prior  $\text{Laplace}(0, b)$ , zero mean and scaling  $b = 0.05$

$$\pi_Z(\mathbf{z}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{z}\|_1}{b}\right)$$

and Once for the Cauchy Cauchy(0,  $\gamma$ ), zero mean and scaling  $\gamma = 0.005$ .

$$\pi_Z(\mathbf{z}) \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\mathbf{z}_i^2}{\gamma^2}\right)}$$

***Don't forget to take the log of the prior!!!***

9. Repeat the sampling as with the uncorrelated case. For each of the choices of prior make sure that you set the step size in the Metropolis-Hastings method such that you achieve acceptance rate of about 23%. Plot the posterior mean and point-wise variance. Explain the differences with respect to the uncorrelated prior. Which of these priors are the most suitable for the problem and why?