Problem for Day 3. Consider the statistical inverse problem

$$Y = \mathcal{A}X + E,\tag{1}$$

where $X \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are real-valued multivariate random variables and $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 2}$ is a matrix given by

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further more, suppose that the prior X and noise E follow the distributions $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_2)$ and $E \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_3)$, where I_2 and I_3 are the 2 and 3 dimensional identity matrix, respectively.

1. Use Bayes' theorem to write the density function of the posterior distribution $\pi_{X|Y=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})$ up to a proportionality constant:

$$\pi_{X|Y=\mathbf{y}} \propto \cdots$$

only express it in terms of A, x, y, σ .

- 2. open the code exercise.py in day 3 folder and follow the following instructions
 - (a) write a function **prior** that computes the prior probability density of an input **x**.
 - (b) define a Numpy matrix A that holds A.
 - (c) write a functions likelihood and posterior that computes the likelihood probability density and posterior probability density for an input \mathbf{x} . Here use \mathbf{sigma} and \mathbf{y} -obs that is provided in the code.
 - (d) Complete the code for the Metropolis-Hastings random walk algorithm based on transition strategy

$$\mathbf{x}^{\star} = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}, c^2 I_2),$$

or equivalently

$$\mathbf{x}^{\star} = \mathbf{x} + c\mathbf{z}.$$

Here, **z** is a sample from $\mathcal{N}(0, I_2)$ and c is the step-size in the random walk Metropolis-Hastings algorithm.

- 3. Draw 50000 samples from the posterior distribution. Down-sample (skip every 10 samples) to create a near i.i.d. samples of the posterior. plot a 2D histogram of the posterior and mark the posterior mean on it.
- 4. Let the step size be $c \in \{0.001, 0.1, 10\}$. Plot the posterior 2D histogram for each step size and explain the differences. In your opinion, which value of c is better for this problem, and why?
- 5. Let noise variance be $\sigma^2 \in \{0.01, 0.1, 1\}$. Plot the posterior 2D histogram for each noise variance. What differences do you observe? Discuss uncertainty in the posterior mean estimation.