- (1) (1.0 pt.) Consider a dataset of 100 examples with exactly 40% noisy examples. What is the probability of having more than of half the noisy examples, in a sample of 50 drawn uniformly from the dataset.
 - Hint 1: you need to compute probability of having more than of 25 noisy examples in the drawn sample of size 50
 - Hint 2: You will be needed to sum up Binomial trials, where each example drawn for the sample can be either from the noisy examples or not
 - Hint 3: You can compute it by hand, but it could be faster computationally
 - 1 0.06
 - 2 0.15
 - 3. 0.10
- (2) (0.5 pt.) Based on PAC theory for the hypothesis class of axis parallel rectangles, the lower bound of the sample size $(T(\epsilon, \delta) = 4/\epsilon \log 4/\delta)$ has a stronger dependence on:
 - 1- error (ϵ)
 - 2- confidence level (1- δ)
 - 3- both the same effect
- (3) (0.5 pt.) Which option is <u>not</u> considered as an assumption in PAC theory:
 - 1- the data are sample from a uniform distribution
 - 2- the underlying distribution is fixed but unknown
 - 3- the examples should be independently drawn from an identical distribution
- (4) (0.5 pt.) The expected generalization error with respect to generalization error bound, usually has:
 - 1- higher value
 - 2- lower value
 - 3- the same value
- (5) (1.0 pt.) Based on the generalization bound relying on the size of the hypothesis class using boolean conjunctions, and the following information, what is the lower bound on the number of examples:

(Formula: $m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\log(|\mathcal{H}|) + \log(\frac{1}{\delta}))$)

Dataset: 3 binary features and one binary label

error bound: 10%

confidence level: 95\% ($\delta = 5\%$)

- 1-86
- 2- 157
- 3-63
- (6) (1.0 pt.) Based on the generalization bound for true error, using the empirical error : $R(h) \le \hat{R}(h) + \sqrt{\frac{\log \frac{2}{\delta}}{2m}}$, if we change δ , from 0.1 to 0.2, how many example will be needed to keep the same bound as before.

1

- 1- 0.5m
- $2\text{-}\ 1.6\mathrm{m}$

- (7) (0.5 pt.) Which option is <u>not</u> correct based on the Bayes error
 - 1- there might be a learner with a lower error than the Bayes error
 - 2- Bayes error can be reduced by reducing the overlap between class distributions in the input space
 - 3- Bayes error gives the expected noise level
- (8) (2.0 pt.) What is the vector of coefficients for linear regression model, for the given inputs (x) and outputs (y). [computational work]

$$x = [0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9]$$

$$y = [2, 5, 7, 6, 8, 14, 12]$$

- 1- [2.70 1.13]
- 2- [2.01 1.56]
- 3- [1.59 1.96]
- (9) (1.5 pt.) For the above information, which option is the result of $\frac{covariance(x,y)}{variance(x)}$. [computational work]

covariance:
$$cov_{x,y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{N}$$
 variance: $var_x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{N}$

- 1-1.59
- 2 2.01
- 3- 1.13
- (10) (1.5 pt.) What is the predicted outputs for input test vector [2,7], based on the built LR model in question
 - 8. [computational work]
 - 1- [6.13, 13.72]
 - 2- [4.97, 10.62]
 - 3- [5.01, 11.25]