The z-score values for the three common significance levels are:

Z-Critical Value for a Left Tailed Test	a = 0.01 -2.33	a = 0.05 -1.645	a = 0.10 -1.28
Z-Critical Value for a Right Tailed Test	2.33	1.645	1.28
Z-Critical Value for a Two Tailed Test	2.58	1.96	1.645

## t Table

LIADIC											
cum. prob	t <sub>.50</sub>	t <sub>.75</sub>	t <sub>.80</sub>	t <sub>.85</sub>	t <sub>.90</sub>	t <sub>.95</sub>	t <sub>.975</sub>	t <sub>.99</sub>	t <sub>.995</sub>	t <sub>.999</sub>	t.9995
one-tail	0.50	0.25	0.20	0.15	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001	0.0005
two-tails	1.00	0.50	0.40	0.30	0.20	0.10	0.05	0.02	0.01	0.002	0.001
df											
1	0.000	1.000	1.376	1.963	3.078	6.314	12.71	31.82	63.66	318.31	636.62
2	0.000	0.816	1.061	1.386	1.886	2.920	4.303	6.965	9.925	22.327	31.599
3	0.000	0.765	0.978	1.250	1.638	2.353	3.182	4.541	5.841	10.215	12.924
4	0.000	0.741	0.941	1.190	1.533	2.132	2.776	3.747	4.604	7.173	8.610
5	0.000	0.727	0.920	1.156	1.476	2.015	2.571	3.365	4.032	5.893	6.869
6	0.000	0.718	0.906	1.134	1.440	1.943	2.447	3.143	3.707	5.208	5.959
7	0.000	0.711	0.896	1.119	1.415	1.895	2.365	2.998	3.499	4.785	5.408
8	0.000	0.706	0.889	1.108	1.397	1.860	2.306	2.896	3.355	4.501	5.041
9	0.000	0.703	0.883	1.100	1.383	1.833	2.262	2.821	3.250	4.297	4.781
10	0.000	0.700	0.879	1.093	1.372	1.812	2.228	2.764	3.169	4.144	4.587
11	0.000	0.697	0.876	1.088	1.363	1.796	2.201	2.718	3.106	4.025	4.437
12 13	0.000	0.695	0.873	1.083	1.356	1.782 1.771	2.179	2.681	3.055	3.930	4.318 4.221
14	0.000	0.694 0.692	0.870 0.868	1.079 1.076	1.350 1.345	1.771	2.160 2.145	2.650 2.624	3.012 2.977	3.852 3.787	4.221
15	0.000	0.692	0.866	1.076	1.343	1.753	2.143	2.602	2.947	3.733	4.140
16	0.000	0.690	0.865	1.074	1.337	1.746	2.120	2.583	2.921	3.686	4.015
17	0.000	0.689	0.863	1.069	1.333	1.740	2.110	2.567	2.898	3.646	3.965
18	0.000	0.688	0.862	1.067	1.330	1.734	2.101	2.552	2.878	3.610	3.922
19	0.000	0.688	0.861	1.066	1.328	1.729	2.093	2.539	2.861	3.579	3.883
20	0.000	0.687	0.860	1.064	1.325	1.725	2.086	2.528	2.845	3.552	3.850
21	0.000	0.686	0.859	1.063	1.323	1.721	2.080	2.518	2.831	3.527	3.819
22	0.000	0.686	0.858	1.061	1.321	1.717	2.074	2.508	2.819	3.505	3.792
23	0.000	0.685	0.858	1.060	1.319	1.714	2.069	2.500	2.807	3.485	3.768
24	0.000	0.685	0.857	1.059	1.318	1.711	2.064	2.492	2.797	3.467	3.745
25	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.316	1.708	2.060	2.485	2.787	3.450	3.725
26	0.000	0.684	0.856	1.058	1.315	1.706	2.056	2.479	2.779	3.435	3.707
27	0.000	0.684	0.855	1.057	1.314	1.703	2.052	2.473	2.771	3.421	3.690
28	0.000	0.683	0.855	1.056	1.313	1.701	2.048	2.467	2.763	3.408	3.674
29	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.311	1.699	2.045	2.462	2.756	3.396	3.659
30	0.000	0.683	0.854	1.055	1.310	1.697	2.042	2.457	2.750	3.385	3.646
40	0.000	0.681	0.851	1.050	1.303	1.684	2.021	2.423	2.704	3.307	3.551
60	0.000	0.679	0.848	1.045	1.296	1.671	2.000	2.390	2.660	3.232	3.460
80	0.000	0.678	0.846	1.043	1.292	1.664	1.990	2.374	2.639	3.195	3.416
100	0.000	0.677	0.845	1.042	1.290	1.660	1.984	2.364	2.626	3.174	3.390
1000	0.000	0.675	0.842	1.037	1.282	1.646	1.962	2.330	2.581	3.098	3.300
Z	0.000	0.674	0.842	1.036	1.282	1.645	1.960	2.326	2.576	3.090	3.291
	0%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	95%	98%	99%	99.8%	99.9%
	Confidence Level										

- (1) (1.0 pt.) Which option about strategies of experimentation is correct, when we have F factors and L levels each:
  - 1- Considering independent factors, searching one factor at a time, takes  $\mathcal{O}(F)$  time
  - 2- searching one factor at a time takes  $\mathcal{O}(L \cdot F)$  time
  - 3- Factorial experiment takes  $\mathcal{O}(F^L)$
- (2) (2.0 pt.) Lets assume the level of a certain chemicals has been constant for several years with  $\mu=34$  and standard deviation  $\sigma=8$ . The companies who discharge liquids into the river, are claiming that they have lowered the average with improved filtration devices. The experts will test to see if this is true at the 0.05 and 0.1 level of significance. Assume their sample of size 50 (from a normal distribution) gives a mean of 32.5. Perform a hypothesis test and choose the right answer:
  - 1- the claim is true at the level of 0.1, but not at the level of 0.05
  - 2- the claim is not true at neither of the significance levels 0.05 and 0.1
  - 3- the claim is true at the level of 0.05 but not at the 0.1
- (3) (0.5 pt.) Which option about hypothesis testing is correct:
  - 1- if the z-score falls in the rejected region, we reject the null hypothesis
  - 2- if the z-score falls in the rejected region, we reject the alternative hypothesis
  - 3- if the z-score falls in the rejected region, to reject or accept a hypothesis we need to know the type of the tail(right or left or two sided tail)
- (4) (2.0 pt.) A candle factory claims that their candles last on average 10 hours. A researcher randomly selected 20 candles from the production line and tested these candles. The tested candles had a mean life span of 7 hours with a standard deviation of 4 hours. Considering the significant level, 0.05, do we have enough evidence to suggest that the claim of an average lifetime of 10 hours is not true? what is the computed test statistic value?
  - 1- the claim is wrong, -2.89
  - 2- the claim is true, -1.98
  - 3- the claim is wrong, -3.35
- (5) (1.5 pt.) Consider a classifier which detects the cheating insurance claims out of all the claims (the classifier detects the cheating claims as positive class). Knowing the fact that paying an expert to check the classifier outputs is less expensive than paying for a false claim, which measure should be prioritize for evaluating the classifier:
  - 1- precision
  - 2- recall
  - 3- both have the same importance in this problem
- (6) (1.0 pt.) In the above question (question 6), is the classifier more accurate if we have higher specificity?
  - 1- yes
  - 2- no
  - 3- it might be more accurate or not