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Testing Albala’s Authenticity:

A Critique of “Food ‘Columbusing’”

“Authentic Italian Cuisine”. These three words are seen plastered over the awning of a small-town pizza restaurant. Is it though? Is any of it authentic? In his Great Courses Daily article, “Food ‘Columbusing’ and the Debate Over Cultural Appropriation”, Ken Albala, Ph.D., a professor and food aficionado that runs a food blog, asks the reader what aspect makes a dish authentic: the ingredients? the cook? the environment? Going through these different factors, Albala deconstructs each one, and shows that these factors simply do not make sense when discussing if something is “authentic”. If these aspects don’t make a food authentic, what does? Albala’s simple, conclusive message rings true: in cooking, the concept of authenticity is nothing, and should be done away with.

#~~White people making profits off ethnic foods. Whether Rick Bayless or Kali Wilgus and Liz Connelly made Mexican food that adhered to Mexican origins is irrelevant, the person was seemingly the problem. But “Salvadorans [cook] Mexican [food], Cambodians [cook] Thai” and many non-French people cook French food~~. ~~People are ultimately going to cook whatever they want.~~ ~~All cuisines are hybrids, as people have been traveling and inventing for thousands of years, introducing new ingredients to different areas and their dishes, evolving them.~~ We understand authenticity, but people are hesitant to criticize hybrid cuisines from immigrant populations, so we’re selective in bringing it up, so why even deal with authenticity? Perhaps authenticity comes from a dish being “codified… with a certain stock repertoire of classic dishes that over time have been defined both by professionals and ordinary cooks who [make] these dishes”, but that’s unlikely because even those native to a dish’s birthplace don’t all make said dish the exact same way. More on that, the same cook can’t even make the exact same dish twice, as little factors will always differ from one time to the next. Albala says that a dish doesn’t need to be authentic, or have someone with the right genetics prepare it, but the prospective chef should treat “cuisines not [their] own… with reverence and respect, as [they] would any facet of culture not [their] own.” If someone wants to make a food professionally, they’re “skill, research and devotion to it should” be what makes them a proper choice; other aspects that make up authenticity are just unnecessary boundaries that divide people. #

Albala discusses a few controversies where white chefs cooked bona fide Mexican dishes, sometimes profiting quite heavily off these dishes. To Albala, this profit seems to have been ultimately irrelevant to those that viewed this as something controversial, as was how seemingly authentic the food was, but rather the person was the problem. Albala argues that if the cook’s ethnicity played into the matter, that if “only Mexicans should be cooking Mexican food, Thais Thai food, Japanese Japanese food”, etc., do those “of mixed ethnicity” have exclusive and restrictive access to creating dishes that combine two ethnically-differing dishes? He says that this isn’t really the case, that people are going to cook what they want to, but these concepts are still widely-believed and can’t just be dismissed. Albala points out that if you go back in time, one will find that “every cuisine on earth is the result of mixing, of people from one ethnicity cooking food from somewhere else and profiting from it.”

Looking past a few spelling errors and Albala putting the same paragraph in his article twice (for some reason), his bold message is presented quite well.

Albala does seem to have missed an important aspect that may be a strong foothold for authenticity though: the aspect of health. Claiming a dish is “the real, authentic thing” can be unstable, but what about the ingredients of that dish? In her 2018 QA Magazine article, “Food Authenticity:”, Lisa Lupo interviews several food safety experts about “food authenticity.” One of these experts, Karen Everstine, Senior Manager of Scientific Affairs at Decernis, brings up her “biggest concern with food fraud”: “if the substances used will be harmful to human health”. (Lupo) Everstine brings up an incident in China about a decade ago where companies were adding melamine to pet food and milk, which “result[ed] in illnesses and deaths in babies who consumed infant formula made from that milk.” (Lupo) This is an example of how concern shouldn’t be pointed at whether the dish is authentic, but more so the quality of the ingredients. Adding cream cheese to sushi is just Americanized sushi; putting seriously harmful additives in that cream cheese is a real concern.

With this abstract concept persevering so strongly in many culinary circles, a lone voice like Albala’s is unlikely to undo all that it is; however, Albala does a great job of tackling this subject level-headedly and effectively. While all this talk of “authentic” foods isn’t going anywhere anytime soon, Albala has certainly given critics of this topic a strong step forward. Seeing what others will do to take the next step should prove to be even more interesting than the last.
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