Common R Functions

2023-10-13

Vectorisation vs. apply

In this section, we compared the performance of for loops, vectorisation, and the apply family of functions. I wanted to take a look at how these different approaches affected performance when used for one of the exercises from the Statistical Methods computing lab. In this lab, we were asked to generate a random variable from a multivariate normal distribution using univariate random variables (rather than using an existing function for multivariate normal). Below, I have written different functions to do this using (1) 2 for loops, (2) 1 for loop, (3) vectorisation, and (4) apply to compare the performance.

All the functions below take the following parameters: + dimension: the dimension of the random variable \mathbf{x} to be generated, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{dimension}} + \mathtt{mu}$: the mean of \mathbf{x} , such that $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{\text{dimension}}$ as well + Sigma: the covariance matrix of $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}$ _samples: the number of samples to draw from the univariate normal distribution

I show the results of each function using the following objects:

```
plot_mu <- matrix(c(2,1), ncol = 1)
plot_Sigma <- matrix(c(1,0.5,0.5,1), ncol = 2)</pre>
```

2 for loops

In this case, I have written 2 for loops. The inner one loops over dimension to calculate each element of my vector \mathbf{y} , where $\mathbf{y} = U^{\top}(\mathbf{x} - \mu)$ is the change of variables used to express the multivariate normal in terms of a univariate normal random variable. The second loops over the number of samples I am trying to calculate to generate \mathbf{x} for each sample.

```
mvn_generator_2_for_loops <- function(dimension, mu, Sigma, n_samples) {</pre>
  if(!matrixcalc::is.positive.definite(Sigma)) {
    stop("Sigma is not positive definite!")
  }
  # Find the eigendecomposition of Sigma inverse
  ev Sigma inv <- eigen(solve(Sigma))
  U <- ev_Sigma_inv$vectors</pre>
  eigenvalues <- ev_Sigma_inv$values
  D <- diag(eigenvalues)</pre>
  if(!all.equal(U %*% D %*% solve(U), solve(Sigma))) {
    stop("Something has gone wrong in your eigendecomposition!")
  }
  x_samples <- matrix(0, nrow = dimension, ncol = n_samples)</pre>
  for (i in 1:n_samples) {
    y_i <- matrix(0, nrow = dimension, ncol = 1)</pre>
    for (j in 1:dimension) {
      y_i[j] <- rnorm(1, mean = 0, sd = sqrt(1/eigenvalues[j]))</pre>
```

```
}
    x_i <- U %*% y_i + mu
    x_samples[,i] <- x_i
}
return(x_samples)
}</pre>
```


We can check the speed of the above function:

```
tictoc::tic()
sample <- mvn_generator_2_for_loops(dimension = 2, mu = plot_mu, Sigma = plot_Sigma, n_samples = 10000)
tictoc::toc()</pre>
```

0.093 sec elapsed

We can plot the result to verify that our function is appropriately sampling the way it should be:

```
sample_t <- t(sample)
plot(sample_t[,2] ~ sample_t[,1])</pre>
```



1 for loop

Alternatively, we can use a single for loop to decrease computation time:

```
mvn_generator_1_for_loop <- function(dimension, mu, Sigma, n_samples) {</pre>
  if(!is.positive.definite(Sigma)) {
    stop("Sigma is not positive definite!")
  }
  # Find the eigendecomposition of Sigma inverse
  ev_Sigma_inv <- eigen(solve(Sigma))</pre>
  U <- ev_Sigma_inv$vectors</pre>
  eigenvalues <- ev_Sigma_inv$values</pre>
  D <- diag(eigenvalues)</pre>
  if(!all.equal(U %*% D %*% solve(U), solve(Sigma))) {
    stop("Something has gone wrong in your eigendecomposition!")
  }
  x_samples <- matrix(0, nrow = dimension, ncol = n_samples)</pre>
  for (i in 1:n_samples) {
    y_i <- rnorm(n = dimension, mean = rep(0, dimension), sd = sqrt(1/eigenvalues))
    x_i <- U %*% y_i + mu
    x_{samples[,i]} \leftarrow x_{i}
  return(x_samples)
```


Now comparing the speed using only a single for loop:

```
tictoc::tic()
sample <- mvn_generator_1_for_loop(dimension = 2, mu = plot_mu, Sigma = plot_Sigma, n_samples = 10000)
tictoc::toc()</pre>
```

0.028 sec elapsed

Removing one of the for loops makes the function over three times faster (although this varied a bit depending on whether I was running the code in my console or in the knitted RMarkdown). Checking that our function is still sampling properly:

```
sample_t <- t(sample)
plot(sample_t[,2] ~ sample_t[,1])</pre>
```



Vectorisation

We can eliminate the for-loops altogether by making use of vectorisation in R.

```
mvn_generator_vector <- function(dimension, mu, Sigma, n_samples) {</pre>
  if(!is.positive.definite(Sigma)) {
    stop("Sigma is not positive definite!")
  }
  # Find the eigendecomposition of Sigma inverse
  ev_Sigma_inv <- eigen(solve(Sigma))</pre>
  U <- ev_Sigma_inv$vectors</pre>
  eigenvalues <- ev_Sigma_inv$values</pre>
  D <- diag(eigenvalues)</pre>
  if(!all.equal(U %*% D %*% solve(U), solve(Sigma))) {
    stop("Something has gone wrong in your eigendecomposition!")
  }
  y_samples <- matrix(rnorm(n = dimension*n_samples,</pre>
                              mean = rep(0, dimension*n_samples),
                              sd = rep(sqrt(1/eigenvalues), n_samples)),
                       nrow = dimension,
                       ncol = n_samples)
  x_samples <- U %*% y_samples + matrix(rep(mu, n_samples), nrow = dimension, ncol = n_samples)</pre>
```

```
return(x_samples)
}
```


Speed with vectorisation:

```
tictoc::tic()
sample <- mvn_generator_vector(dimension = 2, mu = plot_mu, Sigma = plot_Sigma, n_samples = 10000)
tictoc::toc()</pre>
```

0.006 sec elapsed

The vectorised version of the function was approximately 4.5 times faster than the version with a single for loop, making this a huge improvement. We check the results again:

```
sample_t <- t(sample)
plot(sample_t[,2] ~ sample_t[,1])</pre>
```



apply family

R also has a family of functions known as the apply family that allows us to apply the same function over and over across a given object. It contains the following functions:

- apply(): Applies a function over an array or a matrix (note, apply() must be applied to data with greater than one dimension)
- lapply(): Applies a function over a list or vector to return a list
- sapply(): Works like lapply() but returns a simplified output, rather than a list
- mapply(): Works like sapply() in multivariate situations

We can make use of the – function to rewrite our multivariate normal function once more:

```
mvn_generator_apply <- function(dimension, mu, Sigma, n_samples) {</pre>
  if(!is.positive.definite(Sigma)) {
    stop("Sigma is not positive definite!")
  }
  # Find the eigendecomposition of Sigma inverse
  ev_Sigma_inv <- eigen(solve(Sigma))</pre>
  U <- ev_Sigma_inv$vectors</pre>
  eigenvalues <- ev_Sigma_inv$values</pre>
  D <- diag(eigenvalues)</pre>
  if(!all.equal(U %*% D %*% solve(U), solve(Sigma))) {
    stop("Something has gone wrong in your eigendecomposition!")
  }
  # Create a matrix where the first column is the means and the second is the standard deviations
  mean_sd <- matrix(c(rep(0, dimension), sqrt(1/eigenvalues)), nrow = dimension, ncol = 2)</pre>
  y samples <- t(apply(mean sd, 1, function(x) rnorm(n samples, mean = x[1], sd = x[2])))
  x_samples <- U %*% y_samples + matrix(rep(mu, n_samples), nrow = dimension, ncol = n_samples)
  return(x_samples)
```


Speed with apply():

```
tictoc::tic()
sample <- mvn_generator_apply(dimension = 2, mu = plot_mu, Sigma = plot_Sigma, n_samples = 10000)
tictoc::toc()</pre>
```

0.008 sec elapsed

Speed with apply() was essentially identical to the speed with the vectorised function. These speed tests are a bit different than what was shown in the course notes, which showed the for loop as faster than lapply. Results check:

```
sample_t <- t(sample)
plot(sample_t[,2] ~ sample_t[,1])</pre>
```



Parallel programming

It is possible to parallelise R code to distribute code across different cores / processors. The parallel function contains mclapply() and mcmapply() functions, which are the parallelised versions of the lapply and mapply functions respectively. Note that these functions will not work on Windows. You can check the number of cores available with which to run these functions in the following way:

parallel::detectCores()

[1] 20

Alternatively, the foreach and doParallel packages can also be used to evaluate R code in parallel using a set number of cores.