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**Report on the Implementation of the   
Gun-Free Schools Act in the States and Outlying Areas-**

**School Year 2001-2002**

**Introduction**

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA) requires that each state[[1]](#footnote-2) or outlying area[[2]](#footnote-3) receiving federal funds under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) have a law that requires all local educational agencies (LEAs) in the state or outlying area to expel from school for at least one year any student found bringing a firearm to school. (See Appendix A for a copy of the GFSA.) Their laws must also authorize the LEA chief administering officer to modify any such expulsion on a case-by-case basis. In addition, the GFSA states that it must be construed so as to be consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA).

The GFSA requires states and outlying areas to report information about the implementation of the GFSA annually to the secretary of education. In order to meet this requirement and to monitor compliance with the GFSA, the U.S. Department of Education (the Department) requires each state or outlying area to submit an annual report that provides:

* The number of students expelled (by type of firearm and school level).
* The number of expulsions that were modified on a case-by-case basis.
* The number of modified cases that were *not* for students with disabilities.
* The number of expelled students who were referred to an alternative school or program.

**Organization of the Report**

Following information on data interpretation and quality, this report is divided into three sections and summarizes the 2001-2002 data submitted by the states and outlying areas. The first section is a brief summary of the overall findings. The second section presents a summary of the 2001-2002 data in bulleted, graphic, and tabular form as well as a comparison between the 2001-2002 data and data submitted in previous years. The third section presents a pair of pages for each state and outlying area. Each of these pages contains the data submitted by the state and outlying area, as well as any caveats or notes accompanying the data. Finally, there are two appendices to the report: Appendix A contains a copy of the Gun-Free Schools Act and Appendix B contains a copy of the 2001-2002 GFSA states and outlying areas data collection instrument.

**Data Quality and Interpretation of Findings**

The information contained in this report should be interpreted with caution. As noted on the summary state-by-state tables and on the individual state and outlying area pages, some states and outlying areas attached caveats and notes to their data that should be considered when interpreting the data. This is of particular importance when examining national totals, as they are made up of data that are not necessarily comparable from state to state in all cases.

Finally, this report is not designed to provide information to the reader regarding the rate at which students carry firearms to school. The data summarized in this report relates to actions taken in regard to the number of students *found* bringing firearms to schools.

**Data Collection and Verification**

Westat, under contract with the Department, received reports from the Department of Education for each state and outlying area. States and outlying areas were asked to submit their reports by Dec. 2, 2002. In order to ensure that the data were reported accurately, the following procedures were followed:

* As each survey was received, Westat reviewed it for completeness and internal consistency and entered the data into a database.
* In a few cases, Westat contacted the state and outlying area to obtain a correction or clarification of the data submitted. For example, the data provider was contacted if the forms submitted were not internally consistent, if the rows or columns did not add to the printed totals, or if the 2001-2002 data represented a large change from the data reported for 2000-2001.
* Once Westat received all of the data, all states and outlying areas were contacted and asked to provide final data verification by fax.

In addition, the Department works with the states and outlying areas on an ongoing basis to ensure that the submitted data are as accurate as possible.

**Summary of Findings**

* Overall, all 56 states and outlying areas reported under the GFSA for the 2001-2002 school year. These states and outlying areas reported that they expelled a total of 2,554 students from school for bringing a firearm[[3]](#footnote-4) to school (see Table 1).
* Fifty-seven percent of the expulsions by school level were students in high school, 30 percent were in junior high, and 13 percent were in elementary school (see Table 2).
* Fifty percent of the expulsions by firearm were for bringing a handgun to school. Thirty-eight percent were for some other type of firearm or other destructive device, such as bombs or grenades, or starter pistols and 12 percent of the expulsions were for bringing a rifle or shotgun to school (see Table 3).
* Thirty-seven percent of expulsions were shortened to less than one year (see Table 5).
* Seventy-seven percent of shortened expulsions were for students who were not considered disabled (see Table 6).
* Thirty-eight percent of the expelled students in reporting states and outlying areas were referred to an alternative school or placement (see Table 7).

**Expulsions for Bringing a Firearm to School─Overview**

Overall, 56 states and outlying areas provided data on the number of students expelled for bringing a firearm to school, for a total of 2,554 expulsions. Alabama, Arizona, California, Georgia, Texas, and Virginia were the only states and outlying areas with greater than 100 expulsions each. When viewed as the number of expulsions per 1,000 enrolled students, Alaska had the highest number of expulsions per 1,000 students. Refer to Table 1 for more detailed information on the data provided by the individual states and outlying areas.

**School Level**



All states and outlying areas provided data on their expulsions by school level.[[4]](#footnote-5)

Of the 2,554 expulsions reported by school level, more than half, 57 percent (1,453), were students in senior high schools, 30 percent (768) were students in junior high, and 13 percent (333) were elementary school students (see Figure 1 and Table 2).

**Type of Firearm**



Of the 2,523[[5]](#footnote-6) reported expulsions by type of firearm, 50 percent (1,257) involved handguns, 12 percent (310) involved rifles or shotguns, and the remaining 39 percent (953) involved other types of firearms (such as bombs, grenades, and starter pistols) (see Figure 2 and Table 3).

**Overall Year-to-Year Changes─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

Overall, the reported number of expulsions increased 1 percent from 2,537 in 2000-2001 to 2,554 in 2001-2002. Of the 56 states and outlying areas reporting expulsions, 24 states and outlying areas showed a decrease in the number of expulsions from 2000-2001 to 2001-2002. Among these, the greatest percentage decreases were reported in New Hampshire, Ohio, and West Virginia. Conversely, 23 states showed an increase in the number of expulsions from 2000-2001 to 2001-2002 with the largest percentage increases in Alaska, Arkansas, Delaware, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Montana, and Oklahoma.

A brief discussion of how reported information for 2001-2002 fits in the broader context of the data reported for the previous five years is included in each of the following sections. See Table 9 for the total number of expulsions reported by each state and outlying area over the last six years.

**Shortened Expulsions and Students with Disabilities**

The GFSA allows the LEA chief administering officer to modify any expulsion for a firearm violation on a case-by-case basis (for example, by shortening the expulsion to less than one year). One purpose of this provision is to allow the chief administering officer in a school district to take unique circumstances into account as well as to ensure that the IDEA and GFSA requirements are implemented consistently. In order to capture these modifications, states were asked to report the number of students who had their period of expulsion shortened, as well as the number of these cases that were not for students with disabilities.

***Shortened Expulsions***

Of the 2,554 reported expulsions in the states and outlying areas, 936 (or 37 percent) were shortened to less than one year in 2001-2002 (see Figure 3 and Table 5).

The percentage of expulsions that were shortened has fluctuated over the last five years from a high of 44 percent in 1997-1998 down to a low of 27 percent in 1998-1999 and 1999-2000 (see Figure 4).

****

Disability Status of Students with Shortened Expulsions

Of the 936 students whose expulsions were shortened, 722 (77 percent) were not considered disabled under Sec. 602(a)(1) of IDEA (see Figure 5 and Table 6).

The reported percentage of shortened expulsions that were for students with disabilities for 2001-2002 (23 percent) decreased slightly from previous years (see Figure 6).



**Referrals**

The GFSA has in place provisions that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program. Fifty-four[[6]](#footnote-7) states and outlying areas reported information for this data item, and among these states and outlying areas 964 students (39 percent) were referred for an alternative placement (see Figure 7 and Table 7).

The percentage of students that were expelled for having brought a firearm to school and referred to an alternative school or program remained fairly steady from 1997-1998 through 2000-2001 but dropped for 2001-2002 (see Figure 8).





**GFSA Report Submissions**

Starting with the 1999-2000 school year, states and outlying areas were asked to report information regarding the level of LEA compliance. Additionally, they were asked to indicate the percentage of LEAs that reported an expulsion.

Most states and outlying areas indicated virtually all of their LEAs had submitted GFSA reports. Any issues surrounding noncompliance with the GFSA will be addressed by the Department, accordingly.

**Table 1**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, 2001-2002 and GFSA violations per 1,000 students of public elementary and secondary enrollment, Fall 2001

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of s4tudents expelled in 2001-2002** | **Public elementary/secondary enrollment\*** | **Expelled students per 1,000 of enrollment** |
| Alabama | 138 | 726,367 | 0.190 |
| Alaska | 55 | 134,023 | 0.410 |
| Arizona | 124 | 903,518 | 0.137 |
| Arkansas | 80 | 448,246 | 0.178 |
| California | 104 | 6,247,889 | 0.017 |
| Colorado | 31 | 742,065 | 0.042 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 570,145 | 0.014 |
| Delaware | 3 | 115,486 | 0.026 |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 68,449 | 0.044 |
| Florida | 51 | 2,500,161 | 0.020 |
| Georgia | 119 | 1,470,634 | 0.081 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 184,546 | 0.038 |
| Idaho | 21 | 246,000 | 0.085 |
| Illinois | 53 | 2,068,182 | 0.026 |
| Indiana | 41 | 994,545 | 0.041 |
| Iowa | 9 | 491,169 | 0.018 |
| Kansas | 32 | 468,140 | 0.068 |
| Kentucky | 46 | 630,461 | 0.073 |
| Louisiana | 75 | 731,474 | 0.103 |
| Maine | 2 | 211,461 | 0.009 |
| Maryland | 21 | 860,890 | 0.024 |
| Massachusetts | 89 | 979,593 | 0.091 |
| Michigan | 46 | 1,733,900 | 0.027 |
| Minnesota | 23 | 845,700 | 0.027 |
| Mississippi | 67 | 491,686 | 0.136 |
| Missouri | 59 | 892,582 | 0.066 |
| Montana | 31 | 151,970 | 0.204 |
| Nebraska | 6 | 285,022 | 0.021 |
| Nevada | 56 | 356,038 | 0.157 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 211,429 | 0.000 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 1,380,502 | 0.012 |
| New Mexico | 20 | 316,143 | 0.063 |
| New York | 88 | 2,920,000 | 0.030 |
| North Carolina | 92 | 1,303,928 | 0.071 |
| North Dakota | 4 | 106,047 | 0.038 |
| Ohio | 53 | 1,808,000 | 0.541 |
| Oklahoma | 60 | 620,404 | 0.097 |
| Oregon | 55 | 552,144 | 0.100 |
| Pennsylvania | 36 | 1,810,390 | 0.020 |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 612,431 | 0.007 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 157,599 | 0.044 |
| South Carolina | 34 | 648,000 | 0.052 |
| South Dakota | 5 | 126,560 | 0.040 |
| Tennessee | 80 | 938,162 | 0.085 |
| Texas | 177 | 4,128,429 | 0.043 |
| Utah | 83 | 477,801 | 0.174 |
| Vermont | 3 | 99,599 | 0.030 |
| Virginia | 197 | 1,162,780 | 0.169 |
| Washington | 92 | 1,009,626 | 0.091 |
| West Virginia | 6 | 281,400 | 0.021 |
| Wisconsin | 30 | 878,809 | 0.034 |
| Wyoming | 7 | 87,768 | 0.080 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 15,897 | 0.000 |
| Guam | 1 | 32,002 | 0.031 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 10,284 | 0.000 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 18,148 | 0.220 |
| Total | 2,554 | 48,264,624 | 0.053 |

**Data Notes:**

\*The 2001 public enrollment numbers shown in this table are estimates provided by state education agencies to the Common Core of Data Surveys done by the National Center for Education Statistics. The final fall 2001 figures may differ slightly.

**Table 2**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by school level, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School Level** | | |  |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Elementary** | **Junior High** | **Senior High** | **Total** |
| Alabama | 39 | 37 | 62 | 138 |
| Alaska | 10 | 15 | 30 | 55 |
| Arizona | 18 | 40 | 66 | 124 |
| Arkansas | 15 | 26 | 39 | 80 |
| California | 6 | 23 | 75 | 104 |
| Colorado | 2 | 4 | 25 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 0 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Delaware | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Florida | 5 | 9 | 37 | 51 |
| Georgia | 9 | 44 | 66 | 119 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Idaho | 2 | 8 | 11 | 21 |
| Illinois | 4 | 20 | 29 | 53 |
| Indiana | 5 | 1 | 35 | 41 |
| Iowa | 0 | 2 | 7 | 9 |
| Kansas | 3 | 2 | 27 | 32 |
| Kentucky | 4 | 20 | 22 | 46 |
| Louisiana | 23 | 28 | 24 | 75 |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Maryland | 2 | 2 | 17 | 21 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 56 | 30 | 89 |
| Michigan | 1 | 7 | 38 | 46 |
| Minnesota | 1 | 6 | 16 | 23 |
| Mississippi | 13 | 17 | 37 | 67 |
| Missouri | 6 | 28 | 25 | 59 |
| Montana | 4 | 4 | 23 | 31 |
| Nebraska | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Nevada | 0 | 32 | 24 | 56 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 0 | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| New Mexico | 5 | 6 | 9 | 20 |
| New York | 14 | 27 | 47 | 88 |
| North Carolina | 3 | 20 | 69 | 92 |
| North Dakota | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Ohio | 10 | 8 | 35 | 53 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 4 | 49 | 60 |
| Oregon | 7 | 14 | 34 | 55 |
| Pennsylvania | 7 | 12 | 17 | 36 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 1 | 2 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 1 | 5 | 28 | 34 |
| South Dakota | 1 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 7 | 18 | 55 | 80 |
| Texas | 11 | 43 | 123 | 177 |
| Utah | 19 | 35 | 29 | 83 |
| Vermont | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Virginia | 37 | 72 | 88 | 197 |
| Washington | 21 | 44 | 27 | 92 |
| West Virginia | 1 | 0 | 5 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 4 | 25 | 30 |
| Wyoming | 1 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 333 | 768 | 1,453 | 2,554 |

**Table 3**

Number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by type of firearm, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Type of Firearm** | | |  |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Handgun** | **Rifle** | **Other** | **Total** |
| Alabama | 38 | 15 | 85 | 138 |
| Alaska | 13 | 7 | 35 | 55 |
| Arizona | 70 | 8 | 46 | 124 |
| Arkansas | 36 | 1 | 43 | 80 |
| California | 99 | 4 | 1 | 104 |
| Colorado | 19 | 7 | 5 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Delaware | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| District of Columbia | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Florida | 40 | 5 | 6 | 51 |
| Georgia | 48 | 7 | 64 | 119 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |
| Idaho | 10 | 7 | 4 | 21 |
| Illinois | 49 | 1 | 3 | 53 |
| Indiana | 31 | 3 | 7 | 41 |
| Iowa | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 |
| Kansas | 16 | 9 | 7 | 32 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 6 | 25 | 46 |
| Louisiana | 52 | 5 | 18 | 75 |
| Maine | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Maryland | 13 | 5 | 3 | 21 |
| Massachusetts | 23 | 0 | 66 | 89 |
| Michigan | 30 | 9 | 7 | 46 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 8 | 0 | 23 |
| Mississippi | 38 | 13 | 16 | 67 |
| Missouri | 26 | 6 | 27 | 59 |
| Montana | 8 | 7 | 16 | 31 |
| Nebraska | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 |
| Nevada | 24 | 7 | 25 | 56 |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 12 | 1 | 3 | 16 |
| New Mexico | 10 | 2 | 8 | 20 |
| New York | 46 | 7 | 35 | 88 |
| North Carolina | 47 | 14 | 31 | 92 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Ohio | 53 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| Oklahoma | 19 | 35 | 6 | 60 |
| Oregon | 20 | 5 | 30 | 55 |
| Pennsylvania | 26 | 9 | 1 | 36 |
| Puerto Rico | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 28 | 5 | 1 | 34 |
| South Dakota | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 48 | 5 | 27 | 80 |
| Texas | 105 | 46 | 26 | 177 |
| Utah | 20 | 2 | 61 | 83 |
| Vermont | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| Virginia | 49 | 11 | 137 | 197 |
| Washington | 27 | 10 | 55 | 92 |
| West Virginia | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Total | 1,260 | 310 | 953 | 2,524 |

**Data Notes:**

Massachusetts included rifle or shotgun expulsions in their other counts. Puerto Rico is missing an expulsion for one of the categories at the junior-high level. Wisconsin did not provide information on the type of firearm for 2001-2002. As a result, Wisconsin is excluded from this table and the overall national total differs from that reported in Table 1.

**Table 4**

Total number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, by state, 2000-2001 and  
2001-2002

|  | **Year** | |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** | **# Change** | **% Change** |
| Alabama | 200 | 138 | -62 | -31% |
| Alaska | 10 | 55 | 45 | 450% |
| Arizona | 131 | 124 | -7 | -5% |
| Arkansas | 32 | 80 | 48 | 150% |
| California | 123 | 104 | -20 | -16% |
| Colorado | 24 | 31 | 7 | 29% |
| Connecticut | 0 | 8 | 8 | -- |
| Delaware | 1 | 3 | 2 | 200% |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 3 | 3 | -- |
| Florida | 95 | 51 | -44 | -46% |
| Georgia | 111 | 119 | 8 | 7% |
| Hawaii | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0% |
| Idaho | 17 | 21 | 4 | 24% |
| Illinois | 32 | 53 | 21 | 66% |
| Indiana | 21 | 41 | 20 | 95% |
| Iowa | 11 | 9 | -2 | -18% |
| Kansas | 36 | 32 | -4 | -11% |
| Kentucky | 7 | 46 | 39 | 557% |
| Louisiana | 113 | 75 | -38 | -34% |
| Maine | 1 | 2 | 1 | 100% |
| Maryland | 26 | 21 | -5 | -19% |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 89 | 71 | 394% |
| Michigan | 90 | 46 | -44 | -49% |
| Minnesota | 12 | 23 | 11 | 92% |
| Mississippi | 64 | 67 | 3 | 5% |
| Missouri | 49 | 59 | 10 | 20% |
| Montana | 12 | 31 | 19 | 158% |
| Nebraska | 11 | 6 | -5 | -45% |
| Nevada | 58 | 56 | -2 | -3% |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 0 | -5 | -100% |
| New Jersey | 13 | 16 | 3 | 23% |
| New Mexico | 32 | 20 | -12 | -38% |
| New York | 89 | 88 | 25 | 28% |
| North Carolina | 77 | 92 | 15 | 19% |
| North Dakota | 3 | 4 | 1 | 33% |
| Ohio | 135 | 53 | -82 | -61% |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 60 | 47 | 362% |
| Oregon | 40 | 55 | 15 | 38% |
| Pennsylvania | 40 | 36 | -4 | -10% |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 4 | 4 | -- |
| Rhode Island | 9 | 7 | -2 | -22% |
| South Carolina | 43 | 34 | -9 | -21% |
| South Dakota | 7 | 5 | -2 | -29% |
| Tennessee | 88 | 80 | -8 | -9% |
| Texas | 204 | 177 | -27 | -13% |
| Utah | 53 | 83 | 30 | 57% |
| Vermont | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| Virginia | 204 | 197 | -7 | -3% |
| Washington | 106 | 92 | -14 | -13% |
| West Virginia | 12 | 6 | -6 | -50% |
| Wisconsin | 46 | 30 | -16 | -35% |
| Wyoming | 6 | 7 | 1 | 17% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 2,537 | 2,554 | 17 | 1% |

**Data Notes:**

--The percentage change cannot be calculated.

Eight states (Connecticut, Maryland, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Washington, and Wisconsin) revised their 2000-2001 data from previously published numbers.

**Table 5**

Number and percent of students found to have brought a firearm to school for which the 1-year expulsion was shortened on a case-by-case basis, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of Expulsions** | **Number shortened** | **Percent shortened** |
| Alabama | 138 | 16 | 12% |
| Alaska | 55 | 6 | 11% |
| Arizona | 124 | 63 | 51% |
| Arkansas | 80 | 1 | 1% |
| California | 104 | 20 | 19% |
| Colorado | 31 | 10 | 32% |
| Connecticut | 8 | 0 | 0% |
| Delaware | 3 | 1 | 33% |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 51 | 9 | 18% |
| Georgia | 119 | 36 | 30% |
| Hawaii | 7 | 7 | 100% |
| Idaho | 21 | 10 | 48% |
| Illinois | 53 | 13 | 25% |
| Indiana | 41 | 12 | 29% |
| Iowa | 9 | 4 | 44% |
| Kansas | 32 | 12 | 38% |
| Kentucky | 46 | 4 | 9% |
| Louisiana | 75 | 24 | 32% |
| Maine | 2 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 21 | 6 | 29% |
| Massachusetts | 89 | 29 | 33% |
| Michigan | 46 | 12 | 26% |
| Minnesota | 23 | 20 | 87% |
| Mississippi | 67 | 37 | 55% |
| Missouri | 59 | 9 | 15% |
| Montana | 31 | 1 | 3% |
| Nebraska | 6 | 3 | 50% |
| Nevada | 56 | 10 | 18% |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| New Jersey | 16 | 1 | 6% |
| New Mexico | 20 | 2 | 10% |
| New York | 88 | 53 | 60% |
| North Carolina | 92 | 63 | 68% |
| North Dakota | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| Ohio | 53 | 42 | 79% |
| Oklahoma | 60 | 15 | 25% |
| Oregon | 55 | 25 | 45% |
| Pennsylvania | 36 | 14 | 39% |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 7 | 100% |
| South Carolina | 34 | 3 | 9% |
| South Dakota | 5 | 5 | 100% |
| Tennessee | 80 | 33 | 41% |
| Texas | 177 | 76 | 43% |
| Utah | 83 | 4 | 5% |
| Vermont | 3 | 1 | 33% |
| Virginia | 197 | 154 | 78% |
| Washington | 92 | 41 | 45% |
| West Virginia | 6 | 2 | 33% |
| Wisconsin | 30 | 9 | 30% |
| Wyoming | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Total | 2,554 | 936 | 37% |

**Table 6**

Number and percent of shortened expulsions that were for nondisabled students found to have brought a firearm to school, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number shortened** | **Number non-disabled shortened** | **Percent non-disabled shortened** |
| Alabama | 16 | 12 | 75% |
| Alaska | 6 | 3 | 50% |
| Arizona | 63 | 38 | 60% |
| Arkansas | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| California | 20 | 19 | 95% |
| Colorado | 10 | 9 | 90% |
| Connecticut | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Delaware | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Florida | 9 | 7 | 78% |
| Georgia | 36 | 36 | 100% |
| Hawaii | 7 | 6 | 86% |
| Idaho | 10 | 9 | 90% |
| Illinois | 13 | 6 | 46% |
| Indiana | 12 | 8 | 67% |
| Iowa | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Kansas | 12 | 9 | 75% |
| Kentucky | 4 | 1 | 25% |
| Louisiana | 24 | 18 | 75% |
| Maine | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Maryland | 6 | 4 | 67% |
| Massachusetts | 29 | 27 | 93% |
| Michigan | 12 | 10 | 83% |
| Minnesota | 20 | 18 | 90% |
| Mississippi | 37 | 30 | 81% |
| Missouri | 9 | 5 | 56% |
| Montana | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| Nebraska | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Nevada | 10 | 7 | 70% |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| New Jersey | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| New Mexico | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| New York | 53 | 35 | 67% |
| North Carolina | 63 | 49 | 78% |
| North Dakota | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Ohio | 42 | 37 | 88% |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 15 | 100% |
| Oregon | 25 | 14 | 56% |
| Pennsylvania | 14 | 12 | 86% |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 6 | 86% |
| South Carolina | 3 | 2 | 67% |
| South Dakota | 5 | 5 | 100% |
| Tennessee | 33 | 28 | 85% |
| Texas | 76 | 63 | 83% |
| Utah | 4 | 4 | 100% |
| Vermont | 1 | 1 | 100% |
| Virginia | 154 | 118 | 77% |
| Washington | 41 | 24 | 59% |
| West Virginia | 2 | 2 | 100% |
| Wisconsin | 9 | 5 | 56% |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Total | 936 | 722 | 77% |

**Table 7**

Number and percent of students found to have brought a firearm to school that were referred to an alternative placement, by state, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **Number of Expulsions** | **Number Referred** | **Percent Referred** |
| Alabama | 138 | 0 | 0% |
| Alaska | 55 | 0 | 0% |
| Arizona | 124 | 41 | 33% |
| Arkansas | 80 | 3 | 4% |
| California | 104 | 95 | 92% |
| Colorado | 31 | 21 | 68% |
| Connecticut | 8 | 0 | 0% |
| Delaware | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| District of Columbia | 3 | 3 | 100% |
| Florida | 51 | 34 | 67% |
| Georgia | 119 | 55 | 46% |
| Hawaii | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| Idaho | 21 | 3 | 14% |
| Illinois | 53 | 38 | 72% |
| Indiana | 41 | 4 | 10% |
| Iowa | 9 | 6 | 67% |
| Kansas | 32 | 16 | 50% |
| Kentucky | 46 | 21 | 46% |
| Louisiana | 75 | 68 | 91% |
| Maine | 2 | 1 | 50% |
| Maryland | 21 | 4 | 19% |
| Massachusetts | 89 | 60 | 67% |
| Michigan | 46 | 20 | 43% |
| Minnesota | 23 | 1 | 4% |
| Mississippi | 67 | 7 | 10% |
| Missouri | 59 | 21 | 36% |
| Nebraska | 6 | 3 | 50% |
| Nevada | 56 | 51 | 91% |
| New Hampshire | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| New Jersey | 16 | 13 | 81% |
| New Mexico | 20 | 2 | 10% |
| New York | 88 | 49 | 56% |
| North Carolina | 92 | 9 | 10% |
| North Dakota | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| Oklahoma | 60 | 2 | 3% |
| Oregon | 55 | 41 | 75% |
| Pennsylvania | 36 | 10 | 28% |
| Puerto Rico | 4 | 3 | 75% |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 1 | 14% |
| South Carolina | 34 | 5 | 15% |
| South Dakota | 5 | 0 | 0% |
| Tennessee | 80 | 40 | 50% |
| Texas | 177 | 136 | 77% |
| Utah | 83 | 0 | 0% |
| Vermont | 3 | 0 | 0% |
| Virginia | 197 | 29 | 15% |
| Washington | 92 | 22 | 24% |
| West Virginia | 6 | 6 | 100% |
| Wisconsin | 30 | 17 | 57% |
| Wyoming | 7 | 0 | 0% |
| American Samoa | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Guam | 1 | 0 | 0% |
| Northern Marianas | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 4 | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 2,470 | 964 | 39% |

**Data Notes:**

The GFSA has provisions in place that allow local officials to refer expelled students to an alternative school or program.

Montana and Ohio did not provide information on GFSA violations referred to an alternative placement for  
2001-2002, and therefore are not included in this table.

**Table 8**

Percent of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the state and percentage of LEAs reporting offenses, by state, 2001-2002

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **State and outlying Area** | **Percent of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report** | **Percent of LEAs that reported an offense** |
| Alabama | 100% | 37% |
| Alaska | 100% | 20% |
| Arizona | 97% | 12% |
| Arkansas | 100% | 16% |
| California | 100% | 4% |
| Colorado | 100% | 9% |
| Connecticut | 100% | 5% |
| Delaware | 100% | \* |
| District of Columbia | 100% | 6% |
| Florida | 100% | 29% |
| Georgia | 100% | 23% |
| Hawaii | 100% | 100% |
| Idaho | 100% | 10% |
| Illinois | 98% | 2% |
| Indiana | 100% | 13% |
| Iowa | 100% | 2% |
| Kansas | 100% | 7% |
| Kentucky | 100% | 15% |
| Louisiana | 100% | 75% |
| Maine | 100% | \* |
| Maryland | 100% | 32% |
| Massachusetts | 100% | 7% |
| Michigan | 95% | 3% |
| Minnesota | 100% | 5% |
| Mississippi | 100% | 32% |
| Missouri | 86% | 7% |
| Montana | 100% | 2% |
| Nebraska | 100% | 100% |
| Nevada | 100% | 30% |
| New Hampshire | 100% | 0% |
| New Jersey | 100% | 2% |
| New Mexico | 100% | 12% |
| New York | 100% | 8% |
| North Carolina | 100% | 15% |
| North Dakota | 100% | 1% |
| Ohio | 92% | 30% |
| Oklahoma | 100% | 6% |
| Oregon | 99% | 13% |
| Pennsylvania | 100% | 3% |
| Puerto Rico | 100% | 100% |
| Rhode Island | 100% | 8% |
| South Carolina | 100% | 21% |
| South Dakota | 100% | 3% |
| Tennessee | 100% | 13% |
| Texas | 100% | 12% |
| Utah | 100% | 48% |
| Vermont | 100% | 3% |
| Virginia | 100% | 42% |
| Washington | 100% | 16% |
| West Virginia | 100% | 11% |
| Wisconsin | 100% | 5% |
| Wyoming | 100% | 14% |
| American Samoa | 100% | 0% |
| Guam | 100% | 3% |
| Northern Marianas | 100% | 0% |
| Virgin Islands | 100% | 100% |

**Data Notes:**

\*Less than 0.05 percent.

Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands are each considered one LEA/SEA.

**Table 9**

Total number of students found to have brought a firearm to school, 1996-1997 through 2001-2002

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **School Year** | | | | | |
| **State/Outlying Area** | **1996-1997** | **1997-1998** | **1998-1999** | **1999-2000** | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| Alabama | 91 | 82 | 174 | 154 | 200 | 138 |
| Alaska | 19 | 18 | 30 | 17 | 10 | 55 |
| Arizona | 152 | 111 | 101 | 56 | 131 | 124 |
| Arkansas | 62 | 57 | 66 | 23 | 32 | 80 |
| California | 723 | 384 | 290 | 154 | 123 | 104 |
| Colorado | 131 | 30 | 110 | 42 | 24 | 31 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 9 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 8 |
| Delaware | 7 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| District of Columbia | 0 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Florida | 202 | 149 | 94 | 67 | 95 | 51 |
| Georgia | 244 | 203 | 208 | 117 | 111 | 119 |
| Hawaii | 0 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 7 |
| Idaho | 33 | 42 | 31 | 19 | 17 | 21 |
| Illinois | 250 | 86 | 77 | 40 | 32 | 53 |
| Indiana | 109 | 62 | 103 | 33 | 21 | 41 |
| Iowa | 40 | 30 | 17 | 20 | 11 | 9 |
| Kansas | 43 | 33 | 52 | 40 | 36 | 32 |
| Kentucky | 70 | 72 | 37 | 12 | 7 | 46 |
| Louisiana | 88 | 25 | 21 | 73 | 113 | 75 |
| Maine | 13 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Maryland | 73 | 32 | 34 | 35 | 26 | 21 |
| Massachusetts | 54 | 46 | 43 | 10 | 18 | 89 |
| Michigan | 92 | 99 | 106 | 100 | 90 | 46 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 45 | 24 | 15 | 12 | 23 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 47 | 24 | 36 | 64 | 67 |
| Missouri | 318 | 179 | 171 | 102 | 49 | 59 |
| Montana | 12 | 17 | 15 | 22 | 12 | 31 |
| Nebraska | 20 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 11 | 6 |
| Nevada | 54 | 36 | 52 | 45 | 58 | 56 |
| New Hampshire | 15 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| New Jersey | 57 | 40 | 51 | 29 | 13 | 16 |
| New Mexico | 71 | 32 | 47 | 23 | 32 | 20 |
| New York | 128 | 91 | 206 | 98 | 89 | 88 |
| North Carolina | 138 | 121 | 141 | 78 | 77 | 92 |
| North Dakota | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| Ohio | MD | 119 | 77 | 199 | 135 | 53 |
| Oklahoma | 0 | 17 | 16 | 31 | 13 | 60 |
| Oregon | 85 | 135 | 48 | 87 | 40 | 55 |
| Pennsylvania | 200 | 121 | 76 | 76 | 40 | 36 |
| Puerto Rico | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 94 | 85 | 52 | 55 | 43 | 34 |
| South Dakota | 7 | 26 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 98 | 192 | 152 | 109 | 88 | 80 |
| Texas | 532 | 424 | 294 | 237 | 204 | 177 |
| Utah | 80 | 9 | 13 | 50 | 53 | 83 |
| Vermont | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Virginia | 92 | 99 | 115 | 259 | 204 | 197 |
| Washington | 146 | 118 | 115 | 144 | 106 | 92 |
| West Virginia | 27 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 12 | 6 |
| Wisconsin | 54 | 66 | 71 | 51 | 46 | 30 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 0 | 11 | 16 | 6 | 7 |
| American Samoa | MD | 0 | 0 | MD | 0 | 0 |
| Guam | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Northern Marianas | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Virgin Islands | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Total | 4,787 | 3,660 | 3,477 | 2,835 | 2,537 | 2,554 |

**Data Notes:**

MD=Missing Data

Please view year-to-year shifts with caution as changes may reflect changes in reporting rather than changes in behavior.

**State Profiles**

**Alabama**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 10 | 2 | 27 | 39 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 1 | 31 | 37 |
| **Senior High** | 23 | 12 | 27 | 62 |
| **Total** | 38 | 15 | 85 | 138 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 16 | 12% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 12 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 37% |

**Alabama (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Data is self-reported and collected at the local school level. Statewide, several hundred individuals perform this task and human error is possible as a result.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 200 | 138 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -62 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -31% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Alaska**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 4 | 5 | 10 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 1 | 14 | 15 |
| **Senior High** | 12 | 2 | 16 | 30 |
| **Total** | 13 | 7 | 35 | 55 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 6 | 11% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 50% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 20% |

**Alaska (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 10 | 55 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 45 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 450% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Arizona**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 13 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| **Junior High** | 16 | 0 | 24 | 40 |
| **Senior High** | 41 | 8 | 17 | 66 |
| **Total** | 70 | 8 | 46 | 124 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 63 | 51% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 38 | 60% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 41 | 33% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 97% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 12% |

**Arizona (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | Data missing. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 131 | 124 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -7 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10a: Arizona requires LEAs to create an alternative to the suspension program, but does not require LEAs to use that option for each expelled student.

**Arkansas**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 8 | 0 | 7 | 15 |
| **Junior High** | 8 | 0 | 18 | 26 |
| **Senior High** | 20 | 1 | 18 | 39 |
| **Total** | 36 | 1 | 43 | 80 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 1% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 4% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 16% |

**Arkansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Information on explosives does not specify what type of explosives.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 32 | 80 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 48 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 150% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 1. Explosives are included in the"Other Firearms" category.

**California**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| **Senior High** | 70 | 4 | 1 | 75 |
| **Total** | 99 | 4 | 1 | 104 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 20 | 19% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 19 | 95% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 95 | 91% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 4% |

**California (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 123 | 104 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -19 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -15% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Colorado**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 14 | 7 | 4 | 25 |
| **Total** | 19 | 7 | 5 | 31 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 10 | 32% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 9 | 90% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 21 | 68% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 9% |

**Colorado (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 24 | 31 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 7 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 29% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10a. State law requires the school to offer services; parents must then request the services; the school then must serve the student.

**Connecticut**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Total** | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**Connecticut (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 8 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 8 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Delaware**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| **Total** | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 33% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Delaware (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 1 | 3 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 2 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 200% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**District of Columbia**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| **Total** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 6% |

**District of Columbia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

In the 2001-2002 school year, 37 local educational agencies (LEAs) operated in the District of Columbia. However, three charter school LEAs closed in June 2002. The report reflects responses from eligible LEAs in operation.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 3 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 3 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Florida**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| **Senior High** | 26 | 5 | 6 | 37 |
| **Total** | 40 | 5 | 6 | 51 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 18% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 7 | 78% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 34 | 67% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 29% |

**Florida (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 95 | 51 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -44 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -46% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Georgia**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 2 | 0 | 7 | 9 |
| **Junior High** | 17 | 1 | 26 | 44 |
| **Senior High** | 29 | 6 | 31 | 66 |
| **Total** | 48 | 7 | 64 | 119 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 36 | 30% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 36 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 55 | 46% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 23% |

**Georgia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 111 | 119 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 8 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 7% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Hawaii**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 7 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 6 | 86% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 100% |

**Hawaii (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 7 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 7 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Idaho**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 1 | 2 | 8 |
| **Senior High** | 5 | 5 | 1 | 11 |
| **Total** | 10 | 7 | 4 | 21 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 10 | 48% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 9 | 90% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 14% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 10% |

**Idaho (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 17 | 21 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 4 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 24% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Illinois**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Junior High** | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| **Senior High** | 25 | 1 | 3 | 29 |
| **Total** | 49 | 1 | 3 | 53 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 13 | 25% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 6 | 46% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 38 | 72% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 98% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Illinois (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Ninety-eight percent of the districts responded. Some districts are choosing to not participate in NCLB.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 32 | 53 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 21 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 66% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Indiana**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 26 | 3 | 6 | 35 |
| **Total** | 31 | 3 | 7 | 41 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 12 | 29% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 8 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 4 | 10% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 13% |

**Indiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 21 | 41 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 20 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 95% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Iowa**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| **Total** | 1 | 0 | 8 | 9 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 44% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 6 | 67% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Iowa (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 11 | 9 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -2 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -18% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Kansas**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 13 | 8 | 6 | 27 |
| **Total** | 16 | 9 | 7 | 32 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 12 | 38% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 9 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 16 | 50% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 7% |

**Kansas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 36 | 32 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -4 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -11% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10b. Some school districts use State at-risk funding to provide such services.

**Kentucky**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| **Junior High** | 7 | 4 | 9 | 20 |
| **Senior High** | 8 | 1 | 13 | 22 |
| **Total** | 15 | 6 | 25 | 46 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 9% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 25% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 21 | 46% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 15% |

**Kentucky (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 7 | 46 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 39 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 557% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Louisiana**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 13 | 0 | 10 | 23 |
| **Junior High** | 24 | 0 | 4 | 28 |
| **Senior High** | 15 | 5 | 4 | 24 |
| **Total** | 52 | 5 | 18 | 75 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 24 | 32% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 18 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 68 | 91% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 75% |

**Louisiana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 113 | 75 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -38 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -34% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Maine**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| **Total** | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 50% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | Less than .05% |

**Maine (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 1 | 2 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 1 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 100% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Maryland**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| **Senior High** | 10 | 5 | 2 | 17 |
| **Total** | 13 | 5 | 3 | 21 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 6 | 29% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 4 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 4 | 19% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 32% |

**Maryland (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

An audit of five local school systems resulted in one additional verfication.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 26 | 21 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -5 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -19% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Massachusetts**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 10 | 0 | 46 | 56 |
| **Senior High** | 12 | 0 | 18 | 30 |
| **Total** | 23 | 0 | 66 | 89 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 29 | 33% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 27 | 93% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 60 | 67% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 7% |

**Massachusetts (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 18 | 89 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 71 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 394% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

The data collection method was improved for 2001-2002 resulting in more accurate data reporting in the "Handguns" and "Other Firearms" categories. Rifle and/or shotgun incidents have been included in the the "Other Firearms" category. The 2000-2001 data reflects expulsions for "Handguns" only.

**Michigan**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| **Senior High** | 23 | 8 | 7 | 38 |
| **Total** | 30 | 9 | 7 | 46 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 12 | 26% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 10 | 83% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 20 | 43% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 95% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Michigan (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Michigan uses the end-of-year student data collection cycle for determining compliance in reporting the GFSA data.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 90 | 46 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -44 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -49% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Minnesota**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| **Senior High** | 8 | 8 | 0 | 16 |
| **Total** | 15 | 8 | 0 | 23 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 20 | 87% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 18 | 90% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 4% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**Minnesota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 12 | 23 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 11 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 92% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Mississippi**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 10 | 0 | 3 | 13 |
| **Junior High** | 11 | 1 | 5 | 17 |
| **Senior High** | 17 | 12 | 8 | 37 |
| **Total** | 38 | 13 | 16 | 67 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 37 | 55% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 30 | 81% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 7 | 10% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 32% |

**Mississippi (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 64 | 67 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 3 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 5% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Missouri**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 5 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| **Junior High** | 9 | 2 | 17 | 28 |
| **Senior High** | 12 | 4 | 9 | 25 |
| **Total** | 26 | 6 | 27 | 59 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 15% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 5 | 56% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 21 | 36% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 86% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 7% |

**Missouri (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 49 | 59 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 10 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 20% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Montana**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 6 | 7 | 10 | 23 |
| **Total** | 8 | 7 | 16 | 31 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 3% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Data missing. |  |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**Montana (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 12 | 31 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 19 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 158% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Nebraska**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| **Total** | 0 | 5 | 1 | 6 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 3 | 50% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 50% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 100% |

**Nebraska (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 11 | 6 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -5 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -45% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 9. The State provided a copy of the revised statute.

**Nevada**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 |
| **Senior High** | 10 | 7 | 7 | 24 |
| **Total** | 24 | 7 | 25 | 56 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 10 | 18% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 7 | 70% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 51 | 91% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 30% |

**Nevada (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 58 | 56 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -2 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**New Hampshire**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**New Hampshire (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 5 | 0 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -5 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**New Jersey**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| **Senior High** | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| **Total** | 12 | 1 | 3 | 16 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 6% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 13 | 81% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 2% |

**New Jersey (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Data is from the Web-based Electronic Violence and Vandalism Reporting System (EVRS), a unit record system. Firearm incidents include rifles and shotguns, handguns, and bombs (explosive devices) both exploded and unexploded. One incident involving a star

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 13 | 16 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 3 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 23% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**New Mexico**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 3 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| **Junior High** | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 1 | 4 | 9 |
| **Total** | 10 | 2 | 8 | 20 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 2 | 10% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 10% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 12% |

**New Mexico (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 32 | 20 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -12 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -38% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**New York**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 10 | 0 | 4 | 14 |
| **Junior High** | 11 | 3 | 13 | 27 |
| **Senior High** | 25 | 4 | 18 | 47 |
| **Total** | 46 | 7 | 35 | 88 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 53 | 60% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 35 | 66% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 49 | 56% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 8% |

**New York (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 89 | 88 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -1 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -1% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 1a. The New York City Department of Education (NYCDOE) does not identify firearm incidents by type of firearm. New York City staff believe that the reported incidents were all handgun incidents. Therefore, the incidents reported are listed inthe handgun category.

Question 2. The NYCDOE could not provide the number of modified expulsions. Since there is no record of modified expulsions they have been reported as suspensions not modified.

Question 3. The New York State Education Department does not capture this information according to students with suspensions modified and those with suspensions not modified.

**North Carolina**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| **Junior High** | 8 | 1 | 11 | 20 |
| **Senior High** | 38 | 13 | 18 | 69 |
| **Total** | 47 | 14 | 31 | 92 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 63 | 68% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 49 | 78% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 9 | 10% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 15% |

**North Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

North Carolina implemented a new data collection form in the past 2001-02 school year that provides more detailed data on individual student offenses.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 77 | 92 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 15 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 19% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**North Dakota**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| **Total** | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 1% |

**North Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 3 | 4 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 1 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 33% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Ohio**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| **Junior High** | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| **Senior High** | 35 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| **Total** | 53 | 0 | 0 | 53 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 42 | 79% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 37 | 88% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | Data missing. |  |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 21 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 92% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 30% |

**Ohio (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Ohio Department of Education did not track referrals or policy requiring information to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency systems (Question 6.). The Ohio Department of Education reports on the number of incidents, not students, in this report (Question 7b).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 135 | 53 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -82 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -61% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 5. The school districts did not apply for any federal funding.

**Oklahoma**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 8 | 35 | 6 | 49 |
| **Total** | 19 | 35 | 6 | 60 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 15 | 25% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 15 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 2 | 3% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 6% |

**Oklahoma (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Several of the rifle incidents reported were rifles found in pickup trucks from previous hunting trips. These incidents did not result in long-term suspensions.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 13 | 60 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 47 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 362% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Oregon**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 0 | 9 | 14 |
| **Senior High** | 11 | 5 | 18 | 34 |
| **Total** | 20 | 5 | 30 | 55 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 25 | 45% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 14 | 56% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 41 | 75% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 99% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 13% |

**Oregon (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 40 | 55 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 15 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 38% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Pennsylvania**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| **Junior High** | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 |
| **Senior High** | 9 | 8 | 0 | 17 |
| **Total** | 26 | 9 | 1 | 36 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 14 | 39% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 12 | 86% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 10 | 28% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Pennsylvania (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 40 | 36 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -4 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -10% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Puerto Rico**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| **Total** | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 4 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 100% |

**Puerto Rico (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The data were submitted by the school directors and certified by the Legal Division of the Puerto Rico Department of Education (PRDE). The reports included three cases involving four students. There was some missing information due to the fact that many of the schools have recruited new personnel and some of the files did not include all of the information requested. In one of the cases, the type of firearm that was used was not included, and, in another case, there was no description of the sanctions implemented by the PRDE, as established in the Student Manual. Through the development of the Community Service Program, PRDE will provide direct services to students who are suspended or expelled from their schools, including those students in violation of the Gun Free Schools Act. In addition, the implementation of the Uniform Management Information Report System will facilitate the collection of information regarding particular cases and will ensure the validity of the statistical data to be included in federal reports.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 4 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 4 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Rhode Island**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Junior High** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| **Total** | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 7 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 6 | 86% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 1 | 14% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 8% |

**Rhode Island (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 9 | 7 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -2 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -22% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**South Carolina**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| **Senior High** | 22 | 5 | 1 | 28 |
| **Total** | 28 | 5 | 1 | 34 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 3 | 9% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 67% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 5 | 15% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 21% |

**South Carolina (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

One high school expelled a student for a stun gun. Two of the expulsions for rifles and shotguns were from Adult Education Centers (not senior high schools).

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 43 | 34 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -9 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -21% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10b. The State provides funds to support alternative schools, which students expelled for firearms may attend, but they are not aware of funds set aside for educational services targeted specifically at students expelled for firearms possession.

**South Dakota**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| **Total** | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 5 | 100% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 5 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**South Dakota (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 7 | 5 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -2 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -29% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Tennessee**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| **Junior High** | 7 | 0 | 11 | 18 |
| **Senior High** | 34 | 5 | 16 | 55 |
| **Total** | 48 | 5 | 27 | 80 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 33 | 41% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 28 | 85% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 40 | 50% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 13% |

**Tennessee (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The incidents in the "Other Firearms" category in Question 1 include incidents in which the type of firearm was unknown.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 88 | 80 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -8 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -9% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Texas**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 8 | 0 | 3 | 11 |
| **Junior High** | 35 | 0 | 8 | 43 |
| **Senior High** | 62 | 46 | 15 | 123 |
| **Total** | 105 | 46 | 26 | 177 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 76 | 43% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 63 | 83% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 136 | 77% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 12% |

**Texas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 204 | 177 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -27 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -13% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10a. Texas State law requires expelled students to be placed in an alternative setting for all students 10 years of age or younger, for students over age 10 that have been expelled for a mandatory offense, and for students in the 26 mandatory Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program (JJAEP) counties, as required by Texas Education Code (TEC) 37.011.

**Utah**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 3 | 0 | 16 | 19 |
| **Junior High** | 9 | 0 | 26 | 35 |
| **Senior High** | 8 | 2 | 19 | 29 |
| **Total** | 20 | 2 | 61 | 83 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 4 | 5% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 4 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 48% |

**Utah (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The accuracy of the reporting has improved. The increase in the "Other Firearms" category is a result of that improvement.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 53 | 83 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 30 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 57% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Vermont**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| **Total** | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 1 | 33% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 1 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Vermont (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 3 | 3 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 0 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 10b. There are no State funds specifically for this purpose. However, funds made available to the LEA through the general state education funding system may enable a school district to develop and operate alternative education programs.

**Virginia**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 2 | 1 | 34 | 37 |
| **Junior High** | 15 | 2 | 55 | 72 |
| **Senior High** | 32 | 8 | 48 | 88 |
| **Total** | 49 | 11 | 137 | 197 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 154 | 78% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 118 | 77% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 29 | 15% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 42% |

**Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Increased attention and intensive monitoring of the data collection process has resulted in much more accurate reporting of data for LEAs.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 204 | 197 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -7 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -3% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Washington**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 5 | 0 | 16 | 21 |
| **Junior High** | 15 | 3 | 26 | 44 |
| **Senior High** | 7 | 7 | 13 | 27 |
| **Total** | 27 | 10 | 55 | 92 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 41 | 45% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 24 | 59% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 22 | 24% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 16% |

**Washington (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Only 13 out of 101 schools in the Seattle School District submitted a weapons report.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 106 | 92 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -14 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -13% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**West Virginia**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| **Total** | 5 | 1 | 0 | 6 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 2 | 33% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 2 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 6 | 100% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 11% |

**West Virginia (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 12 | 6 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -6 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -50% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

Question 9. The West Virginia Legislature amended State law to expand the definition of alternative education and dangerous student status allowing LEA boards to refuse to provide alternative education to dangerous students who have been expelled. Also,the term deadly weapon was redefined.

**Wisconsin**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 1 |
| **Junior High** | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 4 |
| **Senior High** | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 25 |
| **Total** | Data missing. | Data missing. | Data missing. | 30 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 9 | 30% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 5 | 56% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 17 | 57% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 5% |

**Wisconsin (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

Data integrity checks were conducted with several school districts submitting reports with inconsistent or anomalous data. Follow-up contacts were also made, via letters and phone calls, with several more districts failing to submit a report by the original deadline.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 46 | 30 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | -16 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -35% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

The State reviewed and revised its data and could only provide data by school level.

**Wyoming**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| **Junior High** | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| **Total** | 3 | 2 | 2 | 7 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 14% |

**Wyoming (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 6 | 7 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 1 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 17% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**American Samoa**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**American Samoa (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Yes, State funds are provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 0 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 0 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Guam**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 3% |

**Guam (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The "Other Firearms" in Question 1 consisted of bullets for a weapon.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 1 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 1 |
| **Percent Change** |  | -- |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Northern Marianas**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | -- |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 0% |

**Northern Marianas (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

The Commonwealth of the Northern Marianas Islands is a combined SEA and LEA.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | No, State funds are not provided. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 0 | 0 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 0 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

None.

**Virgin Islands**

**2001-2002 Data**

**Question 1. Number of students who were found to have brought a firearm to school.**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **School Level** | **Handguns** | **Rifles/Shotguns** | **Other Firearms** | **Total** |
| **Elementary** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Junior High** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| **Senior High** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| **Total** | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Number** | **Percent** |
| **Question 2.** | **Number of shortened expulsions** | 3 | 75% |
| **Question 3.** | **Number in #2 (above) that were not disabled** | 3 | 100% |
| **Question 4.** | **Number of expulsions (in #1) referred to an alternative program** | 0 | 0% |
| **Question 5.** | **Number of LEAs that have not provided an assurance of compliance** | 0 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Percent** |
| **Question 7a.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | 100% |
| **Question 7b.** | **Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense** | 100% |

**Virgin Islands (continued)**

**Question 8. Information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted.**

None.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | **Response** |
| **Question 9.** | **Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State** | Data missing. |
| **Question 10a.** | **How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?** | Data missing. |
| **Question 10b.** | **Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?** | Data missing. |

**Year-to-Year Data Comparison─2000-2001 to 2001-2002**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **2000-2001** | **2001-2002** |
| **Total number of expulsions** | 4 | 4 |
| **Change (2000-2001 to 2001-2002)** |  | 0 |
| **Percent Change** |  | 0% |

**Caveats or notes on the data collection instrument:**

The Virgin Islands is in the process of enacting a Gun-Free Schools Law.

**Appendix A**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Public Law 103-227 – Oct. 20, 1994**  **“PART F – GUN POSSESSION**  **“Sec. 14601. GUN-FREE REQUIREMENTS**  “(a) Short Title. – This section may be cited as the ‘Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994’.  “(b) Requirements. –  “(1) In General. – Except as provided in paragraph (3), each State receiving Federal funds under this Act shall have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student who is determined to have brought a weapon to a school under the jurisdiction of local educational agencies in that State, except that such State law shall allow the chief administering officer of such local educational agency to modify such expulsion requirement for a student on a case-by-case basis.  “(2) Construction. – Nothing in this title shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such a student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to such student in an alternative setting.  “(3) Special Rule. – (A) Any State that has a law in effect prior to the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994 which is in conflict with the not less than one year expulsion requirement described in paragraph (1) shall have the period of time described in subparagraph (B) to comply with such requirement.  “(B) The period of time shall be the period beginning on the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act and ending one year after such date.  “(4) Definition. – For the purpose of this section, the term ‘weapon’ means a firearm as such term is defined in section 921 of title 18, United States Code.  “(c) Special Rule. – The provisions of this section shall be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act.  “(d) Report to State. – Each local educational agency requesting assistance from the State educational agency that is to be provided from funds made available to the State under this Act shall provide to the States, in the application requesting such assistance –  “(1) an assurance that such local educational agency is in compliance with the State law required by subsection (b); and  “(2) a description of the circumstances surrounding any expulsions imposed under the State law required by subsection (b), including –  “(A) the name of the school concerned;  “(B) the number of students expelled form such school; and  “(C) the type of weapons concerned.  “(e) Reporting. – Each State shall report the information described in subsection (c) to the Secretary on an annual basis.  “(f) Report to Congress. – Two years after the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994, the Secretary shall report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the requirements of this title.  **“SEC. 14602. POLICY REGARDING CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM REFERRAL.**  “(a) In General. – No funds shall be made available under this Act to any local educational agency unless such agency has a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm or weapon to school served by such agency.  “(b) Definitions. – For the purpose of this section, the terms ‘firearm’ and ‘school’ have the same meaning given to such terms by section 921(a) of title 18, United States Code.  **“SEC. 14603. DATA AND POLICY DISSEMINATION UNDER IDEA**  “The Secretary shall –  “(1) widely disseminate the policy of the Department in effect on the date of enactment of the Improving America’s Schools Act of 1994 with respect to disciplining children with disabilities;  “(2) collect data on the incidence of children with disabilities (as such term is defined in section 602(a)(1) of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act) engaging in life threatening behavior or bringing weapons to schools; and  “(3) submit a report to Congress not later than January 31, 1995, analyzing the strengths and problems with the current approaches regarding disciplining children with disabilities. | 108 STAT. 3907  Gun-Free Schools Act of 1994  20 USC 8921. |

**Appendix B**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT (ESEA), TITLE XIV, PART F, as amended by the IMPROVING AMERICA’S SCHOOLS ACT OF 1994 (IASA)  GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT | FORM APPROVED  OMB #: 1810-0602  Expiration Date: 8/31/2003 | | |
|  | According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless such collection displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0602. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 8 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. **If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate or suggestions for improving this form, please write to:** U.S. Department of Education, Washington, DC 20202-4651. **If you have comments or concerns regarding the status of your individual submission of this form, write directly to:** Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20202-6123. | | | |
| RESPONDENT INFORMATION | | |  | |
| **State Name:** | | | |  |
| **Name of Agency Responding:** | | | |  |
| **Name and Title of Individual Completing this Report:** | | | |  |
|  | | | |  |
|  | | | |  |
| **Mailing Address:** | | | |  |
|  | | | |  |
|  | | | |  |
| **E-Mail Address:** | | | |  |
| **Telephone and Fax Number of Individual Completing this Report:** | | | |  |
| **Phone:** **Fax:** | | | |  |

###### GUN-FREE SCHOOLS ACT REPORT

**INTRODUCTION**

The Gun-Free Schools Act (GFSA), Part F of Title XIV of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of 1965 requires that each State have in effect a State law requiring local educational agencies (LEAs) to expel from school for a period of not less than one year a student found to have brought a weapon to school. In addition, under the GFSA, LEAs receiving ESEA funds must adopt a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to school.

Each State’s law also must allow the chief administering officer of the LEA to modify the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. The GFSA also states that nothing in the GFSA shall be construed to prevent a State from allowing a local educational agency that has expelled a student from such student’s regular school setting from providing educational services to that student in an alternative setting.

The GFSA also requires States to provide annual reports to the Secretary of Education concerning implementation of the Act’s requirements. The Secretary is required to report to Congress if any State is not in compliance with the GFSA.

**PLEASE USE THE ATTACHED FORM TO PROVIDE INFORMATION ON IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GFSA.**

|  |
| --- |
| **GENERAL DIRECTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE REPORT** |
| 1. The time period covered by this report is the 2001-02 school year.  2. Please complete this entire form. If questions are left blank, we will not be able to interpret the results and will have to follow up with a phone call. If a response to a question is “0” or “none,” be sure to enter “0” or “none.” If information is not available, please indicate by using the following abbreviation: MD = Missing Data  3. Please retain a copy of the completed form for your files so that you will have a copy on hand to refer to if we have questions about your responses.  4. Please complete the attached form and mail no later than December 2, 2002 to:  Westat  1650 Research Boulevard, Room RA 1238  Rockville, MD 20850  If questions arise about completing any of the items on the attached form, please do not hesitate to contact the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program at (202) 260-3954 for clarification. |

|  |
| --- |
| **ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS** |
| LEA local educational agency  GFSA Gun-Free Schools Act  IDEA Individuals with Disabilities Education Act  ESEA Elementary and Secondary Education Act  Elementary school A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.  Junior high school A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary/junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior/senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.  Senior high school A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this form; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools.  Other firearms Firearms other than handguns, rifles or shotguns as defined in 18 USC 921. According to Section 921, the following are included within the definition: (Note: This definition does not apply to items such as toy guns, cap guns, bb guns, and pellet guns)  -- any weapon (including a starter gun) which will or is designed to or may readily be converted to expel a projectile by the action of any explosive;  -- the frame or receiver of any weapon described above;  -- any firearm muffler or firearm silencer;  -- any destructive device, which includes:  (a) any explosive, incendiary, or poison gas   1. Bomb; 2. Grenade, 3. Rocket having a propellant charge of more than four ounces, 4. Missile having an explosive or incendiary charge of more than one-quarter ounce, 5. Mine, or 6. Similar device   (b) any weapon which will, or which may be readily converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive or other propellant, and which has any barrel with a bore of more than one-half inch in diameter  (c) any combination or parts either designed or intended for use in converting any device into any destructive device described in the two immediately preceding examples, and from which a destructive device may be readily assembled. |

**FIREARMS INCIDENTS**

1. Please indicate the number of students in your State who were found to have brought a firearm to school. Include in your answer all infractions. ***[Any student found to have brought a firearm (meeting the definition at 18 U.S.C. 921) to school should be reported as an infraction, even if the expulsion is shortened or no penalty is imposed. Any incidents in which a student covered by the provisions of IDEA brings a firearm to school should also be included, even if it is determined that the incident is a manifestation of the student’s disability. Modifications of the one-year expulsion requirement should also be reported in Question 2 of this report.]***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| School Level | Handguns | Rifles/Shotguns | Other Firearms | Total |
| Elementary School |  |  |  |  |
| Junior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Senior High School |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |

2. How many of the incidencesreported in item #1 were shortened to a term of less than one year by the chief administering officer of an LEA under the case-by-case modification provisions of Section 14601(b)(1) of the GFSA? ***[Include in your response to this question only cases where the expulsion was shortened or no penalty was imposed. Do not include modifications other than those that shortened the term of the expulsion to less than one year.]***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of modifications**:** |  |

1. How many of the modifications reported in item #2 were for students who are *not* students with disabilities as defined in Section 602(a)(1) of the IDEA?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of modifications in #2, NOT disabled: |  |

*[The GFSA explicitly states that the Act must be construed in a manner consistent with the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA). Compliance with the GFSA can be achieved consistent with the IDEA as long as discipline of such students is determined on a case-by-case basis under the GFSA provision that permits modification of the expulsion requirement on a case-by-case basis. A student with a disability who brings a firearm to school may be removed from school for ten school days or less, and in accordance with State law, placed in an interim alternative educational setting that is determined by the student’s individualized education program team, for up to 45 calendar days. If the student’s parents initiate due process proceedings under the IDEA, the student must remain in that interim alternative educational setting during authorized review proceedings, unless the parents and school district can agree on a different placement. Before an expulsion can occur, the IDEA requires a determination by a group of persons knowledgeable about the student on whether the bringing of a firearm to school was a manifestation of the student’s disability. A student with a disability may be expelled only if this group of persons determines that the bringing of a firearm to school was not a manifestation of the student’s disability, and the school follows applicable IDEA procedural safeguards before the expulsion occurs. Under IDEA, students with disabilities who are expelled in accordance with these conditions must continue to receive educational services during the expulsion period. Under Section 602 (a)(1) of the IDEA, the term “children with disabilities” is defined as:*

*children --*

(i) with mental retardation, hearing impairments including deafness, speech or language impairments, visual impairments, including blindness, serious emotional disturbance, orthopedic impairments, autism, traumatic brain injury, other health impairments, or specific learning disabilities; and

*(ii) who, by reason thereof, need special education and related services.]*

4. How many of the incidencesreported in item #1 resulted in a referral of the student to an alternative school or program?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of students in item #1 referred to an alternative placement: |  |

LEA COMPLIANCE

5. List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the State law that requires that a student who brings a firearm to school be expelled for one year. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

6. List the name and address of each LEA that has not provided an assurance that it is in compliance with the requirement in Section 14602 that an LEA receiving ESEA funds have in place a policy requiring referral to the criminal justice or juvenile delinquency system of any student who brings a firearm to a school. *(If all LEAs have provided the necessary assurance, please indicate “none” in response to this item.)*

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

(Attach a separate sheet if more space is required to list LEAs.)

7. A. Please indicate the percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State in response to this annual data collection.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of LEAs that submitted a GFSA report to the State: | % |

1. Of those LEAs, what percentage had reported one or more students for an offense under the GFSA related to firearms (as defined by Title 18 U.S.C. 921)?

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Percentage of LEAs that reported students for a firearm offense: | % |

1. If applicable, please provide information that explains any circumstances affecting the quality of data submitted to us. What information can the State share with us that will help us to more accurately interpret the data submitted on this GFSA report form (e.g., fewer than 100% LEAs responded to the State; figures reported included all weapons, not only firearms)?

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**STATE COMPLIANCE WITH GFSA**

1. Please indicate whether your State law related to GFSA has changed in the past 12 months.

* Yes, our State law has changed in the past 12 months. If “yes”, please attach a brief description of the changes or provide a copy of the new/revised statute.
* No, our State law has not changed in the past 12 months.

10a. How does your State law address the need for providing educational services in an alternative setting to students expelled from their regular school setting?

* State law encourages LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law requires LEAs to provide educational services to expelled students in an alternative setting.
* State law does not address the need for educational services in an alternative setting.

b. Are any State funds used to support the implementation of educational services in alternative settings as it relates to students who have been expelled under the GFSA?

* Yes, State funds are provided.
* No, State funds are not provided.

1. For the purpose of ESEA funding, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico are counted as “states.” [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. The outlying areas referred to in this report are: American Samoa, Guam, Northern Marianas, and the Virgin Islands. [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
3. See the data collection instrument in Appendix B for a detailed definition of a firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
4. **Elementary school─**A school classified as elementary by state and local practice and composed of any span of grades not above Grade 6. Combined elementary-junior high schools are considered junior high schools and combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are classified as high schools for this report.

   **Junior high school─**A separately organized and administered school intermediate between elementary and senior high schools, which might also be called a middle school, usually includes Grades 7, 8, and 9; Grade 7 and 8; or Grades 6, 7, and 8. Combined elementary-junior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior-senior high schools are considered junior high schools for this report; junior-senior high school combinations are defined as senior high schools.

   **Senior high school─**A school offering the final years of school work necessary for graduation, usually including Grades 10, 11, and 12; or Grades 9, 10, 11, and 12. Combined junior and senior high schools are classified as high schools for this report; combined elementary and secondary schools (e.g., K-12 buildings) are also classified as high schools. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
5. The reported number of expulsions by type of firearm differ from the total number of expulsions in Figure 1 and Table 2 because Puerto Rico was unable to report one of their expulsions by type of firearm and Wisconsin was unable to report any of their expulsions by type of firearm. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
6. Montana and Ohio did not provide information on GFSA violations referred to an alternative placement for  
   2001-2002. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)