

Example: This example is to find a polynomial  $P_0(x)$  of degree at most  $n$

such that given  $n+1$  distinct constants  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}$  and  $n+1$  constants

$C_1, C_2, \dots, C_{n+1}$ ,  $P_0(x)$  satisfies:

$$P_0(t_1) = C_1, P_0(t_2) = C_2, \dots, P_0(t_{n+1}) = C_{n+1} \quad (*)$$

Method 1: (Math 341 Method):

Suppose  $P_0(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$  such that  $P_0(x)$  satisfies (\*).

Then  $a_0 + a_1t_1 + \dots + a_nt_1^n = C_1$

$$a_0 + a_1t_2 + \dots + a_nt_2^n = C_2$$

$\vdots$

$$a_0 + a_1t_{n+1} + \dots + a_nt_{n+1}^n = C_{n+1}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 & \dots & t_1^n \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 & \dots & t_2^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & t_{n+1} & t_{n+1}^2 & \dots & t_{n+1}^n \end{pmatrix}}_A \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ \vdots \\ C_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}}_C$$

Note that  $A$  is a Vandermonde matrix, and  $\det A = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (t_j - t_i)$

As  $t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}$  are distinct,  $\det A \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow$  The above linear system about  $a_0, \dots, a_n$  has a unique solution:  $\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1}C$ .

Method 2. (Math 441 method of using linear functionals). For simpler notations, we

will work with  $n=2$  case.

Refashioning the problem for  $n=2$ :

Given  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  distinct constants, and  $C_1, C_2, C_3$  constants, find  $P_0(x) \in \mathcal{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$

such that  $P_0(t_1) = C_1, P_0(t_2) = C_2, P_0(t_3) = C_3$ .

**Idea!**

Idea of Proof: If  $\{L_1, L_2, L_3\} \subseteq (\mathcal{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))^*$  and  $\{P_1(x), P_2(x), P_3(x)\} \in \mathcal{P}^2(\mathbb{R})$  are dual bases. Then  $P_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 L_i(P_0(x)) P_i(x)$ .

To choose  $L_i \in (P^2(\mathbb{R}))^*$ , note that  $P_0(t_i)$  is the evaluation of a polynomial at  $t_i$ , so a natural choice for  $L_i$  would be:  $L_i: P^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$   
 $P(x) \mapsto P(t_i)$

Then  $L_i(P_0(x)) = C_i$ , for  $i=1,2,3$ .

The dual basis  $\{P_1(x), P_2(x), P_3(x)\} \in P^2(\mathbb{R})$  of  $\{L_{t_1}, L_{t_2}, L_{t_3}\}$ , satisfies:  $L_{t_i}(P_j(x)) = P_j(t_i) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i=j \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$

For example:  $P_1(x)$  satisfies  $P_1(t_1)=1$   $P_1(t_2)=0$   $P_1(t_3)=0$

$\Rightarrow t_2, t_3$  are roots of  $P_1(x) \Rightarrow P_1(x) = a \cdot (x-t_2) \cdot (x-t_3)$

and as  $P_1(t_1) = a_1(t_1-t_2)(t_1-t_3) = 1 \Rightarrow a_1 = \frac{1}{(t_1-t_2)(t_1-t_3)}$ .

Solutions: Denote:  $L_{t_i}: P^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  for  $i=1,2,3$ .  
 $P(x) \mapsto P(t_i)$

Recall that  $L_{t_i}$  is a linear functional on  $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Claim 1:  $\{L_{t_1}, L_{t_2}, L_{t_3}\}$  are linearly independent.

Suppose  $a_1 L_{t_1} + a_2 L_{t_2} + a_3 L_{t_3} = 0$ .

Then: for  $f_1=1$ ,  $f_2=x$ ,  $f_3=x^2 \in P^2(\mathbb{R})$

$$(a_1 L_{t_1} + a_2 L_{t_2} + a_3 L_{t_3})(f_1) = a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$$

$$(a_1 L_{t_1} + a_2 L_{t_2} + a_3 L_{t_3})(f_2) = a_1 t_1 + a_2 t_2 + a_3 t_3 = 0$$

$$(a_1 L_{t_1} + a_2 L_{t_2} + a_3 L_{t_3})(f_3) = a_1 t_1^2 + a_2 t_2^2 + a_3 t_3^2 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ t_1 & t_2 & t_3 \\ t_1^2 & t_2^2 & t_3^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{pmatrix} = A^{-1} \vec{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\cdot A \Rightarrow \det A = (t_2-t_1)(t_3-t_1)(t_3-t_2) \neq 0$  as  $t_1, t_2, t_3$  are distinct.  
 Vandermonde matrix

$\Rightarrow \{L_{t_1}, L_{t_2}, L_{t_3}\} \subseteq V^*$  is linearly independent

As  $\dim V^* = 3$ ,  $\{L_{t_1}, L_{t_2}, L_{t_3}\}$  is a basis for  $V^*$ .

Define:  $P_1(x) = \frac{(x-t_2)(x-t_3)}{(t_1-t_2)(t_1-t_3)}$ ,  $P_2(x) = \frac{(x-t_1)(x-t_3)}{(t_2-t_1)(t_2-t_3)}$ ,  $P_3(x) = \frac{(x-t_1)(x-t_2)}{(t_3-t_1)(t_3-t_2)}$

Claim:  $\{P_1(x), P_2(x), P_3(x)\}$  is a basis for  $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

Proof of the claim: Suppose  $c_1 P_1(x) + c_2 P_2(x) + c_3 P_3(x) = 0$

in particular:  $b_1 P_1(t_1) + b_2 P_2(t_1) + b_3 P_3(t_1) = 0$

$b_1 P_1(t_2) + b_2 P_2(t_2) + b_3 P_3(t_2) = 0$

$b_1 P_1(t_3) + b_2 P_2(t_3) + b_3 P_3(t_3) = 0.$

Note that:	$P_1(t_1) = 1$	$P_2(t_1) = 0$	$P_3(t_1) = 0$
	$P_1(t_2) = 0$	$P_2(t_2) = 1$	$P_3(t_2) = 0$
	$P_1(t_3) = 0$	$P_2(t_3) = 0$	$P_3(t_3) = 1$

plug those values into the above system:  $b_1 = 0, b_2 = 0, b_3 = 0$

$\Rightarrow \{P_1(x), P_2(x), P_3(x)\}$  is linearly independent and thus a basis for  $P^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

As:  $L_{t_i}(P_j(x)) = P_j(t_i) = \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 1, 2, 3$

$\Rightarrow \{L_{t_1}, L_{t_2}, L_{t_3}\} \subseteq (P^2(\mathbb{R}))^*$  and  $\{P_1(x), P_2(x), P_3(x)\}$  are dual bases.

If  $P_0(x) \in P^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies:  $P_0(t_1) = C_1, P_0(t_2) = C_2, P_0(t_3) = C_3$ .

then  $P_0(x) = \sum_{i=1}^3 L_{t_i}(P_0(x)) P_i(x)$

$= \sum_{i=1}^3 P_0(t_i) P_i(x)$

$= C_1 P_1(x) + C_2 P_2(x) + C_3 P_3(x).$

□

**Proposition:** Given that  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n+1}$  are distinct constants and  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n+1}$  are constants.

then  $p_0(x) \in \mathcal{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies  $p_0(t_1) = C_1, p_0(t_2) = C_2, \dots, p_0(t_{n+1}) = C_{n+1}$  have

the following form:

$$p_0(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n C_k p_k(x)$$

where 
$$\rho_k(x) = \frac{\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{n+1} (x - t_i)}{\prod_{i=1, i \neq k}^{n+1} (t_k - t_i)}$$

Definition: (transpose of a linear map or the dual map of a linear map).

Let  $V, W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces. Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be ordered bases for  $V$

and  $W$  respectively. Let  $B_1^*$  and  $B_2^*$  be dual bases corresponding to  $B_1$  and

B<sub>2</sub> respectively. For any linear transformation  $T: V \rightarrow W$ , we may define  $T^t: W^* \rightarrow V^*$

by:  $T^t(\varphi) = \varphi \circ T$ . for any  $\varphi \in W^*$ .

Then  $T^t$  is a linear transformation and  $[T^t]_{\beta_2^+}^{\beta_1^+} = ([T]_{\beta_1}^{\beta_2})^T$ .

Where  $([T]_{B_1}^{B_2})^T$  stands for the transpose of  $[T]_{B_1}^{B_2}$ .

Proof: 1) Check  $T^t$  is well-define. i.e. check  $T^t(\varphi) \in V^*$  for all  $\varphi \in W^*$ .

As  $T: V \rightarrow W$  is a linear transformation, and  $q: W \rightarrow F$  is also

a linear transformation  $\varphi \circ T: V \rightarrow F$  is also a linear transfo

$$T^\dagger(\varphi) = \varphi_0 T \in V^*$$

2). Check:  $T^t: W^* \rightarrow V^*$  is a linear transformation.

$\forall \varphi, \psi \in W^*$  and  $c \in F$ , need to show  $T^t(c\varphi + \psi) = cT^t(\varphi) + T^t(\psi)$

In fact:  $\forall \vec{x} \in V: T^{\dagger}(Cq+4)(\vec{x})$

end of Jan 31