

Abstract Linear Algebra: Homework 6

Due on February 12, 2025 at 12:00

Xiaojing Chen-Murphy

Hashem A. Damrah
UO ID: 952102243

Problem 1. Let T be the linear transformation on \mathbb{R}^4 which is represented in standard ordered basis by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under what condition on a , b , and c is T diagonalizable? Explain your answer.

Solution. Computing the determinant using cofactor expansion along the first column gives us

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = -\lambda \begin{vmatrix} -\lambda & 0 & 0 \\ b & -\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & c & -\lambda \end{vmatrix} = -\lambda [(-\lambda)(-\lambda^2) - 0 - 0] = \lambda^4.$$

The roots of the characteristic polynomial are all $\lambda = 0$ with multiplicity of 4.

To determine diagonalizability, we check the geometric multiplicity of $\lambda = 0$, which is the dimension of the null space. This gives the system of equations

$$ax_1 = 0, \quad bx_2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad cx_3 = 0.$$

For T to be diagonalizable, we must have $\dim(\text{Null}(A)) = 4$, which happens if and only if $a = b = c = 0$. Otherwise, the geometric multiplicity is strictly less than 4, and the matrix is not diagonalizable. \square

Problem 2. Let T be a linear transformation on the n -dimensional vector space V , and suppose that T has n distinct eigenvalues. Prove that T is diagonalizable.

Solution. Since T has n distinct eigenvalues, say $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, there exist corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ such that for each i , we get $T(\mathbf{v}_i) = \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i$.

For $n = 1$, we get the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1\}$, which is a single nonzero vector. Therefore, it is linearly independent.

Assume that for any set of n distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$, the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is linearly independent. Now consider a set of $n+1$ distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \lambda_{n+1}$ with corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{v}_{n+1}$. Suppose there exists a linear dependence among these eigenvectors

$$c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + c_2 \mathbf{v}_2 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n + c_{n+1} \mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \mathbf{0} \tag{1}$$

We need to show that all i , $c_i = 0$.

Applying T to both sides and subtracting λ_{n+1} times equation 1 from the left side gives us

$$(c_1 \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n \mathbf{v}_n + c_{n+1} \lambda_{n+1} \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) - \lambda_{n+1} (c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n \mathbf{v}_n + c_{n+1} \mathbf{v}_{n+1}) = \mathbf{0}.$$

Simplifying gives us

$$c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}) \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) \mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}.$$

By the inductive hypothesis, $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is linearly independent, so the coefficients must be zero

$$c_1 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_{n+1}) = 0, \quad \dots, \quad c_n (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Since the eigenvalues are distinct, $\lambda_i - \lambda_{n+1} \neq 0$ for all i , that implies that $c_1 = c_2 = \dots = c_n = 0$. Returning back to equation 1, we see that

$$c_n \mathbf{v}_n + c_{n+1} \mathbf{v}_{n+1} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_{n+1} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we must have $c_n = c_{n+1} = 0$. Therefore, set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ is linearly independent.

Since V is n -dimensional and we have found n linearly independent eigenvectors, they form a basis for V . With respect to this basis of eigenvectors, the matrix representation of T is diagonal, with the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ as the diagonal entries. That is, in this basis, T is represented by the diagonal matrix

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, T is diagonalizable. \square

Problem 3. Let T be an invertible linear transformation on a vector space V . Prove that a scalar λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if λ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of T^{-1} .

Solution. By definition, λ is an eigenvalue of T if there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $T(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Since T is invertible, we can apply T^{-1} to both sides to get $\mathbf{v} = \lambda T^{-1}(\mathbf{v})$. Rearranging gives us $T^{-1}(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v}$. Since $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, λ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of T^{-1} with eigenvector \mathbf{v} .

Assume that λ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of T^{-1} . Then, by definition, there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ such that $T^{-1}(\mathbf{v}) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v}$. Applying T to both sides, we get $T(T^{-1}(\mathbf{v})) = T(\lambda^{-1}\mathbf{v}) \Rightarrow \mathbf{v} = \lambda^{-1}T(\mathbf{v})$. Multiplying both sides by λ , we obtain $\lambda\mathbf{v} = T(\mathbf{v})$. Since $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, this shows that λ is an eigenvalue of T with eigenvector \mathbf{v} .

Therefore, λ is an eigenvalue of T if and only if λ^{-1} is an eigenvalue of T^{-1} . \square

Problem 4. Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. This problem is to conclude that AB and BA have exactly the same set of eigenvalues.

(i) Assume that $\lambda I - AB$ is invertible. Prove that

$$(\lambda I - BA)[I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A] = \lambda I.$$

(ii) Use part (i) to prove that AB and BA have the same eigenvalues. (Note: The algebraic multiplicity of the same eigenvalues may not be the same.)

Solution to (i). Expanding the left-hand side gives us

$$(\lambda I - BA)I + (\lambda I - BA)B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A = \lambda I - BA + (\lambda I - BA)B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A.$$

Expanding the second term

$$(\lambda I - BA)B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A = \lambda B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A - BAB(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A.$$

Notice that we can rewrite $BAB(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ as $B(AB)(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ and since we know that $AB(\lambda I - AB)^{-1} = I - \lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}$, we can substitute this back into our original equation to get

$$\lambda I - BA + \lambda B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A - B(I - \lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1})A.$$

Distributing B in the last term gives us

$$B(I - \lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1})A = BA - B\lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A.$$

Substituting this back, we get

$$\lambda I - BA + \lambda B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A - BA + B\lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A.$$

Now, observe that the terms $\lambda B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ and $B\lambda(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ are the same, so they cancel out, leaving us with

$$\lambda I - BA + BA - BA = \lambda I.$$

Therefore, we have shown that

$$(\lambda I - BA) [I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A] = \lambda I. \quad \square$$

Solution to (ii). Taking determinants on both sides from the equation proved in part (i), we get

$$\det((\lambda I - BA) [I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A]) = \det(\lambda I).$$

Using the determinant property $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, we rewrite this as

$$\det(\lambda I - BA) \cdot \det(I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A) = \det(\lambda I).$$

If $\lambda I - AB$ is invertible, we analyze $I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$. Suppose $I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ is invertible, then

$$\det(\lambda I - BA) = \frac{\det(\lambda I)}{\det(I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A)}.$$

Since the right-hand side is nonzero when $I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ is invertible, this means that $\lambda I - BA$ is invertible whenever $\lambda I - AB$ is invertible.

Eigenvalues correspond to values of λ for which the matrix $\lambda I - AB$ is not invertible, meaning

$$\det(\lambda I - AB) = 0.$$

From our determinant equation, if $\lambda I - AB$ is singular (i.e., $\det(\lambda I - AB) = 0$), then $\lambda I - BA$ must also be singular (i.e., $\det(\lambda I - BA) = 0$). This shows that if λ is an eigenvalue of AB , then it is also an eigenvalue of BA .

Conversely, if $\lambda I - BA$ is singular, then $I + B(\lambda I - AB)^{-1}A$ must be non-invertible, implying that $\lambda I - AB$ is also singular. This proves that if λ is an eigenvalue of BA , then it is also an eigenvalue of AB .

Thus, AB and BA have exactly the same eigenvalues. \square

Problem 5. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Let g be a polynomial over \mathbb{C} . Prove that c is an eigenvalue of $g(A)$ if and only if $c = g(\lambda)$ for some eigenvalue λ of A .

Solution. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and let g be a polynomial over \mathbb{C} . Suppose $g(A)$ is formed by evaluating g at A , meaning that

$$g(A) = \sum_{k=0}^m a_k A^k.$$

Suppose c is an eigenvalue of $g(A)$. By definition, there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $g(A)\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{v}$. We need to show that $c = g(\lambda)$ for some eigenvalue λ of A . To do so, we note that \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of $g(A)$, corresponding to the eigenvalue λ . To justify this, recall that any polynomial of a matrix acts naturally on its eigenvectors. Since matrix polynomials respect the structure of eigenspaces, applying $g(A)$ to an eigenvector \mathbf{v} results in $g(A)\mathbf{v} = g(\lambda)\mathbf{v}$. Since we already assumed $g(A)\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{v}$, comparing the two equations gives $c\mathbf{v} = g(\lambda)\mathbf{v}$. Since $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we must have $c = g(\lambda)$.

Suppose λ is an eigenvalue of A and $c = g(\lambda)$. Since λ is an eigenvalue of A , there exists a nonzero vector $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$. Applying $g(A)$ to \mathbf{v} , we compute $g(A)\mathbf{v} = g(\lambda)\mathbf{v} = c\mathbf{v}$. This shows that \mathbf{v} is an eigenvector of $g(A)$ with eigenvalue c , meaning that c is an eigenvalue of $g(A)$.

Therefore, c is an eigenvalue of $g(A)$ if and only if $c = g(\lambda)$ for some eigenvalue λ of A . \square

Problem 6. Suppose $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Prove that for any $\mathbf{v} \in V$, there exists a unique pair of vectors $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$.

Solution. To prove the statement, we must show that for every $\mathbf{v} \in V$, there exists a unique pair $(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$, with $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$.

Since $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$, by definition of the direct sum, every vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ can be written as a sum of two vectors $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$, where $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$ where $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W_2$. Thus, such a decomposition always exists.

Suppose there exist two different representations $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}'_1 + \mathbf{w}'_2$, where $\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}'_1 \in W_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2, \mathbf{w}'_2 \in W_2$. Then we have $\mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{w}'_1 + \mathbf{w}'_2$. Rearranging gives us $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}'_1 = \mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}'_2$. Since $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}'_1 \in W_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}'_2 \in W_2$ and because $W_1 \cap W_2 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$, we must have $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}'_1 = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 - \mathbf{w}'_2 = \mathbf{0}$. That is, $\mathbf{w}_1 = \mathbf{w}'_1$ and $\mathbf{w}_2 = \mathbf{w}'_2$. Thus, the pair $(\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2)$ is unique. \square

Problem 7. True or False. (No explanation needed.)

- (i) Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, then A has exactly n eigenvalues (counting the multiplicities).
- (ii) Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a linear transformation, where $\dim(V) = n$. Then T is diagonalizable if and only if T has n distinct eigenvalues.
- (iii) Similar matrices always have the same eigenvalues.
- (iv) Similar matrices always have the same eigenvectors.
- (v) The sum of two eigenvectors of a linear transformation T is always an eigenvector of T .
- (vi) If λ_1 and λ_2 are distinct eigenvalues of a linear transformation, then $E_{\lambda_1} \cap E_{\lambda_2} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.
- (vii) A linear transformation T on a finite-dimensional vector space is diagonalizable if and only if the algebraic multiplicity of each eigenvalue λ equals to its geometric multiplicity.
- (viii) Suppose $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_m \subset V$ are subspaces. Then $W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_m$ is a direct sum if $W_i \cap W_j = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ for any $i \neq j$.

Solution to (i). True. \square

Solution to (ii). False. \square

Solution to (iii). True. \square

Solution to (iv). False. \square

Solution to (v). False. \square

Solution to (vi). True. \square

Solution to (vii). True. \square

Solution to (viii). False. \square