

## SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 8

**Warning:** Little proofreading has been done.

### 1. SECTION 3.3

**Exercise 3.3.5** Decide whether the following propositions are true or false. If the claim is valid, supply a short proof, and if the claim is false, provide a counterexample.

- (a) An arbitrary intersection of compact sets is compact.
- (b) An arbitrary union of compact sets is compact.
- (c) Let  $A$  be arbitrary, and let  $K$  be compact. Then the intersection  $A \cap K$  is compact.
- (d) If  $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq F_3 \supseteq F_4 \supseteq \cdots$  is a nested sequence of nonempty closed sets, then the intersection  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$ .

*Solution to (a).* True. Let  $(K_i)_{i \in I}$  be a family of compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$ , with  $I \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $K_i$  is closed for every  $i \in I$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$  is closed.

Choose some  $i_0 \in I$ . Then  $K_{i_0}$  is bounded. Since  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i \subseteq K_{i_0}$ , this set is also bounded. Therefore  $\bigcap_{i \in I} K_i$  is compact.  $\square$

*Solution to (b).* False. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = [n, n+1]$ . Then  $F_n$  is compact. However,  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = [1, \infty)$  is unbounded and, hence not compact.  $\square$

*Solution to (c).* False. Set  $A = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $K = [0, 1]$ . Then  $K$  is closed and bounded, hence compact. However,  $A \cap K = (0, 1]$  is not closed, because 0 is a limit point of  $(0, 1]$  (proved below) but  $0 \notin (0, 1]$ . So  $A \cap K$  is not compact.  $\square$

*Solution to (d).* False. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $F_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : m \geq n\} = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ . Then  $F_n$  is closed, since  $F_n$  has no limit points, and  $F_1 \supseteq F_2 \supseteq F_3 \supseteq F_4 \supseteq \cdots$ . However, the intersection  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ .  $\square$

**Problem 3.3.9** Follow these steps to prove the final implication in Theorem 3.3.8.

Assume  $K$  satisfies (i) and (ii), and let  $\{O_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be an open cover for  $K$ . For contradiction, let's assume that no finite sub cover exists. Let  $I_0$  be a closed interval containing  $K$ .

- (a) Show that there exists a nested sequence of closed intervals  $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$  with the property that, for each  $n$ ,  $I_n \cap K$  cannot be finitely covered and  $\lim |I_n| = 0$ .
- (b) Argue that there must exist an  $x \in K$  such that  $x \in I_n$  for all  $n$ .
- (c) Because  $x \in K$ , there must exist an open set  $O_{\lambda_0}$  from the original collection that contains  $x$  as an element. Explain how this leads to the desired contradiction.

*Solution to (a).* We bisect  $I_0$  into two intervals,  $I_0^{(1)}$  and  $I_0^{(2)}$ . At least one of  $I_0^{(1)} \cap K$  and  $I_0^{(2)} \cap K$  cannot be finitely covered. Choose one interval that cannot be, call it  $I_1$ . We then bisect  $I_1$  and choose  $I_2$  as one of the half intervals whose intersection with  $K$  cannot be finitely covered. Continue this process, we obtain a nested closed intervals  $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \cdots$ , so that  $I_n \cap K$  cannot be finitely covered. By our construction,  $|I_n| = |I_0|/2^n$  so that  $\lim I_n = 0$ .  $\square$

*Solution to (b).* This follows from Nested Compact Set Property, since  $K \cap I_n$  is compact (proved in Exercise 3.3.4 (a)).  $\square$

*Solution to (c).* Since  $x$  is an element of the open set  $O_{\lambda_0}$ , there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the open interval  $V_\varepsilon(x) = (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  is a subset of  $O_{\lambda_0}$ . Since  $\lim |I_n| = 0$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|I_n| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $n \geq N$ . This shows that  $I_n$  is a subset of  $(x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$  and, in particular, a subset of  $O_{\lambda_0}$ . But this means that  $I_n$ , for  $n \geq N$ , can be covered by *one* open set in the original cover. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 2. SECTION 4.2

**Exercise 4.2.2** For each stated limit, find the largest possible  $\delta$ -neighborhood that is a proper response to the given  $\varepsilon$  challenge.

- (a)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} (5x - 6) = 9$ , where  $\varepsilon = 1$ .  
 (b)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 4} \sqrt{x} = 2$ , where  $\varepsilon = 1$ .

*Solution to (a).* The largest possible value of  $\delta$  is  $\delta = 1/5$ , since

$$|(5x - 6) - 9| = |5x - 15| = 5|x - 3| < \varepsilon = 1$$

is equivalent to  $|x - 3| < 1/5$ . □

*Solution to (b).* The largest possible value of  $\delta$  is  $\delta = 3$ . We need

$$|\sqrt{x} - 2| < \varepsilon = 1$$

which is equivalent to

$$1 = -1 + 2\sqrt{x} < 2 + 1 = 3,$$

or, after taking square,

$$1 < x < 9$$

so that

$$-3 < x - 4 < 5 \quad \text{or} \quad -5 < 4 - x < 3.$$

Thus, the largest interval for  $|x - 4| < \delta$  is when  $\delta = 3$ . □

**Exercise 4.2.5** Use Definition 4.2.1 to supply proofs for the following limit statements.

- (a)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (3x + 4) = 10$ .  
 (b)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^3 = 0$ .  
 (c)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 2} (x^2 + x - 1) = 5$ .  
 (d)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 3} 1/x = 1/3$ .

*Solution to (a).* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define  $\delta = \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ . Then  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ . Then

$$|(3x + 4) - 10| = |3x - 6| = 3|x - 2| < 3\delta = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

*Solution to (b).* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define  $\delta = \sqrt[3]{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $0 < |x| < \delta$ . Then

$$|x^3| = |x|^3 < (\sqrt[3]{\varepsilon})^3 = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof. □

*Solution to (c).* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define

$$\delta = \min\left(1, \frac{\varepsilon}{6}\right).$$

Then  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $0 < |x - 2| < \delta$ . Then  $1 < x < 3$ , so

$$|x + 3| \leq 3 + 3 \leq 6.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |(x^2 + x - 1) - 5| &= |x^2 + x - 6| = |(x + 3)(x - 2)| \leq |x + 3| \cdot |x - 2| \\ &\leq 6|x - 2| < 6\delta \leq 6 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{6} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

*Solution to (d).* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define

$$\delta = \min(1, 6\varepsilon).$$

Then  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $0 < |x - 3| < \delta$ . Then  $2 < x < 4$ , so

$$\frac{1}{3|x|} = \frac{1}{3x} \leq \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2} = \frac{1}{6}.$$

Now

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{3} \right| = \frac{|x - 3|}{3x} \leq \frac{1}{6}|x - 3| < \frac{1}{6}\delta \leq \frac{1}{6} \cdot 6\varepsilon = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Example 4.2.6** Decide if the following claims are true or false, and give short justifications for each conclusion.

- (a) If a particular  $\delta$  has been constructed as a suitable response to a particular  $\varepsilon$  challenge, then any smaller  $\delta$  will also suffice.
- (b) If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$  and  $a$  happens to be in the domain of  $f$ , then  $L = f(a)$ .
- (c) If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} 3[f(x) - 2]^2 = 3(L - 2)^2$ .
- (d) If  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = 0$ , then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x)g(x) = 0$  for any function  $g$  (with domain equal to the domain of  $f$ ).

*Solution to (a).* Let  $A$  be the domain of  $f$ . Suppose we know that for every  $x \in A$  with  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ , we have  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ . Suppose  $0 < \delta_0 < \delta$ . Then for every  $x \in A$  with  $0 < |x - c| < \delta_0$ , we have  $0 < |x - c| < \delta$ , so  $|f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$ .  $\square$

*Solution to (b).* This is not true. For example, consider the function  $f$  defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \neq 0, \\ 1 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0 \neq 1 = f(0)$ .  $\square$

*Solution to (c).* This is true. It follows from the algebraic limit theorem (Corollary 4.2.4).  $\square$

*Solution to (d).* This is false. For example, let  $f(x) = x$  and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x^2} & x \neq 0, \\ 0 & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x) = 0$ , but

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)g(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x}$$

does not exist.  $\square$