

## SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 6

**Warning:** Little proofreading has been done.

### 1. SECTION 2.7

**Exercise 2.7.4** Give an example of each or explain why the request is impossible referencing the proper theorem(s).

- (a) Two series  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum y_n$  that both diverge but where  $\sum x_n y_n$  converges.
- (b) A convergent series  $\sum x_n$  and a bounded sequence  $(y_n)$  such that  $\sum x_n y_n$  diverges.
- (c) Two sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  where  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum (x_n + y_n)$  both converge but where  $\sum y_n$  diverges.
- (d) A sequence  $(x_n)$  satisfying  $0 \leq x_n \leq 1/n$  where  $\sum (-1)^n x_n$  diverges.

*Solution.* (a) Let  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $y_n = \frac{1}{n}$ . Then both  $\sum x_n$  and  $\sum y_n$  diverge but  $\sum x_n y_n = \sum \frac{1}{n^2}$  converges.

(b) Let  $x_n = (-1)^n/n$  and  $(y_n) = (-1)^n$ . Then  $\sum x_n$  converges and  $(y_n)$  is bounded, but  $\sum x_n y_n = \sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges.

(c) This is impossible. In fact, since  $\sum y_n = \sum (x_n + y_n) - \sum x_n$  and both series in the right hand side converge,  $\sum y_n$  converges by Theorem 2.7.1.

(d) Let  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_{2n} = \frac{1}{2n}$  and  $x_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2n(2n+1)}$ . Then  $0 \leq x_n \leq \frac{1}{n}$ . However,

$$x_{2n} - x_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2n} - \left(\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2n+1}\right) = \frac{1}{2n+1},$$

so that the partial sum

$$\sum_{n=1}^{2m+1} (-1)^n x_n = -1 + (x_2 + x_3) + (x_4 + x_5) + \dots + (x_{2m} + x_{2m+1}) = -1 + \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{2n+1},$$

which is unbounded, hence  $\sum (-1)^n x_n$  diverges.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.7.7** (a) Show that if  $a_n > 0$  and  $\lim(na_n) = l$  with  $l > 0$ , then the series  $\sum a_n$  diverges.

(b) Assume  $a_n > 0$  and  $\lim(n^2 a_n)$  exists. Show that  $\sum a_n$  converges.

*Solution.* (a) Since  $a_n > 0$  and  $\lim na_n = l$ . Let  $\varepsilon = l/2 > 0$ . Then there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that, for all  $n \geq N$ ,

$$|a_n - l| < \varepsilon = l/2,$$

which implies that  $|a_n - l| \geq l/2$  or

$$|a_n| = |l - (l - a_n)| \geq l - |a_n - l| \geq l - l/2 = l/2.$$

Hence, for  $n \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=N}^n a_k \geq \frac{l}{2} \sum_{k=N}^n 1 = \frac{l}{2}(n - N)$$

is unbounded. By Cauchy criterion, the series  $\sum a_n$  diverges.  $\square$

(b) Since  $\sum na_n^2$  converges,  $n^2 a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by Theorem 2.7.3. In particular, the sequence is bounded. That is, there is  $M > 0$  such that

$$0 < n^2 a_n \leq M, \quad \text{or} \quad a_n \leq M/n^2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Since  $\sum 1/n^2$  converges,  $\sum a_n$  converges by the Comparison Test.  $\square$

**Exercise 2.7.8.** Consider each of the following propositions. Provide short proofs for those that are true and counterexamples for any that are not.

- (a) If  $\sum a_n$  converges absolutely, then  $\sum a_n^2$  also converges absolutely.
- (b) If  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum b_n$  converges, then  $\sum a_n b_n$  converges.

(c) If  $\sum a_n$  converges conditionally, then  $\sum n^2 a_n$  diverges.

*Solution.* (a) Since  $\sum |a_n|$  converges,  $|a_n| \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $|a_n|$  is bounded. There is an  $M > 0$  such that  $|a_n| \leq M$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k| \cdot |a_k| \leq M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k|.$$

By comparison test,  $\sum a_k^2$  converges.

(b) This is not true. For example, let  $a_n = b_n = (-1)^n / \sqrt{n}$ . Then  $\sum a_n$  and  $\sum b_n$  converge by the Theorem of Alternating Series, but  $\sum a_n b_n = \sum \frac{1}{n}$  diverges.

(c) This is true. If  $\sum n^2 a_n$  were to converge, then as in Exercise 2.7.7b, we see that there would be  $M > 0$  such that  $|a_n| \leq M/n^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that  $\sum |a_n|$  converges by Comparison Test. This is a contradiction to the assumption that  $\sum a_n$  converges conditionally.  $\square$

## 2. SECTION 3.2

### Exercise 3.2.1

- (a) Where in the proof of Theorem 3.2.3 part (ii) does the assumption that the collection of open sets be *finite* get used?
- (b) Give an example of an infinite collection of nested open sets

$$O_1 \supset O_2 \supset O_3 \supset \dots$$

whose intersection  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$  is closed, not empty and not all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Solution.* (a) We need  $N$  to be finite so that we can say that  $\inf(\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N\}) > 0$ . This is true because

$$\inf(\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N\}) = \min(\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N\}).$$

(b) We have seen that  $[-1, 1]$  is closed, and that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the interval  $(-1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n})$  is open. Clearly

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( -1 - \frac{1}{n}, 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) = [-1, 1].$$

$\square$

**Exercise 3.2.3** Decide whether the following sets are open, closed, or neither. If a set is not open, find a point in the set for which there is no  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood contained in the set. If a set is not closed, find a limit point that is not contained in the set.

- (a)  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (b)  $\mathbb{N}$ .
- (c)  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 0\}$ .

*Solution.* (a) The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not open. Consider  $0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ . Set

$$a = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2n}.$$

We know  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ , so also  $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . But  $0 < a < \frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon$ , so  $a \in V_{\varepsilon}(0)$ .

The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  is also not closed. We know  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ . However, we claim that  $\sqrt{2}$  is a limit point of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . To see this, let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The order density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  implies that there is  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\sqrt{2} - \varepsilon < r < \sqrt{2} + \varepsilon$ . Then  $r \in V_{\varepsilon}(\sqrt{2})$  but  $r \neq \sqrt{2}$ , as desired.  $\square$

We can also see that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not closed by combining Theorem 3.2.10 and Theorem 3.2.5 to show that  $\sqrt{2}$  is a limit point of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

(b) The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is not open. Consider  $1 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $a = 1 + \min(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon)$  satisfies  $a \notin \mathbb{N}$  but  $a \in V_{\varepsilon}(0)$ .

The set  $\mathbb{N}$  is closed since it has no limit point. It can also be seen by showing that  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  is open. First observe that  $(-\infty, 1)$  is open. One can prove this directly, or write it as the union of bounded open intervals as follows:

$$(-\infty, 1) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, 1).$$

We can now write  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$  as a union of open sets:

$$\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N} = (-\infty, 1) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (n, n+1).$$

(c) The set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 0\}$  is open, since we can write it as a union of sets that are known to be open:

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (-n, 0) \cup (0, n).$$

However, this set is not closed. Clearly  $0 \notin \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 0\}$ , but we claim that 0 is a limit point of  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \neq 0\}$ . So let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then  $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon \in V_\varepsilon(0)$ , proving the claim.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.2.6** Decide whether the following statements are true or false. Provide counterexamples for those that are false, and supply proofs for those that are true.

- (a) An open set that contains every rational number must necessarily be all of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) The Nested Interval Property remains true if the term “closed interval” is replaced by “closed set.”
- (c) Every nonempty open set contains a rational number.
- (d) Every bounded infinite closed set contains a rational number.

*Solution.* (a) This is true. Let the set be denoted by  $S$ . For each  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there is an  $\varepsilon(r) > 0$  such that  $V_{\varepsilon(r)}(r) \subset S$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , we see that

$$S = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} V_{\varepsilon(r)}(r).$$

By the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $S$  contains all irrational numbers and, hence, all real numbers. Then  $S = \mathbb{R}$ .

(b) This is false. For example, let  $F_n = \{m \in \mathbb{N} : m \geq n\}$ ; that is,  $F_n = \{n, n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ . Then  $F_n$  contains only isolated points, so that  $F_n$  is a closed set. Evidently,  $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset F_3 \supset \dots$ . However,  $\bigcap_n F_n = \emptyset$ .

(c) This is true. Let  $O$  be such a set. Since it is nonempty, it contains at least one element  $a$ . By definition, there is an  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood  $V_\varepsilon(a) \subset O$ . By the density of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there are rational numbers in  $V_\varepsilon(a)$  and, hence, in  $O$ .

(d) This is false. For example, let  $S = \{\sqrt{2}\} \cup \{\sqrt{2}(1 + 1/n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $S$  is infinite, bounded (by  $\sqrt{2}$  from below and  $2\sqrt{2}$  from above), and is closed (with  $\sqrt{2}$  as the only limiting point). However,  $S$  does not contain any rational point.  $\square$