

# Funds of Anal I: Homework 1

Due on October 16, 2024 at 13:00

*Yuan Xu 13:00*

**Hashem A. Damrah**

UO ID: 952102243



## Exercise 1.3.1

- ① Write a formal definition in the style of Definition 1.3.2 for the *infimum* or *greatest lower bound* of a set.
- ② Now, state and prove a version of Lemma 1.3.8 for greatest lower bound.

## Solution 1.3.1

- ① **Definition 1.3.2B.** A real number  $t$  is the *infimum* or *greatest lower bound* of a set  $S$  if
  - (a)  $t$  is a lower bound of  $S$ , and
  - (b) if  $t'$  is any lower bound of  $S$ , then  $t \leq t'$ .
- ② **Lemma 1.3.8B.** Assume  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is a lower bound for a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $s = \inf(A)$  if and only if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an element  $a \in A$  such that  $s + \varepsilon > a$ .

**Proof:** Assume  $s = \inf(A)$  and consider  $s + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then,  $s + \varepsilon$  cannot be a lower bound on  $A$  because (ii) implies all lower bounds  $b$  must be such that  $s \leq b$ . Therefore, there must exist an element  $a \in A$  such that  $s + \varepsilon > a$ .

Conversely, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $a \in A$  such that  $s + \varepsilon > a$ . Then,  $s + \varepsilon$  is not a lower bound for all  $\varepsilon$ , which is the same as saying every lower bound  $b$  must have  $b \leq s$  (ii).  $\square$



**Exercise 1.3.7**

Prove that if  $a$  is an upper bound for  $A$ , and if  $a$  is also an element of  $A$ , then it must be that  $a = \sup(A)$ .

**Solution 1.3.7**

**Proof:** If  $a$  is an upper bound for the set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and is an element of  $A$ , then, by definition,  $a = \max(A) = \sup(A)$ .  $\square$



### Exercise 1.3.9

- ① If  $\sup(A) < \sup(B)$ , show that there exists an element  $b \in B$  that is an upper bound for  $A$ .
- ② Give an example to show that this is not always the case if we only assume  $\sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$ .

### Solution 1.3.9

- ① **Proof:** We'll prove this case by case.

**Case: 1** If  $\sup(B) = \max(B)$ , then we can choose  $b = \sup(B)$  and  $b \in B$ .

**Case: 2** Since  $\sup(B) > \sup(A)$ , then, by the Theorem 1.4.3 [Density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ], there exists some  $c$  in between  $\sup(A)$  and  $\sup(B)$ . Let  $c = (\sup(A) + \sup(B))/2$ . Then,  $c \in B$ , since  $\sup(B) > c$ . Therefore,  $\sup(B) > c > \sup(A)$  and  $c \in B$ . □

- ② Let  $A = (-\infty, 1]$  and  $B = (-\infty, 1)$ , then  $\sup(A) = 1$  and  $\sup(B) = 1$ . However, there is no element  $b \in B$  that is an upper bound for  $A$ .



**Exercise 1.3.11**

Decide if the following statements about suprema and infima are true or false. Give a short proof for those that are true. For any that are false, supply an example where the claim in question does not appear to hold.

- ① If  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty, bounded, and satisfy  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$ .
- ② If  $\sup(A) < \inf(B)$  for sets  $A$  and  $B$ , then there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $a < c < b$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .
- ③ If there exists a  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $a < c < b$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ , then  $\sup(A) < \inf(B)$ .

**Solution 1.3.11**

- ① True: If  $A \subseteq B$ , this means that  $\forall x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$ . This means that  $\sup(A) \in B$ , but not always the case that  $\sup(B) \in A$ . Therefore,  $\sup(A) \leq \sup(B)$ .
- ② True: Since  $\sup(A) < \sup(B)$ , then, by the Theorem 1.4.3 [Density of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ ], there exists some  $c$  in between  $\sup(A)$  and  $\sup(B)$ . Let  $c = (\sup(A) + \sup(B))/2$ . Then,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $a < c < b$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$ .
- ③ False: Let  $A = (-\infty, 1]$  and  $B = [1, \infty)$ , then  $1 < 1$ .



## Exercise 1.4.1

Recall that  $\mathbf{I}$  stands for the set of irrational numbers.

- ① Show that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $ab$  and  $a + b$  are elements of  $\mathbb{Q}$  as well.
- ② Show that if  $a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ , then  $a + t \in \mathbf{I}$  and  $at \in \mathbf{I}$  as long as  $a \neq 0$ .
- ③ Part ① can be summarized by saying that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is closed under addition and multiplication. Is  $\mathbf{I}$  closed under addition and multiplication? Given two irrational numbers  $s$  and  $t$ , what can we say about  $s + t$  and  $st$ ?

## Solution 1.4.1

- ① **Proof:** The rational numbers  $a$  and  $b$  can be expressed as  $a = p/q$  and  $b = r/s$ , where  $p, q, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q, s \neq 0$ . Then,  $a + b = p/q + r/s = \frac{ps+rq}{qs}$ , where  $ps + rq \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $qs \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $a + b \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $ab = p/q \cdot r/s = pr/qs$ , where  $pr \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $qs \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $ab \in \mathbb{Q}$ . □
- ② **Proof:** Suppose  $a + t \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then, by ①,  $(a + t) - a \in \mathbb{Q}$ , contradicting the initial assumption that  $t \in \mathbf{I}$ . □
- ③ No,  $\mathbf{I}$  is not closed under addition and multiplication. For example,  $\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} = 0$  and  $\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} = 2$ .



**Exercise 1.4.3**

Prove that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n) = \emptyset$ . Notice that this demonstrates that the intervals in the Nested Interval Property must be closed for the conclusion of the theorem to hold.

**Solution 1.4.3**

**Proof:** Suppose  $x \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n)$ , then we have  $0 < x < 1/n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . However, this is impossible by the Archimedean Property. Therefore,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (0, 1/n) = \emptyset$ . □





**Exercise 1.4.4**

Let  $a < b$  be real numbers and consider the set  $T = \mathbb{Q} \cap [a, b]$ . Show  $\sup(T) = b$ .

**Solution 1.4.4**

**Proof:** The intersection of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $[a, b]$  is the interval  $[a, b]$ . Since  $b$  is an upper bound for  $T$ , then  $b = \sup(T)$ . □

