

Orthogonal Complements and Orthogonal Projection.

Definition. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a subspace of V . Then the orthogonal complement of W , denoted by W^\perp , is the set of vectors in V that are orthogonal to every vector in W .
i.e. $W^\perp = \{ \vec{x} \in V : (\vec{x}, \vec{w}) = 0 \text{ for all } \vec{w} \in W \}$.

Proposition: 1) W^\perp is a subspace of V .

2). Let $B \subseteq W$ be a basis. Then $\vec{x} \in W^\perp$ iff \vec{x} is orthogonal to every vector in B .

3). $W \cap W^\perp = \{ \vec{0} \}$, thus the sum $W + W^\perp$ is a direct sum.

4). $V = W \oplus W^\perp$. In particular, $\dim V = \dim W + \dim W^\perp$.

Proof: 1). $\vec{0} \in W^\perp \Rightarrow W^\perp \neq \emptyset$.

② $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in W^\perp$ and $c \in F$.

$$\forall \vec{w} \in W: (c\vec{x} + \vec{y}, \vec{w}) = c(\vec{x}, \vec{w}) + (\vec{y}, \vec{w}) = 0 \Rightarrow c\vec{x} + \vec{y} \in W^\perp.$$

$\Rightarrow W^\perp$ is a subspace.

2) " \Leftarrow " let $B = \{ \vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_k \}$ be a basis of W .

let $\vec{x} \in V$ such that $(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_i) = 0$ for all $i=1, \dots, k$

$$\forall \vec{y} \in W: \vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{y}_i.$$

$$\text{Then } (\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (\vec{x}, \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \vec{y}_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (\vec{x}, \vec{y}_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{x} \in W^\perp.$$

" \Rightarrow " obvious by the definition of W^\perp .

3). $\forall \vec{x} \in W \cap W^\perp$, Viewing $\vec{x} \in W$ and $\vec{x} \in W^\perp$: $(\vec{x}, \vec{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

4) Suppose $\{\vec{y}_1, \dots, \vec{y}_k\}$ is an orthogonal basis of W .

$$\forall \vec{x} \in V: \text{ let } \vec{x}_W = \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{(\vec{x}, \vec{y}_i)}{(\vec{y}_i, \vec{y}_i)} \vec{y}_i \in W.$$

Then by the previous lemma $(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_W, \vec{y}_i) = 0$, for all $i=1, \dots, k$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{x} - \vec{x}_W \in W^\perp \quad (\text{by 2}).$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{x} = \vec{x}_W + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_W)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall \vec{x} \in W \oplus W^\perp.$$

Definition Let $\vec{x} \in V$.

By the above proposition there exists

a unique pair of vectors $\vec{x}_W \in W$ and $\vec{z} \in W^\perp$ such

that $\vec{x} = \vec{x}_W + \vec{z}$. Then \vec{x}_W is called the orthogonal projection of \vec{x} onto W .

Remarks: By the proof of 4) in the above proposition, by fixing an orthonormal basis of W , one may explicitly compute \vec{x}_W .

- The adjoint of a linear transformation

Theorem. Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space. Let

$g: V \rightarrow F$ be a linear functional. Then there exists a unique $\vec{y} \in V$

such that $g(\vec{x}) = (\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ for any $\vec{x} \in V$.

Proof: Let $\{\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of V .

[Idea: Let $\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \vec{v}_i$ we need to compute a_i .

Then \vec{y} satisfies: $g(\vec{v}_k) = (\vec{v}_k, \vec{y})$ for all $k=1, \dots, n$

$$= (\vec{v}_k, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \vec{v}_i)$$

$$= (\vec{v}_k, a_k \vec{v}_k) = \overline{a_k} (\vec{v}_k, \vec{v}_k) = \overline{a_k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{g(\vec{v}_k)} = a_k$$

Existence:

Let $\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{g(\vec{v}_i)} \vec{v}_i$. Then for any $\vec{x} = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \vec{v}_j \in V$

$$(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \vec{v}_j, \sum_{i=1}^n \overline{g(\vec{v}_i)} \vec{v}_i \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i g(\vec{v}_i) (\vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_i)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n g(c_i \vec{v}_i) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \vec{v}_i\right) = g(\vec{x}).$$

Uniqueness: If there exists \vec{y} and \vec{y}' such that
 $g(\vec{x}) = (\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (\vec{x}, \vec{y}')$ for all \vec{x}

$$\Rightarrow (\vec{x}, \vec{y} - \vec{y}') = 0 \text{ for all } \vec{x} \in V.$$

In particular, take $\vec{x} = \vec{y} - \vec{y}'$ then $(\vec{y} - \vec{y}', \vec{y} - \vec{y}') = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{y} - \vec{y}' = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{y} = \vec{y}'.$$

Theorem: Let V be a finite dimensional inner product space.

Let T be a linear transformation on V . Then there

exists a unique function $T^*: V \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(T(\vec{x}), \vec{y}) = (\vec{x}, T^*(\vec{y})) \text{ for all } \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V. \text{ Furthermore,}$$

T^* is linear.

Def'n: The linear transformation $T^*: V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $(T(\vec{x}), \vec{y}) = (\vec{x}, T^*(\vec{y}))$ for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in V$ is called the adjoint of linear transformation T .

Examples: i). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Consider standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n

$$\text{and } L_A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \text{ w/ } L_A(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n: (L_A(\vec{x}), \vec{y}) &= (A\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = (A\vec{x})^T \vec{y} \\ &= \vec{x}^T A^T \vec{y} = (\vec{x}, \underbrace{A^T \vec{y}}_{(L_A)^*(\vec{y})}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (L_A)^* = L_{A^T}.$$

a). Let $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$. Consider standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n

and $L_A: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ w/ $L_A(\vec{x}) = A\vec{x}$.

Then $\forall \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n: (L_A(\vec{x}), \vec{y}) = (A\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = \vec{y}^* A \vec{x}$

$$= (A^* \vec{y})^* \vec{x}$$

$$= (\vec{x}, \underbrace{A^* \vec{y}}_{(L_A)^*(\vec{y})})$$

$$\Rightarrow (L_A)^* = L_{A^*}.$$

end of Feb 21

Proof of the theorem.

For each $\vec{y} \in V$, Consider the function $g_{\vec{y}}: \vec{V} \rightarrow F$ defined

by $g_{\vec{y}}(\vec{x}) = (T(\vec{x}), \vec{y})$. for any $\vec{x} \in V$.

Then for any $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2 \in V$ and $c \in F$:

$$g_{\vec{y}}(c\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2) = (T(c\vec{x}_1 + \vec{x}_2), \vec{y})$$

$$= (cT(\vec{x}_1) + T(\vec{x}_2), \vec{y})$$

$$= c(T(\vec{x}_1), \vec{y}) + (T(\vec{x}_2), \vec{y})$$