

# Introduction to RecSys and IR

**Vladislav Goncharenko**

Senior researcher



MIPT  
spring 2025

# Vladislav Goncharenko

- Author of machine learning courses and Masters program at MIPT
- ML researcher (MIPT)
- Team lead of video ranking team at Dzen (yandex.ru)
- Ex-team lead of perception team at self-driving trucks
- Open source fan



# Science based learning techniques

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ddq8JIMhz7c>
- <https://www.coursera.org/learn/learning-how-to-learn>


coursera

Explore ▾

What do you want to learn?



Home > Browse > Personal Development > Personal Development



## Learning How to Learn: Powerful mental tools to help you master tough subjects

🌐 Taught in English | [22 languages available](#) | Some content may not be translated


Enroll for Free  
Starts Sep 13

Financial aid available

3,849,549 already enrolled

# HOW TO STUDY & LEARN

HUBERMAN LAB



# Communication



1. Course channel
2. Course chat
3. Support account @girafeai\_support

Teacher will probably not answer to direct messages due to large number of students!

# Grading



Для МФК:

- 100% - тесты на занятиях

Для полноценного курса:

- 30% - тесты на занятиях
- 70% - лабораторные работы

Лабораторные работы:

3 шт в течение семестра в виде jupyter notebook-ов

# Course plan



In total, there are lectures in the course:

1. Introduction, main concepts, formal statement
2. Metrics in ranking. Learning to rank
3. Matrix factorizations
4. Classical information retrieval
5. SLIM, neural networks for recommendations
6. RecSys in production
7. Case study

# Lecture plan



1. Setting the task of recommendation systems

- Basic concepts
- Types of feedback

2. Collaborative filtering

3. Other types of recommendations

- Content models
- Hybrid models

4. Recommendation systems in practice

- Ranking model
- Selection of candidates
- Reranking

5. Properties of recommender systems

# Why recommendation systems are needed

---

**girafe**  
**ai**


**01**



# Examples of recommender systems




video recommendations



# Examples of recommender systems




goods recommendations



# Examples of recommender systems



music recommendations





# Goals

- Provide best user experience
- Provide business value

# Examples of recommender systems



How to convince a person to stay on the video service?

- Suggest watching something else
- The proposed videos should be of interest to the user
- There should be variety in the proposals, so that they are not intrusive
- Etc

This is what recommendation systems do.

# Netflix Prize

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

02



# Netflix Prize

On October 2, 2006, a competition began to recommend films from Netflix, at that time a popular DVD rental company



- predict the ratings of films from 1 to 5
- RMSE error
- 17700 films
- ~ 480 thousand customers
- ~ 100 million ratings
- prize is \$1,000,000
- lasted almost 3 years
- 5100 teams participated



# Netflix Prize

★ Results on the train

Rank	Team Name	Best Score	% Improvement	Last Submit Time
1	<a href="#">The Ensemble</a>	0.8553	10.10	2009-07-26 18:38:22
2	<a href="#">BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos</a>	0.8554	10.09	2009-07-26 18:18:28

★ Results on the test

Rank	Team Name	Best Test Score	% Improvement	Best Submit Time
1	<a href="#">BellKor's Pragmatic Chaos</a>	0.8567	10.06	2009-07-26 18:18:28
2	<a href="#">The Ensemble</a>	0.8567	10.06	2009-07-26 18:38:22

The competition gave an impetus to the development of the field of recommendation systems and largely determined the vector of development



# Problem statement

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**03**




# Definition

**A recommender system** is a subclass of information filtering system that provide suggestions for items that are most pertinent to a particular user.

Recommender systems are particularly useful when an individual needs to choose an item from a potentially overwhelming number of items that a service may offer.

[Common knowledge site](#)



# Information retrieval subproblems



- Recommender systems
- Search engines
- Advertising

# Direction of recommendations



- recommend items to users
- or
- users to items
- ???



# Formal problem statement

- ★ Specified  $U$  - set of users,  $I$  - set of objects (items), that we recommend
- ★ For each user  $u \in U$  his interaction history: he interacted with the items  $I_u \subset I$ , to which I gave ratings.

$$R_u = (r_{ui})_{i \in I_u}$$

- ★ Such user ratings are called feedback

The goal is to offer the user new items that would be interesting to the user



**How to understand that an item is interesting to the user?**



# What interesting item is?

We can assess this by the quality of interaction.

For example:

- For the product that it was put in the basket
- For the music that it was listened to to the end
- For the article that it was liked
- For videos that have watched at least half of it
- Etc

# Feedback types

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**04**




# Explicit feedback



Explicit feedback is actions that express the user's explicit attitude to the product.



rating of the film  
from 1 to 5



like/dislike the track



product  
review




# Implicit feedback

Implicit feedback is any other information, user actions on the site/in the app. It does not reflect its explicit relationship to the product, but can act as a proxy to explicit feedback.



video viewing  
time



viewing an  
article



purchase of  
goods



# Features of explicit feedback

- Usually such data is quite small and more difficult to obtain (~1% of implicit usually)
- Dislike of an article does not mean that such articles should not be recommended to the user. Perhaps he is interested in the subject, but did not like this particular article
- Not all users leave explicit feedback, thus it is **highly biased** to this subset of users (usually about 10% of users)

There is a funnel of actions from implicit to the most complex ones



# Features of implicit feedback

- There is more such data
- Inevitable to leave such data
- As a rule, implicit data can be trusted less, since they express only implicit signals, and not explicit user ratings. For example, a user could buy a product as a gift, but he does not like the product himself
- Since the implicit signal has a different nature, techniques other than explicit feedback are usually used in the recommendation system to optimize it



# Collaborative matrix sparsity

Suppose we have  $10^7$  users and  $10^6$  items.

User rate 10 items on average. *What is the percentage of filled cells?*

$$10 * 10^7 / (10^7 * 10^6) = 10^{-5} = \mathbf{0.001\%}$$

User \ Item										
										
Peter										
Mary										
Vasia										
Kate										

# Types of user representations




In general, we want to recommend items (music/movie/article/product) to the user so that the user is happy (we work on long-term metrics)

A typical simplification of a user's happiness is whether a recommendation is liked at the moment. Or even a bigger simplification —do you like the item as a whole or any item rating for the user

The user himself can also be represented in different ways

1. As an unordered set of items that the user interacted with
2. As an unordered set of items with ratings
3. As a sequence of items with ratings
4. As a sequence of interactions taking into account the context

# General scheme

























# Collaborative methods

Item \ User	Item									
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Peter	Green	Black	Green	Orange	Orange	Black	Black	Green	Black	Black
Mary	Black	Green	Black	Orange	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black
Vasia	Orange	Black	Orange	Black	Orange	Black	Green	Black	Black	Green
Kate	Green	Black	Black	Green	Orange	Black	Black	Green	Black	Orange



# Content methods



		Item									
User											
Peter											



# Hybrid models

Hybrid models are understood as models of recommendation systems that use both collaborative and content information to build user recommendations at the same time



# Ranking model

1

Collaborative and content models have their advantages and disadvantages

2

When making recommendations, I want to use contextual information. For example, user preferences may vary on different days of the week

3

Information about the product would be useful: brand, price, etc.

In practice, as a rule, a ranking model is used, which combines various features



# Ranking model

Signs of such a model can be

1

Predictions of basic collaborative, content models

2

User attributes: gender, age, characteristics of the interaction history, etc.

3

Features of the object: price, weight, genre, characteristics of the history of interactions, etc.

4

Contextual information: day of the week, weather, location, etc.

# Ranking Model: Model approaches



Tasks for which such a model can be trained

1

Binary classification.  
The model predicts the presence or absence of a target positive interaction

2

Regression. For example, the model predicts the duration of watching a video, which determines the positivity of the interaction

3

Ranking. There are pairwise and listwise approaches, in which the model learns to correctly arrange a set of objects for the user

As a rule, variations of gradient boosting are used as a model, since at the moment they are better able to cope with tasks on tabular data.

# Selection of candidates

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**07**

# Candidates selection



Problems:

- ★ In a real recommendation system, there can be a huge number of items, about hundreds of millions or billions
- ★ With each user request for new recommendations, it is necessary to conduct all the items through the entire system
- ★ There are complex models that cannot be applied to millions of items
- ★ Solution:  
at the initial stage, select a relatively small number of candidates and apply the next steps only to them.  
Selection of candidates is the first step in the pipeline of recommendations

# Candidates selection



When selecting candidates, it is important not to spoil the completeness of suitable products, so that there is nothing to choose from at all.

Possible approaches:

- Heuristic: the most popular products, the most popular among residents of the same city, etc.
- Collaborative: we consider item2item or user2user to be similar
- Content similarities: looking for close embedding; can be quickly identified using data structures HNSW, FAISS, etc.
- Approaches that take into account business logic: fresh, new



# Reranking

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**08**



# Reranking

In addition, it is often necessary in practice to take into account different business logic in the recommended objects


For example:

- Limit the number of old or too long videos
- Provide diversity

To do this, after applying the ranking model, a re-ranking mechanism is used.

The objects (top) arranged by the model are rearranged taking into account the required conditions

# The final scheme



# The cold start problem

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

09 

# The cold start problem



1

Problem: how can I recommend something to a new user? How do I know who to show the new product to? How do I start recommending anything at all?

2

New user:

- external information
- onboarding
  - providing search query
- popular content
  - categories

3

New product:

- use content models
- fresh quota

# The cold start for item



- Show to some random users
  - Quota of new content. Can be ~5% of user shows
- Show based on category
  - can be annotated by author
- Content based recommendations
  - embedding based similarity

# The cold start for user



What to recommend to cold user?

- external information
  - age, sex, income
- onboarding
  - ask for preferred categories
- top most popular
  - lacks diversity
- top most popular by categories and external info

Such set of recommendations is called **cold feed**

# The cold start for user





# Feedback loop

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**10**

# Feedback loop aka content bubble



- The recommendation system learns from a lot of examples that it recommended itself. Because of this, we can get "stuck" in a local optimum, from which it is difficult to get out
- There are also risks that the recommendation system will adapt to a lot of users or products that are currently watching the most
- Simple solutions
  - Recommend fixed percent of random items to each user
  - Stratify training set by user groups or item groups



# Feedback loop

Quota for exploration ~5% of shows

- Random items
- Show cold feed
- More complex methods based on border of the bubble

# kNN collaborative filtering

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**01**



# Example

Consider an example. Green cells are likes, red cells are dislikes.

Item User \	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Peter	Green	Black	Green	Red	Red	Black	Black	Green	Black	Black
Mary	Black	Green	Black	Red	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black	Black
Vasia	Red	Black	Red	Black	Red	Black	Green	Black	Black	Green
Kate	Green	Black	Black	Green	Red	Black	Black	Green	Black	Red

What should I recommend to Kate?

Peter and Kate have similar interactions, so we recommend 3

And what is better not to show to Peter?

It's 10 because it was disliked by Kate



# Collaborative filtering

## Idea

We want to recommend products to the user that users like him liked

You can act differently. Namely, to recommend items similar to the ones the user liked. Similarities between atoms can be identified by user interaction

## Collaborative filtering

Generally it is a family of recommendation methods based on similarities in the history of interaction between users and products



# User2User recommendations

- ★ Consider some measure of similarity  $s(u, v)$  between users. Then we can consider as neighbors user  $u$  and users

$$N(u) = \{v \in U \setminus \{u\} \mid s(u, v) > \alpha\}$$

- ★ We want to offer the user  $u$  items that users like him liked. Let's evaluate the user's rating by the rating of neighbors.
- ★ After evaluating the ratings of unseen aitems, recommend a certain number of aitems with the maximum ratingcv
- ★ Denote:

$I_u$  — a lot of items that appreciated  
 $\bar{r}_u$  — average user rating



# User2User formulas

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} s(u, v) r_{vi}}{\sum_{v \in N(u)} |s(u, v)|}$$

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \bar{r}_u + \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} s(u, v) (r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sum_{v \in N(u)} |s(u, v)|}$$

$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \bar{r}_u + \sigma_u \frac{\sum_{v \in N(u)} s(u, v) (r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v) / \sigma_v}{\sum_{v \in N(u)} |s(u, v)|}$$

$$\sigma_u = \sqrt{\frac{1}{|I_u|} \sum_{i \in I_u} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)^2}$$



# User2User recommendations



- Rating score as the average of the neighbors with weights
- Problem: different users put ratings on different scales, so we will make an adjustment for the average user rating
- In addition, estimates from different users may be on a different scale, so we can relate them



# User2User similarity

How to choose  $s(u, v)$  ?

- Scalar product of vectors of general ratings
- The normalized number of common goods, a measure of Jacquard
- Pearson correlation between vectors of general ratings
- Pearson correlation between vectors of general ratings adjusted for a small number of general ratings

# Similarity formulas



How to choose  $s(u, v)$  ?

$$s(u, v) = \sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} r_{ui} r_{vi}$$

$$s(u, v) = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)(r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)^2}}$$

$$s(u, v) = \frac{|I_u \cap I_v|}{|I_u \cup I_v|}$$

$$s(u, v) = \min\left(\frac{|I_u \cap I_v|}{50}, 1\right) \frac{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)(r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)^2}}$$



# Item2Item recommendations

Item User	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Peter										
Mary										
Vasia										
Kate										



# Item2Item recommendations

- Idea: to the products evaluated by the user, we will find the most similar to them and recommend
- The similarity between aitem is defined as a cosine measure adjusted for the average user rating, where  $U_i$  — users who rated the aitem  $i$
- Further, using the similarities and the history of user interaction, we similarly evaluate the ratings of unappreciated items

# Adjusted pearson correlation



$$s(u, v) = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)(r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i \in I_u \cap I_v} (r_{vi} - \bar{r}_v)^2}}$$

$$s(i, j) = \frac{\sum_{u \in U_i \cap U_j} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)(r_{uj} - \bar{r}_u)}{\sqrt{\sum_{u \in U_i \cap U_j} (r_{ui} - \bar{r}_u)^2} \sqrt{\sum_{u \in U_i \cap U_j} (r_{uj} - \bar{r}_u)^2}}$$

# Features of collaborative filtering




- ★ It does not rely on additional information about users and products, collaborative filtering methods are self-sufficient
- ★ They are not applicable for cold users and products, that is, for those with a small history of interactions
- ★ Recommendations are based on the history of interactions in the past, which depends on past recommendations to the user
- ★ More advanced methods will be discussed in Lecture 2

# Idea



## User2User



2		2	4	5	
5		4			1
		5		2	
	1		5		4
		4			2
4	5		1		



## Item2Item



2		2	4	5	
5		4			1
		5		2	
	1		5		4
		4			2
4	5		1		



# A few formulas



$$\hat{r}_{ui} = \frac{\sum_j s(i, j)(r_{uj} - r_u)}{\sum_j |s(i, j)|} + r_u$$

Explicit:

$$\text{corr}(i, j) = \frac{\sum_u (r_{ui} - r_i)(r_{uj} - r_j)}{\sqrt{\sum_u (r_{ui} - r_i)^2 \sum_u (r_{uj} - r_j)^2}}$$

$s(i, j)$



Implicit:

$$J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$

# Choosing between Item2Item and User2User



It strongly depends on the number of ratings per user and per item – the more, the more reliable the similarity.

This also leads to the possibility of pre-calculation: if there are a lot of estimates, then adding a pair of estimates will not change anything. Then you can update once in a while.

The similarities themselves can be used for other tasks (candidate generation, contextual recommendations).

<https://www.cs.umd.edu/~samir/498/Amazon-Recommendations.pdf>

# Content-based recommendations

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

**06**



# Content-based recommendations

Let's go back to the example and look at the items themselves

User \ Item										
										
Peter										
Mary										
Vasia										
Kate										

What should I recommend to Peter?

Peter has a pronounced love for cats, you can show an unproven cat

And what to do with Kate?

Kate has only one interaction with cars, this is not enough,

so we show only cats with whom there were 2 likes



# Content approach

they consist in recommendations to users of such items that are similar in content to the ones they liked



# Example of content-based recommendations


- Let each item  $i$  correspond to an embedding  $e_i$  obtained from its content
  - Then the user  $u$  can be recommended items as the proximity  $\rho$  between the vectors
- As  $\rho$  can be
- Scalar product
  - Cosine distance
  - Etc

Various methods will be discussed in Lecture 3

# Validation in IR



All data in IR is time binded so, we need to count it



# Thanks for attention!

Questions?

---

**girafe**  
**ai**

