

Proofs for the paper “Piecewise-Linear Motion Planning amidst Static, Moving, or Morphing Obstacles”

October 16, 2020

1 Proof of Theorems 1 and 2

$\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$ is an obvious relaxation of $\text{MP}(s; \mathcal{D})$ and therefore $\rho_r \leq \rho^*$ for all r .

Theorem 1 ($\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$ is solvable) *The semidefinite program $\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$ has an optimal solution $\phi^r \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}$.*

Proof 1 Let $\phi \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}$ be a feasible solution of $\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$. Recall that $g_m(t, \mathbf{x}) = R^2 - \|\mathbf{x}\|^2$. We first use the constraint $M_\phi(g_m(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0$ for all $t \in [\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}]$, to prove that $L_\phi(u_{ij}^{2r}) \leq \tau^{2r}$ and $L_\phi(v_{ij}^{2r}) \leq \tau^{2r}$ for all i, j , and some scalar τ . Let $i \in [s], j \in [n]$ be fixed, and write:

$$u_{ij} + \frac{(i-1)T}{s}v_{ij} =: x; \quad u_{ij} + \frac{T}{s}v_{ij} =: y,$$

so that $u_{ij} = ax + by$ and $v_{ij} = a'x + b'y$ for some scalars a, a', b, b' . Observe that $M_\phi(g_m(t, \mathbf{u}_i + \frac{(i-1)T}{s}\mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0$ and $M_\phi(g_m(t, \mathbf{u}_i + \frac{iT}{s}\mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0$. This implies that $L_\phi(x^2 + y^2) \leq R^2$ and so $L_\phi(x^2) \leq R^2$ and $L_\phi(y^2) \leq R^2$. Next, again because of the localizing constraint with g_m , $L_\phi(x^2(R^2 - x^2 + y^2)) \geq 0$ so that $L_\phi(x^4) \leq R^4$; and similarly $L_\phi(y^4) \leq R^4$. Iterating the process yields

$$L_\phi(x^{2k}), L_\phi(y^{2k}) \leq R^{2k} \quad k = 0, \dots, r. \quad (1)$$

Next, consider the bi-variate moment matrix H with rows and columns indexed by the monomials $(x^i y^j)_{i+j \leq r}$ and with entries

$$H((i, j), (i', j')) := L_\phi(x^{i+i'} y^{j+j'}), \quad \forall (i, j), (i', j') \in \mathbb{N}_r^2.$$

Using (1), $H \succeq 0$ and [?, Proposition 2.38], yields

$$|L_\phi(x^i y^j)| \leq R^{i+j}, \quad \forall i, j : (i+j) \leq 2r. \quad (2)$$

Finally, recall that $u_{ij} = ax + by$ and $v_{ij} = a'x + b'y$, and so

$$L_\phi(u_{ij}^2) \leq a^2 L_\phi(x^2) + \underbrace{2|ab L_\phi(xy)|}_{\leq 2|ab|R^2} + b^2 L_\phi(y^2).$$

Therefore $L_\phi(u_{ij}^2) \leq [(|a| + |b|) R]^2$, and $L_\phi(v_{ij}^2) \leq (|a'| + |b'|) R^2$. Similarly one obtains $L_\phi(u_{ij}^{2r}) = L_\phi((ax + by)^{2r}) \leq [(|a| + |b|) R]^{2r} =: V_{ij}^{2r}$ and $L_\phi(v_{ij}^{2r}) = L_\phi((a'x + b'y)^{2r}) \leq [(|a'| + |b'|) R]^{2r} =: W_{ij}^{2r}$.

As this holds for an arbitrary couple (i, j) , letting $\tau := \max_{(i,j)} [V_{ij}, W_{ij}]$, one finally obtains $L_\phi(u_{ij}^{2r}), L_\phi(v_{ij}^{2r}) < \tau^{2r}$, for all $i \in [s], j \in [n]$.

Similarly, in view of the constraint $L_\phi((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, z)^\alpha h_i^z) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r-2}^{s(2n+1)}$, it follows that $L_\phi(z_i^2) = \sum_j L_\phi(v_{ij}^2) \leq n\tau^2$, and iterating yields $L_\phi(z_i^{2r}) \leq n\tau^{2r}$. By [?, Proposition 2.38], combining this with $L_\phi(1) = 1$ and $M_\phi \succeq 0$, yields that $|\phi_\alpha| \leq n\tau^{2r}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r}^{s(2n+1)}$. Hence the feasible set is bounded; as it is also closed it is compact and therefore $\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$ has an optimal solution, denoted ϕ^r . To see that the feasible set is closed, let $(\phi_\alpha^\ell)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$ be such that $\phi_\alpha^\ell \rightarrow \phi_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r}^{s(2n+1)}$, as $\ell \rightarrow \infty$, and for instance consider the constraint $M_{\phi^\ell}(g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0$ for all $t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right]$. Fix $t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right]$ arbitrary, and let $(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \mapsto g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i) =: g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}]$. By construction, each entry of the localizing matrix $M_{\phi^\ell}(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i))$ is linear in the variables (ϕ_α^ℓ) 's. Therefore,

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_{\phi^\ell}(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)) (\succeq 0) = M_\phi(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0.$$

Hence as this is true for arbitrary t , it follows that

$$M_\phi(g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0, \quad \forall t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right].$$

A similar (and even simpler) reasoning applies to obtain $L_\phi((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, z)^\alpha h_i(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) = 0$ and $L_\phi((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, z)^\alpha h_i^z(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, z)) = 0$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r-2}^{s(2n+1)}$, as well as $M_\phi(z) \succeq 0$.

Theorem 2 (Asymptotic convergence) Let $(\phi^r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of optimal solutions of $\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$, and complete each finite vector ϕ^r with zeros to make it an infinite sequence $(\phi_\alpha^r)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$. Let $(\phi_\alpha^*)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$ be an arbitrary accumulation point of the sequence $(\phi^r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then $\rho_r \uparrow \rho^*$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and:

(a) (ϕ_α^*) is the moment vector of a measure $d\phi^*(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$ supported on S . For ϕ^* -almost all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$ in S , the trajectory

$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) := \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i, \quad t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right]; \quad i \in [s],$$

is an optimal solution of $\text{MP}(s; \mathcal{D})$.

(b) If $\text{MP}(s; \mathcal{D})$ has a unique optimal piece-wise linear trajectory with s pieces

$$\mathbf{x}_i^*(t) := \mathbf{u}_i^* + t\mathbf{v}_i^*, \quad t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right] \quad i \in [s], \quad (3)$$

then $\phi^* = \delta_{(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{z}^*)}$ is the unique accumulation point of $(\phi^r)_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L_{\phi^r}(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i^* \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} L_{\phi^r}(\mathbf{v}_i) = \mathbf{v}_i^*, \quad \forall i \in [s]. \quad (4)$$

In the proof below, for any infinite sequence $\{\phi_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha}$ of pseudo-moments over some variable \mathbf{y} and for any polynomial $g \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{y}]$, we use the notation $M_{\phi}^d(g(\mathbf{y}))$ to denote the localisation matrix $M_{\phi'}^d(g(\mathbf{y}))$, where $\phi' = \{\phi_{\alpha} \mid |\alpha| \leq 2d\}$.

Proof 2 Let ϕ^r be an arbitrary optimal solution of $\text{SDP}(r, s; \mathcal{D})$ which exists by Theorem 1. With τ as in the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain $|\phi_{\alpha}^r| \leq n\tau^{2r}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r}^{s(2n+1)}$. In fact and more precisely:

$$|\phi_{\alpha}^r| \leq n\tau^{2j}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r}^{s(2n+1)} : 2j-1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2j; j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Next complete the finite sequence $(\phi_{\alpha}^r)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{2r}^{s(2n+1)}}$ with zeros to make it an infinite sequence $(\phi_{\alpha}^r)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$. Define a new sequence $\hat{\phi}^r = (\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^r)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$ with:

$$\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^r := \frac{\phi_{\alpha}^r}{n\tau^{2j}}, \quad \forall \alpha : 2j-1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2j; j \in \mathbb{N},$$

so that $\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}} |\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^r| \leq 1$. Hence $\hat{\phi}^r$ belongs to the unit ball B_1 of the Banach space ℓ_{∞} of uniformly bounded infinite sequences, equipped with the sup-norm. By Banach-Alaoglu Theorem [?], B_1 is sequentially compact in the weak- \star topology $\sigma(\ell_{\infty}, \ell_1)$. Therefore there exists a subsequence $(r_{\ell})_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ and an infinite sequence $\hat{\phi}^* = (\hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^*)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}} \in B_1$, such that

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^{r_{\ell}} = \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^* \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}.$$

Equivalently, letting $\phi_{\alpha}^* := n\tau^{2j} \hat{\phi}_{\alpha}^*$, for all α such that $2j-1 \leq |\alpha| \leq 2j$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, one obtains:

$$\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{\alpha}^{r_{\ell}} = \phi_{\alpha}^* \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}, \quad (5)$$

Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}$, and denote $M_{\phi^*}^d$ (resp. $M_{\phi^*}^d(z_i)$) the moment matrix with moments up to order $2d$ (resp. the localizing matrix with respect to z_i) with moments up to order $2d$ (resp. $2d-1$). The convergence (5) yields $M_{\phi^*}^d \succeq 0$ and $M_{\phi^*}^d(z_i) \succeq 0$, for every d . In addition we have $|\phi_{\alpha}^*| \leq n\tau^{2r} < (n\tau)^{2r}$ whenever $|\alpha| \leq 2r$. Therefore by Proposition 2.38 in [?] it follows that $|\phi_{\alpha}^*| \leq (n\tau)^{|\alpha|}$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}$. Then by Proposition 2.37 in [?] ϕ^* has a representing measure (still denoted ϕ^*) on the (compact) box $[-n\tau, n\tau]^{s(2n+1)}$. In addition, by Theorem ??, $z_i \geq 0$ for all $i \in [s]$, on $\text{supp}(\phi^*)$.

Next, fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}$ arbitrary. Then by (5):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} L_{\phi^{r_{\ell}}}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^{\alpha} h_i(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) \\ &= L_{\phi^*}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^{\alpha} h_i(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) = \int (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^{\alpha} h_i(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) d\phi^*(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq i \leq s$. Similarly:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} L_{\phi^{r_\ell}}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^\alpha h_i^z(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})) \\ &= L_{\phi^\star}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^\alpha h_i^z(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})) = \int (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})^\alpha h_i^z(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) d\phi^\star(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in [s]$. As this holds for arbitrary $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}$ and the support of ϕ^\star is compact, one obtains $h_i(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = 0$, that is:

$$u_{i,j} + \frac{iT}{s} v_{i,j} = u_{i+1,j} + \frac{iT}{s} v_{i+1,j},$$

for all $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ and all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \in \text{supp}(\phi^\star)$. Similarly, $h_i^z(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) = 0$ for all $i \in [s]$, for ϕ^\star -almost all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$. That is, $z_i^2 = (\frac{T}{s} \|\mathbf{v}_i\|)^2$. Since $z_i \geq 0$ also holds on $\text{supp}(\phi^\star)$, then equivalently $z_i = \frac{T}{s} \|\mathbf{v}_i\|$ for all $i \in [s]$.

Next, let $t \in [\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}]$ and $k \in [m]$ be fixed, arbitrary, and rewrite $g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)$ as $g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i]$. Then with $d \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed arbitrary,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\preceq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_{\phi^{r_\ell}}^d(g_k(\mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)) = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} M_{\phi^{r_\ell}}^d(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)) \\ &= M_{\phi^\star}^d(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)) = M_{\phi^\star}^d(g_k(\mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, as d was arbitrary, one obtains $M_{\phi^\star}^d(g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i)) \succeq 0$ for all d . As the support of ϕ^\star is compact, by Theorem ??, $g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) \geq 0$ on the support of ϕ^\star . That is, for all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \in \text{supp}(\phi^\star)$:

$$g_{k,t}(\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i) (= g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i)) \geq 0.$$

As this is true for all $t \in [\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}]$ and $k \in [m]$, one obtains $g_k(t, \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}]$, and for all $i \in [s]$. From what precedes, we conclude that for all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \in \text{supp}(\phi^\star)$, the piecewise linear trajectory

$$\mathbf{x}_i(t) := \mathbf{u}_i + t\mathbf{v}_i \quad t \in \left[\frac{(i-1)T}{s}, \frac{iT}{s}\right] \quad i \in [s], \quad (6)$$

is feasible for $\text{MP}(s; \mathcal{D})$. Finally, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^* &\geq \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{r_\ell} = \lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^s L_{\phi^{r_\ell}}(z_i) \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{T}{s} \|\mathbf{v}_i\| d\phi^\star(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \\ &= \int \sum_{i=1}^s \underbrace{\left(\int_{\frac{(i-1)T}{s}}^{\frac{iT}{s}} \|\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i(t)\| dt \right)}_{\geq \rho^*} d\phi^\star(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \\ &\geq \rho^* \end{aligned}$$

(with $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$ as in (6)). Therefore for ϕ^* -almost all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$, the piecewise linear trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ (with s pieces) defined in (6) is optimal for problem $MP(s; \mathcal{D})$. This proves (a).

(b) If the trajectory (3) is the unique optimal solution of $MP(s; \mathcal{D})$ then necessarily $\phi^* = \delta_{(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{z}^*)}$ (where $z_i^* = \|\mathbf{v}_i^*\|$, $i \in [s]$) because in (a) we have seen that for ϕ^* -almost all $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})$, the piecewise linear trajectory $\mathbf{x}(t)$ in (6) is optimal, hence identical to \mathbf{x}^* in (3). But then this proves that all accumulation points of $(\phi^r)_{\mathbf{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}^{s(2n+1)}}$ are identical to the vector of moments of the Dirac measure $\phi^* := \delta_{(\mathbf{u}^*, \mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{z}^*)}$, and therefore (5) now becomes the global convergence (4).