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Development log:

01/11/2022 – Read over project – 1 hour | 249931 247639 |

02/11/2022 – Began to plan the project – 1 hour | 249931 247639 |

03/11/2022 – Rough plan finished – 1 hour | 249931 247639 |

05/11/2022 – Created the initial classes – 1 hour | 249931 – Observer 247639 - Driver |

06/11/2022 – Finished rough draft of card and card deck classes – 3 hours | 249931 – Observer 247639 - Driver |

08/11/2022 – Began work on card game class – 2 hours | 249931 – Observer 247639 - Driver |

10/11/2022 – Continued work on card game class and began work on player class – 2 hours | 249931 247639 |

11/11/2022 – Researched threading – 2 hours | 249931 - Driver 247639 - Observer |

12/11/2022 – Initiated threading into the project and finished rough draft of player class – 3 hours | 249931 – Observer 247639 - Driver |

13/11/2022 – Finished making the program thread-safe – 2 hours | 249931 – Observer 247639 - Driver |

14/11/2022 – Finished off second draft of the contents of the classes – 2 hours | 249931 247639 |

15/11/2022 – Went back and improved on code – 1 hour | 249931 247639 |

16/11/2022 – Continued to work on improving the code through efficiency and design – 2 hours | 249931 247639 |

17/11/2022 – Researched Junit testing – 3 hours | 249931 - Driver 247639 |

18/11/2022 – Started Junit testing – 4 hours | 249931 – Driver/Observer 247639 – Driver/Observer |

19/11/2022 – Finished Junit testing – 3 hours | 249931 – Driver/Observer 247639 – Driver/Observer |

20/11/2022 – Went over code layout again and improved where necessary – 2 hours | 249931 247639 |

21/11/2022 – Commented on some of code through java doc comments – 1 hour | 249931 - Observer 247639 - Driver |

22/11/2022 – Went over project and finished java doc comments – 1 hour | 249931 247639 |

23/11/2022 – Final checks and creating executable jar file – 2 hours | 249931 247639 |

Report on production code and performance issues:

We began our project by reading over the specification and then constructing a rough plan on how we would approach this. When we had finished the rough plan, we decided we would approach the project through drafts where we would complete certain tasks with the aim of improving on them through later drafts whether it was through adding new things or making it more efficient.

Initially, when we started the project, we went for a first draft of the project where we focused on achieving the basic goals of the project. We did this by going through the classes one by one and fulfilling certain requirements in each of them such as creating methods and instances where we needed them. Once this had been achieved, we began working on the second draft where our goals were to improve the code, so it was in a more object-orientated design for efficiency. When we had finished this we worked on implementing the threading sections of the project. Then we followed the same structure of going over the work we had done and improving it where possible and or necessary. Some parts took longer than others such as the player file, card game file, so we focused on different areas at different times to progress with the project, hence why we revisited the project several times, to make sure all the code was as efficient and laid out in the manner it should be everywhere. We also spent lots of time preparing to tackle certain areas of the project such as threading by doing our own research into the topic to deepen our understanding of it and how we would use it effectively in the project.

We expected there to be issues during the early stages of development as we planned for this in our drafts. Some of the performance issues we had stemmed from the way our code was originally implemented. For example, we had issues with the code creating one more player than there should be, and we had some issues with threading at first. Both problems were quickly fixed after we revisited the code and improved upon it. In the end there was no performance issues due to the design choices we had made in doing drafts to improve our project gradually until it successfully and efficiently fulfilled its requirements without failure. Threading was a more challenging issue fix but thanks to the research we had done for it we were able to solve our problems and workout a solution for them.

Report on design choices and testing:

Similarly, to the previous section we began the project with a focus on just achieving the goals of the project, this meant just getting the program to work correctly in a simple way at first. So, we firstly used try and catch methods in the project so we could focus on it all working properly, we planned on introducing Junit testing at a later stage because it was a slightly more complicated concept than basic try and catch methods.

We originally in the first draft of the project had used try and catch methods for our errors. This was later revisited and removed as we needed to improve our code and implement Junit testing instead. To do this we came back in a later draft of the project and then removed all error catching code from each file and then we created new files for our Junit testing where we successfully created and tested different methods to ensure our project would be covered in all areas. We used Junit 4.13.2 for the testing frameworks for our project. Each file of the project was covered in Junit testing, and each necessary method too. This was to ensure the project wouldn’t let anything slip through and cause the program to fail anywhere. Like threading, we did research into junit testing as there was a lot of different versions available so we had to make sure we used the correct version for the project and then we had to make sure we were using it correctly in our project. We learnt about different things we could implement through junit testing which were ultimately made our project far more efficient and therefore brought it to a higher standard.

After removing the try and catch methods and having begun our research into the junit testing we began to test it in our project. Some of the issues we had when we started the Junit section was that when we firstly tried to replace our try and catch methods with the Junit testing we had issues where the code didn’t work for us. So that’s when we restarted it from scratch to create the Junit tests more efficiently with no potential errors or things we might have missed with writing over pre-existing code. After the first Junit tests had been implemented and we began the tests we ran into some small errors which were easily fixed, and we continued with the Junit testing for each file. We