# Annotated Literature on Multi-Scale Spatial Point Processes and Potential for Chi-Squared Cox Processes (CSCPs)

#### September 11, 2025

## **Epidemiology**

- Iftimi, A., Mateu, J., Adelfio, G. (2018). "A multi-scale area-interaction model for spatio-temporal point patterns." Applied a multi-scale Gibbs process to varicella case locations in Spain. Found small-scale clustering due to contagion and larger-scale regularity. Why CSCP: Suggests two independent processes at different scales; a CSCP could model these as additive stochastic components with clear diagnostics. Not sure the inhibitive aspect would be compatible though.
- Iftimi, A., et al. (2017). "Hybrid Gibbs processes for multi-scale spatial inhomogeneity." Proposed hybrid Gibbs point processes to capture multiple clustering/inhibition scales in disease data. Why CSCP: A CSCP would offer a simpler, random-field-based alternative with a direct diagnostic via g(h) 1, if we focus only on clustering.

### **Ecology**

- Wiegand, T., Gunatilleke, C.V.S., Gunatilleke, I.A.U.N., Okuda, T. (2007). "Analyzing the spatial structure of a Sri Lankan tree species with multiple scales of clustering." Ecology 88:3088–3102. Showed that *Shorea congestiflora* trees display nested clusters at two distinct radii (25 m, 8 m), implying different recruitment/habitat mechanisms. Why CSCP: A textbook (???) case for additive superposition: separate squared-GRF components can represent large- and small-scale clustering.
- Levin, S.A. (1992). "The problem of pattern and scale in ecology." Ecology 73:1943–1967. This seems to be a seminal paper on how multiple processes at distinct scales shape ecological patterns. Why CSCP: Supports the philosophy of explicitly modeling independent multi-scale clustering. Could be good to point to this.
- Yau, C.Y., Loh, J.M. (2012). "A Neyman–Scott cluster process with two cluster scales." Environmental and Ecological Statistics 19:411–430. Extended the Neyman–Scott model to allow two independent cluster scales, applied to ecological data. Why CSCP: As above. Shows the need for multi-scale Cox processes; CSCP offers a different random-field based route.

### Astronomy

• Coil, A. (2012). "Large Scale Structure of the Universe." NED Review. Described the halo model: galaxy clustering is explained as the sum of "one-halo" (within halos) and "two-halo" (between halos) terms. Why CSCP: This is directly analogous to

- additive multi-scale clustering; CSCP could possibly model halo-scale and cosmic-web-scale fields as independent components??
- Martínez, V.J., Saar, E. (2002). Statistics of the Galaxy Distribution. Surveyed point process models (including Cox and segment Cox) for cosmic structures, showing multi-scale clustering signatures. Why CSCP: Provides motivation for additive Cox models in cosmology, which could capture both fine and coarse clustering hierarchies. Could be quite handy.

#### Seismology

- Siino, M., Adelfio, G., D'Alessandro, A. (2017). "Spatial pattern analysis using hybrid models: an application to the Hellenic seismicity." Stoch. Env. Res. Risk Assess. 31:1633–1648. Modeled Greek earthquake locations as a hybrid: background seismicity plus clustered aftershocks. Why CSCP: Earthquakes are a natural additive process (background + aftershocks); a CSCP could formalize this as independent intensity fields.
- Pei, T., et al. (2012). "Multi-scale decomposition of point process data." GeoInformatica 16:625–652. Developed methods to decompose point patterns into scale-specific components, illustrated with seismic data. Why CSCP: Their decomposition in spirit should align with a CSCP's generative additive structure.
- Adelfio, G., Chiodi, M. (2020). "Overview of methods for multi-structure point processes." Stoch. Env. Res. Risk Assess. 34:17–36. Reviewed methods for modeling multi-structured point processes across domains. Highlighted superposition as a key tool for multi-scale clustering. Why CSCP: Reinforces the idea that additive models like CSCPs are often more natural than multiplicative LGCPs. This could be quite an important paper to cite.

### Theory and Methodology

- McCullagh, P., Møller, J. (2006). "The permanental process." Advances in Applied Probability 38(4):873–888. Defined and studied permanental (boson) Cox processes, with intensities given by sums of squared Gaussian fields (chi-square distributed). Provided existence proofs, joint densities, and links to permanents. Why CSCP: This is the theoretical foundation; we can make our work differ by 1) allowing different kernels per component (important given the aforementioned applications) and 2) emphasizing applied diagnostics/inference/fitting tools.
- Shirai, T., Takahashi, Y. (2003, 2004). "Random point fields associated with fermion, Poisson and boson processes." J. Funct. Anal. 205(2):414–463; ASPM 39:345–354. Formalized boson/permanental processes in the probability literature. Why CSCP: Establishes the connection between squared-GRF intensities and chi-square Cox processes. Need to look at this in more detail.
- Walder, C.J., Bishop, A.N. (2017). "Fast Bayesian Intensity Estimation for the Permanental Process." ICML 2017. Proposed efficient Bayesian inference for permanental processes using kernel methods. Why CSCP: Shows computational feasibility of additive chi-square intensities... not too keen on worrying about the Bayesian angle just yet, but should keep this in mind.

- Walder, C.J., Bishop, A.N. (2017). "Gamma Gaussian Cox Processes." Methodology and Computing in Applied Probability 19(2):447–465. Studied Cox processes with intensity given by a squared GP, linking to Gamma/chi-square distributions. Why CSCP: Again—need to look at this more carefully. Closest modern methodological contribution to what we seem to be doing; as above, our proposed CSCP extends to multi-scale and applied contexts.
- Nicolis, O., Fernández, L., Muñoz, J.E. (2022). "Temporal Cox Process with Folded Normal Intensity." Axioms 11(10):513. Considered alternative positive transforms of Gaussian processes (absolute value I mentioned this earlier today in a chat with Adrian!!), and reviewed related Gamma/chi-square intensity models. Why CSCP: Confirms interest in non-log link functions; folded-normal is a sibling to chi-square. Definitely warrants at least a skim-read...