GUI application meta-models: a state of the art

Benoît Verhaeghe[1,2], Anne Etien[1],
Nicolas Anquetil[1], Stéphane Ducasse[1]
[1]Université de Lille, CNRS, Inria,
Centrale Lille, UMR 9189 – CRIStAL, France

Abderrahmane Seriai[2], Laurent Deruelle[2],
Mustapha Derras[2]
[2]Berger-Levrault, France

Abstract— **In this context...** **When a developer wants to analyse an application, and this application includes an user interface. He could want an abstraction of the application. Often, this abstraction level correspond to models, and their meta-models, of the piece of software.** **We consider this problem P...** **There are indeed many elements to represent and to link.** **P is a problem because...** **Currently there are many meta-models of GUI application that can be used to represent the interface and some links between the different windows. But none of them express complex behavior, such as loop or condition, nor data structure information.** **We propose this solution...** **We defined four meta-models. The first one represent the Graphical User Interface, the second one the layout to apply to the GUI, the third one the data structure implies in the GUI, the last one the behavior associate to an event fired by an element of the GUI.** **Our solution solves P in such and such way.** **Our meta-models can express the different elements of a GUI application. So can represent the graphical user interface and the logic of the application.**

Index Terms—**Graphical User Interfaces, Model-Driven Engineering**

I. INTRODUCTION

Contexte use case In the context of the analysis of an application. It happens that the developers want to create tools on top of his analysis. These tools could be useful in cases like: analysis, tests generation, migration, *etc.*

introduction model A way to create those software is the usage of model of the source code of the application. The developers create or use a meta-model of the language of the application source code. Then they instantiate this meta-model from the application to analysed.

Problème intro gui In the case of GUI application, it happens that the abstraction level does not provide enough information. Indeed, the generated model contains the methods, classes, *etc.* of the source application, but no information about how the GUI is shaped. The developers must do another analysis on the model to extract these information and so making his tool.

intro simple gui decomposition A solution to create tool specialized for GUI application is to create GUI model. A GUI application is divided into different elements. The aim of this paper is to define those components and meta-models to represent all the specificities linked to a GUI application.

Know tracks We did not find any other papers which defines a solution to represent graphical application. Nevertheless, the KDM model designed by the OMG proposed a *Resource Layer* which can used to define an GUI application. Their solution is discussed section X.

What is our solution We defined four meta-models to represent the GUI software. The meta-models represent the different main GUI's specificities we extracted from our analysis and other research papers.

Contrib of the paper The main contributions of our work are:

- Description of GUI application structure
- Meta-Models to represent a GUI application
- Discussion about GUI Meta-Models

Paper structure In Section II, we present the different GUI elements Section III exposes our solution. Then, In Section IV, we describe and categorized the solution proposed by others authors. Finally, we conclude in Section VI.

II. GUI APPLICATION STRUCTURE

The first step to create the meta-models of a graphic application is to define the elements we need to represent. We divided the UI into the following three parts:

- The user interface
- The business code
- The behavior code

A. User Interface

The user interface is the viewable part. This element represents the interface of the application. It includes the components of the interface. The User Interface does not contain the exact visualisation of a component. But it can precise some feature inherent to the component, like the ability to be clicked, or some properties of the component, like its color or size. More than the components, it also includes the disposition of those components in relation to the others. In the case a application is composed by multiple windows (or web pages for web application), the user interface contains all the windows.

B. Behavior Code

The behavior code is the *executable* part of the application. It corresponds to the logic of the application. It can have two manifestations of the business code. It can be run either by an user action on an interface component (like a click) or by the

system itself. As a programming language, the business code contains control structures (*i.e.* loop and alternative). Linked to the user interface, the business code defined the logic of the user interface. However, the business code does not express the logic of the application. This part is dedicated to the business code.

C. Business Code

The business code defines specific information of an application. It is composed by the general rules of the application (how calculate the taxes?), the distant services link (which server my business code should request), the data of the application (which database? which kind of *object*?). So the business code is not directly linked to the user interface.

III. PROPOSED META-MODELS

From the decomposition of the GUI application structure (see Section II), we decided to create four meta-models.

- The GUI model
- The layout model
- The business model
- The behavior model

A. The GUI model

In order to represent a GUI, we designed a meta-model presented Figure 1. This GUI model is the first part of the user interface component of a graphic application as defined Section II-A In the following, we present the different entities of the meta-model.


Fig. 1. GUI Application Metamodel

The **Phase** represents the main container of a user interface page. It can be a *Window* for Desktop application, a web page or a tab in some specific case. For example, if a web site is composed by only one web page, and this web page has multiple tab. To represent the website as the content of the different tabs, the Phases will represent a tab. A Phase can contains multiple Business Page. It can also be called by any Widget with a *Call Phase Action*. When a Phase is called, the interface changes to display the phase. In desktop application, the current Window interface changes from the previous Phase to the called one or a new Window with the interface owned by

the called Phase is opened. In web application, it can be a new web page, the changement of active tab, the transformation of the current web page.

The **Widgets** are the different interface components and layout components. There are two types of Widgets. The **Leaf** is a widget which does not contain another widget such as some text in the interface. The **Containers** can contain other widget. It could be for organization purposed like separating widgets which belongs to a category and widgets which belongs to another category.

The **Business Pages** blabla [Benoit] ▶*Très BL specific... Il faudrait supprimer Page Métier◀* .

The **Attribute** represents informations that belongs to a Widget and can change its visual aspect or its behavior. The common attributes are the height and the width to define precisely the dimension of a widget. There are also attributes to contains attributes such as the text contained by a widget. For example, a widget which represent a button can have an attribute *text* to explicit the text of the button. An attribute can change the behavior, it could be the case of an attribute *enable*. A button with the attribute *enable* positioned at *false* represent a button we can not click.

The **Actions** are own by the Widgets. They are actions that can be run in a Graphic Interface.

[Benoit] ▶*Should disapear and be splitted between Business and behavior model◀* **Call Service** represents a call to a distant service such Internet. **Fire PopUp** is the action that display a PopUp in the screen. The PopUp can not be considered as a Widget, it is not present in the GUI, it only appears and disappears.

[Benoit] ▶*SHOUDL Disapear and go to the Behavior Model◀* The **Service** is the reference to the distant feature the application can call from its GUI. In a web context, it can be the server side of the application.

B. The layout model

[Benoit] ▶*Ajouter un layout model◀*

The layout model is the second part of the user interface defined Section II-A. It contains the information concerning the visual disposition of the elements of the user interface. It does not include properties as color or text size of an element. The aim of the layout model is to explicit the layout applied by a *container widget*. It exists some common layout as **HorizontalLayout**, **VerticalLayout** or **GridLayout**. Each widget can only have one layout, but because a *container* can contain another *container*, it is possible to define complex layout composition.

C. The behavior model

D. The business model

E. Model View Controller

IV. EXISTING SOLUTIONS

intro expliquand ce que l'on va faire (analyse des modèles) Many authors have tried to represent a GUI application. They created meta-models that define the entities they need for their

Fig. 2. Behavior Metamodel

analysis. Those models helped us to define meta-models to represent any GUI applications. We analysed and grouped the meta-models of 14 relevant papers in the GUI application representation field.

A. GUI

TABLE I
GUI ELEMENTS

SubWidgets	[4, 6, 11]
Events	[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13]
Properties	[1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13]

presenter en quelques lignes tout les modèles proposés Gotti and Mbarki [4] proposed a meta-model inspired by KDM model (see Section IV-E).

B. Navigation

C. State flow

D. Layout

E. KDM

V. DISCUSSION

Critères d'évaluation
- Certains auteurs n'ont pas présenté le schema mais l'on seulement décrit

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we defined four meta-models to represent a GUI applications.

The **GUI model** represents the different *pages* of the applications and their contents. It includes the properties of the widgets and the link from the widgets and their events.

The **layout model** expresses the positioning relation between the widgets of the GUI models.

The **behavior model** defines the behavior to execute when an event is fired. The events are fired by using an action on a widget of the GUI model or automatically by the application.

The **business model** includes information about the data manipulated by the GUI application. Those data can be used by the behavior model and transmit to the GUI model.

REFERENCES

[1] Domenico Amalfitano, Anna Rita Fasolino, Porfirio Tramontana, Salvatore De Carmine, and Atif M Memon. Using gui ripping for automated testing of android applications. In *Proceedings of the 27th IEEE/ACM International Conference on Automated Software Engineering*, pages 258–261. ACM, 2012.

[2] Franck Fleurey, Erwan Breton, Benoit Baudry, Alain Nicolas, and Jean-Marc Jézéquel. Model-driven engineering for software migration in a large industrial context. In *International Conference on Model Driven Engineering Languages and Systems*, pages 482–497. Springer, 2007.

[3] Kelly Garcés, Rubby Casallas, Camilo Álvarez, Edgar Sandoval, Alejandro Salamanca, Fredy Viera, Fabián Melo, and Juan Manuel Soto. White-box modernization of legacy applications: The oracle forms case study. *Computer Standards & Interfaces*, 2017.

[4] Zineb Gotti and Samir Mbarki. Java swing modernization approach: Complete abstract representation based on static and dynamic analysis. In *ICSOFT-EA*, pages 210–219. SCITEPRESS - Science and Technology Publications, 2016.

[5] Mona Erfani Joorabchi and Ali Mesbah. Reverse engineering ios mobile applications. In *Reverse engineering (wcre), 2012 19th working conference on*, pages 177–186. IEEE, 2012.

[6] Atif M. Memon. An event-flow model of GUI-based applications for testing. *Software Testing, Verification and Reliability*, 17(3):137–157, September 2007.

[7] Atif M. Memon, Ishan Banerjee, and Adithya Nagarajan. GUI ripping: Reverse engineering of graphical user interfaces for testing. In *Proceedings of The 10th Working Conference on Reverse Engineering*, November 2003.

[8] Ali Mesbah, Arie Van Deursen, and Stefan Lenselink. Crawling ajax-based web applications through dynamic analysis of user interface state changes. *ACM Transactions on the Web (TWEB)*, 6(1):3, 2012.

[9] I Coimbra Morgado, Ana Paiva, and J Pascoal Faria. Reverse engineering of graphical user interfaces. In *The Sixth International Conference on Software Engineering Advances, Barcelona*, pages 293–298, 2011.

[10] Hani Samir, Eleni Stroulia, and Amr Kamel. Swing2script: Migration of java-swing applications to ajax web applications. In *Reverse Engineering, 2007. WCRE 2007. 14th Working Conference on*, pages 179–188. IEEE, 2007.

[11] Óscar Sánchez Ramón, Jesús Sánchez Cuadrado, and Jesús García Molina. Model-driven reverse engineering of legacy graphical user interfaces. In *Proceedings of*

the *IEEE/ACM international conference on Automated software engineering*, pages 147–186. ACM, 2014.

[12] Eeshan Shah and Eli Tilevich. Reverse-engineering user interfaces to facilitateporting to and across mobile devices and platforms. In *Proceedings of the compilation of the co-located workshops on DSM'11, TMC'11, AGERE! 2011, AOOPES'11, NEAT'11, & VMIL'11*, pages 255–260. ACM, 2011.

[13] João Carlos Silva, Carlos Silva, Rui D Gonçalo, João Saraiva, and José Creissac Campos. The guisurfer tool: towards a language independent approach to reverse engineering gui code. In *Proceedings of the 2nd ACM SIGCHI symposium on Engineering interactive computing systems*, pages 181–186. ACM, 2010.