Table 1: Comparison of Dyn-Unc, Dyn-Unc + Beta sampling, DUAL, and DUAL + Beta sampling across all pruning ratios.

| | CIFAR-100 | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Pruning Rate ($\rightarrow$) | 30% | 50% | 70% | 80% | 90% |
| Random | 75.15 ±0.28 | 71.68 ±0.31 | 64.86 ±0.39 | 59.23 ±0.62 | 45.09 ±1.26 |
| Dyn-Unc | 77.67 ±0.14 | 74.23 ±0.22 | 64.30 ±0.13 | 55.01 ±0.55 | 34.57 ±0.69 |
| Dyn-Unc $+\beta$ sampling | 76.91 ±0.32 | 73.85 ±0.18 | 67.65 ±0.23 | 63.01 ±0.17 | 51.85 ±0.35 |
| DUAL | 77.43 ±0.18 | 74.62 ±0.47 | 66.41 ±0.52 | 56.57 ±0.57 | 34.38 ±1.39 |
| DUAL $+\beta$ sampling | **77.86** ±0.12 | **74.66** ±0.12 | **69.25** ±0.22 | **64.76** ±0.23 | **54.54** ±0.09 |