```
The exponential function

Let z = zriy. We define e^z = e^x e^{iy} & where the symbol

e'y stands for e. Conytisiny. (y in radius).

Properties of exponential Processor e^z

1) e^z e^{zz} = e^{z+zz} \Rightarrow e^{z+z} = e^{z+zz}

28) e^z e^{z+z} = e^{z+z} = e^z

29) e^z = e^z

20) e^z = e^z

21) e^z = e^z

22) e^z = e^z

23) e^z = e^z

24) e^z = e^z

25) e^z = e^z

26) e^z = e^z

27) e^z = e^z

28) e^z = e^z

29) e^z = e^z

20) e^z = e^z

20) e^z = e^z

21) e^z = e^z

22) e^z = e^z

23) e^z = e^z

24) e^z = e^z

25) e^z = e^z

26) e^z = e^z

26) e^z = e^z

27) e^z = e^z

28) e^z = e^z

29) e^z = e^z

29) e^z = e^z
```

Properties not possessed by $f(x) = e^{x}$

6) ez can be negative even though ex is always tre.

(Eg z = Ti)

a)
$$\psi \longrightarrow \psi(0)$$
 is onto $R - 2 \longrightarrow e^2$

Recall R Res Roo is onto

t yours, 7 76 el ste = y. % is Buhat we call as

Find all z = x+iy for which exists $e^{z} = 1+\sqrt{3}i$ Soln: $e^{2x+iy} = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}+i\frac{\pi}{2}\right) = 2e^{i\frac{\pi}{3}}$ ie, $x = 2\ln 2 + i\left(\frac{\pi}{3} + 2\pi\right)$ for any $n \in \mathcal{Y}$ salights $e^{z} = 1+\sqrt{3}i$

THE STATE

and the state of the

```
Problems in exponential function
         Churchill Pg 91 Example
               Pg 92: 1,3,5,7,8a,8c,10
                  Logarithmic Runchoo (only applicable if 220)
     Recall that \ln x is that unique real no. y such that e^y = x pomega pomega Similarly, here we seek for all w \in d such that e^w = z — 0
& would like to define these was candidates for
  log z.
  Let us write z = \pi e^{i\theta} where -\pi c\theta \in \pi, z \neq 0.
Note that if \omega is a solution for \Omega, then so is \omega + 2\pi i
   For any n = 24 as ew + 29 ni = ew = z.
     Hence, log z if exists is a multi-valued Runction.
     Now, if w='u+iv, then O is equit to saying
                                        e tei0
    That is, ey = x & v is of the form 0+2Tin for any n a 7.
ie, u = ln x & v & {0+2Tin, n & 27}.
Kence. ew = z : ff
    Kence, ew=z :ff
                         \omega = \ln r + i \left( O + 2 \pi \right), \quad n \in \mathcal{U}.
\omega = \ln r + i O + 2 \pi i, \quad n \in \mathcal{U}.
      But 0+2Tin, n ∈ 4 are the values of ang z.
    Definition: Let = +0 e q. Then,
                                           log z = lnr ticng z
   Obsn 1: elog2 = elar eiang2 = rei0 = z
  0_{NN} = e^{2} = e^{2} \cdot e^{iy} =
 Comparing the polar forms, are get |e²| = e² & ary (e²) = y+2Tin
   \Rightarrow \log(e^z) = \ln e^x + i \arg(e^z)
                                  x + i(y + 2 lin)
                                 = z + 27lin, ne 4 -> (2)
    Comparison between real logarithm & complex logarithm
     Real variable ln x
                                                                 Complex Coyarilles
                                                                          — log(e²) = z is fælu
      lag (ex) = x V
                                                                     elyz = z
                                                                                                                   (see 2)
         elogy = x
   exp(z) is single reduced although log z isn't.
    Pr 1) find log (-1-si)
         let 2 = -1-si = 2 (-1 - 1/3i) = 2 e (-21/3)
                    : log 20 = ln 2 + i(-211 + 2n1i), n ∈ 2
    Principal value of the logarithm
    To avoid the ambiguities when multi-valued functions arise, we need to define a single valued logarithm function Clike in case of argument function).
    Define scapital L)
Logz = ln |z| + i Asg 2
    Further, if z=reid where -TcO = Ti, then
                               Logz = ln x + i O (ie, n=0 in 1)
                    : log = = Log z + 2Tini
   Further if z \in R_{>0}, then z = ne^{i\theta} (where \theta = 0 & hence z = r) \Rightarrow \log z = \ln r
Thus, \log z, the principal value of the logarithm is the extension of the usual real (not unal) logarithm.
       Continuity of the Arg z (principal argument)
     It is continuous at every point in of except at zero b on the negative real exis.
  To see this, consider the polar form of z ie, reio & write Arg(z) as a function f: \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (-T_1,T_1)
                             f(r,0) = Arg(re^{i\theta})
           Let S= { (F,0) & Q+ x R \ 0= (2n+1) TI, ne 45
   for simplicity, consider
        ( (T) 'e 3.
                                                                        0 V C2
   This can be generalised
      easily to any (r,(2n+1)\overline{11}) \in \mathcal{S}.
                                                                        o /c1
    To show discontinuity at a point, it is enough to find two curses that approach (r,Ti) but give different limits.

C1 & (2
 Lt f(\tau, \theta) = Lt deg(re^{i(T+h)}) = Lt T_{1+h} - 2T_{1}

\theta \rightarrow T^{+} h \rightarrow 0 h \rightarrow 0^{+}
                                                                                       = - si.
                        (7,0=T+h)
 Lt f(r,0) = Lt dy (rei(T-h)) = Lt T-h= TI
0→TI- h→o+
                   (Y, T-h)
    At other points, ie, CIS, it is easily seen that flr,0) is continuous.
   Conclusion: Log 2 is cont. at zo c=> Abrog 2 is cont. at zo.
 Theorem (Sufficient condition for differentiability in terms of polar form)
   Let the hunchon f(z) = u(r,0) + iv(r,0) be defined
throughout some E-nbhd of a non-zero point z_0 = 36e^{i\theta_0}
& suppose that
  a) the first order partial derivatives of the functions u & v with respect to r & D exist everywhere in the noted
  b) those partial derivatives are continuous at (r_0, \theta_0) & satisfy the polar form of the cheque \gamma u_r = V_0
U_0 = -\gamma V_r \qquad \text{at } (r_0, \theta_0).
      Then,

\left(\frac{df}{dz}\right)^{(z)} = \text{exists at } z = z_0 \quad \text{$d$} \quad \text{its value is}

-i0 \quad \text{$d$} \quad \text{$
                                   f'(z_0) = e^{-i\theta}(u_r + iv_r) \Big|_{\mathcal{C}_0, \theta_0}
      Derivative of the logarithm

Consider r \in \mathbb{R}^+ & o \in \mathbb{R}. Then, \log(re^{i\theta}) is \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds ds

On r + i \operatorname{Arg}(re^{i\theta})
    Derivative of the logarithm
             u(r,0) = ln r & v(r,0) = Arg(rei0).
   Remark: - Note that if O € (-Ti, Ti), then
                                  going back to eqn (3), for any (Fo, Oo) & Rt x R,
                    u_{\gamma}(\gamma_0, \theta_0) = \frac{1}{\gamma_0}, u_{\theta}(\gamma_0, \theta_0) = 0
                      V_{\gamma}(\gamma_0,\Theta_0) = 0
         However, du (ro, 00) doesn't exist if (ro,00) & s.
                       v(r_0,0) - v(r_0,T_1)
                                                                               . But v(ro, 0) = TI+h-2TI
        = \underbrace{Lt}_{h\to 0^+} - \underbrace{T_1 + h}_{-} - T_{-} = doesn't exist.
     On the other hand, the left hand derivative
       Ut v(ro,0)-v(ro,11) = U+ (I-h)-11 = 1
0-11 - h-0+ -h
       Hence, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \theta} (80,00) doesn't exist if \theta_0 \in \{2n+1\} [ 1n\in \mathbb{Z}].
       Check: 2 (ro, 00) = 1 if (ro, 00) e ¢\s.
     (Note that Arg(reio) equals 0 uppo a difference Rector which is a multiple of 2Ti)
               \frac{1}{10} = \frac{3}{20} \left(0 - 2\pi n\right) = 1. \quad \text{if } \frac{3\nu}{20} \text{ exists.}
     One can now easily verify that all the conditions of Theorem above are met it we define Logz For z f (1) S. Thus, its derivative exists for every z f (1) S. S. given by
             f'(z_0) = \left(e^{-i\theta} \left(u_r + iv_r\right)\right) \left(\frac{1}{(r_0, 0_0)}\right) z_0 = r_0 e^{i\theta}
                                  u_{\gamma}(r_0,\theta_0) = \frac{1}{3r_0}
                                             Vy ( Yo, Oo) = 0
                              => f'(zo) = e-i00 x 1 = 1 = 1
           : If & & $18, Hen
                               \left[\frac{d}{dz}(\log z)\right](z_0) = \frac{1}{z_0}
        i) Log z is defined on $1 605.
         2) Logz is not continuous on R < 0.
(Arg z)
            3) log z is analytic on $\S & its desirative is \frac{1}{2}.
     Grollary: I no open ball B(0,p) centered around

O with radius p where Log z is analytic

Hence, I no simply connected abornain containing origin
       where Logz is analytic.
              Hence, I will never have an anti-derivative on any
           emply connected domain containing origin.
        Recall: If ( = unit corcle with CCW orientation, then
     This remark also fells you that & closes of have an antiderivation on any SCD that contains C inside it.
        Identifies involving by
 Note that for real x120, 7270,
                  log ( x1 x2) = log x + log x2
            where by x is single valued.)
          log (422) = log 2 + log 22
            However, Log (222) & Log 2 + Log 22.
```

As that in the value of log 2 show that when $z_1 = i$ $dz_2 = -1 - i$, $dz_2 = -1 - i$, $dz_2 = dz_3 = dz$

Log (222) = Log (i (-1-i)) = Log (1-i) = Log (e-i /4)
= -i /4.

Hence, proved.

& 1/2) Nhow that if he (2),0 & he 22 >0, then $\log(2/22) = \log 2/4 \log 22$

Re $(z_j) > 0 \Rightarrow log z_j = ln|z_j| + i Arg z_j$ where $\frac{1}{2} < Arg z_j < \overline{z_j}$ Hence, $z_1 z_2 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 e^{i(Arg z_1 + Arg z_2)}$

Since Arg 2 + Arg 2 + (-T, T), Arg (2,2) = Arg 2 + Arg 2 & hence,

Log 2, 22 = log |2,22| + i (Asg 2 + Asg 2)

= ln |2| + ln |22| + 1,

= Log 2, + Log 2.