Division Algorithm.

Given integers a and b, with b > 0, there exist unique integers q and r satisfying $a = qb + r 0 \le r < b$

The integers q and r are called, respectively, the quotient and remainder in the division of a by b.

Proof:

Let S = $\{a - xb \mid x \text{ an integer; } a - xb \ge 0\}$

Claim: S is nonempty.

Infact, the integer $b \ge 1 = |a|b \ge |a|$ => $a - (-|a|)b = a + |a|b \ge a + |a| \ge 0$

For the choice x = -|a|, then, a - xb lies in S.

=> S is nonempty.

By Well-Ordering Principle, from which we infer that the set S contains a smallest integer; call it r.

By the definition of S, there exists an integer q satisfying $r = a - qb \ 0 \le r$

Claim: r < b.

Otherwise, if $r \ge b$ then,

$$a - (q + 1)b = (a - qb) - b = r - b \ge 0$$

=> the integer a - (q + 1)b has the proper form to belong to the set S.

But a - (q + 1)b = r - b < r, a contradiction, r is the smallest member of S.

Hence, r < b as claimed.

Uniqueness:

Suppose that a has two representations of the desired form, say, a = qb + r = q'b + r' where $0 \le r < b$, $0 \le r' < b$.

Then
$$r'-r=b(q-q')$$

=> $|r'-r|=b|q-q'|$

Adding the two inequalities, $-b < -r \le 0$ and $0 \le r' < b$,

$$=> |r' - r| < b.$$

$$=> b | q - q' | < b,$$

$$=> 0 \le |q - q'| < 1$$

Because | q - q' | is a nonnegative integer, the only possibility is that | q - q' | = 0,

$$=>q = q'$$

=> Uniqueness.

Example

Let b = -7. Then, for the choices of a = 1, -2, 61, and -59,

=>
$$1 = 0(-7) + 1$$

 $-2 = 1(-7) + 5$
 $61 = (-8)(-7) + 5$
 $-59 = 9(-7) + 4$

Remark:

If a and b are integers, with b = 0, then there exist unique integers q and r such that

$$a = qb + r0 \le r < |b|$$

Proof. It is enough to consider the case in which b is negative. Then |b| > 0, => there are unique integers q and r for which a = q |b| + r, $0 \le r < |b|$.

Noting that |b| = -b, we may take q = -q to arrive at a = qb + r, with $0 \le r < |b|$.

Let b = -7. Then, for the choices of a = 1, -2, 61, and -59,

$$1 = 0(-7) + 1$$

$$-2 = 1(-7) + 5$$

$$61 = (-8)(-7) + 5$$

$$-59 = 9(-7) + 4$$

Divisibility

An integer b is said to be divisible by a non-zero integer a, denoted by a | b, if there exists some integer c such that b = ac.

Properties

For integers a, b, c, the following hold:

- (a) a | 0, 1 | a, a | a.
- (b) $a \mid 1$ if and only if $a = \pm 1$.
- (c) If a | b and c | d, then ac | bd.
- (d) If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.

- (e) $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$ if and only if $a = \pm b$.
- (f) If a | b and b = 0, then | $a \le b$ |.
- (g) If a \mid b and a \mid c, then a \mid (bx + cy) for arbitrary integers x and y.

Greatest Common Divisor (gcd)

Let a and b be given integers, with at least one of them different from zero. The greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted by gcd(a, b), is the positive integer d satisfying the following:

- (a) d | a and d | b.
- (b) If $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \leq d$.

Example:
$$gcd(-5, 5) = 5$$

 $gcd(8, 17) = 1$
 $gcd(-8, -36) = 4$

Theorem:

Given integers a and b, not both of which are zero, there exist integers x and y such that gcd(a, b) = ax + by

Proof.

Let $S = \{au + bv \mid au + bv > 0; u, v \text{ integers}\}\$

Claim: S is not empty.

Infact, if a = 0, then the integer $|a| = au + b \cdot 0$ which lies in We take u = 1 or u = -1 according as a is positive or negative.

By Well-Ordering Principle, S must contain a smallest element d.

=> there exist integers x and y for which d = ax + by.

By Division Algorithm, there exists integers q and r such that a = qd + r, where $0 \le r < d$.

Then r can be written in the form

$$r = a - qd = a - q(ax + by) = a(1 - qx) + b(-qy).$$

If r were positive, then this representation would imply that r is a member of S, contradicting the fact that d is the least integer in S (recall that r < d).

Therefore, r = 0, and so a = qd, or equivalently d | a. Similarly , d | b.

=> d is a common divisor of a and b.

Now if c is an arbitrary positive common divisor of the integers a and b, then $c \mid (ax + by)$;

$$=> c = |c| \le |d| = d$$
,

=> d = gcd(a, b), as claimed.

Example: If a and b are given integers, not both zero, then prove that the set $T = \{ax + by \mid x, y \text{ are integers}\}\$ is precisely the set of all multiples of d = gcd(a, b).

(Hint: use the definition of g.c.d.)

Relatively Prime integers:

Two integers a and b, not both of which are zero, are said to be relatively prime whenever gcd(a, b) = 1.

Example: 13 and 25 are relatively primes.

Theorem:

Let a and b be integers, not both zero. Then a and b are relatively prime if and only if there exist integers x and y such that 1 = ax + by.

(Proof: simple one)

Note: If gcd(a, b) = d, then gcd(a/d, b/d) = 1.

Example:

gcd(-12, 30) = 6 and gcd(-12/6, 30/6) = gcd(-2, 5) = 1

Example: If a | c and b | c, with gcd(a, b) = 1, then prove that ab | c.

Answer: As a | c and b | c, integers r and s can be found such that c = ar = bs.

Now gcd(a, b) = 1 allows us to write 1 = ax + by for some choice of integers x and y.

Multiplying the last equation by c,

$$=> c = c \cdot 1 = c(ax + by) = acx + bcy$$

$$=> c = a(bs)x + b(ar)y = ab(sx + ry)$$

=> ab | c, as desired.

Note: The condition that gcd(a, b)=1 in the above example is

necessary in the above example, as we can see that 6|24 and 8|24, but 6x8=48 cannot divide 24.

Euclid's lemma.

If a | bc, with gcd(a, b) = 1, then a | c.

Proof:

gcd(a, b) = 1 => 1 = ax + by, where x and y are integers.

Multiplication of this equation by c,

$$=> c = 1 \cdot c = (ax + by)c = acx + bcy$$

Now. $a \mid ac$ and $a \mid bc$, $\Rightarrow a \mid (acx + bcy)$

Euclidean Algorithm:

The Euclidean Algorithm may be described as follows: Let a and b be two integers whose greatest common divisor is desired. Because gcd(|a|, |b|) = gcd(a, b), there is no harm in assuming that $a \ge b > 0$. The first step is to apply the Division Algorithm to a and b to get

$$a = q_1 b + r_1 \qquad 0 \le r_1 < b$$

If it happens that $r_1 = 0$, then $b \mid a$ and gcd(a, b) = b. When $r_1 \neq 0$, divide b by r_1 to produce integers q_2 and r_2 satisfying

$$b = q_2 r_1 + r_2 \qquad 0 \le r_2 < r_1$$

If $r_2 = 0$, then we stop; otherwise, proceed as before to obtain

$$r_1 = q_3 r_2 + r_3$$
 $0 \le r_3 < r_2$

This division process continues until some zero remainder appears, say, at the (n+1)th stage where r_{n-1} is divided by r_n (a zero remainder occurs sooner or later because the decreasing sequence $b > r_1 > r_2 > \cdots \geq 0$ cannot contain more than b integers).

The result is the following system of equations:

$$a = q_1b + r_1 \qquad 0 < r_1 < b$$

$$b = q_2r_1 + r_2 \qquad 0 < r_2 < r_1$$

$$r_1 = q_3r_2 + r_3 \qquad 0 < r_3 < r_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$r_{n-2} = q_nr_{n-1} + r_n \qquad 0 < r_n < r_{n-1}$$

$$r_{n-1} = q_{n+1}r_n + 0$$

We argue that r_n , the last nonzero remainder that appears in this manner, is equal to gcd(a, b). Our proof is based on the lemma below.

Lemma. If
$$a = qb + r$$
, then $gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r)$.

Proof. If $d = \gcd(a, b)$, then the relations $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$ together imply that $d \mid (a - qb)$, or $d \mid r$. Thus, d is a common divisor of both b and r. On the other hand, if c is an arbitrary common divisor of b and c, then $c \mid (qb + r)$, whence $c \mid a$. This makes c a common divisor of a and b, so that $c \leq d$. It now follows from the definition of $\gcd(b, r)$ that $d = \gcd(b, r)$.

Using the result of this lemma, we simply work down the displayed system of equations, obtaining gcd(a, b) = = the last non-zero remainder.

$$12378 = 4 \cdot 3054 + 162$$

$$3054 = 18 \cdot 162 + 138$$

$$162 = 1 \cdot 138 + 24$$

$$138 = 5 \cdot 24 + 18$$

$$24 = 1 \cdot 18 + 6$$

$$18 = 3 \cdot 6 + 0$$

 $=> 6 = \gcd(12378, 3054)$

$$6 = 24 - 18$$

$$= 24 - (138 - 5 \cdot 24)$$

$$= 6 \cdot 24 - 138$$

$$= 6(162 - 138) - 138$$

$$= 6 \cdot 162 - 7 \cdot 138$$

$$= 6 \cdot 162 - 7(3054 - 18 \cdot 162)$$

$$= 132 \cdot 162 - 7 \cdot 3054$$

$$= 132(12378 - 4 \cdot 3054) - 7 \cdot 3054$$

$$= 132 \cdot 12378 + (-535)3054$$

Thus 6 = gcd(12378, 3054) = 12378x + 3054y where x = 132 and y = -535.