



Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Presentation [Formative]

Tutor completing formative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: Bahar Yatman
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: Formative

Thank you for submitting your formative.

You are discussing looking at 3 aspects connected to your summative title: ethical, technical, and regulatory challenges. You only have 15 minutes for your discussion. You need to achieve deep critical research and discussion on your subject. Those topics are 3 separate discussions. Pick one and deep research that looking at the strengths and limitations of your topic. We spoke in live seminars on many occasions regarding not drawing too many topics through a discussion as you cannot engage with deep research this way. Keep the topic and content focused.

Looking at the rest of the submission, finance seems to be the focus – you can draw through things like data protection etc, but they need to have some key context around the assignment title and have some relevance to that core topic discussion, as opposed to developing tangents instead of focusing on the core subject that surrounds your summative.

You can obviously talk about ethics if this topic is going to be moved onto a Capstone project but those ethics need to be in the context of the project itself as in gaining ethical consent for primary research from people in the actual Capstone project itself. For this RPP summative keep everything very focused from the secondary research perspective.

For the summative itself make sure you have a breadth of cross-sectional research to look at the strengths and limitations of your topic from a focused research perspective

General advice to all students:

Remember to cite on your power presentation, in your transcript and also orally cite in your oral presentation for this summative. Ensure that you have a long list of references in alphabetical order on your power at the end of the presentation and at the end of your transcript. Please refer to seminar 5 if you are unsure about any of these details.

***This comment is added to every student formative feedback sheet:** Please ensure that when you complete your summative submission for the Research Proposal Presentation, that the research, content and context is **COMPLETELY DIFFERENT** to your Literature Review. This is for several reasons; you need to avoid self-plagiarism, [if content is close to or similar to the Literature Review TURNITIN will detect this], a summative can only be graded once and so if your LT and RPP are too similar theoretically it is the same



piece of work and summative academic work has to 'build' upon research so that every summative submission is different from the previous summative submission. *This is a standard academic rule in all academic writing/summative submission.* And so, you can 'build' upon your subject from the Literature Review to the Research Proposal Presentation, but it **MUST** be different from the Literature Review.

The burden of ensuring each summative is 'new' rests with the student, and so please do observe the above when completing all summative submissions, thank you.

***Please also ensure that you engage with critical analysis in your content, and you debate a 'pros' and 'cons' perspective for your research, in your RPP summative.**

Wishing you the best of luck now for your actual summative submission,

With best wishes

Karen