Hello everyone,

In our discussion on ethical standards for computing professionals related to the ACM and BCS codes of conduct, I focused on ethical violations related to malware disruptions in rogue web services.

In this case, ethical violations stem from the profit-driven approach of these companies. I analysed both codes of conduct, emphasising how their principles are violated, particularly in relation to the core mission shared by both organisations.

Aleksandr's contribution to my post was insightful, as he highlighted the jurisdictional limitations, which could be further explored for a more comprehensive analysis. Aminur, on the other hand, offered a valuable perspective by stressing the importance of a thorough client onboarding process as a proactive measure. He also suggested that companies need more advanced international policies to address these issues effectively.

I also enjoyed reading my peers' posts and responding to some of them. For example, Gareth discussed ethical concerns in Q industries, where contractors shifted from non-lethal to lethal methods against protestors, each raising significant ethical questions. Aleksandr examined a case involving a misleading web interface that confused users, presenting another compelling example of ethical issues. Lastly, I responded to Mario's post on rogue services, focusing on the ACM and BCS's broader missions and concluding that technology professionals must continuously update their knowledge in this rapidly evolving field and raise public awareness about ethical issues.

In summary, the key takeaway from the discussion is the importance of developing comprehensive guidelines to address the ethical challenges faced by computing professionals today.