Reflective Activity 1: Ethics in Computing in the Age of Generative AI

The emergence of AI-driven generative technologies has significantly transformed the content lifecycle from creation to distribution and consumption, affecting various industries. While these innovations offer promising benefits, they also introduce complex ethical and privacy challenges (Bale et al., 2024). Deckard (2023) emphasises the guiding principles for the responsible development and deployment of AI, focusing on fairness, transparency, accountability, and societal impact. The paper also stresses the growing importance of the AI ethicist profession in ensuring these principles are respected.

In their meta-analysis, Correa et al. (2023) provide a comprehensive overview of AI ethics guidelines worldwide, emphasising the need for global cooperation to address the challenges posed by generative AI. They argue that fragmented regulations across regions could undermine the technology's potential benefits while intensifying its risks. The analysis reveals that transparency and fairness are the most frequently emphasised principles, aligning with Deckard's (2023) framework. Although truthfulness is not yet a dominant focus in existing guidelines, it is becoming increasingly important as generative AI technology evolves. Notably, the analysis highlights that while Western countries like the U.S., the U.K., and Germany have led AI ethics initiatives, regions such as Africa and Latin America remain under-represented in these discussions. This aligns with the recent EU Artificial Intelligence Act, the first global regulatory framework designed to foster innovation while mitigating risks (Outeda, 2024). While both the EU and the U.S. are working toward standardising AI regulations, a unified global framework remains unlikely soon due to regulatory, cultural, and political differences (CIPP/E, 2024). On the other hand,

China's pioneering regulatory framework for generative AI demonstrates a proactive approach to balancing technological advancement with ethical considerations and setting a model for other nations. Nevertheless, there are still a lot of deficiencies in the current regulatory system (Shi, 2023).

Reflecting on the insights provided by Correa et al. (2023) and Deckard (2023), I believe the future of AI regulation and governance will be shaped by the ongoing interaction between technology, regulations, and ethics. While achieving a global consensus on AI regulations is challenging, it remains possible. A balance must be struck between fostering innovation and mitigating risks, which requires careful regulation, strong ethical standards, and international collaboration.

In my view, computing professionals, particularly AI ethicists, play a crucial role in guiding policymakers to create a balanced AI environment. They help shape regulations that protect individuals while promoting innovation, bridging the gap between technical and ethical considerations. They ensure AI systems are developed responsibly by advising on key ethical areas such as accountability, value alignment, explainability, fairness, and user data rights (Ellow, 2024). Their collaboration with policymakers ensures that AI aligns with societal values and proactively addresses potential risks. However, this role can be challenging for computing professionals, as computing professionals often find themselves navigating tensions between business goals and ethical consideration. This complexity underscores the importance of AI ethicists in creating ethically grounded technology while addressing the most challenging stakeholder demands.

Beyond computing professionals, corporate responsibility is essential, especially from influential technology companies such as IBM. The approach of IBM to responsible

AI emphasises trust and transparency through data privacy and security (IBM, 2024). These principles align with global standards for AI governance and set a strong example of corporate responsibility in AI development.

Moreover, UNESCO has also published recommendations on the ethics of AI. According to UNESCO (2021), its main objective is creating a comprehensive and universally applicable framework to guide the responsible development, deployment, and governance of AI systems by protecting human rights and freedom. Another goal is to foster collaboration among stakeholder governments, academia, civil society, and the private sector to ensure AI aligns with societal values and proactively addresses potential risks.

Education systems also play a vital role. Education is essential for equipping individuals to seize the opportunities and face the challenges brought about by globalisation and the digital revolution. It ensures that people are prepared to engage fully, benefit from, and adjust to emerging jobs and evolving skill requirements (Dignum, 2021). Traditional educational institutions should adapt to include AI ethics in their curricula, raising public awareness of ethical issues. This responsibility also extends to governments, which could provide public education programs and training to inform citizens of AI's implications.

At the top of stakeholders addressing AI challenges, governments must create regulations based on existing frameworks, adapting them to their national contexts. Monitoring and auditing are also necessary to enforce these standards and ensure AI systems are deployed responsibly. Governments can support ethical AI practices by rewarding companies that adhere to high standards and promote such practices.

In my reflection, I've primarily discussed general AI, which can serve as the foundation for generative AI regulation frameworks. However, generative AI raises additional ethical concerns, both intentional and unintentional, that are less prevalent in traditional AI. These include the generation of misinformation, plagiarism, copyright infringements, and harmful content. Given these unique risks, it is crucial for companies to take proactive measures. One potential action could be the establishment of new roles, such as prompt engineers, to help guide AI systems in producing ethically robust and responsible content (TechTarget, 2024).

Furthermore, AI regulatory frameworks should be flexible and adaptable to the rapid developments in technology. In an area that is developing as fast as artificial intelligence, static restrictions could soon become obsolete. Therefore, regulatory frameworks must evolve in tandem with technological advancements. While regulatory frameworks like the EU Artificial Intelligence Act and guidelines from UNESCO set a foundation, it's crucial to periodically update these standards as AI technology continues to evolve.

In conclusion, tackling the ethical challenges of generative AI demands a collaborative effort from all stakeholders. Computing professionals, including AI ethicists, must work alongside policymakers, corporations, and educators to establish a responsible AI ecosystem that prioritises human rights and the welfare of society.

References:

ACM, (n.d). *Mission, Vision, Values & Goals*. [online] Available at: https://www.acm.org/about-acm/mission-vision-values-goals [Accessed 7 November 2024].

Bale, A. S., Dhumale, R., Beri, N., Lourens, M., Varma, R. A., Kumar, V., ... & Savadatti, M. B. (2024). The impact of generative content on individuals privacy and ethical concerns. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*, *12*(1), 697-703.

CIPP/E. (2024). *Global AI Regulations Tracker: Europe, Americas & Asia-Pacific Overview*. Legal Nodes - A Legal Platform for Global Companies. https://legalnodes.com/article/global-ai-regulations-tracker

Correa, N. K., Galvao, C., Santos, J. W., Del Pino, C., Pinto, E. P., Barbosa, C., ... & de Oliveira, N. (2023). Worldwide AI ethics: A review of 200 guidelines and recommendations for AI governance. Patterns, 4(10).

Deckard, R. (2023) What are Ethics in AI

Dignum, V. (2021). The role and challenges of education for responsible AI. *London Review of Education*, *19*(1), 1-11.

Ellow (2024). *Ethics in generative AI: Concerns, examples, and best practices*. https://ellow.io/ethics-in-generative-ai/

IBM (2024). *Trust transparency*. IBM Policy. https://www.ibm.com/policy/trust-transparency-new/

Outeda, C. C. (2024). The EU's AI act: a framework for collaborative governance. *Internet of Things*, 101291.

Shi, Y. (2023). Study on security risks and legal regulations of generative artificial intelligence. *Science of law journal*, *2*(11), 17-23.

TechTarget. (2024). *Generative AI Ethics: 8 biggest concerns and risks*. Search Enterprise AI. https://www.techtarget.com/searchenterpriseai/tip/Generative-AI-ethics-8-biggest-concerns

UNESCO.org. (2021). *Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. https://www.unesco.org/en/artificial-intelligence/recommendation-ethics?hub=32618