



Feedback for RRMP Research Proposal Summative

Tutor completing summative feedback: Karen Outram	Student number: 415975
Details of any extensions etc:	Submission Attempt: First submission Second submission

Criteria and weighting	Level	Comments:
Knowledge and Understanding weighted 25%, Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Very Good	Very good knowledge generally. Keep all of your facts consistently underpinned by citation all the way through for a completely holistic approach all the way through, [this was stronger in some areas of the assignment than others]. A very focused approach through the presentation; take this approach forward for future summative.
Criticality weighted at 25%, Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very Good	Very good critical approach to the content. It was clear that you had engaged in literature as you reflected strengths and limitations as you developed your discussion. I would just advise applying citation to all your facts, and so some specific theoretical discussion was underpinned by fact. But then there were parts of the discussion where facts had clearly come from somewhere, [particularly towards the beginning of the presentation], but then these weren't underpinned by citation.
Structure and Presentation weighted at 10%: Is the presentation well organised?	Very Good	Very good structure and presentation of the content, which reflects an organised approach regarding developing the summative. For future, potentially move your slides on a little more at regular intervals, to keep the viewers of your material engaged. And so if there is a longer explanation over part of the topic, break the power up by introducing more slides to keep the visual aspect moving forward, and to keep the viewer/s of your content completely engaged.
Presentation and Communication Skills weighted at 30%: Is the presentation clearly introduced and concluded? Is	Excellent	Excellent presentation skills. The content is well paced and feels as though preparation and practice has gone into the delivery. The oral presentation is fluid, steady, logical and



the presentation well-paced and timed? Is the information presented clearly and concisely? Is the presentation style lively, fluent and engaging?		clear. There is clear chronology in the content. Take this approach forward to future presentations of this nature.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Are the major points identified? Are the details presented clearly?	Very Good	Generally, all major points discussed in the presentation. Do just keep a consistent balance of theory all the way through the content.
Use of relevant sources weighted at 5%: Is there a critical discussion/analysis of your methodology/design?	Very Good	There is critical discussion through the presentation to a very good standard. A little more theory could be drawn through the discussion here and there to fully underpin this approach through the whole summative.
Overall		
Positives: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mostly very good outcomes, with one excellent outcome • The presentation was well paced • The presentation was focused 		
Points for development: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consistently draw through theory all the way through the presentation • This will yield dynamic critical discussion all the way through the content • Take this feedback forward to future summative 		