

# r.earthworks: a GRASS tool for terrain modeling

Brendan A. Harmon<sup>1</sup>, Anna Petrasova<sup>2</sup>, and Vaclav Petras<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Louisiana State University, United States <sup>2</sup> North Carolina State University, United States

DOI: 10.xxxxxx/draft

## Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Open Journals

Reviewers:

- @openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

## License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).




Figure 1: Channel modeled with r.earthworks

## Summary

r.earthworks is a tool for modeling terrain in GRASS, a free and open source geospatial processing engine (GRASS Development Team et al., n.d.). This tool – inspired by earthworking operations that reshape the earth’s surface – transforms existing terrain rasters. Earthworks are constructed by excavating or embanking soil and rock. In cut operations, earth is removed, dug out by machines such as excavators or pushed away by dozers. In fill operations, earth is added, deposited by machines such as loaders or pushed in place by dozers. r.earthworks models topographic change as cut or fill operations that add to or subtract from a topographic surface. Topographic change can be calculated relative to a vertical datum to model features at a given elevation or relative to the topographic surface to model features that follow the terrain. While inspired by earthworking processes, r.earthworks can be used to model natural as well as constructed landforms. Applications include procedurally generating terrain (Figure 1), designing earthworks (Figure 2), modeling landforms (Figure 3), simulating processes such as dam or levee breaches, reconstructing historic landscapes, and removing anomalies. As part of the GRASS ecosystem, r.earthworks can easily be used in conjunction with other tools for geomorphometry (Jasiewicz & Stepinski, 2013), hydrological modeling (Mitášová et al., 2004), erosion modeling (Harmon et al., 2019), and temporal analysis (Gebbert & Pebesma, 2017) in Python scripts and Jupyter notebooks (Haedrich et al., 2023).




Figure 2: Levee improvements and ridge restoration modeled with r.earthworks

## Statement of need

While modeling the shape of the earth's surface is of interest to many sectors, approaches and thus software vary widely across disciplines. Spatial scientists use remote sensing software, geographic information systems, and geospatial programming to reconstruct real terrain (Grohmann et al., 2020) and model the physical processes that shape it (Barnhart et al., 2020; Hopley et al., 2017). The computer graphics community uses procedural terrain generators and simulations of physical processes to synthesize novel terrain (Galin et al., 2019; Musgrave et al., 1989). The architecture, engineering, and construction sector uses computer aided design software to model and then build earthworks (Hurkxkens & Bernhard, 2019a, 2019b; Jud et al., 2021; Petschek, 2012). Workflows across disciplines can be complex because of the need to move between modeling paradigms in different, often proprietary software solutions with different data structures. While there are many tools for reconstructing, generating, and transforming terrain, there is a need for free and open source geospatial tools for procedurally reshaping terrain. `r.earthworks` was developed to fill this gap by providing a free and open source tool for transforming terrain that can be used in geospatial programming workflows. It brings terrain modeling concepts from computer graphics and computer aided design into a geospatial modeling and programming environment, eliminating the need for complex workflows across modeling paradigms. `r.earthworks` was designed so that spatial scientists can generate and transform terrain in a geospatial programming environment, providing the geospatial software community with missing capabilities for terrain modeling such as sketching or procedurally modeling landforms from local topographic extrema.




Figure 3: Gullies modeled with `r.earthworks`

## Functionality

`r.earthworks` functionality includes the transformation of terrain rasters and calculation of volumetric change. Its features include cut and fill operations, relative or absolute datums, growth and decay functions for determining slopes, flats at local minima or maxima, and volumetric calculations. It can be used not only to model basic landforms such as flats, peaks, ridges, shoulders, spurs, slopes, hollows, footslopes, valleys, and pits, but also complex natural and anthropogenic features. In `r.earthworks`, terrain – abstracted as a 2-dimensional manifold in 3-dimensional Euclidean space – is represented discretely as a raster grid for efficient storage, analysis, and transformation. Transformations are based on proposed local topographic extrema which can be derived from data, procedurally generated, or sketched. These extrema can be input as coordinates, points, lines, or a raster. The local minima and maxima are modeled as low points for cut operation or high points for fill operations. Transformations are a function of the existing elevation, change in vertical distance, and change in slope over horizontal distance. Vertical distance is calculated as the difference between proposed local extrema and a topographic datum, while change in slope is a function of growth and decay applied to horizontal change in distance. Growth and decay can be set to a linear, exponential, logistic, Gaussian, Cauchy-Lorentz, quadratic, or cubic function. The shape of the slope can be controlled by tuning the parameters of the chosen growth and decay function. Transformations are calculated independently for each local minima or maxima and are then accumulated before

62 being applied to the existing terrain.

63 This tool was designed for use in spatial science workflows working with large terrain datasets.  
64 Since `r.earthworks` is raster based, it is efficient, scalable, flexible, and interoperable; it can  
65 process large elevation datasets, be used in workflows with other raster-based tools, and its  
66 results can be exported in common raster, point cloud, and array formats. After `r.earthworks`  
67 has been used to model topographic change, other GRASS tools can be used to analyze the  
68 resulting terrain and simulate physical processes such as surface flows of water and sediment.  
69 Through the GRASS Python application programming interface (API), `r.earthworks` can easily  
70 be integrated into data science workflows in Python. This tool includes automated tests,  
71 documentation, tutorials, and computational notebooks.

## 72 Usage

73 To model random peaks with `r.earthworks` in Python ([Figure 2](#)), start a GRASS session and  
74 run the following code:

```
# Import GRASS package
import grass.script as gs

# Install extension
gs.run_command("g.extension", extension="r.earthworks")


# Set computational region
gs.run_command("g.region", n=500, e=500, s=0, w=0, res=1)

# Generate base terrain
gs.mapcalc("elevation = 0")

# Generate random surface
gs.run_command("r.surf.random", out="surface", min=0, max=25)

# Sample random points
gs.run_command(
    "r.random",
    input="surface",
    npoints=50,
    raster="random",
    flags="s"
)

# Model earthworks
gs.run_command(
    "r.earthworks",
    elevation="elevation",
    earthworks="earthworks",
    operation="fill",
    raster="random",
    function="linear",
    linear=0.25,
    flat=25
)
```



**Figure 4:** Random peaks modeled with `r.earthworks`

## Acknowledgements

The development of this software benefited from mentorship provided through a program supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant [2303651](https://doi.org/10.17905/2303651).

## References

- Barnhart, K. R., Hutton, E. W., Tucker, G. E., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuluoglu, E., Hobley, D. E., Lyons, N. J., Mouchene, M., Nudurupati, S. S., Adams, J. M., & others. (2020). Landlab v2. 0: A software package for earth surface dynamics. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 8(2), 379–397. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-8-379-2020>
- Galín, E., Guérin, E., Peytavie, A., Cordonnier, G., Cani, M.-P., Benes, B., & Gain, J. (2019). A review of digital terrain modeling. *Computer Graphics Forum*, 38(2), 553–577. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.13657>
- Gebbert, S., & Pebesma, E. (2017). The GRASS GIS temporal framework. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, 31(7), 1273–1292. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2017.1306862>
- GRASS Development Team, Landa, M., Neteler, M., Metz, M., Petrášová, A., Petráš, V., Clements, G., Zigo, T., Larsson, N., Kladivová, L., Haedrich, C., Blumentrath, S., Andreo, V., Cho, H., Gebbert, S., Nartišs, M., Kudrnovsky, H., Delucchi, L., Zambelli, P., ... Bowman, H. (n.d.). *GRASS GIS* (Version 8.4.0). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4621728>
- Grohmann, C. H., Garcia, G. P. B., Affonso, A. A., & Albuquerque, R. W. (2020). Dune migration and volume change from airborne LiDAR, terrestrial LiDAR and structure from motion-multi view stereo. *Computers & Geosciences*, 143, 104569. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2020.104569>
- Haedrich, C., Petráš, V., Petrášová, A., Blumentrath, S., & Mitášová, H. (2023). Integrating GRASS GIS and jupyter notebooks to facilitate advanced geospatial modeling education. *Transactions in GIS*, 27(3), 686–702. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tgis.13031>
- Harmon, B. A., Mitášová, H., Petrášová, A., & Petráš, V. (2019). `r.sim.terrain` 1.0: a landscape evolution model with dynamic hydrology. *Geoscientific Model Development*, 12(7), 2837–2854. <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-2837-2019>
- Hobley, D. E., Adams, J. M., Nudurupati, S. S., Hutton, E. W., Gasparini, N. M., Istanbuluoglu, E., & Tucker, G. E. (2017). Creative computing with landlab: An open-source toolkit for building, coupling, and exploring two-dimensional numerical models of earth-surface dynamics. *Earth Surface Dynamics*, 5(1), 21–46. <https://doi.org/10.5194/esurf-5-21-2017>
- Hurxkens, I., & Bernhard, M. (2019a). Computational terrain modeling with distance functions for large scale landscape design [Conference Paper]. *Journal of Digital Landscape Architecture*, 2019(4), 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.14627/537663024>

- 110 Hurkxkens, I., & Bernhard, M. (2019b). *Docofossor* (Version 0.904).
- 111 Jasiewicz, J., & Stepinski, T. F. (2013). Geomorphons – a pattern recognition approach to  
112 classification and mapping of landforms. *Geomorphology*, 182, 147–156. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.11.005)  
113 [10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2012.11.005)
- 114 Jud, D., Hurkxkens, I., Girot, C., & Hutter, M. (2021). Robotic embankment. *Construction*  
115 *Robotics*, 5(2), 101–113. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41693-021-00061-0>
- 116 Mitášová, H., Thaxton, C., Hofierka, J., McLaughlin, R., Moore, A., & Mitáš, L. (2004). Path  
117 sampling method for modeling overland water flow, sediment transport, and short term  
118 terrain evolution in open source GIS. In C. T. Miller & G. F. Pinder (Eds.), *Computational*  
119 *methods in water resources: Volume 2* (Vol. 55, pp. 1479–1490). Elsevier. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5648(04)80159-X)  
120 [//doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5648\(04\)80159-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-5648(04)80159-X)
- 121 Musgrave, F. K., Kolb, C. E., & Mace, R. S. (1989). The synthesis and rendering of  
122 eroded fractal terrains. *ACM SIGGRAPH Computer Graphics*, 23(3), 41–50. [https:](https://doi.org/10.1145/74334.74337)  
123 [//doi.org/10.1145/74334.74337](https://doi.org/10.1145/74334.74337)
- 124 Petschek, P. (2012). *Grading for landscape architects and architects*. Birkhäuser. ISBN: 978-  
125 3-0346-0987-6

DRAFT