Baishakhi Ray 1/4

RESEARCH STATEMENT

Baishakhi Ray

Over the last few decades, software industry has grown enormously. According to a recent survey, worldwide software industry has grown to \$407.3 Billion in 2013, 4.8% more than the previous year. For maintaining such growth, we must ensure the quality of the software as well as the efficiency of the software development process. My research focuses on achieving these goals. In particular, I work on **Empirical Software Engineering** in order to understand the current software engineering process by statistically analyzing large-scale software projects. Then, leveraging this data-driven knowledge, I develop novel **program analysis** algorithms and **testing** techniques to improve software quality, reliability, as well as developer productivity.

A key insight behind my research is that similarities within and across software systems can be leveraged to improve both software quality and developer productivity. In my doctoral thesis [PhDThesis], I empirically demonstrated that developers spend a significant amount of time and effort in introducing similar features and bug-fixes in and across different projects [FSE'12]. Such changes are repetitive, and often tedious and error-prone. To facilitate developers in this process, I developed a change recommendation system [MSRTR'14], a bug detection tool, and an automatic testing technique [ASE'13]. I also observed that multiple applications often implement the same features in a different manner. Based on this fact, I developed a differential testing framework to test the SSL certificate validation routines across different SSL implementations [S&P'14]. To date, my research has been able to *detect more than a hundred bugs and security issues* in large scale software. In addition, I have extensively collaborated with systems researchers to apply existing software engineering techniques for building mobile and heterogeneous computing frameworks [SOSP'11; IEEE'08].

My work on the quality of repetitive edits [ASE'13] was nominated for a distinguished paper award in the International Conference on Automated Software Engineering conference, and was invited for a special issue in the journal of Automated Software Engineering. The work on differential testing of certificate validation in SSL/TLS implementations [S&P'14] won the best practical paper award in IEEE Security and Privacy Symposium (Oakland), 2014, and the testing framework was later adopted by Mozilla. Our empirical study of supplementary bug fixes [MSR'12] was invited to the Special Issue of Journal of Empirical Software Engineering (EMSE). My study on the impact of programming languages on software quality [FSE'14] was covered by the media including SlashDot, The Register, Reddit, InfoWorld, Hacker News, etc.

The following is a summary of my research contributions that address some of the fundamental problems of software engineering.

Repetitive changes in software

Changes in software development come in many forms. Some changes are frequent, idiomatic, or repetitive (*e.g.*, adding null checks or logging important values), while others are unique. Though existing literature has shown that repetitiveness is pervasive in source code, the actual nature and cost required to introduce such repetitive changes are yet unknown. As part of my PhD thesis, I investigated different aspects (*e.g.*, frequency, cost, quality, *etc.*) of repetitive changes across different open source and proprietary software including the BSDs, Linux, and multiple Microsoft projects.

Estimating overhead of repeated work. In the software engineering literature, a developer's work is often measured by the lines of code she changed. Thus, to analyze repeated work I focused on the repetitive changes during a project's evolution. To automatically identify the repetitive changes, I designed Repertoire, an source code change analysis tool that compares the edit contents and the corresponding operations of program patches to identify similar changes, with 94% precision and 84% recall [FSE-Demo'12]. Using Repertoire, I showed that developers often introduce a significant amount of repeated changes within and across projects. Most notably, repetitive changes among forked projects (different variants of an existing project, e.g., FreeBSD, NetBSD and OpenBSD) incur significant duplicate work. In each BSD release, on average, more than twelve thousand lines are ported from peer projects, and more than 25% of active developers participate in cross-system porting in each release [FSE'12]. Similar trends were also observed for intra-system repetitive changes in Linux and the Microsoft projects [MSRTR'14]. I also noticed a non-trivial amount of repetitive changes in supplementary bug-fixing patches [MSR'12]. Repetitive changes can also be error-prone. To implement such changes, developers usually copy code from an existing implementation and then paste and adapt it to fit the new context. An incorrect adaptation often leads to a copy-paste error. Such errors are quite common in practice—in the last 3 years 182 copy-paste errors were reported in Linux. These results confirmed that the overhead of repetitive changes is non-trivial and suggests that automated tools would be helpful to facilitate the process.

Recommending changes. As a first step towards automating the repetitive changes, I built a change recommendation system, in collaboration with Microsoft Research. Learning from previous code changes, the recommendation system suggests relevant changes in two phases: (1) *change suggestion:* when developers select a code fragment to modify, it recommends possible changes that have previously been applied to similar code, (2) *change completion:* when developers introduce a repetitive change, this step recommends other patches that co-occurred with the change in the past. On average, the recommendation system suggests repetitive changes with 55% precision (20 percentage point better than its predecessor) and recommends change completion with 40% precision [MSRTR'14].

Baishakhi Ray 2/4

Detecting copy-paste errors. In order to automatically detect copy-paste errors, I investigated: (1) What are the common types of copy-paste errors? (2) How can they be automatically detected [ASE'13]? By analyzing the version histories of FreeBSD and Linux, I found five common types of copy-paste errors and then leveraging this categorization I designed a two-stage analysis technique to detect and characterize copy-paste errors. The first stage of the analysis, SPA, detects and categorizes inconsistencies in repetitive changes based on a static control and data dependence analysis. SPA successfully identifies copy-paste errors with 65% to 73% precision, an improvement by 14 to 17 percentage points with respect to previous tools. The second stage of the analysis, SPA++, uses the inconsistencies computed by SPA to direct symbolic execution in order to generate program behaviors that are impacted by the inconsistencies. SPA++ further compares these program behaviors leveraging logical equivalence checking (implemented with z3 theorem prover) and generates test inputs that exercise program paths containing the reported inconsistencies. A case study shows that SPA++ can refine the results reported by SPA and help developers analyze copy-paste inconsistencies. I collaborated with researchers from NASA for this work.

Analytical support for improving quality & productivity

Thanks to the large number of diverse open source projects available in software forges such as GitHub, it becomes possible to evaluate some long-standing questions about software engineering practices. Each of these project repositories hosts source code along with entire evolution history, description, mailing lists, bug database, *etc*. I implemented a number of code analysis and text analysis tools to gather different metrics from GitHub project repositories. Then applying a series of advanced data analysis methods from machine learning, random networks, visualization, and regression analysis techniques, I shed some light on how to improve software quality and developers' productivity.

- Effect of programming languages on software quality. To investigate whether a programming language is the right tool for the job, I gathered a very large data set from GitHub (728 projects, 63M lines of code, 29K authors, 1.5M commits, in 17 languages) [FSE'14]. Using a mixed-methods approach, combining multiple regression modeling with visualization and text analytics, I studied the effect of language features such as static v.s. dynamic typing, strong v.s. weak typing on software quality. By triangulating findings from different methods, and controlling for confounding effects such as code size, project age, and contributors, I observed that a language design choice does have a significant, but modest effect on software quality.
- API stability and adoption in the Android Ecosystem. In today's software ecosystem, which is primarily governed by web, cloud, and mobile technologies, APIs perform a key role to connect disparate software. Big players like Google, FaceBook, Microsoft aggressively publish new APIs to accommodate new feature requests, bugs fixes, and performance improvements. We investigated how such fast paced API evolution affects the overall software ecosystem? Our study on Android API evolution showed that the developers are hesitant to adopt fast evolving, unstable APIs. For instance, while Android updates 115 APIs per month on average [ICSM'13], clients adopt the new APIs rather slowly, with a median lagging period of 16 months. Furthermore, client code with new APIs is typically more defect prone than the ones without API adaptation. To the best of my knowledge, this is the first work studying API adoption in a large software ecosystem, and the study suggests how to promote API adoption and how to facilitate growth of the overall ecosystems.
- Other GitHub analyses. Assertions in a program are believed to improve software quality. I conducted a large scale study on how developers typically use assertions in C and C++ code and showed that they play positive role in improving code quality. I further characterized assertion usage along different process and product metrics. Such detailed characterization of assertions will help to predict relevant locations of useful assertions and eventually will improve code quality [UCTRa'14]. In another study, I and my colleagues studied gender and tenure diversity in online programming teams and found that both gender and tenure diversity are positive and significant predictors of productivity. These results can inform decision-making on all levels, leading to better outcomes in recruiting and performance [UCTRb'14].

Automated adversarial testing

Nowadays in open software market, multiple software are available to users that provide similar functionality. For example, there exists a pool of popular SSL/TLS libraries (*e.g.*, OpenSSL, GnuTLS, NSS, CyaSSL, GnuTLS, PolarSSL, MatrixSSL, *etc.*) for securing network connections from man-in-the-middle attacks. Certificate validation is a crucial part of SSL/TLS connection setup. Though implemented differently, the certificate validation logic of these different libraries should serve the same purpose, following the SSL/TLS protocol, *i.e.* for a given certificate, all of the libraries should either accept or reject it. In collaboration with security researchers at the University of Texas at Austin, we designed the first large-scale framework for testing certificate validation logic in SSL/TLS implementations. First, we generated millions of synthetic certificates by randomly mutating parts of real certificates and thus induced unusual combinations of extensions and constraints. A valid SSL implementation should be able to detect and reject the unusual mutants. Next, using a differential testing framework, we checked whether one SSL/TLS implementation accepts a certificate while another

Baishakhi Ray 3/4

rejects the same certificate. We used such discrepancies as an oracle for finding flaws in individual implementations. We uncovered 208 discrepancies between popular SSL/TLS implementations, many of them are caused by serious security vulnerabilities [S&P'14].

Building secure and scalable software systems

I started my research career in developing software systems that are robust, reliable, and performance sensitive. I also have spent five years working as a professional software engineer, implementing various embedded systems and network protocol stacks. In fact, my systems building expertise from those early years motivated me to develop techniques and tools that would help building better software. Following is a brief summary of some research prototype systems that I designed and implemented.

- Operating System abstractions to manage GPUs as compute devices. GPUs are typically used for high-performance rendering or batch-oriented computations, but not as general purpose compute-intensive tasks, such as brain-computer interfaces or file system encryption. Current OS treats GPU as an I/O device as opposed to a general purpose computational resource, like a CPU. To overcome this issue, we proposed PTask APIs, a new set of OS abstractions. As part of this work, I ported EncFS, a FUSE based encrypted file system for Linux, to CUDA framework such that it can use GPU for AES encryption and decryption. Using PTask's GPU scheduling mechanism, I showed that running EncFS on GPU over CPU made a sequential read and write of a 200MB file 17% and 28% faster [SOSP'11].
- A context aware secure ecosystem for mobile social network. With the rising popularity of social networks, people have started accessing social networking sites from anywhere, anytime, and from a variety of devices. Exploiting this ubiquity, we designed a context-aware framework that couples users' social networking data with their geographical locations [IEEE'08]. However, sharing such location data may compromise users' privacy. To overcome that, I designed and implemented a secure framework. Through exchanging an encrypted nonce associated with a verified user location, the framework allowed location-based services to query its vicinity for relevant information without disclosing user identity [BookChapter'12].

Future work

With the continuing growth of the software industry with many different applications, programming paradigms, and platforms flooding the software ecosystem every day, numerous interesting research questions on improving software quality and developer productivity remain open. Here, I summarize some of the specific research directions that I would like to investigate in the near future followed by my longer-term research plan.

Similarities in software

From my previous work on repetitive changes in software, I realized that software, in general, lacks uniqueness. Exploiting such non-uniqueness, I envision a plethora of software engineering applications including code suggestion engines, porting tools, bug finding tools, tools to aid code learning, *etc*. In the next few years, I will explore how such similarities can be exploited further to build novel software engineering techniques.

Bug detection. It has been observed that real world software tends to be "natural" like speech or natural languages [NLS]. In fact, the average entropy of source code is much less than the average entropy of a plain English text, proving that the source code has high degree of predictability and is amenable to large-sample statistical methods. Exploiting such naturalness I would like to build a bug detection tool. Since source code, in general, is low entropic, any code fragment with high entropy may be suspicious or error-prone. I will analyze the language statistics of a large corpus of bug fix commits and check whether bugginess of code can be explained from an entropic point of view. This work will have two important implications: 1) entropy can be a reasonable (language-independent, simpler) alternative way to draw programmers' attention to problematic code as compared to light weight static analysis tools like PMD and FindBugs [SFB]; 2) search-based software repair methods may use entropy as a guiding parameter to locate bugs and to search for their fixes.

Porting. With the availability of multiple platforms like Android, iOS, *etc.*, developers often have to make their software run on multiple platforms. In order to do that, developers make different design choices, use different APIs or code in different programming languages. I will build tools to automate such cross-platform porting. I plan to borrow techniques from language translation (similar to translating text from English to French), automatic program patching, *etc.* I will further work on proving the correctness of the ported code across multiple platforms.

Code review. Exploiting change similarity, I will improve the current code review process. If similar changes are recognized in a code review, then the developers who introduced the same change earlier can be involved in the code review process. Conversely, new, unfamiliar changes could be highlighted to guarantee that they are carefully reviewed.

Baishakhi Ray 4/4

Longer term goal: understanding software ecosystem

My previous work on forked software and Android API evolution led me to believe that the way the peer projects interact in a software ecosystem is not efficient [FSE'12; ICSM'13]. There is significant latency and redundancy involved in the process. I would like to investigate how the overall growth of an ecosystem can be achieved. For example, how can we automatically port relevant patches from peer projects in a timely and reliable fashion? How can we facilitate a timely and risk-free adoption of new APIs? I would further like to understand how similar are these peer projects in terms of socio-economic dynamics. For instance, why certain applications in Android ecosystem succeed while others fail? Do similar projects face similar issues, email discussion, *etc*? Finally, I will investigate how the peer projects can be benefited from each other.

In general, I will continue working towards producing better quality software and more productive developers. I would like to collaborate with researchers from systems, security, and natural language processing towards achieving these goals. I believe, my software engineering background and inter-disciplinary collaborative experience will help me to achieve my objectives.

References

References	
[FSE'14]	B. Ray, D. Posnett, V. Filkov, and P. T. Devanbu. "A large scale study of programming languages and code quality in github". In: <i>Proceedings of the ACM SIGSOFT 20th International Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering</i> . FSE 2014, pp. 155–165.
[FSE'12]	B. Ray and M. Kim. "A Case Study of Cross-system Porting in Forked Projects". In: <i>Proceedings of the ACM SIGSOFT 20th International Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering</i> . FSE 2012, pp. 53.1–53.11.
[ASE'13]	B. Ray, M. Kim, S. Person, and N. Rungta. "Detecting and characterizing semantic inconsistencies in ported code". In: <i>Automated Software Engineering, 2013 IEEE/ACM 28th International Conference on.</i> ASE 2013, pp. 367–377.
[FSE-Demo'12]	B. Ray, C. Wiley, and M. Kim. "Repertoire: A cross-system porting analysis tool for forked software projects". In: <i>Proceedings of the ACM SIGSOFT 20th International Symposium on the Foundations of Software Engineering</i> . FSE 2012, pp. 8.1–8.4.
[S&P'14]	C. Brubaker, S. Jana, B. Ray, S. Khurshid, and V. Shmatikov. "Using Frankencerts for Automated Adversarial Testing of Certificate Validation in SSL/TLS Implementations". In: <i>IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy 2014</i> . S&P 2014, pp. 114–129.
[ICSM'13]	T. McDonnell, B. Ray, and M. Kim. "An empirical study of API stability and adoption in the Android ecosystem". In: <i>Software Maintenance</i> , 2013 29th IEEE International Conference on. ICSM 2013, pp. 70–79.
[SOSP'11]	C. J. Rossbach, J. Currey, M. Silberstein, B. Ray, and E. Witchel. "PTask: Operating system abstractions to manage GPUs as compute devices". In: <i>Proceedings of the Twenty-Third ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles</i> . ACM. 2011, pp. 233–248.
[MSRTR'14]	B. Ray, M. Nagappan, C. Bird, N. Nagappan, and T. Zimmermann. "The Uniqueness of Changes: Characteristics and Applications". In: <i>Microsoft Research Technical Report</i> . 2014, pp. 1–10.
[IEEE'08]	A. Beach, M. Gartrell, S. Akkala, J. Elston, J. Kelley, K. Nishimoto, B. Ray, S. Razgulin, K. Sundaresan, B. Surendar, et al. "Whozthat? evolving an ecosystem for context-aware mobile social networks". In: <i>Network, IEEE</i> 22.4 (2008), pp. 50–55.
[MSR'12]	J. Park, M. Kim, B. Ray, and D. H. Bae. "An empirical study of supplementary bug fixes". In: <i>Mining Software Repositories (MSR)</i> , 2012 9th IEEE Working Conference on. IEEE. 2012, pp. 40–49.
[UCTRa'14]	C. Casey, D. Prem, O. Abilio, F. Vladimir, and B. Ray. Assert Use in GitHub Projects. Tech. rep. University of California, Davis, 2014, pp. 1–10.
[UCTRb'14]	V. Bogdan, D. Posnett, B. Ray, M. v. d. Brand, Filkov, A. Serebrenik, D. Premkumar, and V. Filkov. <i>Gender and Tenure Diversity in GitHub Teams</i> . Tech. rep. University of California, Davis, 2014, pp. 1–10.
[BookChapter'12]	B. Ray and R. Han. "SecureWear: A Framework for Securing Mobile Social Networks". In: <i>Advances in Computer Science and Information Technology. Computer Science and Engineering</i> . Vol. 85. Lecture Notes of the Institute for Computer Sciences, Social Informatics and Telecommunications Engineering. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012, pp. 515–524.
[PhDThesis]	B. Ray. "Analysis of cross-system porting and porting errors in software projects". PhD thesis. The University of Texas at Austin, 2013.
[NLS]	A. Hindle, E. T. Barr, Z. Su, M. Gabel, and P. Devanbu. "On the naturalness of software". In: <i>Software Engineering (ICSE)</i> , 2012 34th International Conference on. IEEE. 2012, pp. 837–847.
[SFB]	N. Ayewah, D. Hovemeyer, J. D. Morgenthaler, J. Penix, and W. Pugh. "Using static analysis to find bugs". In: <i>IEEE Software</i> 25.5 (2008), pp. 22–29.

Baishakhi Ray 1/2

TEACHING STATEMENT

Baishakhi Ray

One of the primary reasons of my interest in academia is the opportunity to teach students and to produce new leaders in computing. I believe that teaching not only helps students to learn new concepts but also enriches the teacher to have a more coherent understanding of the topics. Teaching also inspires to build a vibrant research environment by passing on ideas and passion of inquiry to students. As a teacher, I truly believe:

The art of teaching is the art of assisting discovery.

(Mark Van Doren)

Teaching

My experience in teaching dates back to my undergraduate days, when I tutored high school students to prepare for their college entrance exams in India. More recently, I have guest-lectured in several software engineering courses at the University of Texas at Austin and University of California Davis. I have also been a teaching assistant and a grader for courses on operating systems and networking during my graduate school career.

As most of my research as well as teaching experience is in the experimental aspects of computer science, I have found a hands-on approach is the most effective in preparing a student towards a successful engineering career. My teaching philosophy consists of three key factors:

- 1. Employ a hands-on approach: I think learning is most effective, when one applies the learned concept in real life. Hence, I strongly encourage implementation in order to grasp new concepts. I prefer to incite and distill the solutions from the students' thoughts over telling them the solutions straightaway. In this process, students not only get to know the solution, but also can identify alternative approaches to solve the problems by themselves. For example, while teaching the concept of unit testing in an undergraduate class, I first showed the students a buggy program and asked them to generate inputs that will trigger the bug. While coming up with the solution, they have already written their first unit test case. In fact, I have noticed that such an approach helps the students to think independently and thus motivate them in active research.
- **2. Show the bigger perspective**: While teaching, I also make sure to present a bigger perspective of the problem before introducing a particular solution. For example, while teaching Git version control systems (VCS) in an undergraduate class, first I explained *why we need a distributed VCS like Git over traditional VCS like SVN* before getting into the details of Git commands. I further demonstrated scenarios where Git outperforms SVN. I believe such approach also helps students understanding the relationship between abstraction and its implementation.
- **3. Encourage active participation**: Finally, I strongly encourage students to actively communicate in my classes. Instead of long lectures about a topic, I prefer to make the discussion interactive by involving the students. This not only helps the students feel more confident by answering simpler questions, but also allows advanced students to ask about related topics of their interest and enriches the overall learning.

Given my background in systems and software engineering, I can teach undergraduate classes in software engineering, basic programming courses, data-structure, program analysis, networking, and operating systems. At the graduate level, I would like to teach more software engineering courses on software evolution and empirical software engineering. I would also like to design a new course on upcoming technologies. For example, I plan to teach a senior undergraduate class on mobile application development where students will create applications using Android/iOS SDKs. I will encourage students to work in groups and use Git to collaborate. In this way, students will embrace cutting edge technologies as well as learn important software engineering tools.

Baishakhi Ray 2/2

Mentoring

Mentoring is challenging, especially because students are very different from one another in terms of research taste, work patterns, and background knowledge. As an adviser, it will be my responsibility to be inclusive of such diversity and help my students to fulfill their potential.

Throughout my research career, I have been fortunate to co-advise many undergraduate and graduate students as well as a high-school student for a science fair. In the process, I realized that students at different stages in their career need guidance in different ways. For example, the beginning students need closer attention. I help such students in choosing interesting research problems as well as assist them with low-level technical issues. For example, I extensively helped Casey Casalnuovo, a beginning graduate student at University of California Davis (UCD), to conduct an empirical study on the use of assertions in source code. From asking the relevant research questions, building statistical models to writing a technical paper, Casey worked under by supervision. I guided Saheel Godhane, a beginning graduate student at UCD, to write control and dataflow analyses using LLVM/Clang infrastructure. I also mentored Tyler McDonnell, an undergraduate at the University of Texas at Austin, to study API Stability and Adoption in the Android Ecosystem. I designed the study and mentored Tyler to conduct the experiments. I further helped him to write a technical paper, which was published in International Conference on Software Maintenance, 2013 (ICSM). As the students progress through their research projects, become comfortable, and start taking ownership of their projects, I helped the students with the high level details, positioning the project, writing the paper, etc. Following this path, I and Vincent Hellendoorn, a visiting graduate student at UCD, had a very successful collaboration experience, and we started working on several paper submissions together. My mentoring have resulted in successful research papers, undergraduate and masters theses. Taariq Chasmawala, the high school student whom I mentored at the University of Texas at Austin, won awards from Intel and the U.S. Army for our work. Taariq ended up joining Computer Science undergraduate program at the University of Texas at Austin.

I also had the opportunity to encourage female undergraduate students in research during my time as Vice President of Graduate Women in Engineering at the University of Texas at Austin. I held several poster sessions and seminars to familiarize the undergraduates to ongoing graduate research projects in the Electrical and Computer Engineering department. I plan to continue inspiring undergraduate women to pursue graduate school and career in computing, in general.

As an adviser, I will encourage my students to conduct independent research while guiding them to produce quality work. I will try to hone their faculty by organizing reading groups, encouraging collaborative research, and challenging them to their best. Last but not least, I want my students to be excited about their research, and I will try to harbor a friendly erudite environment to achieve the same.