

## Subring

### Necessary and sufficient condition

Let  $S (\neq \emptyset)$  is a subset of a ring  $R$ . Then  $S$  is a subring of  $R$  iff

(i)  $a-b \in S \quad \forall a, b \in S$

(ii)  $a \cdot b \in S \quad \forall a, b \in S$

~~$(R, +, \cdot)$  is a s.~~

(\*)  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot)$  is a subring of  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$

(\*)  $D[0, 1]$  is a subring of  $C[0, 1]$

Note:-

A ring may have a multiplicative identity (unity) but ~~that~~ a subring may or may not have a multiplicative identity.

eg  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  has <sup>its</sup> unity = 1

but subring  $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  does not have any unity.

### Examples

(i) Ring has unity but subring does not  
 $(\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$  and subring  $(2\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

(ii) Ring does not have unity but subring has.

$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in R \right\}$  and subring

$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in R \right\}$

has identity

$I_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$

(ii) Ring and ~~sub-ring~~ both have <sup>multiplicative</sup> identity but both are different. i.e.  $1_R \neq 1_S$ .

[Direct Product of Rings]

$$R_1 \times R_2 = \left[ (a, b) : a \in R_1, \text{ and } b \in R_2 \right]$$

$$\Rightarrow (a, b) + (c, d) = (a+c, b+d)$$

$$(a, b) \cdot (c, d) = (ac, bd)$$

1st example Say we have ~~sub-ring~~ ring  $R = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1_R = (1, 1)$

and subring  $S = \mathbb{Z} \times [0]$  and  $1_S = (1, 0)$

2nd example

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$1_R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Subring } S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & a \\ a & a \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$1_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

(iii) Ring  $R$  and subring  $S$  have same unity.

Notes - If ring  $R$  is an integral domain, and

has a subring  $S$ .

Then if  $R$  and  $S$  have a unity (multiplicative identity)  $1_R$  and  $1_S$  respectively, then

$$1_R = 1_S.$$

Proof -

Let  $R$  be an integral domain having identity

$1_R$  and  $S$  be a subring of  $R$  having identity  $1_S$

then <sup>we prove that</sup>  $1_R = 1_S$

Let  $x (\neq 0) \in S$  and  $S \subseteq R$

$$\text{So } x = 1_S \cdot x = 1_R \cdot x = x$$

[as  $x \in S$  and also  $x \in R$ .

$$\text{So } x = 1_S \cdot x \text{ and } x = 1_R \cdot x$$

also.]

$$\therefore (1_R - 1_S) x = 0$$

Now  $x$  is non-zero i.e.  $x \neq 0$   
and  $R$  is an integral domain i.e.  $R$  has  
no divisor of zero

$$\Rightarrow 1_R - 1_S = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{1_R = 1_S} \text{ (proved)}$$

eg  $\Rightarrow$  Ring  $(R, +, \cdot)$  and subring  $(S, +, \cdot)$   
both have same <sup>multi</sup> identity element i.e. 1.

$$\therefore 1_R = 1_S = 1.$$

### Ideal

Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  be a ~~subring~~ <sup>non-empty</sup>  
subset of  $R$ , then  $I$  is called a left ideal  
of  $R$  if  $(a-b) \in I$  and  $ra \in I \forall a, b \in S$   
and  $r \in R$ . and  $I$  is called a right ideal of  $R$   
if  $(a-b) \in I$  and  $a \cdot r \in I \forall a, b \in S$  and  
 $r \in R$ .

note..

An ideal is always a subring but converse  
may not be true.

PTO  $\rightarrow$



$I$  is called an ideal if  $I$  is both left ideal as well as right ideal.

$$\Rightarrow (a-b) \in I \quad \forall a, b \in I$$

$$\text{and } r \cdot a \in I \text{ and } a \cdot r \in I$$

$$\forall r \in R$$

example of a subring but not ideal.

let ring  $R = (\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot)$  and subring  $S = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

but note that  $S$  is not an ideal of  $R$

$$\frac{1}{2} \in R \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{1}{2} \cdot 5 \notin S$$

$$5 \in S$$

$$\text{or } 5 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \notin S$$

$\therefore$  not an ideal.

note:-

In a commutative ring, left ideal and right ideal coincide i.e. are equal

$$\text{let } R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$I_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

Example of a subring which is a left ideal but not a right ideal.

$$\text{let } \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in R \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I_1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} ax & 0 \\ cx & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in I_1$$

$\therefore I_1$  is left ideal

non-zero element

as  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a-x & b \\ c-y & d \end{pmatrix} \in I_1$  (calculate and find out)

To check if  $I_1$  is also right ideal or not.

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\therefore$  not a right ideal

(calculate and see)

$\therefore I_1$  is only left ideal

not a right ideal.

Example of subring which is right ideal but not a left ideal.

let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} ; a, b, c, d \in R \right\}$$

$$I_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; a, b \in R \right\}$$

let  $\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \in R$   $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I_2$

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} xa & xc \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in I_2$$

calculate and find out

$\therefore$  right ideal

But

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ax & cy \\ bx & dy \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\neq \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \notin I_2$$

not a left ideal

A ring  $R$  has identity  $1_R$  and  $I$  is an ideal of ring  $R$ . Does  $1_R \in I$ ?

If  $1_R \in I$ , then ' $I$ ' cannot be a proper ideal of ring  $R$ , it can be trivial or improper ideal.

Proof:- ~~to show~~

①  $I \subseteq R$  as  $I$  is a non-empty subset of  $R$ .  
as  $I$  is ideal.

② to show  $R \subseteq I$

Let  $r \in R$

$$\Rightarrow r = r \cdot 1_R \in I$$

$$\Rightarrow 1_R \in I$$

as

1.  $R \subseteq I$  for all  $r \in R$  the above condition holds.

$\therefore I \subseteq R$  and  $R \subseteq I \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{I = R}}$   
(proved).

Th:- A field  $F$  cannot have any non-zero proper ideal.

Let  $F$  have two ideals  $\{0\}$  and  $F$  itself.

$\{0\} \rightarrow$  trivial ideal

$F \rightarrow$  improper ideal.

Let  $a (\neq 0) \in F$

so  $a^{-1} \in F$  (by defn of 'field')

$$\nexists aa^{-1} = a^{-1}a = 1_F$$

Therefore we write 'a' as

$$a = 1 \cdot a$$

$$= (aa^{-1})a$$

Notes:-  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{C}$  are fields so they  
no ~~other~~ ideal other than trivial and  
improper ideal.

But  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a field, so it has  
infinite ideals of form  $n\mathbb{Z}$  where  
 $n \in \mathbb{N}$

④ Prove that intersection of two ideals is always  
an ideal but union of two ideals need not  
be an ideal.

example:-

let ring  $R = (\mathbb{Z}, +, \cdot)$

$$\text{let } I_1 = 2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$I_2 = 3\mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{Now, } 2 \in I_1 \text{ so } 2 \in I_1 \cup I_2$$

$$3 \in I_2 \text{ so } 3 \in I_1 \cup I_2$$

$$\text{but } 2+3 = 5 \notin I_1 \cup I_2$$



② Addition of two ideals form an ideal of the ring.

$I_1$  and  $I_2$  be two ideals of  $R$

$$I_1 + I_2 = \{ a+b : a \in I_1 \text{ and } b \in I_2 \}$$

is an ideal.

③ Product of two ideals may or may not be an ideal.

$I_1$  and  $I_2$  be two ideals of  $R$

$$\text{let } I_1 I_2 = \{ a_1 a_2 : a_1 \in I_1, a_2 \in I_2 \}$$

then  $I_1 I_2$  does not form an ideal.

$$\text{let } a_1, a_2 \in I_1 I_2$$

$$c_1, c_2 \in I_1 I_2$$

$a_1 a_2 + c_1 c_2$  may not be of form  $a_1 a_2$

i.e. may not belong to  $I_1 I_2$

but let

$$I_1 I_2 = \{ \sum a_1 a_2 : a_1 \in I_1, a_2 \in I_2 \}$$

then  $I_1 I_2$  forms an ideal.

$$\sum a_1 a_2 \in I_1 I_2$$

$$\sum c_1 c_2 \in I_1 I_2$$

$$\sum a_1 a_2 + \sum c_1 c_2 = \sum d_1 d_2 \in I_1 I_2$$