## Additional proofs for the UEFI Image Loader project

Marvin Häuser

Technische Universität Kaiserslautern; Ivannikov Institute for System Programming of the Russian Academy of Sciences Kaiserslautern, Germany mhaeuser@posteo.de Vitaly Cheptsov

Ivannikov Institute for System Programming
of the Russian Academy of Sciences

Moscow, Russia
cheptsov@ispras.ru

**Disclaimer**: The theorems below were proven on paper due to time constraints for the initial revision of the script. They can and should be interactively proven in Coq plugin for Frama-C in further revisions. See more details for using Coq in "Introduction to C program proof with Frama-C and its WP plugin".

**Definition.** B-bit alignment safety predicate.

$$asafe_B(v, a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 \le v, a < 2^B \land (\exists i \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 2^i = a) \land v + (a - 1) < 2^B$$

**Theorem 1.** When the B-bit alignment safety predicate does not hold for value v and alignment  $a = 2^i$ , v aligned by a does not fit B bits.

$$a < 2^B \le v + (a-1) \Rightarrow 2^B \le (v + (a-1)) - ((v + (a-1)) \mod a)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{l} 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge ((v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B}) \bmod 2^{i} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge ((v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B}) \bmod 2^{i} \bmod 2^{i} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge (((v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B}) \bmod 2^{i} + 2^{B} \bmod 2^{i}) \bmod 2^{i} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge ((v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} + 2^{B}) \bmod 2^{i} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge (v + (2^{i} - 1)) \bmod 2^{i} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - 2^{B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad 2^{i} < 2^{B} \leq v + (2^{i} - 1) \wedge 2^{B} \leq (v + (2^{i} - 1)) - (v + (2^{i} - 1)) \bmod 2^{i} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad a < 2^{B} \leq v + (a - 1) \wedge 2^{B} \leq (v + (a - 1)) - (v + (a - 1)) \bmod a \end{array}$$

**Proposition 1.** Every two's potency is the successor of the sum of all lesser two's potencies.

*Proof.* We proceed using induction.

**Base case:**  $2^0 = 1 = \sum_{j=0}^{0-1} 2^j + 1$ 

**Induction step:** 
$$2^i = 2 \cdot 2^{i-1} = 2 \cdot (\sum_{j=0}^{i-2} 2^j + 1) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} 2^j + 2 = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^j + 1$$

**Definition.** Binary bit test.

$$bt(v,i) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} ((v \div 2^i) \bmod 2 = 1)$$

**Definition.** Binary set representation.

$$b2s(a) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid bt(a, i)\}$$
$$s2b(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i \in A} 2^i$$

**Proposition 2.** The binary set representation is a correct decomposition.

*Proof.* The correctness follows directly from the definition of base 2.

**Definition.** Binary division set.

$$bdiv(a,i) \stackrel{\text{\tiny def}}{=} b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\}$$

**Definition.** Binary remainder set.

$$brem(a, i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\}$$

**Lemma 1.** For an arbitrary value a and a two's potency  $b = 2^i$ , the binary division div(a, i) and remainder brem(a, i) sets are the two's potency decomposition of  $a - a \mod b$  and  $a \mod b$ .

*Proof.* We show that the division and remainder sets are disjunct and their union is the decomposition of a.

$$bdiv(a,i) \cap brem(a,i) = (b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\}) \cap (b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\}) = b2s(a) \cap \emptyset = \emptyset$$

$$bdiv(a,i) \cup brem(a,i) = (b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\}) \cup (b2s(a) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\}) = b2s(a) \cap \mathbb{N}_0 = b2s(a)$$

$$s2b(bdiv(a,i)) + s2b(brem(a,i)) = \sum_{j \in bdiv(a,i)} 2^j + \sum_{j \in brem(a,i)} 2^j = s2b(a)$$

We derive from **Proposition 1** that there is no sum of two's potencies involving a two's potency less than  $2^i$  that is  $0 \mod 2^i$ . Hence, bdiv(a, i) corresponds to the division set if and only if the following holds:

$$\forall j \in b2s(a): j \in bdiv(a,i) \Leftrightarrow 2^j \bmod 2^i = 0$$

We first show that  $\forall j \in b2s(a) : j \in bdiv(a, i) \Rightarrow 2^j \mod 2^i = 0$  holds.

$$\forall j \in \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\} : 2^i \leq 2^j \Leftrightarrow \forall j \in \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\} : 2^j \mod 2^i = 0$$
$$bdiv(a, i) \subseteq \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq j\} \Rightarrow \forall j \in b2s(a) : j \in bdiv(a, i) \Rightarrow 2^j \mod 2^i = 0$$

Now we show that  $\forall j \in b2s(a) : 2^j \mod 2^i = 0 \Rightarrow j \in bdiv(a,i)$  holds.

$$\forall j \in \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\} : 2^j < 2^i \Leftrightarrow \forall j \in \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\} : 2^j \mod 2^i \neq 0$$
$$b2s(a) \setminus bdiv(a, i) \subseteq \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\} \Rightarrow \forall j \in b2s(a) : j \in b2s(a) \setminus bdiv(a, i) \Rightarrow 2^j \mod 2^i \neq 0$$

It follows that the division set is the decomposition of  $a-a \mod b$ . Because the division and the remainder sets are disjunct and their union is the decomposition of a, it follows that the remainder set is the decomposition of  $a \mod b$ .

**Definition.** Binary AND operation.

$$a \& b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s2b(b2s(a) \cap b2s(b))$$

**Definition.** Binary NOT operation.

$$\sim a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s2b(\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \neg bt(a, i)\})$$

**Lemma 2.** The set of bit indices of a two's potency a minus 1 is the set of all natural numbers less than i.

Proof.

$$a-1=2^i-1=\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}2^j=s2b(\{j\in\mathbb{N}_0\mid j< i\})$$

**Theorem 2.** For an arbitrary value a and a two's potency  $b = 2^i$ , the following holds:

$$a - a \mod b = a \& \sim (b - 1)$$
$$a \mod b = a \& (b - 1)$$

Proof.

 $a \bmod b = a \bmod 2^i = s2b(brem(a,i)) = s2b(b2s(v) \cap \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j < i\}) = s2b(b2s(v) \cap b2s(b-1)) = a \& (b-1)$   $a - a \bmod b = s2b(b2s(a) \setminus b2s(a \bmod b)) = s2b(b2s(a) \setminus (b2s(v) \cap b2s(b-1))) = s2b(b2s(v) \cap \sim b2s(b-1))$   $= a \& \sim (b-1)$ 

**Lemma 3.** For all positive values, if its binary set representation and that of its predecessor are disjunct, it is a two's potency.

$$0 < v \land b2s(v) \cap b2s(v-1) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

*Proof.* We proceed with a proof by contradiction. Assume we have a value v that is not the predecessor of a two's potency. Then b2s(v) is non-consecutive as per **Proposition 1**. We can further decompose v=u+w such that u is the predecessor of the lowest two's potency that is not part of the decomposition of v and w=v-u. Please note that w cannot be 0 as otherwise v would be the predecessor of a two's potency. u+1 then yields a two's potency that is not part of the decomposition of v and in consequence is not part of the decomposition of w. Hence, it holds that  $v+1=u+w+1=s2b(b2s(u+1)\cup b2s(w))$ . It is obvious that b2s(v) and b2s(v+1) are not disjunct.

**Definition.** Two's potency classification predicate.

$$is\_pow2(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0 < v \land v \& (v-1) = 0$$

**Theorem 3.** The two's potency classification predicate holds if and only if its operand is a two's potency.

$$is\_pow2(v) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

Proof.

$$0 < v \land b2s(v) \cap b2s(v-1) = \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < v \land s2b(b2s(v) \cap b2s(v-1)) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow 0 < v \land v \& (v-1) = 0 \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

$$\Leftrightarrow is\_pow2(v) \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x$$

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x \Rightarrow 0 < v$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x \Rightarrow 0 < v \land v \bmod v = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x \Rightarrow 0 < v \land v \& (v-1) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{N}_0 : v = 2^x \Rightarrow is\_pow2(v)$$