

3.8 (a) Letting  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \partial f(\mathbf{x})/\partial x_i$ , show that,

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv -\frac{x_i f_i(\mathbf{x}) + x_j f_j(\mathbf{x})}{f_j^2(\mathbf{x}) f_{ii}(\mathbf{x}) + 2 f_i(\mathbf{x}) f_j(\mathbf{x}) f_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) + f_i^2(\mathbf{x}) f_{jj}(\mathbf{x})} \frac{f_i(\mathbf{x}) f_j(\mathbf{x})}{x_i x_j}.$$

S: First note that:

$$\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \left( \frac{r}{MRTS_{ij}(\mathbf{x}(r))} \frac{\partial MRTS_{ij}(\mathbf{x}(r))}{\partial r} \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{r}{f_i(\mathbf{x}(r))/f_j(\mathbf{x}(r))} \frac{\partial (f_i(\mathbf{x}(r))/f_j(\mathbf{x}(r)))}{\partial r} \right)^{-1},$$

where the derivatives are evaluated at  $r = x_j^0/x_i^0$ . To simplify the notation, let's write only  $f_i$  for  $f_i(\mathbf{x}(r))$  and similarly for the other derivatives of  $f$ . Using chain rule, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial (f_i/f_j)}{\partial r} &= \frac{1}{f_j} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{ik} \frac{\partial x_k(r)}{\partial r} - \frac{f_i}{f_j^2} \sum_{k=1}^n f_{jk} \frac{\partial x_k(r)}{\partial r} \\ &= \frac{1}{f_j} \left( f_{ii} \frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} + f_{ij} \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{f_i}{f_j^2} \left( f_{jj} \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} + f_{ji} \frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

since  $\partial x_k(r)/\partial r = 0$  for all  $k \neq i, j$ . We need then to find  $\partial x_i(r)/\partial r$  and  $\partial x_j(r)/\partial r$ . Observe that:

$$\frac{\partial x_j/x_i}{\partial r} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{x_i} \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} - \frac{x_j}{x_i^2} \frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} = \frac{x_i}{x_j} \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} - \frac{x_i^2}{x_j}$$

Since  $f(\mathbf{x}(r)) \equiv f(\mathbf{x}^0)$ , we have:

$$f_i \frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} + f_j \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad f_i \left( \frac{x_i}{x_j} \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} - \frac{x_i^2}{x_j} \right) + f_j \frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} = 0$$

Solving this last equation for  $\partial x_j(r)/\partial r$ , we find that:

$$\frac{\partial x_j(r)}{\partial r} = \frac{x_i^2 f_i}{x_j f_j + x_i f_i},$$

what gives:

$$\frac{\partial x_i(r)}{\partial r} = \frac{-x_i^2 f_j}{x_j f_j + x_i f_i}$$

Plugging these expressions for  $\partial x_i(r)/\partial r$  and  $\partial x_j(r)/\partial r$  in equation (2) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial(f_i/f_j)}{\partial r} &= \frac{1}{f_j} \left( \frac{-x_i^2 f_j f_{ii} + x_i^2 f_i f_{ij}}{x_j f_j + x_i f_i} \right) - \frac{f_i}{f_j^2} \left( \frac{x_i^2 f_i f_{jj} - x_i^2 f_j f_{ij}}{x_j f_j + x_i f_i} \right) \\ &= \frac{-x_i^2 (f_j^2 f_{ii} - 2f_j f_i f_{ij} + f_i^2 f_{jj})}{f_j^2 (x_j f_j + x_i f_i)} \end{aligned}$$

Now plugging everything together, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{ij} &= \left( \frac{x_j f_j}{x_i f_i} \frac{\partial(f_i/f_j)}{\partial r} \right)^{-1} = \frac{x_i f_i}{x_j f_j} \left( \frac{f_j^2 (x_j f_j + x_i f_i)}{-x_i^2 (f_j^2 f_{ii} - 2f_j f_i f_{ij} + f_i^2 f_{jj})} \right) \\ &= - \frac{x_i f_i + x_j f_j}{f_j^2 f_{ii} - 2f_i f_j f_{ij} + f_i^2 f_{jj}} \frac{f_i f_j}{x_i x_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where all the functions above are evaluated at  $\mathbf{x}$ .

- (b) Using the formula in (a), show that  $\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  whenever  $f$  is increasing and concave. (The elasticity of substitution is non-negative when  $f$  is merely quasiconcave but you need not show this.)

S: If  $f$  is increasing, then all first-derivatives are non-negative. And if  $f$  is concave, then  $f_j^2 f_{ii} - 2f_i f_j f_{ij} + f_i^2 f_{jj}$  is non-positive (this expression is equal to the  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}^T \cdot H(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{e}_{ij}$ , where  $H(\mathbf{x})$  is the Hessian of  $f$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{ij}$  is the vector of zeros in all coordinates, but  $i$  and  $j$ , where it is equal to  $f_j$  at coordinate  $i$  and  $-f_i$  at coordinate  $j$ ). Theorem A2.4 implies that  $f$  concave means that  $H(\mathbf{x})$  is negative semidefinite, that is,  $\mathbf{z}^T \cdot H(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{z} \leq 0$ , for any vector  $\mathbf{z}$ ). Thus, the expression found for  $\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x})$  in part (a) implies that  $\sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  whenever  $f$  is increasing and concave.