

# MATH 401: Lecture 25 (11/13/2025)

Today: \* spaces of bounded and continuous functions

Recall:  $(B(X, Y), \rho)$ ;  $f \in B(X, Y) \Rightarrow f: X \rightarrow Y$  is bounded;  $\rho(f, g) = \sup \{ d_Y(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X \}$

We saw (Prop 4.5.2) that  $\{f_n\} \xrightarrow{\rho\text{-metric}} f \Leftrightarrow \{f_n\} \xrightarrow{d_Y\text{ metric}} f$ .

What about Completeness? Yes, if  $Y$  is complete!

Theorem 4.5.3 Let  $(X, d_X)$ ,  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. Assume  $(Y, d_Y)$  is complete. Then  $(B(X, Y), \rho)$  is also complete.

Proof Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $B(X, Y)$ .  
 Want to show  $\{f_n\} \xrightarrow{\rho} f \in B(X, Y)$ . from definition of  $\rho(f_n, f_m)$   
 Let  $x \in X$ . Then  $d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \leq \rho(f_n, f_m)$  and  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $B(X, Y) \Rightarrow \rho(f_n, f_m) < \epsilon$  whenever  $n, m \geq N$  for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\Rightarrow \{f_n(x)\}$  as function values is a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ .

$Y$  is complete  $\Rightarrow \{f_n(x)\} \rightarrow f(x)$  in  $Y$ .

Need to show:  $f \in B(X, Y)$  and

$\{f_n\} \rightarrow f$  in the  $\rho$ -metric.

We already saw that since  $\{f_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(B(X, Y), \rho)$ ,

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\rho(f_n, f_m) < \epsilon$  when  $n, m \geq N, \forall x \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \epsilon \quad \forall n, m \geq N, \forall x \in X$ .

$\Rightarrow d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d_Y(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \epsilon \quad \forall x \in X$ .  
keep n fixed, let  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $m \geq N$  still holds

$\Rightarrow \rho(f_n, f) < \epsilon$

$\Rightarrow f$  is bounded! Why? Problem 5, LSRA pg 101  
arbitrary anchor point

let  $a \in X$ .  $f_n$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow \exists M_a$  such that

$$d_Y(f_n(a), f_n(x)) \leq M_a \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Also,  $\rho(f_n, f) < \epsilon \Rightarrow d_Y(f_n(a), f(a)) < \epsilon$  and  $d_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$ .

$$\Rightarrow d_Y(f(a), f(x)) \leq \underbrace{d_Y(f(a), f_n(a))}_{+ d_Y(f_n(x), f(x))} + \underbrace{d_Y(f_n(a), f_n(x))}_{< \epsilon} + M_a + \epsilon = M, \text{ showing } f \text{ is bounded.}$$

$\Rightarrow f \in B(X, Y)$ , and as  $\rho(f_n, f) < \epsilon$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we get that  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  in the  $\rho$ -metric.  $\square$

Recall:  $B(X, Y) = \{f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is bounded}\}.$

We now consider continuous functions. First, we assume boundedness too.

**Def** Let  $(X, d_X), (Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. We define

$$C_b(X, Y) = \{f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is continuous and bounded}\}.$$

We get  $C_b(X, Y) \subseteq B(X, Y)$ , contains bounded functions that are not continuous as well  
and hence

$$\rho(f, g) = \sup \{d_Y(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\}$$
 is a metric also on  $C_b(X, Y)$ .  
restriction of  $\rho(f, g)$  for  $B(X, Y)$  to  $C_b(X, Y)$

Continuous functions are "nice" already. Do we need boundedness?

**Def**  $C(X, Y) = \{f: X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$  is the set of all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$ .

But we could have some trouble defining a metric for  $C(X, Y)$ !

Problem 1, LSRA pg 102 let  $X, Y = \mathbb{R}$ . Find functions  $f, g \in C(X, Y)$

such that  $\sup \{d_Y(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\} \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $f(x) = 0, g(x) = x$ . Both are continuous. But

$$d_Y(f(x), g(x)) = |f(x) - g(x)| = |x| \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Or take  $f(x) = x, g(x) = x^2 \dots$

So we turn back to  $C_b(X, Y)$ . It has nice structure!

**Proposition 4.6.1**  $C_b(X, Y)$  is a closed subset of  $B(X, Y)$ .

Proof If  $\{f_n\} \in C_b(X, Y)$  is a sequence that converges to  $f \in B(X, Y)$ , then we show  $f \in C_b(X, Y)$ .

See Lecture 16

i.e., show  $f$  is continuous

We are using Proposition 3.3.7 here, which says a closed subset of a metric space contains all its limit points.

Lecture 24

By Proposition 4.5.2, we know  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .

Each  $f_n$  is continuous (as it is in  $C_b(X, Y)$ ).

Then Proposition 4.2.4  $\Rightarrow f$  is continuous, i.e.,  $f \in C_b(X, Y)$ .

Lecture 22

We get completeness for  $C_b(X, Y)$  when  $Y$  is complete. Recall that Theorem 4.5.3 gave completeness for  $(B(X, Y), \rho)$  when  $Y$  is complete.

**Theorem 4.6.2** Let  $(X, d_X)$ ,  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. Let  $Y$  be complete.

Then  $C_b((X, Y), \rho)$  is also complete.

Proposition 3.4.4: A subspace of a complete  $(X, d)$  is complete iff it is closed.

Theorem 4.5.3:  $B((X, Y), \rho)$  is complete (as  $Y$  is).

And Proposition 4.6.1:  $C_b(X, Y)$  is a closed subset of  $B(X, Y)$ .

$\Rightarrow C_b(X, Y)$  is complete.



Can we get boundedness without explicitly assuming the same?  
Yes! When  $X$  is compact.

**Proposition 4.6.3** Let  $(X, d_X)$ ,  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces, and let  $X$  be compact. Then all continuous functions from  $X$  to  $Y$  are bounded.

Proof Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous. Pick  $a \in X$ . Consider  $h(x) = d_Y(f(x), f(a))$ . We show  $h$  is bounded.

$X$  is compact, so if we can show  $h$  is continuous, then by Theorem 3.5.10 (EVT), we get that  $h$  is bounded.

$$\begin{aligned} |h(x) - h(y)| &= |d_Y(f(x), f(a)) - d_Y(f(y), f(a))| \\ &\leq d_Y(f(x), f(y)) \quad \text{by inverse } \Delta \text{ ineq.} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

as  $f$  is continuous (same  $\delta$  can be used for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ ). □

Thus, if  $X$  is compact and  $Y$  is complete, then we have both nice properties:  $C(X, Y) = C_b(X, Y)$  and  $(C_b(X, Y), p)$  is complete. This is the typical setting when we take  $X = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ , for instance.

Problem 3, LSRA pg 102 Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  bounded, continuous

and  $u \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . continuous

(256)

Define  $L(u) : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$L(u)(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1+t+s} f(u(s)) ds.$$

$L(u)$  is a function  
of a function  $u(t)$

a) Show  $L(u) \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . i.e., it's continuous.

We want to show:

$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\epsilon < \epsilon$  whenever  $|x-a| < \delta$

Fix  $a \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |L(u)(x) - L(u)(a)| &= \left| \int_0^1 \left( \frac{1}{1+x+s} f(u(s)) - \frac{1}{1+a+s} f(u(s)) \right) ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 f(u(s)) \frac{a-x}{(1+x+s)(1+a+s)} ds \right| \left| \frac{(1+a+s) - (1+x+s)}{(1+x+s)(1+a+s)} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$f$  is bounded  $\Rightarrow |f(u(s))| \leq M \quad \forall s \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow$

$$\leq |a-x|M \left| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1+x+s)(1+a+s)} \right|$$

$(1+x+s)(1+a+s) \geq (1+s)^2$  as  $x, a \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow$

$$\leq |x-a|M \left| \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1+s)^2} \right|$$

We get an upper bound  
in this manner, and will  
pick  $\delta$  (for  $|x-a| < \delta$ ) using  
that upper bound

change of  
variable:

$$\begin{cases} 1+s = u \\ ds = du \\ s : 0 \rightarrow 1 \\ \equiv u : 1 \rightarrow 2 \end{cases}$$

$$= |x-a|M \left| \int_1^2 \frac{du}{u^2} \right| = |x-a|M \left| -\frac{1}{u} \right|_1^2 = |x-a|M \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1} - \frac{1}{2} \right) = |x-a| \frac{M}{2}.$$

With  $|x-a| < \delta$ , pick  $\delta = \frac{2\epsilon}{M}$ , and we get

$$\left| L(u)(x) - L(u)(a) \right| < \left( \frac{2\epsilon}{M} \right) \left( \frac{M}{2} \right) = \epsilon, \quad \text{whenever } |x-a| < \delta.$$

(b) Application of BFPT.

→ assigned in last homework!