MATH 401: Lecture 18 (10/16/2025)

Today: * compartness

* relation to closed, bounded, complete sele

Recall BFPT: (Xd) complete, $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a contraction: $\{X_n\} \rightarrow a$, where $X_0 \in X$, $X_n = f^{\circ n}(X_0)$ of $Y \in IN$.

LSIRA Prob 5 (pg 63)

Let $f: [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ be differentiable and $\exists 0 < 8 < 1 < 1 < ...$ $|f(x)| < 8 \quad \forall x \in (0,1)$. Show $\exists \text{ exactly one a } \in [0,1] \text{ s.t. } f(a) = a$.

It is evident we want to use Banach's fixed point theorem. In fact, the result is a direct statement of BFPT. So we just need to show f is a contraction, so that BFPT applies.

Let $x,y \in [0,1]$ s.t x < y. Since f is differentiable, we can apply the mean value theorem (MVT). We get that

$$\exists c \in (x,y) \text{ s.t. } f(c) = \frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x}$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(y)-f(x)| = |f'(c)||y-x| \quad (note that y-x, so y-x\neq 0)$$

$$\leq g|y-x|$$

$$\Rightarrow d(f(y),f(x)) < sd(y_1x)$$
 for $s < 1$.

Hence by BFPT, I has a unique fixed point in [9,1].

We do one more problem on completeness before starting the next topic.

Broblem 1, LSIRA Pg 62

1. Show that the discrete metric space is complete.

Need to show all Cauchy sequences converge. > Could use any let 9×10^{-1} be Cauchy. Choose $6 = \frac{1}{2}$ value 1×10^{-1} lere!

 \Rightarrow FNEM s.t. $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ whenever m, n > N. Schoosing the right ε directly But d is the directly metric $\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_m) = 0 \Rightarrow x_n = x_m$.

So, with $x_N = a$, we get $x_n = a \forall n \ni N$.

⇒ {xn} converges to a.

3.5 Compart Sets

We now talk about another property of sets that make them "nice", and describe its relation to the concepts we have already seen—closedness, boundedness, completeness, etc.

Recall Theorem 2.2.2: Every monotone bounded sequence in IR converges. We then introduced the concept of Cauchy sequences, and subsequently looked at closed and complete spaces. Recall

Proposition 3.4.4 $A \subseteq X$, (A, d_A) is complete if A is closed. Now, we introduce the concept of compact spaces. We use the idea of subsequences — which we introduced as part of Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem!

Def let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in (X_id) . For $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $n_i < n_2 < \cdots < n_i < n_{i+1} < \cdots$ the sequence $\{y_k\} = \{x_n\}$ is a subsequence of $\{x_n\}$.

 $X_{1,1}(X_{2}), X_{3,1}(X_{n_{k}}), \dots$ { y_{k} }: subsequence

Proposition 3.5.) If $\{x_n\} \rightarrow a$, then so do all its subsequences.

Proof (Problem 2, Fg67) let $\{y_k\} = \{x_n\}$ be a subsequence. Note that $k \leq n_k$.

80, $k = N \Rightarrow n_k = k = N$.

HKZN. $\Rightarrow d(y_k, a) = d(x_{n_k}, a) < \epsilon$

 $\Rightarrow 346 \rightarrow a$.

We use the notion of a convergent subsequences to define compact sets.

Def A set $K \subseteq (X, d)$ is a compact set if for every sequence in K, there is a subsequence that converges to a point in K.

(X,d) is compact if X is compact in the above sense.

The main point to note is that the limit points are all in K.

Recall: BW theorem: (Proposition 2.3.2) Every bounded sequence in IR hos a convergent subsequence. (also the same result in IR m)

We first explore the relation between compartness and closedness and boundedness. Recall that finite intervals, e.g., $(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}$, are bounded. We define boundedness for metric spaces.

Def $A \subseteq (X,d)$ is bounded if there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $d(a,b) \in M$ $\forall a,b \in A$. $\Rightarrow only 0 \leq M < \infty$ makes sense

Recall: A closed set A contains all pts in DA.

Compactness implies closedness and boundedness.

Proposition 3.5.4 Every compact set K of (X,d) is closed and bounded.

Contra positive proofs

1. Assume K is not clased.

⇒ Jae dK s.t. a ¢K.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be s.t. $d(x_n,a) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $x_n \in K$.

So $\{x_n\} \to \alpha \notin K$. \Rightarrow All $\{y_k\} = \{x_{n_k}\} \to \alpha \notin K$.

So no subsequence converges to a point in K, i.e., K is not compact.

2. Assume K is not bounded.

 $\Rightarrow \neq M \text{ s.t. } d(a,b) \leq M + a,b \in K$

bisan Let bEK. thEN, Jxn EK s.t. d(xn,b) >n.

 \Rightarrow For any $\{y_k\} = \{x_n\}$ $\{\lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_k, b) = \infty$.

=> 97/2 cannot converge to a point /in K, since

 $d(y_k, b) \leq d(y_k, a) + d(a, b)$ for any point $a \in K$. By triangle inequality fixed, as a and b are fixed (do not depend on n, k)

 \Rightarrow $d(y_k, a) \rightarrow \infty$ since $d(y_k, b) \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

 \Rightarrow 3×n3 has no convergent subsequence \Rightarrow K is not compact.

What about the converse? Holds in IRM!

Corollary 3.5.5 A subset of R^m is compact iff it is closed and bounded. Prove only the converse here. — We already saw compack => closed & bounded. let A be a closed and bounded set in R^m .

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in A. $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, as A is 80. By BW (Theorem 2.3.3), $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence. A is closed, so the limit point is in $A \Rightarrow A$ is compact. \Box

But the converse result does not hold in general for metric spaces.

As an example, consider (IN, d) where d is the discrete metric. $\frac{d(x,y) = \{1, x \neq y\}}{d(x,y) = \{0, x = y\}}$

IN is complete, closed, bounded. as $d \in I$ for discrete metric all candidate limit points are natural numbers all cauchy sequences converge

But Ing does not converge, and nor does any of its subsequences.