

MATH230 — Lecture 30 (04/28/2011)

30.1

Eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are L.I.

$\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_p, \bar{v}_{p+1}, \dots$ are eigenvectors of A corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p, \lambda_{p+1}, \dots$.

Case of \bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2

$$\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad \forall i, j$$

Assume $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2\}$ is LD. Then $\bar{v}_1 = c\bar{v}_2$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

$c \neq 0$ as both \bar{v}_1 and \bar{v}_2 are non-zero vectors

$$A(\bar{v}_1 = c\bar{v}_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow A\bar{v}_1 = cA\bar{v}_2$$

$$\text{but } A\bar{v}_1 = \lambda_1\bar{v}_1, \quad A\bar{v}_2 = \lambda_2\bar{v}_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1\bar{v}_1 = c\lambda_2\bar{v}_2$$

Case 1 One of λ_1, λ_2 is zero, say $\lambda_1 = 0$.

We get $\bar{0} = c\lambda_2\bar{v}_2 \Rightarrow \bar{v}_2 = \bar{0}$, but $\bar{v}_2 \neq \bar{0}$ as it is an eigenvector. We get a contradiction.

Case 2 $\lambda_1 \neq 0, \lambda_2 \neq 0$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{v}_1 = \left(c\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)\bar{v}_2 = c'\bar{v}_2 \quad \text{where } c' = c\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \neq c \text{ as}$$

But $\bar{v}_1 = c\bar{v}_2$, hence we must have $\bar{v}_1 = \bar{v}_2 = \bar{0}$, giving again a contradiction.

$$\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$$

Note: We must have $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ as they are distinct.

Proof in general

Let $\{\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_p\}$ be LI, but \bar{v}_{p+1} be a linear combination of $\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_p$ for some $p \geq 2$.

$$\Rightarrow A(\bar{v}_{p+1} = c_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \bar{v}_p) \text{ — (1)}$$

$$\Rightarrow A\bar{v}_{p+1} = c_1 A\bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_p A\bar{v}_p$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_{p+1} \bar{v}_{p+1} = c_1 \lambda_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \lambda_p \bar{v}_p \text{ — (2)}$$

$$\text{as } A\bar{v}_j = \lambda_j \bar{v}_j \quad \forall j$$

(2) - λ_{p+1} (1) gives

$$\bar{0} = c_1 (\underbrace{\lambda_1 - \lambda_{p+1}}_{\neq 0}) \bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_p (\underbrace{\lambda_p - \lambda_{p+1}}_{\neq 0}) \bar{v}_p \text{ as } \lambda_j \text{'s are distinct}$$

Since $\{\bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_p\}$ is LI, we must have $c_1 = \dots = c_p = 0$ here. But then $\bar{v}_{p+1} = c_1 \bar{v}_1 + \dots + c_p \bar{v}_p = \bar{0}$, which cannot be true, as \bar{v}_{p+1} is an eigenvector. So we get a contradiction.

Review for Final (Problems from Practice Final).

Prob 3

Rank $A = \#$ pivot columns. We need a 3×3 matrix with 2 pivots here.

$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ has rank 2, as two columns are LI, but column 3 is the same as column 2.

$\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ → take first column of A $\notin \text{Nul } A$, as $A\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \vec{0}$.

Another choice is $\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, as $A\vec{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} \neq \vec{0}$.
↙ add all columns of A

Prob 8

$$\begin{bmatrix} a - 2b + 5c \\ 2a + 5b - 8c \\ -a - 4b + 7c \\ 3a + b + c \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{v}_1} a + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 5 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{v}_2} b + \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ -8 \\ 7 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{\vec{v}_3} c$$

So, the set W of all vectors of the given form can be written as $W = \text{span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \vec{v}_3)$.

Notice that $\vec{v}_1 - 2\vec{v}_2 = \vec{v}_3$.

If you do not notice this relation, just check by the usual method if $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3\}$ is LI or not.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 5 \\ 2 & 5 & -8 \\ -1 & -4 & 7 \\ 3 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{R_3+R_1 \\ R_4-3R_1}]{R_2-2R_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 9 & -18 \\ 0 & -6 & 12 \\ 0 & 7 & -14 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow[\substack{R_4-(\frac{7}{9})R_2}]{R_3+\frac{6}{9}R_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 5 \\ 0 & 9 & -18 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

But $\bar{v}_i \neq c\bar{v}_j$ for $i, j=1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j$ here. Hence $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_2\}$, $\{\bar{v}_1, \bar{v}_3\}$, $\{\bar{v}_2, \bar{v}_3\}$ are all bases for W .

Prob 2 A has to be 2×2 .

Given: $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $A \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\Rightarrow A \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \det \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 1 \neq 0$$

$$\text{Hence } \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{1} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\text{Hence } A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 7 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7 & -2 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 4 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Prob 6 $p_1(t) = 1+t$, $p_2(t) = 1-t$, $p_3(t) = -4$, $p_4(t) = t+t^2$, $p_5(t) = 1+2t-2t^2$.

\mathcal{P}_n is the set of all polynomials with degree up to n .

$$p(t) = a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_n t^n \equiv \bar{p} = \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{go to a vector corresponding to each polynomial}$$

Here, we get

$$\bar{p}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{p}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{p}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{p}_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \bar{p}_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$A = [\bar{p}_3 \ \bar{p}_1 \ \bar{p}_2 \ \bar{p}_4 \ \bar{p}_5] = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$p_3 \quad p_1 \quad p_2 \quad p_4 \quad p_5$

Hence $\{p_1(t), p_3(t), p_4(t)\}$ is a basis for H . Similarly,

$\{p_2(t), p_3(t), p_4(t)\}$ is also a basis.

Note: We could take any three LI vectors out of the five, and pick the corresponding polynomials for a basis here.

We could have avoided the 4th entry — which is uniformly zero here — and reached the same conclusions.

Prob 12, True/False

(a) FALSE. $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{rref}(A) = \text{rref}(B) = B$.

But $\text{Col } A$ is $\text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$, while $\text{Col } B = \text{span}\left\{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}\right\}$.

(b) TRUE. All columns of A are pivot columns. Hence there are no free variables. So $A\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ has only the trivial solution.

(c) TRUE. $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ Product of two matrices is invertible iff both are invertible.

Since AB^{-1} is invertible, so are A and B^{-1} .

Hence both A and B are invertible. So $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$.

(d) FALSE. The result holds only if the plane passes through the origin.

(e) TRUE. $\bar{A}^{-1}(A\bar{x} = \lambda\bar{x})$ A^{-1} exists

$\bar{x} = \lambda A^{-1}\bar{x}$. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, we get $A^{-1}\bar{x} = \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\bar{x}$

So $\frac{1}{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of A^{-1} . \bar{x} is an eigenvector of A and A^{-1} here.