MATH 401: Lecture 1 (08/19/2025)

This is Introduction to Analysis I I'm Bala Krishnamoorthy (Call me Bala). Today. * Syllabus, logistics see the course web page

* proof techniques for details

- proof by contradiction

- proof by induction Book: Lindstrøm: Spaces-An Intro to Real Analysis (LSIRA) Logical statements and notation. 96 A then B (or A >B) "implies"

LSIRA 1-1

 $A \Rightarrow B$ typically closs not mean $B \Rightarrow A$. e.g., A: p a natural number, is divisible by 6

B: p is divisible by 3.

A >> B holds, but B +> A (B does not imply A), e.g., P=9.

But if A=>B and B=>A hold, we say A if and only if B, or A (or A is equivalent to B).

To prove A >> B, we often prove A >> B and B >> A (A = B) separately.

We start by reviewing certain standard techniques to construct proofs of mathematical statements.

To show A=>B, equivalently show $not B \Rightarrow not A (TB \Rightarrow TA).$ "negation" or "not" 4 A happened then & happened" This statement is equivalent to "If B did not happen then."
A did not happen!

LSIRA1-1 Prob3. Prove the following Lemma.

Lemma 1 If n is a natural number such that n² is divisible by 3,

then n is divisible by 3.

This is A => B where A: 3 | n² (n² is divisible by 3).

B: 3 | n (n is divisible by 3).

Let's try to ras n² | 3 | n² (taking square root on both sides)

prove A => B > n² = 3k => n = 13 lk (taking square root on both sides)

divectly: Hard to conclude that n | 3 @! > would have to argue

| A | b | the try to conclude that n | 3 @! | which is not obvious!

Let's try proving TB => TA.

TB: n is not divisible by 3.

 \Rightarrow n=3p+1 or

Case 1. $n=3pt_1$

 \Rightarrow $\eta^2 = (3pH)^2$

 $= 9p^2 + 6p + 1$

 $= 3(3p^2+2p)+1$

= 3K+1 for 12=313+2p

=> n2 is not divisible by 3

n= 39+2, for \$96 M.

Case 2. n = 39,+2

 \Rightarrow $n^2 = (2qt^2)^2$

 $=99^{2}+129+4$

 $=99^{2}+129+3+1$

 $=3(39^{2}+49+1)+1$

= 3k'+1 = k'

=> n is not divisible by 3.

Hence we have proved that if n is not divisible by 3, then n^2 is not divisible by 3. Hence, by the contrapositive, we have $n^2 |3 \rightarrow n|3$.

Should we always try to build a contrapositive proof? Not necessarily! In cases where $A \Rightarrow B$ could be concluded directly, the contrapositive argument might make life harder! It is one of the different proof approaches that you should be aware of.

2 Proof by Contradiction

Assume opposite of what you want to prove, and end up with a contradiction (or an obviously wrong statement). Hence the original assumption must be wrong, i.e., you have proved the statement.

LSIRAI. | Prob 3 (continued) Prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 2 v3 is irrational. The opposite of what you want to prove Assume v3 is rational. > bu delimition

 $\Rightarrow (3 = \frac{1}{2})^2$ p, q.E.IN with no common factors. rational number can be written in the form 1/9 as specified. > let's square both sides, and cross multiply.

 \Rightarrow $3q^2 = p^2 \Rightarrow 3p^2 (p^2 \text{ is divisible by 3}).$

Hence by Lemma 1, 3/p. Let p=3k. (kEN). Plug p=3k back in:

 \Rightarrow $3q^2 = (3k)^2 = 9k^2$ (divide both sides by 3)

 \Rightarrow $q^2 = 3k^2$, i.e., $3|q^2(q^2)$ is divisible by 3).

Again by Lemma 1, 3/9.

Since we started with the assumption that band q have no common factors

Thus pand q have a common factor of 3, which is a contradiction.

Hence V3 is irrational.

3. Proof by Induction

To show a statement P(n) holds for all nEIN,

- 1. Show P(1) holds;
- 2. Assume P(k) holds for some KEIN.
- 3. Show P(k+1) holds under Assumption 2.

Example

Show that $P(n) = 3 + 5 + \cdots + 2n + 1 = n(n+2) + n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- 1. P(1) = 3 = 1(1+2) (so P(1) is true).
- 2. Assume P(k) = k(k+2) for some kEIN.
- 3. P(kH) = P(k) + 2(kH) + 1 = P(k) + 2k+3

= k(k+2) + 2k+3 by induction assumption.

= k(k+2)+k+k+3

= k(K+3) + K+3

= (kH)(kH3) = n(n+2) for n=kH.

 \Rightarrow P(n) = n(n+2) \forall n \in N.

MATH401: Lecture 2 (08/21/2025)

Today: * xsets and operations

Sets and Operations (LSIRA 1.2)

Set: Collection of mathematical objects.

They can be finite, e.g., 82,5,9,1,63, or infinite, e.g., to,1], the collection of all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \le x \le 1$.

The lement of " > set of all real numbers

Given sets A, B we have

A ⊆ B: A is a subset of, or equal to, B.

ACB: A is a strict subset of B, i.e., there is at least one $\times \in B$ such that $X \notin A$.

But $\forall x \in A, x \in B$ holds. To prove A=B, we often prove A ⊆ B and A ⊇ B (or B⊆A).

Here are some standard sets we will use regularly.

 ϕ : empty set.

N=21,2,3,... 3, set of all natural numbers

IR = set of all real numbers

I = 2 ..., -2,-1,0,1,2,... 2, set of all integers

Q = set of rational numbers, C = set of complex numbers.


IR": set of all real n-tuples, or n-vectors


Notation for sets: $[-2,1] = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid -2 \le x \le 1\}$.

closed interval from -2 to 1

 \Rightarrow "such that" could also use ": " instead of "!". More generally, A = {a & B | P(a) }.

If Ai are sets for i=1,...,n, i.e., A,, Az,..., An are sets, then U Ai = A, UAzU···UAn={a|a ∈ Ai for at least one i? is their union, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_n = \{a \mid a \in A_i \mid \forall i \} \text{ is their intersection.}$







LSIRA 1.2 Prob1 Show [0,2]U[1,3] = [0,3].

We show $[0,2]\cup[1,3]\subseteq[0,3]$ and

[0,2] U[1,3] = [0,3].

(=) let x e (0,2] U[1,3]

=> X E [92] or X E [1,3] (definition of U).

 $\times \in [0,2] \Rightarrow \times \in [0,3]$ (as [0,3] contains [0,2])

 $\times \in [1,3] \implies \times \in [0,3]$. In either case, $\times \in [0,3]$.


Hence [0,2] U[1,3] ⊆ [0,3].

(2) Let $x \in [0,3]$. Hence $0 \le x \le 3$. Then we get that either $X \leq 2$, and hence $X \in [0, 2]$, or $X \in (2, 3]$.

But if $x \in (2,3]$ then $x \in [1,3]$ (as [1,3] includes (2,3]).

> x ∈ [0,2] U[1,3].

Hence [,0,3] [[0,2]U[i,3].



The result is an obvious one. But we go through the steps of a formal proof more for practice!

Distributive Laws of Union and Intersection

For all sets B, A1, ..., An, we have

 $(1.2.1) \quad B \cap (A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n) = (B \cap A_1) \cup (B \cap A_2) \cup \cdots \cup (B \cap A_n).$

Using more compact notation, we can write

 $B \cap (U A_i) = U (B \cap A_i)$

Proof

We will prove

BN(A,U... UAn) = (BNA) U... U (BNAn), and

B (A, U ... UAn) = (B) A) U ... U (B) An).

('=') Let x & B \(\text{A}_1 \text{U... UAn}\).

 \Rightarrow $\times \in \mathbb{B}$ and $\times \in (A_1 \cup ... \cup A_n)$ (definition of (1)

 \Rightarrow XEB and XEA; for at least one A; (defin. of U)

⇒ × ∈ B∩Ai for at least one Ai.

> XE (BNA) U... U (BNAn).

(2) let x e (BNA) U--- U (BNAn).

=> X E (BnAi) for at least one Ai.

 \Rightarrow \times EB and \times EA; for at least one A;

 \Rightarrow XEB and XE ($\dot{A}_1U\cdots UA_n$)

⇒ X ∈ B ∩ (A,U... UAn).

LSIRA (1.2.2) is assigned in Homework 1.

Set Difference and Complement

We write AB or A-B "setminus"


Caution!

* AB + BA!

"A setminus B" is $A \setminus B = \{a \mid a \in A, a \notin B\}$.

of U is the universe, i.e., $A \subseteq U$ for all sets A, then $A' = U \setminus A = \{a \in U \mid a \notin A\}$ is the

complement of A (or A-complement).




De Morgan's Laws

LSIRA (1.2.3) $(A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_n)^c = A_1^c \cap \cdots \cap A_n^c$ "complement of union = intersection of complements"

LSIRA (1.2.4) $(A_1 \cap A_n) = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_n \cdot \text{union of complements.}$

I See LSIRA for the proof.

Lets illustrate (1.2.4) for n=2, i.e., with A, and A2 first.



We will prove subset inclusion in both directions.

(
$$\subseteq$$
) Let $x \in (A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n)^c$
 $\Rightarrow x \notin A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n$ (definition of complement)
 $\Rightarrow x \notin A_j$ for some j . (definition of \cap)
 $\Rightarrow x \in A_j^c$ for some j
 $\Rightarrow x \in A_i^c \cup \dots \cup A_n^c$.
Hence $(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_n)^c \subseteq A_i^c \cup \dots \cup A_n^c$.

(2) Let
$$x \in A_{i}^{c}U \cdots UA_{n}^{c}$$
.

 $\Rightarrow x \in A_{j}^{c}$ for some j .

 $\Rightarrow x \notin A_{j}$ for some j .

 $\Rightarrow x \notin A_{i} \cap A_{n}$.

Since $x \notin A_{j}$ for some j , it cannot be in the intersection of all A_{i} 's.

 $\Rightarrow \times \in (A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n)^c$. Hence $A_1^c \cup \cdots \cup A_n^c \subseteq (A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n)^c$.

Cartesian Products

 A_1B_2 sets, we define sortesian product of A and B $A \times B = \{(a_1b) \mid a \in A, b \in B\} \}$ Given A_i , i=1,...,n $(A_1,...,A_n)$, we define T: product $A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_n = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i = \{(a_1,...,a_n) \mid a_i \in A_i \neq i\}.$ For A,B: sets, we define $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2, ..., a_n \in A_n$

e.g., iRn. set of n-tuples of real numbers (or set of real n-vectors)

1918A1.2 Rob9 (Pg11) Prove that (AUB) xC = (AXC) U(BXC).

We'll finish the proof in the next leeture...

MATH 401: Lecture 3 (08/26/2025)

Today: * families of sets, properties
Today: * functions, images, pre images

We first do a problem on Cartesian products...

 $\frac{151RA1.2 \operatorname{Rob9}(\operatorname{PgII})}{\subseteq'} \quad \text{Prove that } (AUB) \times C = (AXC) \cup (BXC).$

=> X E AUB, YEG (Definition of cartesian product)

⇒ X EA OT XEB, YEG

 $y \times A + hon (x,y) \in A \times C'$, and if $x \in B + hon (x,y) \in B \times C$.

 \Rightarrow $(x,y) \in A \times C$ or $(x,y) \in B \times C$

⇒ (x,y) ∈ (AxC) U (BxC).

'2' let (x,y) & (AxC) U(BXC)

⇒ cx,y) ∈ Axc or (x,y) ∈ BxC

 $\Rightarrow x \in A, y \in C$ or $x \in B, y \in C \Rightarrow (x \in A \text{ or } x \in B), y \in C$.

⇒ XEAUB, yEG ⇒ CX, y) ∈ (AUB) xC.

LSIRA13 Families of Sets

Recall: B
$$\cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (B \cap A_i)$$
. Scompact notation for distributive law (from Lecture 2)

We could write, instead, BN $(\bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{X}} A_i) = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} (B \cap A_i)$, where $\mathcal{X} = \xi_{1,2,...,n} \xi$.

We now generalize I to be infinite in some cases, or indexing more general collections in general.

Def A collection of sets is a family. e.g., $A = \{[a,b] | a,b \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$ is the family of all closed intervals on \mathbb{R} .

Union and Intersection

We extend union, intersection, as well as their distribution to families.

() A = Sa a EA for all A E A 3 -> collection of elements that belong to every set in the family.

We naturally extend distributive and De Morgan's laws to families.

$$B \cap (\bigcup_{A \in A} A) = \bigcup_{A \in A} (B \cap A), \quad (\bigcap_{A \in A} A)^c = \bigcup_{A \in A} A^c, \text{ etc.}$$

We now work on some problems involving families of sets.

```
LSIRA1.3 Probl (Pg12)
```

Show that $\bigcup [-n,n] = \mathbb{R}$.

(' \subseteq ') R is the universe here, so () $[-n,n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Or, observe that $[n,n] \in \mathbb{R}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence $\bigcup fn,n] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. (2) Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ Note that $x = 0 \in [-n,n]$ the iN.

let m= [1x1], ceiling of absolute value of x, i.e., the $\lceil x \rceil = ceil(x)$ Smallest natural number > 1x1.

= Smallest integer z X. Then $X \in [-m,m] = [-tixi7, tixi7]$, as

 $x \le |x| \le |x|^{2m}$, and x = -|x| = -|x|.

>e.g., x = -3.3 ⇒ x 7 -1-3.3 = 3.3 \Rightarrow $\times \in \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [-n,n].$

LSIRA 1.3 Prob 4

Show $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (o, h] = \emptyset$ (empty set).

 $(\dot{z}) \phi \subseteq A$ for any set A (trivially).

(E) We show $\bigcap(0,h] \subseteq \emptyset$. Hence we not in (o, n). For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we show $x \notin \bigcap (o, \frac{1}{n}]$.

 $\mathcal{H} \times \leq 0$, then clearly, $\times \neq (0, \frac{1}{n}] \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

 24×71 , then $\times \notin (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ for n=2, for instance.

Let
$$0 < x < 1$$
. Consider $m = \lceil \frac{1}{x} \rceil + 1$.

Then
$$x \notin (0, \frac{1}{m})$$
 as $x > \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k^{n}+1}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{k^{n}+1} > \frac{1}{k}\right)$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \times \notin \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (o_i \frac{1}{n}].$$

Q. Why take
$$[\frac{1}{x}]+1$$
? Consider $x=\frac{1}{5}$, for instance. Then $[\frac{1}{x}]=[5]=5$. Hence $x \in (0, \frac{1}{m}]$ here!


Prove that
$$BU(AA) = AEA$$
 (BUA).

$$\Rightarrow$$
 XGB or XG $\bigcap_{A \in A}$ \Rightarrow XG \Rightarrow XG \Rightarrow XG $\cap_{A \in A}$.

LSIRA 1.4 Functions

A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ for sets X, Y is a rule that assigns for each $x \in X$ a unique $y \in Y$. We write f(x)=y, or $x \mapsto y$ "maps to".


Rather than the



Compositions

Kather than the graphs of functions you may have seen previously, we think of such visualizations for functions now.


X is the domain and Y the codomain of f.




Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ be functions. Then their composition is specified as $h: X \rightarrow Z$ defined as h(x) = g(f(x)). The composition is written as $g \circ f$, with $g \circ f(x) = g(f(x))$.

"composition of fand g"

f₁(f₂(-..f_n(x))))...) > composition of n functions f₁, f₂,...,f_n Function: f:X-> Y. We now define images and preimages under f.



For $A \subseteq X$, $f(A) \subseteq Y$ is defined as $f(A) = \mathcal{F}(a) \mid a \in A\mathcal{F},$ and is called the **image** of A under f.



For $B \subseteq Y$, the set $f'(B) \subseteq X$ defined as $f''(B) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) \in B\}$

is the inverse image or preimage of B under f.

In the next lecture, we consider how preimages and intersections, or not ...

MATH 401: Lecture 4 (08/28/2025)

Today: * images/preimages and unions/intersections

* injective/surjective functions

* relations, equivalence relations, partitions

We now consider how images and inverse images commute (or not) with unions and intersections of families of sets.

Prop 1.4.1 Inverse images commute with arbitrary unions and intersections:

$$f^{-1}(B) = 0$$
 $f^{-1}(B)$ and "inverse of union = union of inverses"

 $f^{-1}(B) = 0$ "inverse of intersection = intersection of inverses"

Proof (of the second statement) -> See LSIRA for proof of first statement

(C) Let
$$x \in f^{-1}(NB) \Rightarrow f(x) \in NB$$
BER

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \in B \text{ for every } B \in \emptyset.$$

$$\Rightarrow \times \in f^{-1}(B) \text{ for every } B \in \emptyset.$$

$$\Rightarrow \times \in (f^{-1}(B),$$

(2) Let
$$x \in (P'(B))$$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 \times \in $f^{-1}(B)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $f(x) \in B$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$.

$$\Rightarrow f(x) \in (B) \Rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(B).$$

We saw that inverse images commute with unions and intersections. But forward images behave a bit differently.

Prop 1.4.2 f: X -> Y is a function, A is a family of subsets of X.

Then
$$f(\bigcup A) = \bigcup f(A)$$
, $f(\bigcap A) \subseteq \bigcap f(A)$.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $\exists x \in \bigcup A$ such that $f(x) = y$.

$$\Rightarrow$$
 $x \in A$ for at least one $A \in A$ such that $f(x) = y$

$$\Rightarrow$$
 y \in f(A) for at least one A \in A

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in A \text{ for at least one } A \in A \text{ such that } f(x) = y$$
.

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in \bigcup_{A \in A} A$$
 such that $f(x)=y$.


LSIRA gines a slightly different proof for (2):

We consider intersections now:

$$f(\bigcap_{A\in A}A)\subseteq \bigcap_{A\in A}f(A)$$
.

Proof for ('S')

Since this inclusion holds for every $A \in A$, we get $f(\bigcap A) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in A} f(A)$.



For
$$x_1 \neq x_2$$
, $x_1, x_2 \in X$, let $f(x_i) = y_i i^{-1/2}$.

Let
$$A_i = \{x \in X_i\}$$
, $i = 1, 2$. $\implies \bigcap_{i = 1, 2} A_i = \emptyset$ (empty set).

But note that f(Ai) = Syz, i=1,2.

$$\Rightarrow f\left(\bigcap_{i=1,2} A_i\right) = \emptyset.$$
 But $\bigcap_{i=1,2} f(A_i) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \neq \emptyset.$

$$\Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1,2} f(Ai) \neq f(\bigcap_{i=1,2} Ai).$$

But we get this reverse inclusion if we specify that f is injective.

Det let f:X->Y be a function.

f is injective (1-to-1) if $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ whenever $x_1 \neq x_2$. Equivalent definition: For any y EY, there is at most one x EX s.t. f(x)=y.

There would be no x EX

It is surjective (onto) if for every $y \in Y$, there is at least one $x \in X$ such that f(x) = y.

There could be more than one f(x) = y and surjective.

If it is both injective and surjective.

LSIRA 1.4 Prob 4 (1917)

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a strictly increasing function, i.e., $x_1 < x_2 \Rightarrow f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ for $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, i=1,2. 1. Show that f is injective. or a counterexample. 2. Does if have to be surjective? The same result holde when $x_2 < x_1$ as well.

1. We show $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ whenever $x_1 \neq x_2$.

Without loss of generality (WLOGI), let $X_1 < X_2$.

Then $f(x_i) < f(x_2)$, as f is storely increasing. Hence $f(x) \neq f(x_2)$, and so f is injective.

2. No. $f = \arctan(x)$ is strictly increasing. $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, but $\arctan(\mathbb{R}) = (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

So f need not be surjective.

Another example is $f = e^{x}$.

Kelations (LSIRA 1.5)



We had seen functions, where a <u>unique</u> yEY is assigned for each XEX by f: X -> Y. But entities are related in other ways — numbers are 7 or < each other, lines are parallel, etc. We define relations formally to describe such dependencies.

Def A relation R on a set X is a subset of $X \times X$. Cartesian We write xRy, (x,y) ER, or x~y. product of X with Itself

e.g., R = { (x,y) & R2 | x=y }

Recall, y=x is the 45° line through (0,0).
All points are "related" by them
belonging to this line.

Here is another relation (on integers).

 $P = \frac{2}{3}(x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | x_i y \text{ have same parity } \frac{2}{3}$. So, all odd integers are related, and so are all even integers.

Some relations have more structure than default - as defined belows.

Equivalence Relations

(iii) transitive, i.e., X~Y, Y~Z => X~Z + x, y, Z E X.

Def Given an equivalence relation \sim on X, we define the equivalence class [x] of $x \in X$ as $[x] = \frac{2}{3}y \in X[x \sim y]$. The set of all "relatives" of $x \in X$.

The collection of equivalence classes forms a partition of X.

Def A partition β of X is a family of nonempty subsets of X such that $x \in X$ satisfies $x \in P \in \beta$ for exactly one P in β (for every $x \in X$).

The elements P of P are called partition classes of P.

e.g., $P = \{\{zk, k\in \mathbb{Z}\}, \{2kH, k\in \mathbb{Z}\}\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{Z} .

even integers odd integers

Here is a direct example of a partition of R.

The collection of all lines with slope=1 (45°) is a partition of R?

Any point in \mathbb{R}^2 belongs to exactly one line with a slope of m=1 (i.e., 45° degree slope).

We have not checked that the defining relation is an equivalence relation, but this can be blone easily.

relation, and my and recall, the point-slope form of the equation of a line: $\frac{y-y_0}{x-x_0}=m$, given slope m and one point (x_0,y_0) .

> there are infinitely many lines with slope m=1.