
MATH 524 - Lecture 17 (10/17/2023)

Today: * barycentric subdivision

Today: * Simplicial approximation

Recall: banycentric subdivision




Explicit Description of the simplices in SdK

Notation $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2$ means σ_2 is a proper face of σ_1 , or equivalently, σ_1 is a proper coface of σ_2 .

Lemna 15.3 [M] SdK is the collection of simplices of the form $\hat{\sigma}_1, \hat{\sigma}_2, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_p$ where $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \dots > \sigma_p$.

Mustration

The edges in Sd K are of the form $\hat{\xi}_i\hat{V}_i$ where $\hat{\xi}_i \wedge \hat{V}_i$; or of the form $\hat{f}_i\hat{e}_j$ where $\hat{f}_0 \wedge \hat{e}_i$. Similarly, the triangles




in SdK are of the form foêjû where for got vi.

Proof (by induction)

True for $K^{(0)}$ (as $G = v + v \in K^{(0)}$).

Now suppose each simplex of SdK lying in |KIP| is of this form. Let T be a simplex of SdK lying in |KIP|, but not in |KIP|. Then T belongs to one of the complexes is a (pt)-simplex of K, and Lo is the first barycentric subdivision of the complex made of the proper faces of J. By induction, each simplex of Lo the proper faces of J. By induction, each simplex of Lo form of the form of the form of the form of the complex made of the proper faces of J. By induction, each simplex of Lo the form of of ...of.

Notice that the simplices in Sd^2K are much "smaller" than the simplices in SdK. This observation is formalized in the following theorem.



Therem 15.4 [M] Given a finite complex K, a metric for |K|, and E>0, there exists an r such that each simplex in Sd^rK has diameter less than E.

Def For a subset S of a metric space (X,d), its diameter is diam $(S) = \sup_{x \in S} \{d(\overline{x},\overline{y}) \mid \overline{x},\overline{y} \in S\}$. See [M] for the proof.

Simplicial Approximation

We now talk about how to use subdivision to find a simplicial approximation of any continuous function h: |K|-> |L|.

Recall: A simplicial approximation of a continuous map $h: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ by a simplicial map $f: K \rightarrow L$ satisfies $h(\operatorname{St} v) \subset \operatorname{St}(f(v)) + v \in K^{(v)}$.

We had also seen that homomorphisms ff associated with simplicial maps f: K > L induce isomorphisms at the homology level. Our ultimate goal is to argue that the homology groups are determined by the underlying spaces, rather than specific choices of the complex.


We now look at the next step toward that goal - to show that we can always approximate a continuous map by a simplicial map once we have a fine enough subdivision of the original complex.

The result for the case when K is finite is quite accessible compared to that when K is infinite. We will discuss only the finite case in detail here.

Theorem 16.1 [M] (The finite simplicial approximation theorem)

Let K and L be complexes, and let K be finite. Griven a continuous map h: |K| >> |L|, there is an r such that h has a simplicial approximation f: SdrK -> L.

Here is an illustration we already saw in Leeture 15.



Of course, K' is not a barycentric subdivision of K here. But the example illustrates the result nonetheless. The key idea is that by subdividing K enough, we could approximate h by a simplicial map from K' to L.

Even in the illustration shown, one could argue that f misses the detail in h in some places, e.g., between is and je where h looks like "5", while f looks like "."
But one could consider a finer subdivision K" of K where we have some more vertices between i and j. The image under f could be closer to h in that case.

In this context, the barycentric subdivision is just one kind (F) of subdivision we could use. At the same time, its nice structure makes it convenient to devise proofs of results. On the other hand, Sdr K might produce "bad" Simplices e.g., triangles that are too skinny. There are other classes of subdivision where the triangles produced are "round" (while still holding a small diameter).

Proof Cover [K] by open sets h'(St w), as w ranges over L'o'. Let this covering be called it. Then it is an open covering of the compact metric space |K|. So, there exists a number I such that any set of IKI with diameter less than I lies in one of the elements of A. This number is called a Lebesgue number for A.

Here is the standard argument for why a lebesque number should exist in this case.

Suppose there does not exist a lebesgue number for A. Then we can choose a sequence on of sets such that diam (G) < fr, but Gn does not lie in any element of A. Choose $\overline{X}_n \in C_n$ By compactness, some subsequence $\{\overline{X}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges, to say, $\overline{X}_n \in A_n$ for Some AEA. As A is open, it contains Chi for i sufficiently large - a contradition.


Back to the main proof now...


Choose r s.t. each simplex σ in Sd^rK has $diam(\overline{\tau}) < \frac{1}{2}$. Then each St \overline{v} for $\overline{v} \in (Sd^rK)^{(o)}$ has diameter $< \lambda$. So, it $(St\,\overline{v})$ lies in one of the Sets $h^{-1}(St\,\overline{w})$. So, $h: |K| \rightarrow |L|$ satisfies the Star condition relative to $Sd^r|_{K}$ and L, and hence a simplicial approximation exists. \square Extending the simplicial approximation theorem to the case when K is not finite $(h: |K| \rightarrow |L|)$ is much more involved. We introduce a key technique related to subdivision used in this process. In particular, the default barycentric subdivision will not work.

Subdividing K while keeping Ko (a subcomplex) fixed

Def Here is a sequence of subdivisions of Skeletons of K. Let $J_o = K^{(o)}$. In general, J_{ϕ} is a subdivision of K_{ϕ} , and each simplex T of K_o with $\dim(T) \leq p$ belongs to J_{ϕ} . Define $J_{\phi H}$ to be the union of J_{ϕ} , all $T \in K_o$ with $\dim(T) = pH$, and the cones $\hat{J} * J_{\phi}$ as σ ranges over all (pH)-simplices of K not in K_o . Here J_{ϕ} is a subcomplex of J_{ϕ} tohose polytope is $Bd\sigma$. The union of all complexes J_{ϕ} H_{ϕ} is a subdivision of K_o , denoted Sd (K/K_o) , and is called the first barycentric subdivision of K_o , holding K_o fixed.

We define $Sd^r(K/K_0)$ similarly: $Sd^2(K/K_0) = Sd(Sd(K/K_0)/K_0)$, for instance.





Ko: Sedges eo, e, e3, and all vif.

Sd (K/Ko) and Sd2(K/Ko)

We finish by listing the main result. See [M] for proof.

Theorem 16-5 [M] (The general simplicial approximation theorem)

Let K and L be complexes, and let h: |K| > |L| be a continuous map. Then there exists a subdivision K' of K such that h has a simplicial approximation f: K' > L.

That's all we will cover in this subtopic. Next we move on to an important algebraic technique - exact sequences.