

# Module Guide for GlassBR

Spencer Smith and Thulasi Jegatheesan  
and  
Vajiheh Motamer

November 13, 2018

# 1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
11/02/18	1.0	Initial Template
11/05/18	1.1	Minor changes based on template

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Revision History</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Anticipated and Unlikely Changes</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Anticipated Changes . . . . .	2
3.2	Unlikely Changes . . . . .	3
<b>4</b>	<b>Module Hierarchy</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Connection Between Requirements and Design</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Module Decomposition</b>	<b>4</b>
6.1	Hardware Hiding Modules (M1) . . . . .	5
6.2	Behaviour-Hiding Module . . . . .	5
6.2.1	Input (M2) . . . . .	5
6.2.2	LoadASTM (M3) . . . . .	6
6.2.3	Output Module (M4) . . . . .	6
6.2.4	Calc Module (M5) . . . . .	6
6.2.5	Control Module (M6) . . . . .	6
6.2.6	Constants Module (M7) . . . . .	7
6.2.7	GlassTypeADT Module (M8) . . . . .	7
6.2.8	ThicknessADT Module (M9) . . . . .	7
6.3	Software Decision Module . . . . .	7
6.3.1	FunctADT Module (M10) . . . . .	7
6.3.2	ContoursADT Module (M11) . . . . .	8
6.3.3	SeqServices Module (M12) . . . . .	8
<b>7</b>	<b>Traceability Matrix</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Use Hierarchy Between Modules</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>10</b>

## List of Tables

1	Module Hierarchy . . . . .	5
2	Trace Between Requirements and Modules . . . . .	8

3	Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules . . . . .	9
---	---	---

## List of Figures

1	Use Hierarchy among Modules . . . . .	10
---	---------------------------------------	----

## 2 Introduction

Decomposing a system into modules is a commonly accepted approach to developing software. A module is a work assignment for a programmer or programming team (Parnas et al., 1984). In the best practices for scientific computing, Wilson et al. (2013) advise a modular design, but are silent on the criteria to use to decompose the software into modules. We advocate a decomposition based on the principle of information hiding (Parnas, 1972). This principle supports design for change, because the “secrets” that each module hides represent likely future changes. Design for change is valuable in SC, where modifications are frequent, especially during initial development as the solution space is explored.

Our design follows the rules layed out by Parnas et al. (1984), as follows:

- System details that are likely to change independently should be the secrets of separate modules.
- Each data structure is used in only one module.
- Any other program that requires information stored in a module’s data structures must obtain it by calling access programs belonging to that module.

After completing the first stage of the design, which is the Software Requirements Specification (SRS), the Module Guide (MG) is developed (Parnas et al., 1984). The MG specifies the modular structure of the system and is intended to allow both designers and maintainers to easily identify the parts of the software. The potential readers of this document are as follows:

- New project members: This document can be a guide for a new project member to easily understand the overall structure and quickly find the relevant modules they are searching for.
- Maintainers: The hierarchical structure of the module guide improves the maintainers’ understanding when they need to make changes to the system. It is important for a maintainer to update the relevant sections of the document after changes have been made.
- Designers: Once the module guide has been written, it can be used to check for consistency, feasibility and flexibility. Designers can verify the system in various ways, such as consistency among modules, feasibility of the decomposition, and flexibility of the design.

The rest of the document is organized as follows. Section 3 lists the anticipated and unlikely changes of the software requirements. Section 4 summarizes the module decomposition that was constructed according to the likely changes. Section 5 specifies the connections between the software requirements and the modules. Section 6 gives a detailed description of the modules. Section 7 includes two traceability matrices. One checks the completeness

of the design against the requirements provided in the SRS. The other shows the relation between anticipated changes and the modules. Section 8 describes the use relation between modules.

## 3 Anticipated and Unlikely Changes

This section lists possible changes to the system. According to the likeliness of the change, the possible changes are classified into two categories. Anticipated changes are listed in Section 3.1, and unlikely changes are listed in Section 3.2.

### 3.1 Anticipated Changes

Anticipated changes are the source of the information that is to be hidden inside the modules. Ideally, changing one of the anticipated changes will only require changing the one module that hides the associated decision. The approach adapted here is called design for change. Anticipated changes are numbered by **AC** followed by a number.

**AC1:** The specific hardware on which the software is running.

**AC2:** The format of the input parameters external to the software.

**AC3:** The data structure used internally to store the input parameters.

**AC4:** Rules for verification of the input data.

**AC5:** The format of the ASTM data tables.

**AC6:** The format of the final output data.

**AC7:** How the calculation equations are defined.

**AC8:** How the overall control of the calculations is orchestrated.

**AC9:** The values of constants.

**AC10:** The implementation of the “glass type” .

[Term 'type' after 'glass type' should be removed. Besides, according to DD6 in the SRS, Glass Type Factor is a function which maps from the glass type to a real number, So I think this module should consist of calculation of glass type factor. —VM] [I'm sorry, but I don't agree. The glass type is implemented as an ADT. Have a look at the MIS to see the access programs for this ADT. If I am missing something, we should look at it from the point of view of the MIS first, since we have the luxury of these details being worked out already. —SS]

**AC11:** The implementation of the thickness type.

**AC12:** The data structure used to represent a two dimensional function.

**AC13:** The data structure used to represent a sequence of two dimensional functions as contours.

**AC14:** The algorithms used to provide typical services on a sequence of numbers, such as checking for ascending, interpolation, etc.

### 3.2 Unlikely Changes

The module design should be as general as possible. However, generality can introduce complexity, which can, at times, be avoided by explicitly fixing some decision decisions as unlikely changes. If these decisions should later need to be changed, then many parts of the design will potentially need to be modified. Hence, it is not intended that these decisions will be changed. As an example, the equations for calculating the blast risk are assumed to follow the structure given in the SRS; that is, even if they need to be modified, the modifications should be possible by changing how the input parameters are used in the definition. If new parameters are needed, this will mean a change to both the input parameters module, the calculation module and the output module. Unlikely changes are numbered by **UC** followed by a number.

**UC1:** Input/Output devices (Input: File and/or Keyboard, Output: File, Memory, and/or Screen).

**UC2:** There will always be a source of input data external to the software.

**UC3:** Output data are displayed to the output device.

**UC4:** The goal of the system is to predict whether the glass slab under consideration can withstand an explosion of a certain degree.

**UC5:** The equations for safety can be defined using parameters defined in the input parameters module.

## 4 Module Hierarchy

This section provides an overview of the module design. Modules are summarized in a hierarchy decomposed by secrets in Table 1. The modules listed below, which are leaves in the hierarchy tree, are the modules that will actually be implemented. Modules are numbered by **M** followed by a number.

**M1:** Hardware-Hiding

**M2:** Input

**M3:** LoadASTM

**M4:** Output

**M5:** Calc

**M6:** Control

**M7:** Constants

**M8:** GlassTypeADT

**M9:** ThicknessADT

**M10:** FunctADT

**M11:** ContoursADT

**M12:** SeqServices

Note that M1 is a commonly used module and is already implemented by the operating system. It will not be reimplemented.

## 5 Connection Between Requirements and Design

The design of the system is intended to satisfy the requirements developed in the SRS. In this stage, the system is decomposed into modules. The connection between requirements and modules is listed in Table 2.

## 6 Module Decomposition

Modules are decomposed according to the principle of “information hiding” proposed by Parnas et al. (1984). The *Secrets* field in a module decomposition is a brief statement of the design decision hidden by the module. The *Services* field specifies *what* the module will do without documenting *how* to do it. For each module, a suggestion for the implementing software is given under the *Implemented By* title. If the entry is *OS*, this means that the module is provided by the operating system or by standard programming language libraries. If the entry is *Python*, this means that the module is provided by Python. *GlassBR* means the module will be implemented by the GlassBR software. Only the leaf modules in the hierarchy have to be implemented. If a dash (–) is shown, this means that the module is not a leaf and will not have to be implemented. Whether or not this module is implemented depends on the programming language selected.



Level 1	Level 2
Hardware-Hiding	
Behaviour-Hiding Module	Input LoadASTM Output Calc Control GlassTypeADT ThicknessADT Constants
Software Decision	FunctADT ContoursADT SeqServices

Table 1: Module Hierarchy

## 6.1 Hardware Hiding Modules (M1)

**Secrets:** The data structure and algorithm used to implement the virtual hardware.

**Services:** Serves as a virtual hardware used by the rest of the system. This module provides the interface between the hardware and the software. So, the system can use it to display outputs or to accept inputs.

**Implemented By:** OS

## 6.2 Behaviour-Hiding Module

**Secrets:** The contents of the required behaviours.

**Services:** Includes programs that provide externally visible behaviour of the system as specified in the software requirements specification (SRS) documents. This module serves as a communication layer between the hardware-hiding module and the software decision module. The programs in this module will need to change if there are changes in the SRS.

**Implemented By:** –

### 6.2.1 Input (M2)

**Secrets:** The data structure for input parameters, how the values are input and how the values are verified. The load and verify secrets are isolated to their own access programs (like submodules). This, combined with the fact that all of the services are invoked together, suggests that the one module one secret rule can be relaxed in this instance.

**Services:** Gets input from user, stores input and verifies that the input parameters comply with physical and software constraints. Throws an error if a parameter violates a physical constraint. Throws a warning if a parameter violates a software constraint. Stored parameters can be read individually, but write access is only to redefine the entire set of inputs.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.2 LoadASTM (M3)

**Secrets:** The format of the two ASTM data tables: i) 3 second equivalent pressure ( $q$ ) versus stand off distance (SD) versus charge weight ( $w$ ), and ii) Non dimensional lateral load ( $\hat{q}$ ) versus aspect ratio versus Stress distribution factor ( $J$ ).

**Services:** Reads the data from each ASTM file and creates an object that stores the sequence of functions represented by the data for each table of data.

[I am thinking about this module, It is likely to be changed after preparing the MIS and Dr. Smith confirmation —VM]

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.3 Output Module (M4)

**Secrets:** The format and structure of the output data.

**Services:** Outputs the results of the calculations, including the input parameters, the demand, the capacity, the probability of breakage, and both safety requirements.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.4 Calc Module (M5)

**Secrets:** The equations for predicting the probability of glass breakage, capacity, and demand, using the input parameters.

**Services:** Defines the equations for solving for the probability of glass breakage, demand, and capacity using the parameters in the input parameters module.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.5 Control Module (M6)

**Secrets:** The algorithm for coordinating the running of the program.

**Services:** Provides the main program.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.6 Constants Module (M7)

**Secrets:** The values of constants and new types.

**Services:** Provides constants and types used by other modules.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.7 GlassTypeADT Module (M8)

**Secrets:** The implementation of the “glass type” and ‘Glass Type Factor’. [Term ‘type’ after ‘glass type’ should be removed. Besides, according to DD6 in the SRS, Glass Type Factor is a function which maps from the glass type to a real number, So I think this module should consist of calculation of glass type factor. —VM]

**Services:** Defines abstract data type for glass type.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.2.8 ThicknessADT Module (M9)

**Secrets:** The implementation of the thickness type.

**Services:** Defines abstract data type for thickness.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

## 6.3 Software Decision Module

**Secrets:** The design decision based on mathematical theorems, physical facts, or programming considerations. The secrets of this module are *not* described in the SRS.

**Services:** Includes data structure and algorithms used in the system that do not provide direct interaction with the user.

**Implemented By:** –

### 6.3.1 FunctADT Module (M10)

**Secrets:** The data structure used to represent a two dimensional function. For instance, the data may be represented by a table of data, or a polynomial, or a piecewise linear function, etc.

**Services:** Defines the abstract data type for functions, providing a constructor and a means to evaluate the function for a given value of the independent variable.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.3.2 ContoursADT Module (M11)

**Secrets:** The data structure used to represent a sequence of two dimensional functions in the form of contours. Each function is associated with a particular value, like a contour in a contour map.

**Services:** Defines the abstract data type for a sequence of functions. Allows adding/removing functions to/from the sequence. Provides evaluation of the 3d function at values between the contours.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

### 6.3.3 SeqServices Module (M12)

**Secrets:** The algorithms for services related to sequences of numbers.

**Services:** Provides functions operating on sequences of numbers, such as checks for monotonically increasing values and interpolation.

**Implemented By:** GlassBR

## 7 Traceability Matrix

This section shows two traceability matrices: between the modules and the requirements and between the modules and the anticipated changes. We should also consider documenting the mapping between these “abstract” modules and the Python files.

Req.	Modules
R1	M1, M2, M6
R2	M2, M8, M9
R3	M2, M7
R4	M4, M8, M9
R5	M3, M4, M5, M7, M10, M11, M12
R6	M4

Table 2: Trace Between Requirements and Modules

AC	Modules
AC1	M1
AC2	M2
AC3	M2
AC4	M2
AC5	M3
AC6	M4
AC7	M5
AC8	M6
AC9	M7
AC10	M8
AC11	M9
AC12	M10
AC13	M11
AC14	M12

Table 3: Trace Between Anticipated Changes and Modules

## 8 Use Hierarchy Between Modules

In this section, the uses hierarchy between modules is provided. [Parnas \(1978\)](#) said of two programs A and B that A *uses* B if correct execution of B may be necessary for A to complete the task described in its specification. That is, A *uses* B if there exist situations in which the correct functioning of A depends upon the availability of a correct implementation of B. Figure 1 illustrates the use relation between the modules. It can be seen that the graph is a directed acyclic graph (DAG). Each level of the hierarchy offers a testable and usable subset of the system, and modules in the higher level of the hierarchy are essentially simpler because they use modules from the lower levels.




Figure 1: Use Hierarchy among Modules

## 9 Bibliography

D. L. Parnas, P. C. Clements, and D. M. Weiss. The modular structure of complex systems. In *ICSE '84: Proceedings of the 7th international conference on Software engineering*, pages 408–417, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1984. IEEE Press. ISBN 0-8186-0528-6.

David L. Parnas. On the criteria to be used in decomposing systems into modules. *Comm. ACM*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 1053-1058, December 1972.

David L. Parnas. Designing software for ease of extension and contraction. In *ICSE '78: Proceedings of the 3rd international conference on Software engineering*, pages 264–277, Piscataway, NJ, USA, 1978. IEEE Press. ISBN none.

Greg Wilson, D.A. Aruliah, C. Titus Brown, Neil P. Chue Hong, Matt Davis, Richard T. Guy, Steven H.D. Haddock, Kathryn D. Huff, Ian M. Mitchell, Mark D. Plumblet, Ben Waugh, Ethan P. White, and Paul Wilson. Best practices for scientific computing. *CoRR*, abs/1210.0530, 2013.