Project Title: Unit Verification and Validation Plan for GlassBR

Vajiheh Motamer

December 11, 2018

1 Revision History

Date	Version	Notes
27/11/18 07/12/18	1.0 2.0	initial UnitVnVPlan based on new template Major changes based on existing modules in the MIS

Contents

1	Revision History	1
Li	of Tables	ii
Li	of Figures	ii
2	Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms	iii
3	General Information 3.1 Purpose	1 1
	3.2 Scope	1
4	Plan	1
	4.1 Verification and Validation Team	1
	4.2 Automated Testing and Verification Tools	1
	1.3 Non-Testing Based Verification	1
5	Unit Test Description	2
	5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements	2
	5.1.1 Input Module	2
	5.1.2 LoadASTM Module	4
	5.1.3 Calc Module	4
	5.1.4 GlassType ADT Module	4
	5.1.5 Thickness ADT Module	4
	5.1.6 SeqServices Module	4
	5.1.7 FuncADT Module	6
	5.1.8 ContoursADT Module	8
	5.1.9 Output Module	8
	Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules	8
6	References	8
${f L}$	st of Tables	
	Input to be used for test cases	2
	2 Input Test Cases	3
	B Derived Quantities Test Cases	3
	Test LoadTSD(s)	4
	Do not include if not relevant —SS]	4

List of Figures

[Do not include if not relevant —SS]

2 Symbols, Abbreviations and Acronyms

symbol	description
Т	Test

[symbols, abbreviations or acronyms – you can reference the SRS, MG or MIS tables if needed —SS]

This document provides the unit Verification and Validation (VnV) plan for the software. Section 4 outlines at a high level the plan for verifying and validating the software. Section ?? gives more details about the specific tests that will be used to verify each module and Access Routin Semantics.

3 General Information

3.1 Purpose

This document will identify what testing is going to be done on GlassBR. This document is different from the System VnV Plan in that it considers each module as already written according to the Module Instance Specification (MIS) document. These test cases can be tested using a white box approach, where the inputs, outputs, and transitions between the inputs and outputs are known. The purpose of the unit verification and validation activities is to confirm that every module of GlassBR performs its expected actions correctly. The tests described in this document cannot definitively prove correctness, but they can build confidence by verifying that the software is correct for the cases covered by tests.

3.2 Scope

The Hardware-Hiding module is implemented by the operating system of the hardware on which GlassBR is running, will not be unit tested as and is assumed to work correctly. Verification of the non-functional requirements is not included in the unit verification plan because they are also sufficiently covered by the System VnV Plan System VnV Plan document.

4 Plan

4.1 Verification and Validation Team

Responsible member for the verification and validation of GlassBR is Vajiheh Motamer.

4.2 Automated Testing and Verification Tools

According to that all test files are going to develop based on Python. The following tools have been considered:

- Unit Testing Tools:
 - pytest: no API. Automatic collection of tests; simple asserts; strong support for test
 fixture/state management via setup/teardown hooks; strong debugging support via customized traceback. In additional, it is considered as tests runner which Selectivly run
 tests; Stop on first failure
 - unittest: Strong support for test organization and reuse via test suites

4.3 Non-Testing Based Verification

This section for GlassBR is not applicable.

5 Unit Test Description

Test cases have been selected to verify that each module conforms to the specification for the module described in the Module Interface Specification (MIS) document. The test cases has been intended to cover all Access Routine Semantics both with exceptions and without exceptions.

Many of the tests refer to variables or constants as part of the initial state, input, or expected output. The specifications for these variables and constants can be found in the MIS document for this project.

The values in Table 1 will be used as input for many of the test cases described throughout this section. These values were taken from the Default Values for this project. Individual test cases will reference the table as input but specify new values for any input parameter that should have a different value than specified by the table.

Input	Value	Unit
a	1600	m
b	1500	m
g	HS	-
$P_{b_{ m tol}}$	0.008	-
SD_x	0	m
SD_y	1.5	m
SD_z	11.0	m
t	10.0	mm
TNT	1.0	-
$\mid w \mid$	10.0	kg

Table 1: Input to be used for test cases

5.1 Tests for Functional Requirements

5.1.1 Input Module

As described in the MIS, the Input module is expected to read in many user inputs from a file. For each value contained in the file, there is a corresponding test case verifying that the value was properly read into the data structure containing the input parameters. The input module is also responsible for verifying the input using describing in verify_params() of 5.4.4 from MIS, so for each possible violation of an input constraint, there is a corresponding test case verifying that the correct exception was thrown.

Valid User Input

The test cases described in Table 2 verify that each user input is correctly read. These test

cases are identical to each other with the exception of the expected output on which they assert. The input for each is a file containing the inputs specified in Table 1. The type of these test cases is automatic. The initial state for each is a new session. The expected output for each is given in Table 2. The expected output is derived based on the given inputs. The tests will be performed as automated tests on a unit testing framework.

Test Case	Test Name	Expected Output
TC1	$test-input_a$	a = 1600
TC2	$test-input_b$	b = 1500
TC3	$test-input_g$	g = HS
TC4	test-input_ $P_{b_{\text{tol}}}$	$P_{b_{\rm tol}} = 0.008$
TC5	test-input_ SD_x	SDx = 15000
TC6	${\it test-input_SD}_y$	SDy = 1.5
TC7	${\rm test\text{-}input_SD}_z$	SDz = 11.0
TC8	${\rm test\text{-}input_}t$	t = 10.0
TC9	$test-input_TNT$	TNT = 1.0
TC10	$\operatorname{test-input}_{-}w$	w = 10.0

Table 2: Input Test Cases

Derived Quantities

The test cases described in Table 3 to ensure initial inputs from Table 1 regarding to the Constants Values and Calculations describing in $load_params(s)$ of 5.4.4 from MIS have been correctly converted into derived quantities. The expected output for each test case have been considered in Table 3. The type of these test cases is automatic. The initial state for each test case is a new session. The tests will be performed as automated tests on a unit testing framework.

Test Case	Test Name	Expected Output
TC11	$test\text{-}input_LDF$	LDF = 0.2696493494752911
TC12	$test-input_h$	h = 9.02
TC13	$test-input_GTF$	GTF = 2.0
TC14	$test-input_SD$	SD = 11.10180165558726
TC15	$test-input_AR$	AR = 1.0666666666666666666666666666666666666

Table 3: Derived Quantities Test Cases

Invalid User Input

The test cases described in Table 8 from System VnV Plan document are intended to cover all invalid input possibilities. Invalid input is input that defies the data constraints described in Section 6.2.6 of the SRS. These test cases are identical to each other with the exception of their input.

TC8 - TC26 in the System VnV Plan document cover these set of tests.

5.1.2 LoadASTM Module

This module Reads the data from each ASTM file as a text file and create an object of FunctT and add it to the ContoursT object that is described in 6.4.5 from MIS as two (Access Routins Semantics): i) LoadTSD(s) which is for 3 second equivalent, pressure (q) versus stand off distance (SD) versus charge weight (w) and ii) LoadSDF(s) which is Non dimensional lateral load (\hat{q}) versus aspect ration versus Stress distribution factor (J).

Test Case	Test Name	Expected w	$\mathrm{Expected}[SD]$	Expecte
TC16	test-input_sTSD	[4.5, 9.1, 14., 18.]	[[6.143397364345,	[[6.143397
			$6.128184215473,\ 6.344639409554,$	6.1281842
			7.199850837298], [6.158648279689,	7.1998508
			$6.158648279689,\ 6.344639409554,$	6.1586482
			7.21772438659], [6.189263785047,	7.2177243
			6.189263785047, 6.376179502933,	6.1892637
			7.253604707984], [6.189263785047,	7.2536047
			6.189263785047, 6.407876386546,	6.1892637
			7.271611700659], [6.204628563268,	7.2716117
			6.22003148438, 6.407876386546,	6.2200314
			7.289663395493]]	7.2896633

Table 4: Test LoadTSD(s)

5.1.3 Calc Module

This Module has been designed for the equations for predicting the probability of glass breakage, capacity, and demand and other routines in the Calc module in the MIS using the input parameters.

The test cases for this Module from TC1 - TC7 in the System VnV Plan document cover these set of tests.

5.1.4 GlassType ADT Module

From Section 8 in the MIS, The implementation of the "glass type" and 'Glass Type Factor'. In this section it represents test cases which covers all semantic routins in this module.

5.1.5 Thickness ADT Module

From Section 9 in the MIS, the implementation of the thickness type and it Defines abstract data type for thickness. In this section it represents test cases which covers all semantic routins in this module.

5.1.6 SeqServices Module

TC17: test_isAscending_true

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 5.5]).

Output: out := true

Test Case Derivation: This test case has been designed to Check to see if a given list is monotonically increasing. In this test case, the input has been sorted in the ascending sequence. So, the expected result would be true.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC18: test_isAscending_false

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 0]).

Output: out := false

Test Case Derivation: In this test case, the input has not been sorted in the ascending sequence. So, the expected result would be false.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC19: test_isInBounds_true

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 5.5], x : 3.5)

Output: out := true

Test Case Derivation: Regarding with MIS, this routine Checks to see if a given value is within the bounds of a provided list. In this test case, the input has not been is within the bounds of the given list. So, the expected result would be true.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC20: test_interpLin_x

Type: Automatic

Initial State: It is assumed that is Ascending is True and is In Bounds is True as well.

Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 5.5], x : 1, x : 3.12345678)

Output: out := 0, out := 2

Test Case Derivation: Regarding to th MIS, this test case Determines the best "placement" for a value against a provided list. In this test case, in the first x, it is present in list. In the second x, x < X(2+1) and it will return i which is 2.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC21: test-interpQuad_x

Type: Automatic

Initial State: It is assumed that is Ascending is True.

Input: $(x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, x) = (0, 0, 3, 6, 4.5, 5.67, 4)$

Test Case Derivation: Regarding to the MIS, out and input values are members of \mathbb{R} , this test case is to test of Quad interpolation function which returns interpolated value which is calculated using provided inputs and .

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC22: test_index_x

Type: Automatic

Initial State: It is assumed that is Ascending is True.

Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 5.5])

Test Case Derivation: Regarding to the MIS, *out* and input values are members of \mathbb{R} , this test case is to test of Quad interpolation function which returns interpolated value which is calculated using provided inputs and .

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

Output: out := false

Test Case Derivation: In this test case, the input has not been sorted in the ascending sequence. So, the expected result would be false.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC23: test_isInBounds_true

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [1, 2, 3, 4, 5.5], x : 3.5)

Output: out := true

Test Case Derivation: Regarding with MIS, this routine Checks to see if a given value is within the bounds of a provided list. In this test case, the input has not been is within the bounds of the given list. So, the expected result would be true.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

5.1.7 FuncADT Module

From Section 10 in the MIS, this module is used to represent a two dimensional function. For instance, the data may be represented by a table of data, or a polynomial, or a piecewise linear function, etc. It Defines the abstract data type for functions, providing a constructor and a means to evaluate the function for a given value of the independent variable. In this section it represents test cases which covers all semantic routins.

TC24: test_FuncT_notAscendingErr

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [5,4,6], Y : [0, 1, 3], i: 2).

Output: out := raise IndepVarNotAscending('Independent variables are not in ascending order!')

Test Case Derivation: This test case uses of is Ascending from SeqServices module. Because X is not in the ascending sequence, the system must raise an exception.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC25: test_FuncT_ssmErr

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [0,1,2], Y : [0], i: 1).

Output: out := raise SeqSizeMismatch('Sequences are not of the same length!')

Test Case Derivation: Because Sequences are not in the same length, the system raises an exception.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC26: test_FuncT_InvalidInterpErr

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [1, 2, 3], Y : [0, 1, 3], i : 0 or 3).

Output: out := raise InvalidInterpOrder("Invalid interpretation error!")

Test Case Derivation: According to the MIS, i must be between [1..MAX_ORDER]. So, this test case covers this exception.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

TC27: test_FuncT_oodErr

Type: Automatic

Initial State: New Session

Input: (X : [1, 2, 10], Y : [1, 2, 10], o : 1, x : 100).

Output: out := raise OutOfDomain('Out of domain!')

Test Case Derivation: x must be between minX and maxX. So, this test case covers this exception.

How test will be performed: Automated test on unit testing framework.

5.1.8 ContoursADT Module

From Section 11 in the MIS, The data structure used to represent a sequence of two dimensional functions in the form of contours. Each function is associated with a particular value, like a contour in a contour map. It Defines the abstract data type for a sequence of functions. Allows adding/removing functions to/from the sequence. Provides evaluation of the 3d function at values between the contours. In this section it represents test cases which covers all semantic routins in this module.

5.1.9 Output Module

The verification of the output is covered by 5.1.2 in the System VnV Plan document. The delivery of each output is covered by TC27 to TC29 in the System VnV Plan document. This document therefore only adds test cases for items not covered by the System VnV Plan document.

5.2 Traceability Between Test Cases and Modules

Every module is covered by test cases except for those that were declared out of scope in Section 3.2 of this document.

6 References