

Rubric for FIRST REVIEW - Internal Project Evaluation
(Individual form)

Team No.	Register No.	Name	Date	Marks Scored

S. No.	Evaluation Parameter	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	Marks Scored
1	Identification of Problem Domain and Problem statement	Detailed and extensive explanation of the purpose and need of the project (15)	Good explanation of the purpose and need of the project (10)	Average explanation of the purpose and need of the project (8)	Moderate explanation of the purpose and need of the project(5)	
2	Objectives	All objectives of the proposed work are well defined (10)	Good justification to the objectives (8)	Incomplete justification to the objectives proposed (6)	Only Some objectives of the proposed work are well defined (4)	
3	Detailed analysis of Feasibility of proposed work	Detailed explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems.(15)	Collected all relevant information related to problem statement and good study of the existing systems. (12)	Moderate study of the existing systems and collected some basic information. (10)	Explanation of the specifications and the limitations of the existing systems not very satisfactory and limited information.(5)	
4	Design Methodology	Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are clearly specified (10)	Methodology to be followed is specified but detailing is not done (8)	Steps are mentioned but unclear; without justification to objectives (6)	Steps to be followed to solve the defined problem are not specified properly(4)	
5	Technical knowledge and Description of Concepts	Complete explanation of the key concepts and strong description of the technical requirements of the project (10)	Complete explanation of the key concepts but in-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project (8)	Incomplete explanation of the key concepts and in-sufficient description of the technical requirements of the project (6)	Inappropriate explanation of the key concepts and poor description of the technical requirements of the project (4)	
6	Planning of Project Work	Time frame properly specified and being followed (10)	Time frame properly specified but being followed partly (8)	Time frame properly specified, but not being followed (6)	Time frame not properly specified (4)	
7	Incorporation of Suggestions, given in 0 th review	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during 0 th review and new innovations added (5)	Changes are made as per modifications suggested during 0 th review and good justification (4)	All major changes are made as per modifications suggested during 0 th review.(3)	Suggestions during 0 th review are not incorporated (0)	
8	Social Relevance of the Project	High Social Relevance (5)	Moderate Social Relevance (4)	Fair Social Relevance (3)	Poor Social Relevance (1)	
9	Presentation by individual	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered. Proper eye contact with reviewer and clear voice with good spoken language. (10)	Contents of presentations are appropriate and well delivered. Clear voice with good spoken language but less eye contact with reviewer (8)	Contents of presentations are appropriate but not well delivered. Fair Eye contact and unclear voice. (6)	Contents of presentations are not appropriate and not well delivered. Poor eye contact with audience and unclear voice.(4)	
10	Regularity and Attendance	Reports to the guide regularly and consistent in work (10)	Reports to the guide very often but not very consistent (8)	Reports to the guide but lacks consistency (6)	Irregular and inconsistent in work (4)	

General by the Reviewer:

Reviewer Name and signature